Djibouti





Table of Contents

Chapter 1	1
Country Overview	1
Country Overview	2
Key Data	3
Djibouti	4
Africa	5
Chapter 2	7
Political Overview	7
History	8
Political Conditions	10
Political Risk Index	20
Political Stability	34
Freedom Rankings	50
Human Rights	61
Government Functions	64
Government Structure	65
Principal Government Officials	69
Leader Biography	71
Leader Biography	72
Foreign Relations	72
National Security	79
Defense Forces	82
Chapter 3	84
Economic Overview	84
Economic Overview	85
Nominal GDP and Components	87
Population and GDP Per Capita	88
Real GDP and Inflation	89
Government Spending and Taxation	90
Money Supply, Interest Rates and Unemployment	91
Foreign Trade and the Exchange Rate	92
Data in US Dollars	93
Energy Consumption and Production Standard Units	94

Energy Consumption and Production QUADS	95
World Energy Price Summary	96
CO2 Emissions	97
Agriculture Consumption and Production	98
World Agriculture Pricing Summary	100
Metals Consumption and Production	101
World Metals Pricing Summary	103
Economic Performance Index	104
Chapter 4	116
Investment Overview	116
Foreign Investment Climate	117
Foreign Investment Index	120
Corruption Perceptions Index	133
Competitiveness Ranking	144
Taxation	153
Stock Market	154
Partner Links	154
Chapter 5	155
Social Overview	155
People	156
Human Development Index	158
Life Satisfaction Index	162
Happy Planet Index	173
Status of Women	182
Global Gender Gap Index	185
Culture and Arts	194
Etiquette	194
Travel Information	195
Diseases/Health Data	206
Chapter 6	212
Environmental Overview	212
Environmental Issues	213
Environmental Policy	214
Greenhouse Gas Ranking	215
Global Environmental Snapshot	226
Global Environmental Concepts	237

International Environmental Agreements and Associations	251
Appendices	276
Bibliography	277

Chapter 1 Country Overview

Djibouti Review 2016 Page 1 of 289 pages

Country Overview

DJIBOUTI

Djibouti became independent from France in 1977. Hassan Gouled Aptidon became the country's first president who had been in power until 1999, when Djibouti's first multi-party presidential elections resulted in the election of Ismail Omar Guelleh. Guelleh was re-elected president to a second term in 2005. A civil war erupted in Djibouti in late 1991 between the government and a predominantly Afar rebel group, and the war ended in 2001 following the conclusion of a peace accord between Afar rebels and the government. Djibouti is mostly barren, with little development in agriculture and industry due to its harsh climate, unskilled labor and limited natural resources. The country's most important economic asset is its strategic location -- it connects the Red Sea and the Gulf of Aden. As such, Djibouti's economy is dominated by the services sector, providing services as both a transit port for the region and an international transshipment and refueling center. In 2011, a food and drought crisis was affecting the countries of the Horn of Africa including Somalia, Kenya, Ethiopia, Djibouti and Uganda. Political dissonance between the presidency and the opposition has characterized the political landscape in recent years.

Djibouti Review 2016 Page 2 of 289 pages

Key Data

Key Data		
Region:	Africa	
Population:	828324	
Climate:	Desert; torrid, dry	
Languages:	French (official), Arabic (official), Somali, Afar	
Currency:	1 Djiboutian franc (DF) = 100 centimes	
Holiday:	Independence Day is 27 June (1977), Mouloud is 21 April	
Area Total:	22000	
Area Land:	21980	
Coast Line:	314	

Djibouti Review 2016 Page 3 of 289 pages

Djibouti

Country Map



Djibouti Review 2016 Page 4 of 289 pages

Africa

Regional Map



© MAGELLAN Geographix PSanta Barbara, CA (800) 929-4MAP

Djibouti Review 2016 Page 5 of 289 pages

Djibouti Review 2016 Page 6 of 289 pages

Chapter 2 Political Overview

Djibouti Review 2016 Page 7 of 289 pages

History

The history of Djibouti, recorded in poetry and songs of its nomadic peoples, goes back thousands of years to a time when Djiboutians traded hides and skins for the perfumes and spices of ancient Egypt, India and China. Through close contacts with the Arabian Peninsula for more than 1,000 years, the Somali and Afar tribes in this region became the first in the African continent to adopt Islam.

In 1839 the English settled in Aden. Their influence, however, remained small. It was Rochet d'Hericourt's exploration into Shoa (1839-42) that marked the beginning of French interest in the African shores of the Red Sea. This was Europe's first significant interest in the region. Further exploration by Henri Lambert, French Consular Agent at Aden, and Captain Fleuriot de Langle led to a treaty of friendship and assistance between France and the sultans of Raheita, Tadjoura and Gobaad, from whom the French purchased the anchorage of Obock (1862).

Growing French interest in the area took place against a backdrop of British activity in Egypt and the opening of the Suez Canal in 1869. Notably, in 1883 the French established the strategic importance of the area by installing a charcoal depot in Obock to counterbalance the British trade network in Aden.

In 1884-85, France expanded its protectorate to include the shores of the Gulf of Tadjoura and the Somaliland. Boundaries of the protectorate, marked out in 1897 by France and Emperor Menelik II of Ethiopia, were affirmed further by agreements with Ethiopian Emperor Haile Selassie I in 1945 and 1954.

The administrative capital was moved from Obock to Djibouti in 1896. Djibouti, which has a good natural harbor and ready access to the Ethiopian highlands, attracted trade caravans crossing East Africa as well as Somali settlers from the south. The Franco-Ethiopian railway, linking Djibouti to the heart of Ethiopia, was begun in 1897 and reached Addis Ababa in June 1917, further facilitating the increase of trade.

During the Italian invasion and occupation of Ethiopia in the 1930s and during World War II, constant border skirmishes occurred between French and Italian forces. The area was ruled by the Vichy (French) government from the fall of France until December 1942, when French Somaliland

Djibouti Review 2016 Page 8 of 289 pages

forces broke a Vichy blockade to join the Free French and the Allied forces. A local battalion from Djibouti participated in the liberation of France in 1944.

On July 22, 1957, the colony was reorganized to give the people considerable self-government. On the same day, a decree applying the Overseas Reform Act (Loi Cadre) of June 23, 1956 established a territorial assembly that elected eight of its members to an executive council. Members of the executive council were responsible for one or more of the territorial services and carried the title of minister. The council advised the French-appointed governor general.

In a September 1958 constitutional referendum, French Somaliland opted to join the French community as an overseas territory. This act entitled the region to representation by one deputy and one senator in the French parliament, and one counselor in the French Union Assembly.

The first elections to the territorial assembly were held on Nov. 23, 1958, under a system of proportional representation. In the 1963 assembly elections a new electoral law was enacted. Proportional representation was abolished in exchange for a system of straight plurality vote based on lists submitted by political parties in seven designated districts. Ali Aref Bourhan, allegedly of Turkish origin, was selected to be the president of the executive council.

French President Charles de Gaulle's August 1966 visit to Djibouti was marked by two days of public demonstrations by Somalis demanding independence. On Sept. 21, 1966, Louis Saget, appointed governor general of the territory after the demonstrations, announced the French government's decision to hold a referendum to determine whether Djibouti would remain within the French Republic or become independent. In March 1967, 60 percent chose to continue the territory's association with France.

In July 1967, a directive from Paris formally changed the name of the region to the French Territory of Afars and Issas. The directive also reorganized the governmental structure of the territory, making the senior French representative, formerly the governor general, a high commissioner. In addition, the executive council with nine members was re-designated as the council of government.

In 1975, the French government began to accommodate increasingly insistent demands for independence. In June 1976, the territory's citizenship law, which favored the Afar, was revised to reflect more closely the weight of the Issa Somali. The electorate voted for independence in a May 1977 referendum, and the Republic of Djibouti was established on June 27, 1977.

Supplementary sources: Africa Confidential, AllAfrica, Government of Djibouti.

Note on History: In certain entries, open source content from the State Department Background

Djibouti Review 2016 Page 9 of 289 pages

Notes and Country Guides have been used. A full listing of sources is available in the Bibliography.

Political Conditions

In 1981, Hassan Gouled Aptidon was elected president of Djibouti. He ran unopposed and was reelected to a second six-year term in April 1987, and to a third six-year term in May 1993 in the first multi-party elections. The electorate approved the current constitution in September 1992. In general, many laws and decrees enacted before independence remain in effect.

Meanwhile, in early November 1991, civil war erupted in Djibouti between the government and a predominantly Afar rebel group called the *Front pour la Restauration de l'Unité et de la Démocratie*, also known as the Front for the Restoration of Unity and Democracy, or FRUD. The conflict concluded with a peace accord in December 1994. The Afars won few concessions and the most noteworthy was the appointment of two additional Afars to cabinet posts. The FRUD forces were integrated into the national forces. FRUD became the largest political party in Djibouti in March 1996.

In early 1992, the government decided to permit multi-party politics and agreed to the registration of four political parties. By the time of the national assembly elections in December 1992, only three parties had qualified. They were the *Rassemblement Populaire pour le Progrès*, also known as the People's Rally for Progress or RPP, which had been the only legal party from 1981 until 1992, the *Parti du Renouveau Démocratique*, also called the Party for Democratic Renewal or PRD, and the *Parti National Démocratique*, also known as the National Democratic Party or PND. Only the RPP and the PRD contested the national assembly elections. The PND withdrew claiming that there were too many unanswered questions about the conduct of the elections and too many opportunities for government fraud. The RPP won all 65 seats in the national assembly, with a turnout of less than 50 percent of the electorate.

In 1995, shortly after masterminding the December 1994 peace accord with the FRUD, President Hassan Gouled Aptidon fell gravely ill. The ruling RPP began grooming Aptidon's nephew and head of Cabinet, Ismael Omar Gouled, to be his successor. As the president moved into convalescence, he asserted his strength against Issa-Somali politicians and centralized his power as head of the police, intelligence and military, gaining a reputation for ruthlessness. Some analysts have explained the political shift by arguing that Gouled functionally ran the country since his uncle's health deterioration in 1995.

Djibouti Review 2016 Page 10 of 289 pages

All did not support the reconciliation between the government and FRUD. The FRUD-Renaissance, a splinter faction of FRUD, was created around the time FRUD was recognized. Two cabinet ministers were dismissed in March 1996 because of their opposition to the peace agreement. Bahdon Farah, one of the dismissed ministers, formed a splinter faction of the RPP, the *Groupe pour la Démocratie de la République*, or the RPP-GDR. Further divisions in the RPP resulted in the formation of an opposition alliance called the *Coordination de l'Opposition Djiboutienne*, consisting of the PND, the RPP-GDR, and the *Front Uni de l'Opposition Djiboutienne*. All of these groups, except for the PND, are banned from political activity.

In April 1996, after months of donor aggravation over declines in the quality of governance, the International Monetary Fund offered a 14-month credit of \$6.4 million in exchange for increased government transparency. The Aptidon administration disregarded the necessary shifts, and development and fiscal aid were suspended later that year.

By June 1997 the country's deepening financial crisis led President Aptidon to use the occasion of Djibouti's 20th anniversary of independence to ask for donor assistance. In a roundtable organized by the UNDP, donors pledged 90 percent of the \$87 million Aptidon requested. While only a fraction of that amount was actually dispersed, the donor funding did allow the government to pay civil servant salaries, critical to national stability.

The Dec. 19, 1997, legislative elections were dominated by the RPP-FRUD alliance, which won all 65 seats in the National Assembly with 78.6 percent of the vote. The RPP won 54 seats; the FRUD won 11. A new Cabinet of Ministers was appointed in late December, leaving Ismail Omar Guellah at the head. With his health ailing, President Aptidon did not run in the April 9, 1999, presidential elections. The RPP/FRUD alliance put forward his nephew, Ismail Omar Guelleh, instead. Guelleh's RPP/FRUD was elected with 74.1 percent of the vote, to Moussa Ahmed Iddris' (PND/PRD) 25.9 percent.

The elections were widely viewed by the international community as free and fair, though there was little doubt that Guelleh would win. His stature as head of the Cabinet and standard-bearer for Aptidon was far more significant than that of former French parliamentarian Moussa Ahmed Iddris. Iddris' campaign to defame the president also ended up backfiring and harming the opposition.

In June 1999 President Guelleh made his first state visit to Paris. French officials initially greeted Guelleh's visit with tepidity, but were surprised to find him bringing a message of reform. The visit turned French support for Djibouti in Guelleh's favor, assuring him French support for a World Bank and IMF funding programs. In July the visit bore fruit as the IMF approved a \$7.2 million Poverty Reduction and Growth Facility Fund renamed the Enhanced Structural Adjustment Program by the IMF since November 1999.

Djibouti Review 2016 Page 11 of 289 pages

On March 4, 2001, President Guelleh appointed by decree Dileita Mohame Dileita, then Djibouti's ambassador to Ethiopia as the new prime minister to replace the ailing septuagenarian Afar politician Barkat Gourat Hamad who resigned on Feb. 6 after more than two decades in office. Dileita is not a party dignitary and comes from the Afar community that has traditionally complained of political marginalization and has in the past waged war against the Assar-(Somali) dominated government. Some analysts have viewed this appointment as an attempt to balance the political forces between the two major groups in Djibouti politics.

In a major respect, electoral politics in Djibouti continue to be dominated by the RPP that was the legal party before the introduction of multi-party politics in 1992. President Guelleh continues to dominate the political scene. Significantly, the opposition has been critical of government's policies on such issues as relations with Eritrea and neighboring Somali but their impact has not been substantial. This possibly has much to do with the dominance of the ruling party and Guelleh's style of politics. However, overall, the institution of multiparty politics is slowly being consolidated in Djibouti politics.

It need hardly be emphasized that regional instability is a major issue for Djibouti. Because its economy is based on service activities due to its strategic location, political stability has been a concern. Civil wars in neighboring countries of Ethiopia, Eritrea and Somalia have created insecurity resulting in an influx of refugees. This explains, in part, why President Guelleh has been involved in peace initiatives, such as hosting the Somali peace talks in the spring of 2000. His facility with the Somali language won him a great deal of leeway as a central negotiator, leading the United States, the United Nations, Ethiopia, and Egypt to support Guelleh in the hopes he will succeed where they have failed. Many at home, however, have accused him of using his international support as a vehicle for becoming a mini-dictator, trying to control parliamentary votes and even the judicial process. At minimum, he has taken a strong stance on his vision of a new Somali government, advocating a Somali union that includes secessionist Somaliland and Puntland and embraces the multitude of Somali warlords. A united Somali, he feels, will only benefit Djibouti in terms of trade and benefit him through a network of support from Somali warlords. It is unclear whether Guelleh's tactics are for Somalia's benefit, for his own ends, or both.

Undoubtedly, another major issue that Djibouti was facing in the late 1990s and the early 2000s was the worsening drought, which was threatening an already fragile economy. According to the United Nations World Food Programme, or UNWFP, about 150,000 people were affected and needed emergency assistance after three consecutive years of poor rainfall. This created an urban influx hitting the nomadic rural population hard. The plight of nomads was exacerbated by the loss of their livestock, which entirely supports their livelihood. The UNWFP estimates that the total aid package needed to address the situation is US\$ 9.5 million.

Another issue in Djibouti politics has involved the human rights situation. According to Amnesty

Djibouti Review 2016 Page 12 of 289 pages

International, human rights violations exist in Djibouti with some violations reportedly linked to conflicts between the government and the armed wing of the Front for the Restoration of Unity and Democracy and rounds-ups of alleged illegal aliens from host of thousands of refugees from Ethiopia and Somalia. In 1996, five senior politicians including former cabinet ministers belonging to the ruling Rally for Popular Progress, or RPP, were sentenced to prison and stripped of their civil rights for criticizing the president.

While freedom of assembly, association, and speech are protected under the constitution, the government does not tolerate political protest. For example, since the election of Guelleh, there has been arrests of journalists and banning of newspapers on allegations of spreading false news likely to demoralize the state. Moussa Ahmed Adriss, a member of Parliament who left the ruling party and stood as opposition candidate for the Opposition Djiboutienne Unifee, was jailed for four months with 19 relatives on unfounded allegations. He was released in December 1999 by presidential pardon. On Dec. 14, 2000, General Yacin Yabeh Galab was charged with conspiracy and breach of stats security only seven days after the police foiled a coup attempt on Guelleh's government.

In March 2001, Djibouti imposed a ban on newspapers from the self-declared Somaliland Republic as differences on Somali politics sharpened. Increasingly the opposition was claiming that Guelleh's rule is becoming more authoritarian. However, in general, the election of Guelleh has witnessed an easing of constraints on political activities and, indeed, in May 1999 he ordered a release of some 40 prisoners. On Sept. 5, 2001, Djibouti's government decided to submit a bill to parliament which would give amnesty to the five politicians imprisoned five years ago. Those to benefit if the bill goes through include former Foreign Minister Moumin Bahdon and former Interior and Defence Minister Ahmed Boulaleh Barreh.

In January 2002, 10 shipping containers were discovered to be leaking a toxic pesticide at the Djibouti port. There was initial concern of a potential environmental and humanitarian crisis, with the international community assisting Djibouti in the clean-up effort. By April 2002, it was revealed that the leak had not been fully contained and there was danger that the groundwater had been contaminated.

In May 2002, the United Nations World Food Programme warned that malnutrition could affect over 25,000 refugees in Djibouti if their dwindling food supplies were not replenished. In April, the aid agency had been forced to reduce food rations by 20 percent due to insufficient resources. Djibouti was hosting a total of 25,605 refugees, the majority of which came from Somalia many years ago.

Then, in July 2002, Djibouti began a program of voluntary repatriation of refugees to Somaliland 11 years after they left their homes. Two hundred and fifty people from 51 families who had been living in two camps in southern Djibouti began their journey back to the Somaliland towns of

Djibouti Review 2016 Page 13 of 289 pages

Hargeisa, Borama, Lug-Haye and Abdelkader. In all, the month-long program, carried out with the help of Djibouti's interior ministry, the United Nations refugee agency and Somaliland officials, involved some 2,000 returnees. More than 22,000 refugees lived in Djibouti by the end of 2002, including some 20,000 from Somalia and more than 2,000 from Ethiopia.

In September 2002, a 1992 law allowing only three other parties to compete with the ruling party expired, opening the way for full multi-party politics for the first time since the country's independence in 1977.

Also in September 2002, Djibouti said it would not be used as a base for attacks against another country in the region. In particular, President Guelleh said his country would not serve as a platform for eventual unilateral military actions against Iraq launched by the United States. Between 700 and 800 Marines set up camp in the country, however, in support of the United States-led war on terror.

The new year was marked by the first multi-party elections, which were held on Jan. 10, 2003. Turnout in the multi-party elections, the first to be held since the country's independence in 1977, was 48 percent. The ruling coalition of President Ismael Omar Guelleh won all 65 seats in the nation's first multiparty polls, while the opposition failed to secure a single parliamentary seat despite winning 37 percent of the vote.

The Union for a Presidential Majority (UMP) won a majority in each of the country's five constituencies, all of whose respective parliamentary seats, under Djibouti's electoral rules, go to the winner in that particular constituency. The UMP, which is made up of four parties, won 62.7 of votes cast across the country, according to the ministry. An opposition alliance, the Union for a Democratic Alternative, also made up of four parties, won 37.3 percent of the vote. The opposition also won no seats in the last election, held in 1997. Interestingly, though, the UAD achieved its best score in the capital, Djibouti, where it won almost 45 percent of votes.

On January 12, Djibouti opposition leader Ahmed Dini summoned his supporters to a meeting in the capital to protest alleged fraud in polls that renewed the ruling coalition's monopoly in the national assembly. Dini charged that the Interior Ministry figures, which indicated that Guelleh was the winner, were false. Before and after the elections, Dini complained that many voter cards had not been distributed and that there were many possibilities for multiple voting. However, he vowed to use "legal means" to contest the results.

The election also marked the first time women would be allowed to sit in the assembly, following a recent law forcing parties to put forward female candidates.

In October 2003, the Djibouti government ordered the expulsion of all illegal immigrants. The number of illegal immigrants in Djibouti is estimated at 100,000. After the government made its

Djibouti Review 2016 Page 14 of 289 pages

announcement, an estimated 9,000 immigrants descended on a refugee camp at Aour-Aoussa. The International Committee of the Red Cross made emergency efforts to accommodate them with supplies and food in an attempt to avert public health emergency.

In April 2005, presidential elections were held with incumbent President Guelleh as the sole candidate. The opposition boycotted the elections. Turnout was high at 78.9 percent; however, with only one candidate, the outcome was hardly a surprise. Guelleh won 100 percent of the votes cast.

The election was marred by protests involving clashes with police. The opposition said it would not accept the election result as legitimate, with many opposition supporters stating it was pointless to vote since the other side was never considered. One opposition banner read, "We would rather die standing than follow on our knees."

In an interview with France's *Le Figaro* newspaper, Guellah said it was unfortunate that he had no opponent. He was quoted as saying, "I accuse the opposition of not having the courage to give voters the right to choose between several candidates." Prior to the election, he said his priorities included poverty alleviation, cutting dependence on food imports, elevating women's rights, and furthering transparency of public administration.

A year later in April 2006, Djibouti was in the international purview when the group, Amnesty International, suggested that the country was one of the "black sites" to which detainees in United States jurisdiction (suspected of involvement in terrorism) were taken and allegedly subjected to abuse. Amnesty International also intimated a connection between the United States practice of "extraordinary rendition," whereby terror suspects were subjected to abuse and even possible torture. The American government asserted that it did not send detainees to places where torture might take place. Still, the Central Intelligence Agency acknowledged that it was aware of the Amnesty International report. (Note: The United States has anti-terrorism facilities oriented toward the Horn of Africa based in Djibouti.)

In early 2007, the international element remained at the forefront of political affairs in Djibouti when the United States carried out air strikes into Somalia. Many reports suggested that the strikes were carried out from the aforementioned anti-terrorism facilities in Djibouti. According to the United States authorities, the strikes were aimed at al-Qaida terror suspects operating in Somalia and were backed by the interim Somali government. However, the government of Djibouti condemned the move as being counter-productive and unlikely to advance regional peace. Moreover, Foreign Minister Mahmoud Ali Youssouf said in an interview with British media that his government had not been give proper advance warning of the United States' strikes on Somalia.

Meanwhile, several issues continued to plague the people of Djibouti in recent years. The country has struggled with a very high maternal mortality rate - nearly 700 of every 100,000

Djibouti Review 2016 Page 15 of 289 pages

mothers dies during childbirth. Djibouti also has one of the highest tuberculosis rates in the world. While the HIV prevalence is a relatively low 2.9 percent, Djibouti has implemented a free antiretroviral program that will serve 4,000 people. The government plans to expand the program to accommodate all HIV sufferers by 2007.

In addition, the people of Djibouti are continually on the verge of a food crisis. According to the Famine Early Warning Systems Network, rising food prices brought the people of Djibouti dangerously close to a crisis in June 2004. The situation was compounded by a large number of very poor people and an environment inhospitable to agriculture and livestock. By 2007, this situation was unchanged and, indeed, exacerbated by a drought. the United Nations World Food Programme (UNWFP) warned that tens of thousands of people would suffer if funding was not procured to pay for much needed food rations.

Parliamentary elections in Djibouti took place on February 8, 2008. The contesting parties were the Democratic National Party (PND), Front for the Restoration of Democratic Union (FRUD), People's Social Democratic Party (PPSD), People's Progress Assembly (RPP), the Democratic Renewal Party (PRD), Djibouti Development Party (PDD), Republican Alliance for Democracy (ARD), Union for Democracy and Justice (UDJ), and other parties. The ruling coalition -- known as Union for a Presidential Majority (UMP) -- won all the seats at stake as a result of a boycott by the opposition. The parties making up UMP included PND, PPSD, RPP and FRUD. The UMP won 94.1 percent of the vote share and 65 seats.

In mid-2008, regional relations took center stage when clashes erupted between Djiboutian and Eritrean troops in the disputed Ras Doumeira border area. At least nine Djiboutian soldiers died as a result. While Eritrea denied that it carried out an attack, Djibouti's powerful Western ally, the United States, condemned Eritrea for its aggression.

The conflict-ridden bilateral ties between Djibouti and Eritrea continued in 2009 when the United Nations Security Council excoriated Eritrea for not withdrawing its troops from the aforementioned disputed border zone with Djibouti. As before, Eritrea traversed the path of denial and insisted that it did not have any troops on Djiboutian soil.

By the close of 2009, the United Nations Security Council took action against Eitrea for not only failing to resolve its border dispute with Djibouti, but also for supplying weapons to the extremist Islamist opponents of the fragile Somali government. Harsh sanctions were thusly levied against Eritrea with strong approval from the government of Djibouti.

A presidential election was set for April 8, 2011 in Djibouti. Until April 2010, the president was elected for two six-year terms; however, with the passage of constitutional changes, now there are no presidential term limits although terms themselves have been reduced to five years. While opposition parties in Djibouti are allowed to contest elections in this Horn of African country, in

Djibouti Review 2016 Page 16 of 289 pages

practice, the People's Rally for Progress (RPP) has dominated the political scene since the time of independence from France in 1977. Moreover, President Ismail Omar Guelleh's family has stood at the helm of government in the small East African country on the Red Sea.

In the case of the April 8, 2011 election, the only two names on the ballot for the position of president was expected to be incumbent President Ismail Omar Guelleh -- and -- Mohammed Warsama Ragueh, the former president of the country's Constitutional Court. A self-exiled opposition leader, Abdourahman Boreh, announced that opposition groups would be boycotting the election due to concerns about vote rigging. Boreh said that opposition parties could not enjoy the guarantee of a free and fair election, or that opposition supporters would not be subject to abuse and intimidation. He cited the example from a month prior with security forces fired live bullets at anti-government protesters seeking an end to domination by President Guelleh and his RPP. With this fresh evidence in mind, Boreh said that he was withdrawing from the presidential race.

Note that on election day -- April 8, 2011 -- voter turnout was high, with almost 70 percent of registered voters casting ballots. After the votes were cast and the tally was made official, it was announced that President Guelleh had won a third term in office, with a landslide election victory of 80 percent. The overwhelming support for the incumbent president at the polls (claims of irregularities by the opposition notwithstanding), made it clear that recent anti-government protests had little impact on the election or Guelleh's rule. In a televised victory speech, President Guelleh called for national unity and urged citizens of Djibouti to work together to tackle the challenges facing the country.

In mid-2011, a food and drought crisis was affecting the countries of the Horn of Africa including Somalia, Kenya, Ethiopia, Djibouti and Uganda.

Parliamentary elections were set to be held in Djibouti on Feb. 22, 2013. At stake was the composition of the unicameral Assemblée Nationale or National Assembly. The 65 members of that body are elected for five-year terms and are elected in multi-seat constituencies.

The last parliamentary elections in Djibouti took place in 2008. At the time, the contesting parties were the Democratic National Party (PND), Front for the Restoration of Democratic Union (FRUD), People's Social Democratic Party (PPSD), People's Progress Assembly (RPP), the Democratic Renewal Party (PRD), Djibouti Development Party (PDD), Republican Alliance for Democracy (ARD), Union for Democracy and Justice (UDJ), and other parties. The PND, PPSD, RPP and FRUD formed the ruling coalition -- known as Union for a Presidential Majority (UMP) -- having won all the seats at stake. It was to be seen if they would have such a successful result in 2013.

Preliminary election results indicated that Djibouti's ruling coalition, the Union for the Presidential Majority (UMP), won victory. According to these preliminary results, the UMP garnered the

Djibouti Review 2016 Page 17 of 289 pages

majority of the 65 seats at stake; however, the opposition was protesting the outcome.

In mid-March 2013, the Djibouti Constitutional Council confirmed the provisional results of the parliamentary elections, giving 55 seats in the legislative body to the ruling alliance, the Union for the Presidential Majority (UMP). The opposition coalition, the National Salvation Union (USN), took ten seats.

Even before the confirmation of the results, the opposition was protesting the election outcome and urging citizens to take to the streets to register their discontent. With the Djibouti Constitutional Council formalizing the victory for the UMP, the USN made it clear that it "categorially" rejected the results of the elections. Further, it accused the authorities of stealing the election and promised to restore democracy to Djibouti. It should be noted, however, that international observers deemed the elections to have taken place in a "democratic and transparent" manner.

By August 2013, post-election dissonance was ongoing in Djibouti as the opposition USN continued to reject the results of the parliamentary vote and call for public protests, particularly to mark the Eid celebration that comes at the Islamic holy month of Ramadan. There were some efforts at negotiations between the president and opposition aimed at alleviating the political tensions gripping the country; however, these efforts ended in failure.

In January 2016, the Middle East was ensconced in a regional diplomatic crisis. Ties between Shi'a Iran and Sunni Saudi Arabia reached a new low at the start of 2016 due to Saudi Arabia's execution of a prominent Shi'a cleric. Sheikh Nimr al-Nimr was one of 47 individuals executed by Saudi Arabia for terrorism offenses. Iranian authorities were vociferous in noting that far from being a terrorist, Sheikh Nimr was simply a peaceful martyr expressive in his opposition to Saudi Arabia's ruling regime. Iran's Ayatollah Ali Khamenei made clear that Sheikh Nimr al-Nimr had been executed precisely for his political views as he declared via the social media outlet, Twitter, "The only act of #SheikhNimr was outspoken criticism." For these reasons, Ayatollah Ali Khamenei vowed that retribution in the form of "divine revenge" would be upon Saudi Arabia, noting via Twitter that the "unfairly spilled blood of oppressed martyr #SheikhNimr will affect rapidly & divine revenge will seize Saudi politicians." For its part, Saudi Arabia dismissed any criticism of its actions. In fact, the Saudi government has insisted that it had the right to enforce the law, which included exacting punishment, while also registering its anger over Iran's "blatant interference" in its internal affairs.

The situation deteriorated on Jan. 2, 2016 when angry Iranian protesters stormed the Saudi embassy in Tehran, setting the building ablaze before being repelled by security personnel. A day later on Jan. 3, 2015, hundreds of angry protesters had gathered outside the diplomatic compound. Protests were also erupting outside of Iran.

Saudi Arabia moved to cut diplomatic ties with Iran on Jan. 3, 2016. The decision came in the

Djibouti Review 2016 Page 18 of 289 pages

aftermath of the storming of the Saudi embassy in Tehran. Saudi Foreign Minister Adel al-Jubeir said that all his country's diplomats would be recalled from Iran while Iranian diplomats would be given 48 hours to depart Saudi Arabia. As well, Foreign Minister Jubeir said trade links with Iran would be severed and air traffic links halted, however, Iranian pilgrims seeking to travel to holy sites in Mecca and Medina would be permitted into Saudi Arabia. Foreign Minister Jubeir said Saudi Arabia would not allow would Iran to undermine its right to security, and accused Iran of "planting terrorist cells in the region." He added, "Iran's history is full of negative interference and hostility in Arab issues, and it is always accompanied by destruction."

Soon thereafter, Bahrain, Djibouti, and Sudan joined Saudi Arabia in severing ties with Iran, while United Arab Emirates downgraded its ties and diplomatic staff. Kuwait, Qatar, and Comoros also joined this group of Arab countries as it recalled its ambassador from Iran.

For its part, Iran reacted by accusing Saudi Arabia of "continuing the policy of increasing tension and clashes in the region." A spokesperson for the Iranian Foreign Ministry, Hossein Jaber Ansari, said: "Saudi Arabia sees not only its interests but also its existence in pursuing crises and confrontations and attempts to resolve its internal problems by exporting them to the outside."

It should be noted that these moves by Saudi Arabia, coupled with Iran's angry reaction was most likely to fuel the existing sectarian hostility between the two countries, as they attempt to gain political ascendancy in the region. During the course of the previous year, sectarian hostilities between Shi'a Iran and Sunni Saudi Arabia were playing out on proxy terrain in Yemen, with Iran supporting the Shi'ite Zaidi Houthi movement, and with Saudi Arabia supporting the Hadi government forces -- both of which were on a collision course. The two countries also have not seen eye to eye on the Syrian civil war. The execution of a Shi'a cleric by Saudi Arabia, though, would bring the antagonism between Iran and Saudi Arabia into more direct light, with possible deleterious consequences to come across the region. Hassan Nasrallah, the leader of the extremist Shi'a Hezbollah movement, referred to this very possibility as he accused the Saudi ruling regime of seeking to ignite a war between Sunni and Shia Muslims across the globe.

Given this dire possibility, there were questions as to why Saudi Arabia would even bother to go forward with the executions and thus accentuate Shi'a-Sunni sectarian antagonism. The answer was, very likely, a matter of political expediency on the domestic scene. While Saudi Arabia was home to a Sunni majority and Shi'a minority population, it is largely reliant on the Sunni Wahhabist population for support. As a result, taking a harsh stand against the Shi'ite population has been part of a clear strategy to manipulate the sectarian division in Saudi kingdom and shore up conservative Sunni support for the benefit of the House of Saud.

A presidential election was held in Djibouti on April 8, 2016. In this country, the president is popularly elected to a five-year term. At stake in 2016 would be the political fate of incumbent President Ismail Omar Guelleh, who was first elected to power in 1999; re-elected to power in

Djibouti Review 2016 Page 19 of 289 pages

2005 and 2011. In 2016, voters went to the polls and after their ballots were counted, it was quickly apparent that President Guelleh had won a fourth five-year term in power, having secured a landslide victory of about 87 percent of the votes cast. His closest rival was opposition coalition candidate, Omar Elmi Khaireh, who garnered seven percent of the vote share. Despite the decisive nature of the vote count, the opposition rejected the election results, citing fraud.

Note: The next parliamentary elections were set to be held in 2018.

-- May 2016

Written by Dr. Denise Youngblood Coleman, Editor in Chief, <u>www.countrywatch.com</u>; see Bibliography for list of research sources.

Political Risk Index

Political Risk Index

The **Political Risk Index** is a proprietary index measuring the level of risk posed to governments, corporations, and investors, based on a myriad of political and economic factors. The <u>Political Risk Index</u> is calculated using an established methodology by CountryWatch's Editor-in-Chief and is based on varied criteria* including the following consideration: political stability, political representation, democratic accountability, freedom of expression, security and crime, risk of conflict, human development, jurisprudence and regulatory transparency, economic risk, foreign investment considerations, possibility of sovereign default, and corruption. Scores are assigned from 0-10 using the aforementioned criteria. A score of 0 marks the highest political risk, while a score of 10 marks the lowest political risk. Stated differently, countries with the lowest scores pose the greatest political risk. A score of 0 marks the most dire level of political risk and an ultimate nadir, while a score of 10 marks the lowest possible level of political risk, according to this proprietary index. Rarely will there be scores of 0 or 10 due to the reality that countries contain complex landscapes; as such, the index offers a range of possibilities ranging from lesser to greater risk.

Djibouti Review 2016 Page 20 of 289 pages

Country	Assessment
Afghanistan	2
Albania	4
Algeria	6
Andorra	9
Angola	4
Antigua	8
Argentina	4
Armenia	4-5
Australia	9.5
Austria	9.5
Azerbaijan	4
Bahamas	8.5
Bahrain	6
Bangladesh	3.5
Barbados	8.5-9
Belarus	3

Djibouti Review 2016 Page 21 of 289 pages

Belgium	9
Belize	8
Benin	5
Bhutan	5
Bolivia	5
Bosnia-Herzegovina	4
Botswana	7
Brazil	7
Brunei	7
Bulgaria	6
Burkina Faso	4
Burma (Myanmar)	4.5
Burundi	3
Cambodia	4
Cameroon	5
Canada	9.5
Cape Verde	6
Central African Republic	3

Djibouti Review 2016 Page 22 of 289 pages

Chad	4
Chile	9
China	7
China: Hong Kong	8
China: Taiwan	8
Colombia	7
Comoros	5
Congo DRC	3
Congo RC	4
Costa Rica	8
Cote d'Ivoire	4.5
Croatia	7
Cuba	4-4.5
Cyprus	5
Czech Republic	8
Denmark	9.5
Djibouti	4.5
Dominica	7

Djibouti Review 2016 Page 23 of 289 pages

Dominican Republic	6
East Timor	5
Ecuador	6
Egypt	5
El Salvador	7
Equatorial Guinea	4
Eritrea	3
Estonia	8
Ethiopia	4
Fiji	5
Finland	9
Fr. YugoslavRep. Macedonia	5
France	9
Gabon	5
Gambia	4
Georgia	5
Germany	9.5
Ghana	6

Djibouti Review 2016 Page 24 of 289 pages

Greece	4.5-5
Grenada	8
Guatemala	6
Guinea	3.5
Guinea-Bissau	3.5
Guyana	4.5
Haiti	3.5
Holy See (Vatican)	9
Honduras	4.5-5
Hungary	7
Iceland	8.5-9
India	7.5-8
Indonesia	6
Iran	3.5-4
Iraq	2.5-3
Ireland	8-8.5
Israel	8
Italy	7.5

Djibouti Review 2016 Page 25 of 289 pages

Jamaica	6.5-7
Japan	9
Jordan	6.5
Kazakhstan	6
Kenya	5
Kiribati	7
Korea, North	1
Korea, South	8
Kosovo	4
Kuwait	7
Kyrgyzstan	4.5
Laos	4.5
Latvia	7
Lebanon	5.5
Lesotho	6
Liberia	3.5
Libya	2
Liechtenstein	9

Djibouti Review 2016 Page 26 of 289 pages

Lithuania	7.5
Luxembourg	9
Madagascar	4
Malawi	4
Malaysia	8
Maldives	4.5
Mali	4
Malta	8
Marshall Islands	6
Mauritania	4.5-5
Mauritius	7
Mexico	6.5
Micronesia	7
Moldova	5
Monaco	9
Mongolia	5
Montenegro	6
Morocco	6.5

Djibouti Review 2016 Page 27 of 289 pages

Mozambique	4.5-5
Namibia	6.5-7
Nauru	6
Nepal	4
Netherlands	9.5
New Zealand	9.5
Nicaragua	5
Niger	4
Nigeria	4.5
Norway	9.5
Oman	7
Pakistan	3.5
Palau	7
Panama	7.5
Papua New Guinea	5
Paraguay	6.5-7
Peru	7
Philippines	6

Djibouti Review 2016 Page 28 of 289 pages

Poland	8
Portugal	7.5
Qatar	7.5
Romania	5.5
Russia	5.5
Rwanda	5
Saint Kitts and Nevis	8
Saint Lucia	8
Saint Vincent and Grenadines	8
Samoa	7
San Marino	9
Sao Tome and Principe	5.5
Saudi Arabia	6
Senegal	6
Serbia	5
Seychelles	7
Sierra Leone	4.5
Singapore	9

Djibouti Review 2016 Page 29 of 289 pages

Slovak Republic (Slovakia)	8
Slovenia	8
Solomon Islands	6
Somalia	2
South Africa	7
Spain	7.5
Sri Lanka	5
Sudan	3.5
Suriname	5
Swaziland	5
Sweden	9.5
Switzerland	9.5
Syria	2
Tajikistan	4.5
Tanzania	6
Thailand	6.5
Togo	4.5
Tonga	7

Djibouti Review 2016 Page 30 of 289 pages

Trinidad and Tobago	8
Tunisia	6
Turkey	7
Turkmenistan	4.5
Tuvalu	7
Uganda	6
Ukraine	3.5-4
United Arab Emirates	7
United Kingdom	9
United States	9.5
Uruguay	8
Uzbekistan	4
Vanuatu	7
Venezuela	4
Vietnam	5
Yemen	3
Zambia	4.5
Zimbabwe	3

Djibouti Review 2016 Page 31 of 289 pages

*Methodology

The <u>Political Risk Index</u> is calculated by CountryWatch's Editor-in-Chief and is based on the combined scoring of varied criteria as follows --

- 1. political stability (record of peaceful transitions of power, ability of government to stay in office and carry out policies as a result of productive executive-legislative relationship, perhaps with popular support vis a vis risk of government collapse)
- 2. political representation (right of suffrage, free and fair elections, multi-party participation, and influence of foreign powers)
- 3. democratic accountability (record of respect for political rights, human rights, and civil liberties, backed by constitutional protections)
- 4. freedom of expression (media freedom and freedom of expression, right to dissent or express political opposition, backed by constitutional protections)
- 5. security and crime (the degree to which a country has security mechanisms that ensures safety of citizens and ensures law and order, without resorting to extra-judicial measures)
- 6. risk of conflict (the presence of conflict; record of coups or civil disturbances; threat of war; threats posed by internal or external tensions; threat or record of terrorism or insurgencies)
- 7. human development (quality of life; access to education; socio-economic conditions; systemic concern for the status of women and children)
- 8. jurisprudence and regulatory transparency (the impartiality of the legal system, the degree of transparency within the regulatory system of a country and the durability of that structure)
- 9. economic conditions (economic stability, investment climate, degree of nationalization of industries, property rights, labor force development)
- 10. corruption (the degree of corruption in a country and/or efforts by the government to address graft and other irregularities)

Editor's Note:

As of 2015, the current climate of upheaval internationally -- both politically and economically -- has affected the ratings for several countries across the world.

Djibouti Review 2016 Page 32 of 289 pages

North Korea, <u>Afghanistan</u>, <u>Somalia</u>, and <u>Zimbabwe</u> -- retain their low rankings.

Several Middle Eastern and North African countries, such as <u>Tunisia</u>, <u>Egypt</u>, <u>Libya</u>, <u>Syria</u>, <u>Iraq</u> and <u>Yemen</u> were downgraded in recent years due to political instability occurring in the "season of unrest" sweeping the region since 2011 and continuing today. The worst downgrades affected <u>Syria</u> where civil war is at play, along with the rampage of terror being carried out by Islamist terrorists who have also seized control over part of Syrian territory. <u>Iraq</u> has been further downgraded due to the rampage of Islamist terrorists and their takeover of wide swaths of Iraqi territory. <u>Libya</u> has also been downgraded further due to its slippage into failed state status; at issue in <u>Libya</u> have been an ongoing power struggle between rival militias. <u>Yemen</u> continues to hold steady with a poor ranking due to continued unrest at the hands of Houthi rebels, secessinionists, al-Qaida in the Arabian Peninsula, and Islamic State. Its landscape has been further complicated by the fact that it is now the site of a proxy war between <u>Iran</u> and <u>Saudi Arabia</u>. Conversely, <u>Tunisia</u> and <u>Egypt</u> have seen slight upgrades as these countries stabilize.

In Africa, Zimbabwe continues to be one of the bleak spots of the world with the Mugabe regime effectively destroying the country's once vibrant economy, and miring Zimbabwe with an exceedingly high rate of inflation, debilitating unemployment, devolving public services, and critical food shortages; rampant crime and political oppression round out the landscape. Somalia also sports a poor ranking due to the continuing influence of the terror group, al-Shabab, which was not operating across the border in Kenya. On the upside, Nigeria, which was ineffectively dealing with the threat posed by the terror group, Boko Haram, was making some strides on the national security front with its new president at the helm. Mali was slightly upgraded due to its efforts to return to constitutional order following the 2012 coup and to neutralize the threat of separatists and Islamists. But the Central African Republic was downgraded due to the takeover of the government by Muslim Seleka rebels and a continued state of lawlessness in that country. South Sudan -- the world's newest nation state -- has not been officially included in this assessment; however, it can be unofficially assessed to be in the vicinity of "3" due to its manifold political and economic challenges. Burkina Faso, Burundi and Guinea have been downgraded due to political unrest, with Guinea also having to deal with the burgeoning Ebola crisis.

In Europe, <u>Ukraine</u> was downgraded due to the unrest facing that country following its Maidan revolution that triggered a pro-Russian uprising in the eastern part of the country. <u>Russia</u> was also implicated in the Ukrainian crisis due to its intervention on behalf of pro-Russian separatists, as well as its annexation of the Ukrainian territory of Crimea. Strains on the infrastructure of southern and eastern European countries, such as <u>Serbia</u>, <u>Croatia</u>, and <u>Hungary</u>, due to an influx of refugees was expected to pose social and economic challenges, and slight downgrades were made accordingly. So too, a corruption crisis for the Romanian prime minister has affected the ranking of that country. Meanwhile, the rankings for <u>Spain</u>, <u>Portugal</u>, <u>Ireland</u>, and <u>Italy</u> were maintained

Djibouti Review 2016 Page 33 of 289 pages

due to debt woes and the concomitant effect on the euro zone. <u>Greece</u>, another euro zone nation, was earlier downgraded due to its sovereign debt crisis; however, no further downgrade was added since the country was able to successfully forge a bailout rescue deal with creditor institutions. Cyprus' exposure to Greek banks yielded a downgrade in its case.

In Asia, Nepal was downgraded in response to continuous political instability and a constitutional crisis that prevails well after landmark elections were held. Both India and China retain their rankings; India holds a slightly higher ranking than China due to its record of democratic representation and accountability. Increasing violence and political instability in Pakistan resulted in a downgrade for this country's already low rating. Meanwhile, Singapore retained its strong rankings due to its continued effective stewardship of the economy and political stability.

In the Americas, ongoing political and economic woes, as well as crime and corruption have affected the rankings for Mexico, Guatemala, and Brazil. Argentina was downgraded due to its default on debt following the failure of talks with bond holders. Venezuela was downgraded due to its mix of market unfriendly policies and political oppression. For the moment, the United States maintains a strong ranking along with Canada, and most of the English-speaking countries of the Caribbean; however, a renewed debt ceiling crisis could cause the United States to be downgraded in a future edition. Finally, a small but significant upgrade was attributed to Cuba due to its recent pro-business reforms and its normalization of ties with the United States.

Source:

Dr. Denise Youngblood Coleman, Editor in Chief, CountryWatch Inc. www.countrywatch.com

Updated:

2015

Political Stability

Political Stability

The **Political Stability Index** is a proprietary index measuring a country's level of stability, standard of good governance, record of constitutional order, respect for human rights, and overall strength of democracy. The <u>Political Stability</u>Index is calculated using an established methodology*

Djibouti Review 2016 Page 34 of 289 pages

by CountryWatch's Editor-in-Chief and is based on a given country's record of peaceful transitions of power, ability of a government to stay in office and carry out its policies vis a vis risk credible risks of government collapse. Threats include coups, domestic violence and instability, terrorism, etc. This index measures the dynamic between the quality of a country's government and the threats that can compromise and undermine stability. Scores are assigned from 0-10 using the aforementioned criteria. A score of 0 marks the lowest level of political stability and an ultimate nadir, while a score of 10 marks the highest level of political stability possible, according to this proprietary index. Rarely will there be scores of 0 or 10 due to the reality that countries contain complex landscapes; as such, the index offers a range of possibilities ranging from lesser to greater stability.

Country	Assessment
Afghanistan	2
Albania	4.5-5
Algeria	5
Andorra	9.5
Angola	4.5-5
Antigua	8.5-9
Argentina	7
Armenia	5.5
Australia	9.5
Austria	9.5
Azerbaijan	5

Djibouti Review 2016 Page 35 of 289 pages

Bahamas	9
Bahrain	6
Bangladesh	4.5
Barbados	9
Belarus	4
Belgium	9
Belize	8
Benin	5
Bhutan	5
Bolivia	6
Bosnia-Herzegovina	5
Botswana	8.5
Brazil	7
Brunei	8
Bulgaria	7.5
Burkina Faso	4
Burma (Myanmar)	4.5
Burundi	4

Djibouti Review 2016 Page 36 of 289 pages

4.5-5
6
9.5
6
3
4.5
9
7
8
8
7.5
5
3
5
9.5
3.5
7.5
4.5

Djibouti Review 2016 Page 37 of 289 pages

Cyprus	8
Czech Republic	8.5
Denmark	9.5
Djibouti	5
Dominica	8.5
Dominican Republic	7
East Timor	5
Ecuador	7
Egypt	4.5-5
El Salvador	7.5-8
Equatorial Guinea	4.5
Eritrea	4
Estonia	9
Ethiopia	4.5
Fiji	5
Finland	9
Fr.YugoslavRep.Macedonia	6.5
France	9

Djibouti Review 2016 Page 38 of 289 pages

Gabon	5
Gambia	4.5
Georgia	5
Germany	9.5
Ghana	7
Greece	6
Grenada	8.5
Guatemala	7
Guinea	3.5-4
Guinea-Bissau	4
Guyana	6
Haiti	3.5-4
Holy See (Vatican)	9.5
Honduras	6
Hungary	7.5
Iceland	9
India	8
Indonesia	7

Djibouti Review 2016 Page 39 of 289 pages

Iran	3.5
Iraq	2.5
Ireland	9.5
Israel	8
Italy	8.5-9
Jamaica	8
Japan	9
Jordan	6
Kazakhstan	6
Kenya	5
Kiribati	8
Korea, North	2
Korea, South	8.5
Kosovo	5.5
Kuwait	7
Kyrgyzstan	5
Laos	5
Latvia	8.5

Djibouti Review 2016 Page 40 of 289 pages

Lebanon	5.5
Lesotho	5
Liberia	3.5-4
Libya	2
Liechtenstein	9
Lithuania	9
Luxembourg	9.5
Madagascar	4
Malawi	5
Malaysia	8
Maldives	4.5-5
Mali	4.5-5
Malta	9
Marshall Islands	8
Mauritania	6
Mauritius	8
Mexico	6.5-7
Micronesia	8

Djibouti Review 2016 Page 41 of 289 pages

Moldova	5.5
Monaco	9.5
Mongolia	6.5-7
Montenegro	8
Morocco	7
Mozambique	5
Namibia	8.5
Nauru	8
Nepal	4.5
Netherlands	9.5
New Zealand	9.5
Nicaragua	6
Niger	4.5
Nigeria	4.5
Norway	9.5
Oman	7
Pakistan	3
Palau	8

Djibouti Review 2016 Page 42 of 289 pages

Panama	8.5
Papua New Guinea	6
Paraguay	8
Peru	7.5
Philippines	6
Poland	9
Portugal	9
Qatar	7
Romania	7
Russia	6
Rwanda	5
Saint Kitts and Nevis	9
Saint Lucia	9
Saint Vincent and Grenadines	9
Samoa	8
San Marino	9.5
Sao Tome and Principe	7
Saudi Arabia	6

Djibouti Review 2016 Page 43 of 289 pages

Senegal	7.5
Serbia	6.5
Seychelles	8
Sierra Leone	4.5
Singapore	9.5
Slovak Republic (Slovakia)	8.5
Slovenia	9
Solomon Islands	6.5-7
Somalia	2
South Africa	7.5
Spain	9
Sri Lanka	5
Sudan	3
Suriname	5
Swaziland	5
Sweden	9.5
Switzerland	9.5
Syria	2

Djibouti Review 2016 Page 44 of 289 pages

Tajikistan	4.5
Tanzania	6
Thailand	6
Togo	5
Tonga	7
Trinidad and Tobago	8
Tunisia	5
Turkey	7.5
Turkmenistan	5
Tuvalu	8.5
Uganda	6
Ukraine	3.5-4
United Arab Emirates	7
United Kingdom	9
United States	9
Uruguay	8.5
Uzbekistan	4
Vanuatu	8.5

Djibouti Review 2016 Page 45 of 289 pages

Venezuela	4.5-5
Vietnam	4.5
Yemen	2.5
Zambia	5
Zimbabwe	3

*Methodology

The Political Stability Index is calculated by CountryWatch's Editor-in-Chief and is based on the combined scoring of varied criteria as follows --

- 1. record of peaceful transitions of power (free and fair elections; adherence to political accords)
- 2. record of democratic representation, presence of instruments of democracy; systemic accountability
- 3. respect for human rights; respect for civil rights
- 4. strength of the system of jurisprudence, adherence to constitutional order, and good governance
- 5. ability of a government to stay in office and carry out its policies vis a vis risk credible risks of government collapse (i.e. government stability versus a country being deemed "ungovernable")
- 6. threat of coups, insurgencies, and insurrection
- 7. level of unchecked crime and corruption
- 8. risk of terrorism and other threats to national security
- 9. relationship with regional powers and international community; record of bilateral or multilateral cooperation
- 10. degree of economic strife (i.e. economic and financial challenges)

Djibouti Review 2016 Page 46 of 289 pages

Editor's Note:

As of 2015, the current climate of upheaval internationally -- both politically and economically -- has affected the ratings for several countries across the world. The usual suspects -- North Korea, Afghanistan, and Somalia -- retain their low rankings. The reclusive and ultra-dictatorial North Korean regime, which has terrified the world with its nuclear threats, has exhibited internal instability. Of note was a cut-throat purge of hundreds of high ranking officials deemed to be a threat to Kim Jung-un. Despite their attempts to recover from years of lawlessness, war, and warlordism, both Afghanistan and Somalia continue to be beset by terrorism and turmoil. In Afghanistan, while international forces have seen success in the effort against the terror group, al-Qaida, the other Islamist extremist group, the Taliban, continues to carry out a vicious insurgency using terrorism. In Somalia, while the government attempts to do the nation's business, the terror group, al-Shabab continues to make its presence known not only in Somalia, but across the border into Kenya with devastating results/ Also in this category is Iraq, which continues to be rocked by horrific violence and terrorism at the hands of Islamic State, which has taken over wide swaths of Iraqi territory.

Syria, <u>Libya</u>, and <u>Yemen</u> have been added to this unfortunate echelon of the world's most politically unstable countries. <u>Syria</u> has been mired by the twin hazards of 1. a civil war as rebels oppose the Assad regime; and 2. the rampage of terror being carried out by Islamic State, which also seized control over vast portions of Syrian territory. Meanwhile, the post-Qaddhafi landscape of <u>Libya</u> has devolved into chaos as rival militias battle for control -- the elected government of the country notwithstanding. Rounding out this grim triad is <u>Yemen</u>, which was dealing with a Houthi rebellion, secesionists in the south, as well as the threat of terrorism from al-Qaida in the Arabian Peninsula as well as Islamic State, while also being the site of a proxy war between Shi'a <u>Iran</u> and Sunni <u>Saudi Arabia</u>.

Meanwhile, several Middle Eastern and North African countries, such as <u>Tunisia</u>, <u>Egypt</u>, and <u>Bahrain</u> were downgraded in recent years due to political instability occurring in the "season of unrest" sweeping the region since 2011 and continuing today. All three of these countries have stabilized in recent years and have been upgraded accordingly. In <u>Bahrain</u>, the landscape had calmed. In <u>Egypt</u>, the secular military-backed government has generated criticism for its crackdown on the Muslim Brotherhood; however, the country had ratified the presidency via democratic elections and were on track to hold parliamentary elections as the country moved along the path of democratization. Perhaps the most impressive story was coming out of <u>Tunisia</u> -- the country whose Jasmine Revolution sparked the entire Arab Spring -- and where after a few years of strife, a new progressive constitution was passed into law and a secular government had been elected to power. <u>Tunisia</u>, <u>Egypt</u>, and <u>Bahrain</u> have seen slight upgrades as these countries stabilize.

Djibouti Review 2016 Page 47 of 289 pages

In Africa, the Central African Republic was downgraded the previous year due to the takeover of the government by Muslim Seleka rebels. Although the country has been trying to emerge from this crisis, the fact of the matter was that it was difficult to halt the precipitous decline into lawlessness in that country. Zimbabwe has maintained its consistently poor ranking due to the dictatorial regime of Mugabe, who continues to hold a tight grip on power, intimidates the opposition, squashes dissent, and oppresses the white farmer population of the country. Moving in a slightly improved direction is Nigeria, which has sported abysmal ratings due to the government's fecklessness in dealing with the threat posed by the Islamist terror group, Boko Haram. Under its newly-elected government, there appears to be more of a concerted effort to make national security a priority action item. Mali was also slightly upgraded due to its efforts to return to constitutional order following the 2012 coup and to neutralize the threat of separatists and Islamists. Political instability has visited <u>Burkina Faso</u> and <u>Burundi</u> as the leaders of those countries attempted to side-step constitutional limits to hold onto power. In **Burundi**, an attempted coup ensued but quelled, and the president won a (questionable) new term in office; unrest has since punctuated the landscape. In <u>Burkina Faso</u>, the political climate has turned stormy as a result of a successful coup that ended the rule of the president, and then a putsch against the transitional government. These two African countries have been downgraded as a result.

It should be noted that the African country of South <u>Sudan</u> -- the world's newest nation state -- has not been officially included in this assessment; however, it can be unofficially assessed to be in the vicinity of "3" due to its manifold political and economic challenges. <u>Guinea</u> has endured poor rankings throughout, but was slightly downgraded further over fears of social unrest and the Ebola heath crisis.

In Europe, <u>Ukraine</u> was downgraded due to the unrest facing that country following its Maidan revolution that triggered a pro-Russian uprising in the eastern part of the country. <u>Russia</u> was also implicated in the Ukrainian crisis due to its intervention on behalf of pro-Russian separatists, as well as its annexation of the Ukrainian territory of Crimea. <u>Serbia</u> and <u>Albania</u> were slightly downgraded due to eruptions of unrest, while <u>Romania</u> was slightly downgraded on the basis of corruption charges against the prime minister. <u>Spain</u>, <u>Portugal</u>, <u>Ireland</u>, and <u>Italy</u> were downgraded due to debt woes and the concomitant effect on the euro zone. <u>Greece</u>, another euro zone nation, was downgraded the previous year due to its sovereign debt crisis; however, the country successfully forged a rescue deal with international creditors and stayed within the Euro zone. Greek voters rewarded the hitherto unknown upstart party at the polls for these efforts. As a result, <u>Greece</u> was actually upgraded slightly as it proved to the world that it could endure the political and economic storms. Meanwhile, <u>Germany</u>, <u>France</u>, <u>Switzerland</u>, the <u>United Kingdom</u>, the <u>Netherlands</u>, and the Scandinavian countries continue to post impressive ranking consistent with these countries' strong records of democracy, freedom, and peaceful transfers of power.

In Asia, Nepal was downgraded in response to continuous political instability well after landmark elections that prevails today. Cambodia was very slighly downgraded due to post-election

Djibouti Review 2016 Page 48 of 289 pages

Japan in 2011 -- the earthquake, the ensuing tsunami, and the resulting nuclear crisis -- and the appreciable destabilization of the economic and political terrain therein, this country has only slightly been downgraded. Japan's challenges have been assessed to be transient, the government remains accountable, and there is little risk of default. Both India and China retain their rankings; India holds a slightly higher ranking than China due to its record of democratic representation and accountability. Increasing violence and political instability in Pakistan resulted in a downgrade for this country's already low rating.

In the Americas, Haiti retained its downgraded status due to ongoing political and economic woes. Mexico was downgraded due to its alarming rate of crime. Guatemala was downgraded due to charges of corruption, the arrest of the president, and uncertainty over the outcome of elections. Brazil was downgraded due to the corruption charges erupting on the political landscape, the stalling of the economy, and the increasingly loud calls for the impeachment of President Rousseff. Argentina was downgraded due to its default on debt following the failure of talks with bond holders. Venezuela was downgraded due to the fact that the country's post-Chavez government is every bit as autocratic and nationalistic, but even more inclined to oppress its political opponents. Colombia was upgraded slightly due to efforts aimed at securing a peace deal with the FARC insurgents. A small but significant upgrade was attributed to Cuba due to its recent pro-business reforms and its normalization of ties with the Unitd States. Meanwhile, the United States, Canada, Costa Rica, Panama, and most of the English-speaking countries of the Caribbean retain their strong rankings due to their records of stability and peaceful transfers of power.

In the Pacific, Fiji was upgraded due to its return to constitutional order and democracy with the holding of the first elections in eight years.

In Oceania, <u>Maldives</u> has been slightly downgraded due to the government's continued and rather relentless persecution of the country's former pro-democracy leader - former President Nasheed.

Source:

Dr. Denise Youngblood Coleman, Editor in Chief, CountryWatch Inc. www.countrywatch.com

Updated:

2015

Djibouti Review 2016 Page 49 of 289 pages

Freedom Rankings

Freedom Rankings

Freedom in the World

Editor's Note: This ranking by Freedom House quantifies political freedom and civil liberties into a single combined index on each sovereign country's level of freedom and liberty. The initials "PR" and "CL" stand for Political Rights and Civil Liberties, respectively. The number 1 represents the most free countries and the number 7 represents the least free. Several countries fall in the continuum in between. The freedom ratings reflect an overall judgment based on survey results.

Country	PR	CL	Freedom Status	Trend Arrow
Afghanistan	6?	6	Not Free	
Albania*	3	3	Partly Free	
Algeria	6	5	Not Free	
Andorra*	1	1	Free	
Angola	6	5	Not Free	
Antigua and Barbuda*	3 ?	2	Free	
Argentina*	2	2	Free	
Armenia	6	4	Partly Free	
Australia*	1	1	Free	

Djibouti Review 2016 Page 50 of 289 pages

Austria*	1	1	Free
Azerbaijan	6	5	Not Free
Bahamas*	1	1	Free
Bahrain	6 ?	5	Not Free ?
Bangladesh*	3 ?	4	Partly Free
Barbados*	1	1	Free
Belarus	7	6	Not Free
Belgium*	1	1	Free
Belize*	1	2	Free
Benin*	2	2	Free
Bhutan	4	5	Partly Free
Bolivia*	3	3	Partly Free
Bosnia-Herzegovina*	4	3	Partly Free
Botswana*	3 ?	2	Free
Brazil*	2	2	Free
Brunei	6	5	Not Free
Bulgaria*	2	2	Free
Burkina Faso	5	3	Partly Free

Djibouti Review 2016 Page 51 of 289 pages

7	7	Not Free	
4	5	Partly Free	f
6	5	Not Free	#
6	6	Not Free	
1	1	Free	
1	1	Free	
5	5	Partly Free	
7	6	Not Free	
1	1	Free	
7	6	Not Free	
3	4	Partly Free	
3	4	Partly Free	
6	5	Not Free	1
6	6	Not Free	1
1	1	Free	
6	5	Not Free	
1 ?	2	Free	
7	6	Not Free	
	4 6 6 1 1 5 7 1 7 3 3 6 6 1 6 1 7	4 5 6 5 6 6 1 1 1 1 5 5 7 6 1 1 7 6 3 4 3 4 6 5 6 6 1 1 6 5 1 2	4 5 Partly Free 6 5 Not Free 6 6 Not Free 1 1 Free 1 1 Free 5 5 Partly Free 7 6 Not Free 1 1 Free 7 6 Not Free 3 4 Partly Free 3 4 Partly Free 6 5 Not Free 6 6 Not Free 1 1 Free 6 5 Not Free 1 1 Free 6 5 Not Free 1 1 Free 1 2 Free

Djibouti Review 2016 Page 52 of 289 pages

Cyprus*	1	1	Free	
Czech Republic*	1	1	Free	
Denmark*	1	1	Free	
Djibouti	5	5	Partly Free	
Dominica*	1	1	Free	
Dominican Republic*	2	2	Free	#
East Timor*	3	4	Partly Free	
Ecuador*	3	3	Partly Free	
Egypt	6	5	Not Free	
El Salvador*	2	3	Free	
Equatorial Guinea	7	7	Not Free	
Eritrea	7	7 ?	Not Free	
Estonia*	1	1	Free	
Ethiopia	5	5	Partly Free	1
Fiji	6	4	Partly Free	
Finland*	1	1	Free	
France*	1	1	Free	
Gabon	6	5 ?	Not Free?	

Djibouti Review 2016 Page 53 of 289 pages

The Gambia	5	5 ?	Partly Free	
Georgia	4	4	Partly Free	
Germany*	1	1	Free	
Ghana*	1	2	Free	
Greece*	1	2	Free	
Grenada*	1	2	Free	
Guatemala*	4 ?	4	Partly Free	
Guinea	7	6 ?	Not Free	
Guinea-Bissau*	4	4	Partly Free	
Guyana*	2	3	Free	
Haiti*	4	5	Partly Free	
Honduras	4 ?	4 ?	Partly Free	
Hungary*	1	1	Free	
Iceland*	1	1	Free	
India*	2	3	Free	
Indonesia*	2	3	Free	
Iran	6	6	Not Free	1
Iraq	5 ?	6	Not Free	

Djibouti Review 2016 Page 54 of 289 pages

Ireland*	1	1	Free	
Israel*	1	2	Free	
Italy*	1	2	Free	
Jamaica*	2	3	Free	
Japan*	1	2	Free	
Jordan	6?	5	Not Free?	
Kazakhstan	6	5	Not Free	#
Kenya	4	4 ?	Partly Free	
Kiribati*	1	1	Free	
Kosovo	5 ?	4 ?	Partly Free ?	
Kuwait	4	4	Partly Free	
Kyrgyzstan	6?	5 ?	Not Free?	
Laos	7	6	Not Free	
Latvia*	2	1	Free	
Lebanon	5	3 ?	Partly Free	
Lesotho*	3 ?	3	Partly Free ?	
Liberia*	3	4	Partly Free	
Libya	7	7	Not Free	

Djibouti Review 2016 Page 55 of 289 pages

1	1	Free	
1	1	Free	
1	1	Free	
3	3	Partly Free	Λ
6 ?	4 ?	Partly Free	
3 ?	4	Partly Free	
4	4	Partly Free	
3 ?	4	Partly Free	
2	3	Free	
1	1	Free	1
1	1	Free	
6	5	Not Free	
1	2	Free	
2	3	Free	
1	1	Free	
3 ?	4	Partly Free	
2	1	Free	
2	2	Free	ſΥ
	1 1 3 6? 3? 4 3? 2 1 1 2 1 3? 2	1 1 1 1 3 3 6? 4? 3? 4 4 4 2 3 1 1 6 5 1 2 2 3 1 1 3? 4 2 1	1 1 Free 1 1 Free 3 3 Partly Free 6? 4? Partly Free 3? 4 Partly Free 4 Partly Free 2 3 Free 1 1 Free 1 1 Free 1 2 Free 1 2 Free 1 1 Free 1 1 Free 2 3 Free 1 1 Free 2 3 Free 1 1 Free 2 3 Free 3? 4 Partly Free 2 1 Free

Djibouti Review 2016 Page 56 of 289 pages

?	43214	Partly Free Partly Free Free Free	₩
?	2	Free	
	1		
		Free	
	4		
		Partly Free	
	1	Free	
	1	Free	
	4 ?	Partly Free	
?	4	Partly Free	
	4	Partly Free	\
	7	Not Free	\
	1	Free	
	5	Not Free	
	5	Partly Free	
	1	Free	
	2	Free	
	3	Partly Free	
	?	4? ? 4 4 7 1 5 5 1 2	 4? Partly Free 4 Partly Free 4 Partly Free 7 Not Free 1 Free 5 Not Free 5 Partly Free 1 Free 2 Free

Djibouti Review 2016 Page 57 of 289 pages

Paraguay*	3	3	Partly Free	
Peru*	2	3	Free	
Philippines	4	3	Partly Free	#
Poland*	1	1	Free	
Portugal*	1	1	Free	
Qatar	6	5	Not Free	
Romania*	2	2	Free	
Russia	6	5	Not Free	#
Rwanda	6	5	Not Free	
Saint Kitts and Nevis*	1	1	Free	
Saint Lucia*	1	1	Free	
Saint Vincent and Grenadines*	2	1	Free	
Samoa*	2	2	Free	
San Marino*	1	1	Free	
Sao Tome and Principe*	2	2	Free	
Saudi Arabia	7	6	Not Free	
Senegal*	3	3	Partly Free	
Serbia*	2 ?	2	Free	

Djibouti Review 2016 Page 58 of 289 pages

Seychelles*	3	3	Partly Free	
Sierra Leone*	3	3	Partly Free	
Singapore	5	4	Partly Free	
Slovakia*	1	1	Free	1
Slovenia*	1	1	Free	
Solomon Islands	4	3	Partly Free	
Somalia	7	7	Not Free	
South Africa*	2	2	Free	
South Korea*	1	2	Free	
Spain*	1	1	Free	
Sri Lanka*	4	4	Partly Free	
Sudan	7	7	Not Free	
Suriname*	2	2	Free	
Swaziland	7	5	Not Free	
Sweden*	1	1	Free	
Switzerland*	1	1	Free	Ψ
Syria	7	6	Not Free	
Taiwan*	1 ?	2 ?	Free	

Djibouti Review 2016 Page 59 of 289 pages

Tajikistan	6	5	Not Free	
Tanzania	4	3	Partly Free	
Thailand	5	4	Partly Free	
Togo	5	4 ?	Partly Free	
Tonga	5	3	Partly Free	
Trinidad and Tobago*	2	2	Free	
Tunisia	7	5	Not Free	
Turkey*	3	3	Partly Free	1
Turkmenistan	7	7	Not Free	
Tuvalu*	1	1	Free	
Uganda	5	4	Partly Free	
Ukraine*	3	2	Free	
United Arab Emirates	6	5	Not Free	
United Kingdom*	1	1	Free	
United States*	1	1	Free	
Uruguay*	1	1	Free	
Uzbekistan	7	7	Not Free	
Vanuatu*	2	2	Free	

Djibouti Review 2016 Page 60 of 289 pages

Venezuela	5 ?	4	Partly Free	
Vietnam	7	5	Not Free	#
Yemen	6 ?	5	Not Free ?	
Zambia*	3	4 ?	Partly Free	
Zimbabwe	6 ?	6	Not Free	

Methodology:

PR and CL stand for political rights and civil liberties, respectively; 1 represents the most free and 7 the least free rating. The ratings reflect an overall judgment based on survey results.

- ? ? up or down indicates a change in political rights, civil liberties, or status since the last survey.
- \uparrow \downarrow up or down indicates a trend of positive or negative changes that took place but that were not sufficient to result in a change in political rights or civil liberties ratings of 1-7.
- * indicates a country's status as an electoral democracy.

Source:

This data is derived from the latest edition of Freedom House's Freedom in the World 2010 edition.

Available at URL: http://www.freedomhouse.org

<u>Updated:</u>

Reviewed in 2015

Human Rights

Overview of Human Rights in Djibouti

Djibouti Review 2016 Page 61 of 289 pages

Djibouti is a republic with a very strong presidency and an extremely weak legislative branch. This governing system allows for tight control of the government in the hands of one person. In recent years, the human rights record of Djibouti has remained very poor. There are serious abuses committed at the behest of the government and in society as a whole.

Freedoms of press, assembly, association, and privacy are extremely limited by the arbitrary will of the government. Dissidents participating in protests or rallies face the threat of excessive force.

Harsh prison conditions, police brutality, abuse of suspects, detainees and prisoners are rampant across the nation.

Discrimination also occurs on the basis of ethnicity, nationality, and clan background. Government officials (or their agents) act with impunity.

Human Development Index (HDI) Rank:

See full listing of the Human Development Index located in the Social Overview of this report for this country's current rank.

53rd out of 103 Gini Index: N/A Life Expectancy at Birth (years): 61.14 years Unemployment Rate:

Human Poverty Index Rank:

Population living on \$2 a day (%):

Population living on \$1 a day (%):

N/A

Djibouti Review 2016 Page 62 of 289 pages

N/A

Population living beneath the Poverty Line (%):

50%

Internally Displaced People:

N/A

Note-27,000 refugees

Total Crime Rate (%):

N/A

Health Expenditure (% of GDP):

Public: 3.3%

% of GDP Spent on Education:

3.5%

Human Rights Conventions Party to:

- International Covenant on Civil and Political Rights
- International Covenant on Economic, Social and Cultural Rights
- Convention on the Elimination of All Forms of Discrimination against Women
- Convention against Torture and Other Cruel, Inhuman or Degrading Treatment or Punishment
- Conventions on the Rights of the Child
- Convention relating to the Status of Refugees
- Rome Statute of the International Criminal Court

*Human Development Index (HDI) is a composite index that measures the level of well-being in 177 nations in the world. It uses factors such as poverty, literacy, life-expectancy, education, gross domestic product, and purchasing power parity to assess the average achievements in each nation. It has been used in the United Nation's Human Development Report since 1993.

*Human Poverty Index Ranking is based on certain indicators used to calculate the Human Poverty Index. Probability at birth of not surviving to age 40, adult literacy rate, population without

Djibouti Review 2016 Page 63 of 289 pages

sustainable access to an improved water source, and population below income poverty line are the indicators assessed in this measure.

*The Gini Index measures inequality based on the distribution of family income or consumption. A value of 0 represents perfect equality (income being distributed equally), and a value of 100 perfect inequality (income all going to one individual).

*The calculation of the total crime rate is the % of the total population which has been effected by property crime, robbery, sexual assault, assault, or bribery (corruption) related occurrences.

Government Functions

Constitution

A multiparty constitution was approved by referendum on September 4, 1992.

Executive Authority

The president of Djibouti is elected for a five-year term by universal adult suffrage (changed from six-year terms earlier). The Council of Ministers is appointed by the president and is headed by the prime minister, or head of government, who is also appointed by the president.

Legislative Authority

The legislature in Djibouti consists of a unicameral National Assembly comprised of members elected to five-year terms. To be eligible for office, a person must be at least 23 years old, have Djibouti citizenship and be able to read, write and speak either French or Arabic fluently. Judges and members of the army or police are barred from running for office.

Note: There were constitutional amendments passed in 2010, which provided for the establishment of a senate.

Djibouti Review 2016 Page 64 of 289 pages

Judicial Authority

The legal system is based on French civil law system, traditional practices, and Islamic law. Tje courts include a Supreme Court or Cour Supreme.

Electoral System

Voting is conducted through a simple majority system, generally in one round of voting. In the event that a second round is necessary, a relative majority is required. In the event of a tie, the oldest member running is elected. Suffrage is universal for all eligible citizens. To be eligible to vote a person must be a Djiboutian citizen, 18 years or older, in full possession of civil and political rights and free of criminal convictions.

Administration

The country is divided into six districts (cercles, singular - cercle).

Note

The right to own property is respected in Djibouti, as are freedom of religion and organized labor. Although women in Djibouti enjoy a higher public status than in many other Islamic countries, women's rights and family planning are not high priorities. Few women hold senior positions. Nonetheless, one women's organization, *Union Nationale Aicha Bogoreh*, is active.

Supplementary sources: SIPRI, the Government of Djibouti.

Government Structure

Names:

conventional long form: Republic of Djibouti conventional short form: Djibouti

Djibouti Review 2016 Page 65 of 289 pages

local long form:

Republique de Djibouti/Jumhuriyat Jibuti

local short form:

Djibouti/Jibuti

former:

French Territory of the Afars and Issas, French Somaliland

Type:

Republic; presidential system

Executive Branch:

Chief of state:

President Ismail Omar GUELLAH (elected April 9, 1999; re-elected in 2005, 2011 and 2016); popularly elected to a five-year term

Head of government:

Prime Minister Abdoulkader Kamil MOHAMED (since April 1, 2013)

Cabinet:

Council of Ministers; appointed by, and responsible to, the president

Presidential Election:

Last held 2016

Presidential election result:

Ismail Omar GUELLEH (RPP/FRUD) re-elected

Election note:

A presidential election was held in Djibouti on April 8, 2016. In this country, the president is popularly elected to a five-year term. At stake in 2016 would be the political fate of incumbent President Ismail Omar Guelleh, who was first elected to power in 1999; re-elected to power in 2005 and 2011. In 2016, voters went to the polls and after their ballots were counted, it was quickly apparent that President Guelleh had won a fourth five-year term in power, having secured a landslide victory of about 87 percent of the votes cast. His closest rival was opposition coalition candidate, Omar Elmi Khaireh, who garnered seven percent of the vote share. Despite the decisive nature of the vote count, the opposition rejected the election results, citing fraud.

Legislative Branch:

Djibouti Review 2016 Page 66 of 289 pages

<u>Unicameral Assemblée Nationale (National Assembly):</u>

65 members elected for a five-year term; currently 33 members are Issa, 32 are Afar; elected in multi-seat constituencies

Note:

constitutional amendments in 2010 provided for the establishment of a senate

Elections:

Elections held in 2013; next to be held in 2018

Primer on Djibouti's parliamentary elections:

(Feb. 22, 2013)

Parliamentary elections were set to be held in Djibouti on Feb. 22, 2013. At stake was the composition of the unicameral Assemblée Nationale or National Assembly. The 65 members of that body are elected for five-year terms and are elected in multi-seat constituencies.

The last parliamentary elections in Djibouti took place in 2008. At the time, the contesting parties were the Democratic National Party (PND), Front for the Restoration of Democratic Union (FRUD), People's Social Democratic Party (PPSD), People's Progress Assembly (RPP), the Democratic Renewal Party (PRD), Djibouti Development Party (PDD), Republican Alliance for Democracy (ARD), Union for Democracy and Justice (UDJ), and other parties. The PND, PPSD, RPP and FRUD formed the ruling coalition -- known as Union for a Presidential Majority (UMP) -- having won all the seats at stake. It was to be seen if they would have such a successful result in 2013.

Preliminary election results indicated that Djibouti's ruling coalition, the Union for the Presidential Majority (UMP), won victory. According to these preliminary results, the UMP garnered the majority of the 65 seats at stake; however, the opposition was protesting the outcome.

In mid-March 2013, the Djibouti Constitutional Council confirmed the provisional results of the parliamentary elections, giving 55 seats in the legislative body to the ruling alliance, the Union for the Presidential Majority (UMP). The opposition coalition, the National Salvation Union (USN), took ten seats.

Even before the confirmation of the results, the opposition was protesting the election outcome and urging citizens to take to the streets to register their discontent. Now, with the Djibouti Constitutional Council formalizing the victory for the UMP, the USN made it clear that it "categorially" rejected the results of the elections. Further, it accused the authorities of stealing the election and promised to restore democracy to Djibouti. It should be noted, however, that international observers deemed the elections to have taken place in a "democratic and transparent"

Djibouti Review 2016 Page 67 of 289 pages

manner.

Judicial Branch:

Cour Supreme (Supreme Court)

Constitution:

Multi-party system approved by constitutional referendum held on September 4, 1992; constitutional amendments in 2010 provided for the establishment of a senate

Legal System:

Based on French civil law system, traditional practices, and Islamic law

Political Parties and Leaders:

Democratic National Party or PND [ADEN Robleh Awaleh]

Democratic Renewal Party or PRD [Abdillahi HAMARITEH]

Djibouti Development Party or PDD [Mohamed Daoud CHEHEM]

Front pour la Restauration de l'Unite Democratique or FRUD [Ali Mohamed DAOUD]

Movement for Development and Liberty or MODEL [Sheikh Guirreh MEIDAL]

People's Rally for Progress or RPP [Ismail Omar GUELLEH] (governing party)

Peoples Social Democratic Party or PPSD [Moumin Bahdon FARAH]

Republican Alliance for Democracy or ARD [Ahmed YOUSSOUF]

Union for a Presidential Majority or UMP (a coalition of parties including RPP, FRUD, PND, and PPSD)

Union for Democracy and Justice or UDJ [Ismail GUEDI Hared]

Union for National Salvation or USN (an umbrella coalition comprising PRD, PDD, MODEL, ARD, and UDJ) [Ahmed Youssouf HOUMER]

Suffrage:

Universal adult; 18 years of age

Administrative Divisions:

6 districts (cercles, singular - cercle); Ali Sabieh, Arta, Dikhil, Djibouti, Obock, Tadjourah

Djibouti Review 2016 Page 68 of 289 pages

Principal Government Officials

Cabinet and Leadership

Executive Branch:

Chief of state:

President Ismail Omar GUELLAH (elected April 9, 1999; re-elected in 2005 and most recently in 2011); popularly elected to a five-year term

Head of government:

Prime Minister Deleita Mohamed DELEITA (appointed on March 4, 2001)

Cabinet:

Council of Ministers; appointed by, and responsible to, the president

Presidential Election:

Last held April 8, 2011

Presidential election result:

Ismail Omar GUELLEH (RPP/FRUD) re-elected with 80% of the vote

Election note:

In the case of the April 8, 2011 election, the only two names on the ballot for the position of president was expected to be incumbent President Ismail Omar Guelleh -- and -- Mohammed Warsama Ragueh, the former president of the country's Constitutional Court. A self-exiled opposition leader, Abdourahman Boreh, announced that opposition groups would be boycotting the election due to concerns about vote rigging. Boreh said that opposition parties could not enjoy the guarantee of a free and fair election, or that opposition supporters would not be subject to abuse and intimidation. He cited the example from a month prior with security forces fired live bullets at anti-government protesters seeking an end to domination by President Guelleh and his RPP. With this fresh evidence in mind, Boreh said that he was withdrawing from the presidential race.

Note that on election day -- April 8, 2011 -- voter turnout was high, with almost 70 percent of registered voters casting ballots. After the votes were cast and the tally was made official, it was announced that President Guelleh had won a third term in office, with a landslide election victory of 80 percent. The overwhelming support for the incumbent president at the polls (claims of irregularities by the opposition notwithstanding), made it clear that recent anti-government protests had little impact on the election or Guelleh's rule.

Djibouti Review 2016 Page 69 of 289 pages

Legislative Branch:

<u>Unicameral Assemblée Nationale (National Assembly):</u>

65 members elected for a five-year term; currently 33 members are Issa, 32 are Afar; elected in multi-seat constituencies

Note:

constitutional amendments in 2010 provided for the establishment of a senate

Elections:

Previous elections held in 2008; upcoming elections to be held in 2013

Brief Primer on Djibouti's parliamentary elections: (Feb. 22, 2013)

Parliamentary elections were set to be held in Djibouti on Feb. 22, 2013. At stake was the composition of the unicameral Assemblée Nationale or National Assembly. The 65 members of that body are elected for five-year terms and are elected in multi-seat constituencies.

The last parliamentary elections in Djibouti took place in 2008. At the time, the contesting parties were the Democratic National Party (PND), Front for the Restoration of Democratic Union (FRUD), People's Social Democratic Party (PPSD), People's Progress Assembly (RPP), the Democratic Renewal Party (PRD), Djibouti Development Party (PDD), Republican Alliance for Democracy (ARD), Union for Democracy and Justice (UDJ), and other parties. The PND, PPSD, RPP and FRUD formed the ruling coalition -- known as Union for a Presidential Majority (UMP) - having won all the seats at stake. It was to be seen if they would have such a successful result in 2013.

Preliminary election results indicated that Djibouti's ruling coalition, the Union for the Presidential Majority (UMP), won victory. According to these preliminary results, the UMP garnered the majority of the 65 seats at stake; however, the opposition was protesting the outcome.

In mid-March 2013, the Djibouti Constitutional Council confirmed the provisional results of the parliamentary elections, giving 55 seats in the legislative body to the ruling alliance, the Union for the Presidential Majority (UMP). The opposition coalition, the National Salvation Union (USN), took ten seats.

Even before the confirmation of the results, the opposition has been protesting the election outcome and urging citizens to take to the streets to register their discontent. Now, with the

Djibouti Review 2016 Page 70 of 289 pages

Djibouti Constitutional Council formalizing the victory for the UMP, the USN made it clear that it "categorially" rejected the results of the elections. Further, it accused the authorities of stealing the election and promised to restore democracy to Djibouti. It should be noted, however, that international observers deemed the elections to have taken place in a "democratic and transparent" manner.

Cabinet List --

Pres. Ismail Omar GUELLEH

Prime Min. Abdoulkader Kamil MOHAMED

Min. of Agriculture, Livestock, & Fisheries Mohamed Ahmed AWALEH

Min. of Communication & Culture Ali Hassan BAHDON

Min. of Defense Hassan Darar HOUFFANEH

Min. of Economy, Finance, & Planning Ilyas Moussa DAWALEH

Min. of Education & Professional Training Djama Elmi OKIEH

Min. of Energy & Water Ali Yacoub MAHAMOUD

Min. of Foreign Affairs & Intl. Cooperation Mahamoud Ali YOUSSOUF

Min. of Habitat, Urban Planning, Environment, & Town Planning Mohamed Moussa Ibrahim BALALA

Min. of Health Kassim Issack OSMAN, Dr.

Min. of Infrastructure & Transport Moussa Ahmed HASSAN

Min. of Interior Hassan Omar Mohamed BOURHAN

Min. of Justice & Penal Affairs Ali Farah ASSOWEH

Min. of Labor Abdi Houssein AHMED

Min. of Muslim Affairs & Charitable Assets Aden Ali ADEN

Min. of the Promotion of Women & Family Welfare & Social Affairs Hasna Barkat DAOUD

Governor (Acting), Central Bank Ahmed OSMAN Ali

Ambassador to the US

Permanent Representative to the UN, New York

-- as of 2015

Djibouti Review 2016 Page 71 of 289 pages

Leader Biography

Leader Biography

PRESIDENT OF DJIBOUTI						
Name	Ismaïl Omar Guelleh					
Date of Birth	1947					
Place of Birth	Diré-Dawa. Ethiopia					
Civil Status	Married					
Children	Four children					

Education					
Koranic School					
	Previous Positions				
	Present Positions				

Foreign Relations

General Relations

Military and economic agreements with France provide continued security and economic assistance. Links with Arab states and east Asian states, Japan and China in particular, are also welcome.

Djibouti Review 2016 Page 72 of 289 pages

Regional Relations

Over the years, Djibouti has been greatly affected by events that occur in Somalia, Ethiopia and Eritrea. With the fall of the Siad Barre and Haile Mariam Mengistu governments in Somalia and Ethiopia respectively in 1991, Djibouti found itself faced with national security threats due to neighboring instability and a massive influx of approximately 100,000 refugees.

In 1991, Djibouti hoped to play a key role in the transition process toward peace in Somalia by hosting the Somali National Reconciliation Conference. Since June 1996, Djibouti has been attempting to improve relations with Somalia after years of closed borders due to the conflict in that country. An agreement was made to establish trade relations in mid-1996. A recent issue has been the repatriation of some 30,000 Somali refugees. And, in the spring of 2000 hosted a peace conference in another attempt to resolve the Somali crisis.

Djibouti's apparent stability in the Horn of Africa is currently facing some challenges in the region. On April 18, 2001, it closed its border with the self-declared state of Somaliland accusing it of stealing cigarettes worth US\$800,000 from Abdirahman Bore, a prominent Djibouti businessman with connections to President Guelleh. He is the same associate who is alleged to have financed the Somali peace talks, which led to the formation of the Transitional National Government, headed by Abdiqassam Salad Hassan. The Republic of Somaliland and Puntland denounced this government, among others. For the time being, The Republic of Somaliland has said it wants commercial ties only if Djibouti recognizes it as an independent state. In late 2001, tensions between the two countries were resolved in a six-point agreement. Economic, defensive and diplomatic relations are now in place.

Border disputes have led to tension between Djibouti and Eritrea in the last few years. The dispute over a 20-kilometer strip along the countries' borders has led to the military conflict. Djibouti has had good relations with Ethiopia since the installment of the government in 1991. However, since January 2002, bilateral relations between Djibouti and Eritrea have been on the mend. Relations with Ethiopia have primarily been concerned with the repatriation of the some thousands of refugees that have resided in Djibouti for several years, trade routes and Ethiopian access to Djibouti's ports. With landlocked Ethiopia focusing on development after the signing of a peace treaty with Eritrea on 12 December 2000, Djibouti is increasingly playing a bigger role in trade and port outlet as evidenced by the recent tariff agreement between the two countries signed in February 2001.

The peace agreement between Ethiopia and Eritrea has in a way increased Djibouti's role in the region. On April 6, 2001, it hosted the fifth meeting of the Military Coordination Commission that brings together United Nations peacekeepers with senior military delegations from Ethiopia and Eritrea and representatives of the Organization of Africa Unity to resolve military and technical

Djibouti Review 2016 Page 73 of 289 pages

issues relating to the peace process between Ethiopia and Eritrea.

Former president Aptidon also forged ties with Libya, meeting with Qadhafi in December 1998 to discuss the regional situation and voice support for Libya in the face of UN-sponsored sanctions. Regional concerns also led former president Aptidon to meet with Egyptian President Hosni Mubarak in December 1998.

As a result of regional conflicts, ties to other states and organizations more removed from the tensions of the Horn of Africa are particularly valued by Djibouti. On this effort of promoting regional cooperation, Djibouti was involved in the creation of the Intergovernmental Authority on Development in February 1985 with Djibouti chosen as the site of its permanent secretariat. Djibouti is also a member of the Organization of African Unity and the Common Market for Eastern and Southern Africa.

In 2000, President Guelleh worked hard to strengthen ties with his neighbors and was involved in earlier mediation efforts between Ethiopia and Eritrea in their border conflict in May 1998. In May 2000 Djibouti resumed diplomatic ties with Eritrea despite the fact that since the escalation of the Ethiopian-Eritrean war, Djibouti has become the primary port for landlocked Ethiopia. Libya initially brokered the deal, also favorably increasing Djiboutian-Libyan relations.

In July 2000 Guelleh established the Uganda/Djibouti Joint Trade Commission under which Uganda will open a new market and Djibouti will receive Ugandan goods at more favorable prices than those of France. Perhaps most notably, in May 2000 Djibouti sold the management rights of the Port Authority to Dubai. Djibouti argued that despite dramatic increases in volume through Djiboutian ports, the Port Authority was only able to work at about one-third capacity due to management inefficiencies. The Djibouti government will retain ownership and control, but would defer on all management and administration. The agreement, in effect for the next 20 years, is part of a larger move on the part of Djibouti to establish stronger ties with Arab countries.

In December 2002, Djibouti and Libya announced the signing of several cooperation pacts in the political, information and education sectors.

Africa Union

At its July 2-11, 2001, meeting in Lusaka, Zambia, the Organization of African Unity (OAU) officially approved the transformation of the Organization of African Unity into the new African Union. Former foreign minister of Cote d'Ivoire, Amara Essy, was elected the first Secretary-General of the African Union. United Nations Secretary General, Kofi Annan, reacted with the statement that "This historic effort will require leadership, courage and willingness to depart from the ways of the past, if it is to do for Africa what the European Union has done for Europe".

Djibouti Review 2016 Page 74 of 289 pages

The goal of the African Union is to be a continental body that will pave the way to a better life for all Africans. Where the OAU was criticized for its lack of action on economic and social fronts, the Africa Union is charged with development as its primary task. Following a model based on the European Union, the Africa Union is intended to bridge the economic gaps between African countries and thrust the continent onto the world economic stage as a single entity. The Africa Union Bill was based on a convergence of South African president Thabo Mbeki's African development plan, and the Plan Omega proposed by Senegalese president Abdoulaye Wade. It therefore adds to its economic mandate the political mandate that all of Africa should seek democratic consolidation.

There are significant criticisms to the new African Union, though. Some fear that too much faith is being placed in a document that makes more sense in theory than in practice. The strongest criticism, however, is that the African Union's largest force has been Libyan President Moammar al-Qadhafi. The United States (U.S.) has made its objections to the Union clear no doubt due to a lack of trust in Qadhafi's motives.

Many African leaders backed the Union even though they also demonstrated a lack of faith in Qadhafi's motives. At the opening of the meeting of the OAU leaders noted that Qadhafi failed to mention Africa's founding fathers although it was Ghana's Kwame Nkrumah who was the founder of pan-Africanism some forty years ago. Indeed, Qadhafi has backed groups that are markedly anti-pan-Africanist in his own country. Other comments challenged the controversial role Libyan nationals often play in sub-Saharan African countries. Yet the most controversial position of Qadhafi no doub t was his statement that "We cannot be neutral here. We are here for the Africans, not the Europeans. We are here for the blacks, not for the whites." With Louis Farrakhan, leader of the U.S.-based Nation of Islam movement, standing behind him, this statement was taken as an affront to increased western relations as opposed to the Union's goal of increasing economic ties with wealthier countries.

Other Significant Relations

China

Djibouti's links with the People's Republic of China are also strong. Former president Hassan Gouled Aptidon made four trips to the PROC during his tenure in office. In February 1999, Chinese Deputy Foreign Minister Ji Peiding traveled to Djibouti to meet with then-President Aptidon, Prime Minister Barkat Gourad Hamadou, and Parliamentary Speaker Said Ibrahim Badoul to discuss continued economic development assistance to Djibouti. Chinese Premier Zhu Rongji invited Prime Minister Barkat Gourad Hamadou to China for the April through May International Horticulture Exposition.

In March 2001, President Guelleh made his first trip to the PROC to confer ways of improving

Djibouti Review 2016 Page 75 of 289 pages

economic and trade cooperation between the two countries. During the visit he addressed the China-Djibouti Economic and Trade Seminar in Beijing attended by about 100 Chinese and Djibouti entrepreneurs.

In early December 2002, President Guelleh met Chinese vice Foreign Minister Qiao Zonghuai in the capital city of Djibouti on bilateral relations.

Qiao said that since the two countries established diplomatic relations, their ties have been steadily and healthily developed. President Guelleh's visit to China last year ushered their relations to a new stage. Oiao also expressed his gratefulness for Djibouti's consistent support to China on the Taiwan issue and other international affairs.

Guelleh stressed that Djibouti will stick to the one-China policy, and closely exchange views with and cooperate with China on international affairs. During his visit, Qiao also held talks with Djibouti Prime Minister Dileita Mohamed Dileita and Foreign Minister Ali Abdi Farah.

Cuba

Djibouti established diplomatic relations with Cuba in November 1998.

France

Traditionally, relations with France have been close. Military and economic agreements with France provide continued security and economic assistance to Djibouti. For example, France and Djibouti have signed a treaty in which France will intervene in military conflicts that do not involve political factions. France's base in Djibouti is its largest in Africa. In 2004, France had nearly 3,000 troops in the country.

United States

In April 1977, the United States (U.S.) established a Consulate General in Djibouti and at independence several months later raised its status to Embassy. The first U.S. Ambassador to the Republic of Djibouti arrived in October 1980. The United States provides less than \$500,000 in economic support and military aid annually. Djibouti has permitted the United States Navy access to its sea-and airports. The importance of that access to the U.S. has grown, with the increased U.S. naval presence in the Indian Ocean. The Djibouti government has generally been supportive of U.S. and Western interests, as demonstrated during the Persian Gulf crisis of 1990-1991.

Djibouti has given strategic assistance to the U.S. in its fight against terror. In March 2002, U.S. Central Command General Tommy Franks met President Guelleh and personally thanked the president for his country's cooperation in anti-terrorism efforts.

In September 2002, Djibouti said it would not be used as a base for attacks against another country

Djibouti Review 2016 Page 76 of 289 pages

in the region. In particular, President Guelleh said his country wold not serve as a platform for eventual unilateral military actions against Iraq launched by the United States. Between 700 and 800 Marines set up camp in Djibouti following the Sept. 11, 2001, attacks in the United States, however, in support of the U.S.-led war on terror. In 2005, the United States was operating a permanent military base in Djibouti with 1,200 troops, including many Special Forces.

In April 2006, Djibouti was in the international purview when the group, Amnesty International, suggested that the country was one of the "black sites" to which detainees in United States jurisdiction (suspected of involvement in terrorism) were taken and allegedly subjected to abuse. Amnesty International also intimated a connection between the United States practice of "extraordinary rendition," whereby terror suspects were subjected to abuse and even possible torture. The American government asserted that it did not send detainees to places where torture might take place. Still, the Central Intelligence Agency acknowledged that it was aware of the Amnesty International report. (Note: The United States has anti-terrorism facilities oriented toward the Horn of Africa based in Djibouti.)

In early 2007, the international element remained at the forefront of political affairs in Djibouti when the United States carried out air strikes into Somalia. Many reports suggested that the strikes were carried out from the aforementioned anti-terrorism facilities in Djibouti. According to the United States authorities, the strikes were aimed at al-Qaida terror suspects operating in Somalia and were backed by the interim Somali government. However, the government of Djibouti condemned the move as being counter-productive and unlikely to advance regional peace. Moreover, Foreign Minister Mahmoud Ali Youssouf said in an interview with British media that his government had not been give proper advance warning of the United States' strikes on Somalia.

<u>Update</u>

In mid-2008, regional relations took center stage when clashes erupted between Djiboutian and Eritrean troops in the disputed Ras Doumeira border area. At least nine Djiboutian soldiers died as a result. While Eritrea denied that it carried out an attack, Djibouti's powerful Western ally, the United States, condemned Eritrea for its aggression.

The conflict-ridden bilateral ties between Djibouti and Eritrea continued in 2009 when the United Nations Security Council excoriated Eritrea for not withdrawing its troops from the aforementioned disputed border zone with Djibouti. As before, Eritrea traversed the path of denial and insisted that it did not have any troops on Djiboutian soil.

By the close of 2009, the United Nations Security Council took action against Eitrea for not only failing to resolve its border dispute with Djibouti, but also for supplying weapons to the extremist Islamist opponents of the fragile Somali government. Harsh sanctions were thusly levied against

Djibouti Review 2016 Page 77 of 289 pages

Eritrea with strong approval from the government of Djibouti.

Special Foreign Relations Note

In January 2016, the Middle East was ensconced in a regional diplomatic crisis. Ties between Shi'a Iran and Sunni Saudi Arabia reached a new low at the start of 2016 due to Saudi Arabia's execution of a prominent Shi'a cleric. Sheikh Nimr al-Nimr was one of 47 individuals executed by Saudi Arabia for terrorism offenses. Iranian authorities were vociferous in noting that far from being a terrorist, Sheikh Nimr was simply a peaceful martyr expressive in his opposition to Saudi Arabia's ruling regime. Iran's Ayatollah Ali Khamenei made clear that Sheikh Nimr al-Nimr had been executed precisely for his political views as he declared via the social media outlet, Twitter, "The only act of #SheikhNimr was outspoken criticism." For these reasons, Ayatollah Ali Khamenei vowed that retribution in the form of "divine revenge" would be upon Saudi Arabia, noting via Twitter that the "unfairly spilled blood of oppressed martyr #SheikhNimr will affect rapidly & divine revenge will seize Saudi politicians." For its part, Saudi Arabia dismissed any criticism of its actions. In fact, the Saudi government has insisted that it had the right to enforce the law, which included exacting punishment, while also registering its anger over Iran's "blatant interference" in its internal affairs.

The situation deteriorated on Jan. 2, 2016 when angry Iranian protesters stormed the Saudi embassy in Tehran, setting the building ablaze before being repelled by security personnel. A day later on Jan. 3, 2015, hundreds of angry protesters had gathered outside the diplomatic compound. Protests were also erupting outside of Iran.

Saudi Arabia moved to cut diplomatic ties with Iran on Jan. 3, 2016. The decision came in the aftermath of the storming of the Saudi embassy in Tehran. Saudi Foreign Minister Adel al-Jubeir said that all his country's diplomats would be recalled from Iran while Iranian diplomats would be given 48 hours to depart Saudi Arabia. As well, Foreign Minister Jubeir said trade links with Iran would be severed and air traffic links halted, however, Iranian pilgrims seeking to travel to holy sites in Mecca and Medina would be permitted into Saudi Arabia. Foreign Minister Jubeir said Saudi Arabia would not allow would Iran to undermine its right to security, and accused Iran of "planting terrorist cells in the region." He added, "Iran's history is full of negative interference and hostility in Arab issues, and it is always accompanied by destruction."

Soon thereafter, Bahrain, Djibouti, and Sudan joined Saudi Arabia in severing ties with Iran, while United Arab Emirates downgraded its ties and diplomatic staff. Kuwait, Qatar, and Comoros also joined this group of Arab countries as it recalled its ambassador from Iran.

For its part, Iran reacted by accusing Saudi Arabia of "continuing the policy of increasing tension and clashes in the region." A spokesperson for the Iranian Foreign Ministry, Hossein Jaber Ansari,

Djibouti Review 2016 Page 78 of 289 pages

said: "Saudi Arabia sees not only its interests but also its existence in pursuing crises and confrontations and attempts to resolve its internal problems by exporting them to the outside."

It should be noted that these moves by Saudi Arabia, coupled with Iran's angry reaction was most likely to fuel the existing sectarian hostility between the two countries, as they attempt to gain political ascendancy in the region. During the course of the previous year, sectarian hostilities between Shi'a Iran and Sunni Saudi Arabia were playing out on proxy terrain in Yemen, with Iran supporting the Shi'ite Zaidi Houthi movement, and with Saudi Arabia supporting the Hadi government forces -- both of which were on a collision course. The two countries also have not seen eye to eye on the Syrian civil war. The execution of a Shi'a cleric by Saudi Arabia, though, would bring the antagonism between Iran and Saudi Arabia into more direct light, with possible deleterious consequences to come across the region. Hassan Nasrallah, the leader of the extremist Shi'a Hezbollah movement, referred to this very possibility as he accused the Saudi ruling regime of seeking to ignite a war between Sunni and Shia Muslims across the globe.

Given this dire possibility, there were questions as to why Saudi Arabia would even bother to go forward with the executions and thus accentuate Shi'a-Sunni sectarian antagonism. The answer was, very likely, a matter of political expediency on the domestic scene. While Saudi Arabia was home to a Sunni majority and Shi'a minority population, it is largely reliant on the Sunni Wahhabist population for support. As a result, taking a harsh stand against the Shi'ite population has been part of a clear strategy to manipulate the sectarian division in Saudi kingdom and shore up conservative Sunni support for the benefit of the House of Saud.

Note:

As of 2016, Djibouti remains a strong partner nation in the West's efforts to combat terrorism. Djibouti's location close to Somalia makes it a geostrategic hot spot, given the threat of terrorism emanating from al-Shabab militants in Somalia.

Written by Dr. Denise Youngblood Coleman, Editor in Chief, www.countrywatch.com; see Bibliograpy for list of research sources.

National Security

Djibouti Review 2016 Page 79 of 289 pages

External Threats

Tumultuous conditions in surrounding countries yield potential risks for Djibouti. Its borders are extremely porous and under-protected, heightening Djibouti's sensitivity to the political conditions of its neighbors. The absence of a central authority in Somaliahas contributed to a preponderance of warring factions there. Likewise, juxtaposed to the north and across the Red Sea from Djibouti, Yemenis also politically unstable. Substantial ethnic Somali and Yemeni populations in Djiboutiincrease the risk that conflicts elsewhere will foster unrest there. Additionally, Djibouti shares the majority of its border with Ethiopia and Eritrea, two countries that have enjoyed a very fragile peace since the December 2000 signing of a peace accord that officially ended their intense, two-year conflict.

A large French military presence in Djiboutiserves to mitigate the potential danger that regional instability poses. Formerly French Somaliland (later renamed the French territory of the Afars and the Issas), Djiboutiattained its independence in June 1977. Francemaintains approximately 2,600 troops there, including a unit of the French Foreign Legion.

Crime

The United States (U.S.) Department of State reports a relatively low crime rate in Djibouti, but warns of the existence of petty crime, particularly in the capital, also called Djibouti.

Insurgencies

Djibouti's indigenous population is predominately comprised of an ethnic Somali majority (mostly from the Issa tribe) and an Afar minority. In November 1991, civil war broke out between government forces and a predominately Afar insurgent movement known as the Front for the Restoration of Unity and Democracy (FRUD). Three years later, the government signed a peace accord with elements of the FRUD. Two of the organization's representatives subsequently joined the central government as cabinet members. In February 2000 the government signed a peace accord with another FRUD faction. In May 2001, it signed a final peace accord with the remaining militant arm of the organization, officially ending the decade-long civil war.

Terrorism

There are several indications of a potential terrorist threat in Djibouti. The 1998 twin bombings at U.S. embassies in Kenya and Tanzania underscore the risk of terrorist attacks in East Africa in

Djibouti Review 2016 Page 80 of 289 pages

general, especially against American interests. Likewise, the U.S. naval vessel, the USS Cole, was bombed while docked in the port of Aden in nearby Yemen. In addition to the 2600 French troops stationed in Djibouti, there are also several hundred American soldiers there, presenting a target of opportunity for anti-American and anti-Western terrorist organizations. Finally, Djibouti 's porous borders and the reported presence of terrorist cells in nearby Somalia and Yemenalso indicate a susceptibility to terrorist attacks.

After September 11, 2001, Djibouti quickly offered public support of the international efforts to fight terrorism. As a victim of past international terrorist attacks, President Ismail Omar Guelleh took a very proactive position among Arab League members to support coalition efforts.

Update

In mid-2008, regional relations took center stage when clashes erupted between Djiboutian and Eritrean troops in the disputed Ras Doumeira border area. At least nine Djiboutian soldiers died as a result. While Eritrea denied that it carried out an attack, Djibouti's powerful Western ally, the United States, condemned Eritrea for its aggression.

The conflict-ridden bilateral ties between Djibouti and Eritrea continued in 2009 when the United Nations Security Council excoriated Eritrea for not withdrawing its troops from the aforementioned disputed border zone with Djibouti. As before, Eritrea traversed the path of denial and insisted that it did not have any troops on Djiboutian soil.

By the close of 2009, the United Nations Security Council took action against Eitrea for not only failing to resolve its border dispute with Djibouti, but also for supplying weapons to the extremist Islamist opponents of the fragile Somali government. Harsh sanctions were thusly levied against Eritrea with strong approval from the government of Djibouti.

Note:

As of 2013, Djibouti remains a strong partner nation in the West's efforts to combat terrorism. Djibouti's location close to Somalia makes it a geostrategic hot spot, given the threat of terrorism emanating from al-Shabab militants in Somalia.

Djibouti Review 2016 Page 81 of 289 pages

Defense Forces

Military Data

Military Branches:

Djibouti Armed Forces (Forces Armees Djiboutiennes, FAD): Djibouti National Army (includes Navy, Djiboutian Air Force (Force Aerienne Djiboutienne, FAD), National Gendarmerie (GN)

Eligible age to enter service:

18 for voluntary service

Mandatory Service Terms:

No conscription

Manpower in general population-fit for military service:

males age 16-49: 114,557

females age 16-49: 154,173

Manpower reaching eligible age annually:

male: 8,360

female: 8,602

Military Expenditures-Percent of GDP:

N/A

Djibouti Review 2016 Page 82 of 289 pages

Djibouti Review 2016 Page 83 of 289 pages

Chapter 3 Economic Overview

Djibouti Review 2016 Page 84 of 289 pages

Economic Overview

Overview

Djibouti is mostly barren, with little development in agriculture and industry due to its harsh climate, unskilled labor and limited natural resources. The country's most important economic asset is its strategic location -- it connects the Red Sea and the Gulf of Aden. As such, Djibouti's economy is dominated by the services sector, providing services as both a transit port for the region and an international transshipment and refueling center.

From 1991 to 1994, Djibouti experienced a civil war that had devastating consequences for the economy. Since then, the country has benefited from political stability. In recent years, Djibouti's macroeconomic performance has improved significantly as a result of large foreign direct investments in the port, construction, and tourism sectors. Despite the global economic crisis, economic growth remained strong in 2009 as transit trade with Ethiopia, port activity and FDI all held up well. However, the fiscal deficit widened substantially in 2009 as a result of large spending overruns related to the social and security pressures. Djibouti experienced relatively minimal impact from the global economic downturn, but its reliance on diesel-generated electricity and imported food leave average consumers vulnerable to global price shocks. In July of 2011, the European Union took steps toward forging an agreement with Djibouti authorities to finance water and energy projects. Overall, the Tanzanian economy grew steadily in 2011 despite severe power shortages that were induced by regional droughts. Meanwhile, higher food and energy prices pushed inflation up to 20 percent. Overall, the country's monetary stance was tightened to moderate credit and broad money growth, and the fiscal position was strengthened by improved revenue performance and tight expenditure controls. The construction of a new externally-financed gas pipeline was intended to address the vulnerabilities of the hydro dependant power sector and secure a durable supply of low-cost electricity in the medium term. By mid-2012, the International Monetary Fund was predicting that Djibouti's economy would expand 4.8 percent, driven by an acceleration of port activities, construction and services. In 2012, Djibouti began building a third port to secure its position as a critical transshipment hub in the Horn of Africa and the principal conduit for Ethiopia's trade. In late 2012, the country also received funding for a desalination plant to begin addressing the severe freshwater shortage affecting Djibouti City. Overall, economic growth accelerated for the year with a revival in port activity and more foreign direct investment.

President Guelleh in 2013 told international investors that Djibouti's development plan was to increase its prominence in financial and communication sectors. Continuing the acceleration begun in 2012, GDP growth climbed in 2013. FDI was a record \$US277 million, topping the figures

Djibouti Review 2016 Page 85 of 289 pages

between 2006 and 2008 during construction of the Doraleh container port, and accounting for 18.6 percent of gross domestic product (GDP). The country's ongoing US\$6 billion investment program includes building new ports, railways and roads, an aqueduct, a desalination plant and housing and is funded mainly by Chinese investors and the international aid community.

In January 2014, Djibouti said it would invest \$31 million in geothermal power plants to cut reliance on imported electricity from neighboring Ethiopia. The project, to be funded by a variety of lenders including the African Development Bank, will start in 2015, with the first phase producing 50 MW and output doubling after the second phase.

Growth remained robust in 2014. This momentum was expected to be maintained in the years ahead, supported by the pursuit of a huge investment program, notably in infrastructure. The investment program, which aimed to transform the country into a regional platform of commercial, logistical and financial services, reflected a radical change of direction for the national economy, according to the African Economic Outlook.

In late September 2015, Black Rhinoand a South African-based firm signed framework agreements to build a \$1.55 billion fuel pipeline between Djibouti and Ethiopia. The pipeline was slated for completion in 2018 and was being designed to improve efficiency and safety of importing fuel from the port in Djibouti to Ethiopia.

"The pipeline will increase energy security, aid economic development and reduce harmful emissions," Black Rhino chief executive Brian Herlihy said in a statement, according to Reuters.

Economic Performance

Djibouti experienced stable economic growth over the past decade as a result of achievements in macroeconomic adjustment efforts, which included downsizing the civil service, implementing a pension reform that placed the system on a much stronger financial footing, and strengthening public expenditure institutions. Real GDP growth accelerated from the annual average rate of 3.1 percent from 2003 to 2005 to an average rate of 5.3 percent per year from 2006 to 2008, largely driven by foreign direct investment in the port, construction and services sectors. The economy still grew in 2009 and 2010 despite the global economic crisis.

According to CountryWatch estimated calculations for 2014:

Real GDP growth rate was: 4.5 percent

The fiscal deficit/surplus as percent of GDP (%) was: -2.6

Inflation was measured at: 2.6 percent

Updated in 2015

*Please note that the figures in our Economic Performance section are estimates or forecasts

Djibouti Review 2016 Page 86 of 289 pages

based on IMF-based data that are formulated using CountryWatch models of analysis.

Supplementary Sources: PANA, Reuters, African Development Bank, African Economic Outlook and International Monetary Fund

Nominal GDP and Components

Nominal GDP and Components							
	2011	2012	2013	2014	2015		
Nominal GDP (LCU billions)	220.222	240.569	258.823	281.069	309.782		
Nominal GDP Growth Rate (%)	9.794	9.239	7.588	8.595	-11.9083		
Consumption (LCU billions)	126.538	138.229	148.718	161.500	163.724		
Government Expenditure (LCU billions)	55.308	60.418	65.002	70.589	71.562		
Gross Capital Formation (LCU billions)	137.864	150.601	162.029	175.955	165.297		
Exports of Goods & Services (LCU billions)	125.721	137.337	147.758	160.457	187.674		
Imports of Goods & Services (LCU billions)	169.900	185.598	199.681	216.844	278.475		

Djibouti Review 2016 Page 87 of 289 pages

Population and GDP Per Capita

Population and GDP Per Capita 2012 2013 2014 2015 2011 Population, 0.8650 0.8890 0.9140 0.9390 0.9660 total (million) Population 2.854 2.775 2.812 2.735 2.875 growth (%) Nominal GDP per Capita 254,591.91 270,606.30 283,176.15 320,685.30 299,328.01 (LCU 1000s)

Djibouti Review 2016 Page 88 of 289 pages

Real GDP and Inflation

Real GDP and Inflation					
	2011	2012	2013	2014	2015
Real Gross Domestic Product (LCU billions 2005 base)	102.842	107.821	113.285	119.439	127.806
Real GDP Growth Rate (%)	4.466	4.842	5.068	5.432	7.005
GDP Deflator (2005=100.0)	214.137	223.118	228.470	235.324	242.384
Inflation, GDP Deflator (%)	5.099	4.194	2.399	3.000	3.000

Djibouti Review 2016 Page 89 of 289 pages

Government Spending and Taxation

Government Spending and Taxation							
	2011	2012	2013	2014	2015		
Government Fiscal Budget (billions)	78.180	89.473	97.425	129.995	150.371		
Fiscal Budget Growth Rate (percentage)	7.017	14.445	8.888	33.431	15.674		
National Tax Rate Net of Transfers (%)	34.132	34.462	31.782	35.724	37.015		
Government Revenues Net of Transfers (LCU billions)	75.166	82.904	82.258	100.408	114.666		
Government Surplus(-) Deficit(+) (LCU billions)	-3.0140	-6.5690	-15.1670	-29.5870	-35.7050		
Government Surplus(+) Deficit(-) (%GDP)	-1.3686	-2.7306	-5.8600	-10.5266	-11.5258		

Djibouti Review 2016 Page 90 of 289 pages

Money Supply, Interest Rates and Unemployment

Money Supply, Interest Rates and Unemployment							
	2011	2012	2013	2014	2015		
Money and Quasi-Money (M2) (LCU billions)	179.210	206.171	220.397	234.775	258.759		
Money Supply Growth Rate (%)	-4.4670	15.045	6.900	6.524	10.216		
Lending Interest Rate (%)	11.489	11.989	11.936	12.690	15.198		
Unemployment Rate (%)	59.571	59.714	59.857	60.000	54.765		

Djibouti Review 2016 Page 91 of 289 pages

Foreign Trade and the Exchange Rate

Foreign Trade and the Exchange Rate								
	2011	2012	2013	2014	2015			
Official Exchange Rate (LCU/\$US)	177.742	177.673	177.885	176.884	177.729			
Trade Balance NIPA (\$US billions)	-0.2486	-0.2716	-0.2919	-0.3188	-0.5109			
Trade Balance % of GDP	-20.0613	-20.0613	-20.0613	-20.0613	-29.3112			
Total Foreign Exchange Reserves (\$US billions)	0.2441	0.2486	0.4250	0.3931	0.4329			

Djibouti Review 2016 Page 92 of 289 pages

Data in US Dollars

Data in US Dollars					
	2011	2012	2013	2014	2015
Nominal GDP (\$US billions)	1.239	1.354	1.455	1.589	1.743
Exports (\$US billions)	0.7073	0.7730	0.8306	0.9071	1.056
Imports (\$US billions)	0.9559	1.045	1.123	1.226	1.567

Djibouti Review 2016 Page 93 of 289 pages

Energy Consumption and Production Standard Units

Energy Consumption and Production Standard Units 2011 2012 2013 2014 2015 Petroleum Consumption (TBPD) 8.070 8.070 8.000 8.231 8.624 Petroleum Production (TBPD) 0.0000 0.0000 0.0000 0.0000 0.0000 Petroleum Net Exports (TBPD) -8.0696 -8.0696 -8.0000 -8.2310 -8.6244 Natural Gas Consumption (bcf) 0.0000 0.0000 0.0000 0.0000 0.0000 Natural Gas Production (bcf) 0.0000 0.00000.0000 0.0000 0.0000Natural Gas Net Exports (bcf) 0.0000 0.00000.0000 0.0000 0.0000Coal Consumption (1000s st) 0.0000 0.0000 0.0000 0.0000 0.0000Coal Production (1000s st) 0.0000 0.0000 0.0000 0.0000 0.0000 0.0000 Coal Net Exports (1000s st) 0.0000 0.0000 0.0000 0.0000 Nuclear Production (bil kwh) 0.00000.00000.00000.00000.0000Hydroelectric Production (bil 0.0000 0.0000 0.0000 0.0000 0.0000 kwh) Renewables Production (bil kwh) 0.0000 0.0000 0.0000 0.0000 0.0000

Djibouti Review 2016 Page 94 of 289 pages

Energy Consumption and Production QUADS

Energy Consumption and Production QUADS							
	2011	2012	2013	2014	2015		
Petroleum Consumption (Quads)	0.0172	0.0172	0.0171	0.0176	0.0184		
Petroleum Production (Quads)	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000		
Petroleum Net Exports (Quads)	-0.0172	-0.0172	-0.0171	-0.0176	-0.0184		
Natural Gas Consumption (Quads)	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000		
Natural Gas Production (Quads)	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000		
Natural Gas Net Exports (Quads)	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000		
Coal Consumption (Quads)	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000		
Coal Production (Quads)	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000		
Coal Net Exports (Quads)	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000		
Nuclear Production (Quads)	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000		
Hydroelectric Production (Quads)	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000		
Renewables Production (Quads)	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000		

Djibouti Review 2016 Page 95 of 289 pages

World Energy Price Summary

World Energy Price Summary					
	2011	2012	2013	2014	2015
Petroleum-WTI (\$/bbl)	95.054	94.159	97.943	93.112	48.709
Natural Gas-Henry Hub (\$/mmbtu)	3.999	2.752	3.729	4.369	2.614
Coal Thermal-Australian (\$/mt)	121.448	96.364	84.562	70.130	57.511

Djibouti Review 2016 Page 96 of 289 pages

CO2 Emissions

CO2 Emissions					
	2011	2012	2013	2014	2015
Petroleum Based (mm mt C)	0.3849	0.3849	0.3816	0.3926	0.4114
Natural Gas Based (mm mt C)	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000
Coal Based (mm mt C)	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000
Total CO2 Emissions (mm mt C)	0.3849	0.3849	0.3816	0.3926	0.4114

Djibouti Review 2016 Page 97 of 289 pages

Agriculture Consumption and Production

Agriculture Consumption and Production								
	2011	2012	2013	2014	2015			
Corn Total Consumption (1000 metric tons)	0.9870	3.959	15.125	15.129	15.353			
Corn Production (1000 metric tons)	0.0140	0.0160	0.0159	0.0203	0.0189			
Corn Net Exports (1000 metric tons)	-0.9730	-3.9430	-15.1091	-15.1087	-15.3345			
Soybeans Total Consumption (1000 metric tons)	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000			
Soybeans Production (1000 metric tons)	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000			
Soybeans Net Exports (1000 metric tons)	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000			
Rice Total Consumption (1000 metric tons)	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000			
Rice Production (1000 metric tons)	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000			

Djibouti Review 2016 Page 98 of 289 pages

	2011	2012	2013	2014	2015
Rice Net Exports (1000 metric tons)	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000
Coffee Total Consumption (metric tons)	384.000	67.000	59.000	31.600	32.750
Coffee Production (metric tons)	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000
Coffee Net Exports (metric tons)	-384.0000	-67.0000	-59.0000	-31.6004	-32.7496
Cocoa Beans Total Consumption (metric tons)	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000
Cocoa Beans Production (metric tons)	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000
Cocoa Beans Net Exports (metric tons)	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000
Wheat Total Consumption (1000 metric tons)	521.040	615.787	679.399	1,245.44	1,150.79
Wheat Production (1000 metric tons)	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000
Wheat Net Exports (1000 metric tons)	-521.0400	-615.7870	-679.3990	-1245.4383	-1150.7933

Djibouti Review 2016 Page 99 of 289 pages

World Agriculture Pricing Summary

World Agriculture Pricing Summary						
	2011	2012	2013	2014	2015	
Corn Pricing Summary (\$/metric ton)	291.684	298.417	259.389	192.881	169.750	
Soybeans Pricing Summary (\$/metric ton)	540.667	591.417	538.417	491.771	390.417	
Rice Pricing Summary (\$/metric ton)	458.558	525.071	473.989	425.148	386.033	
Coffee Pricing Summary (\$/kilogram)	5.976	4.111	3.076	4.424	3.526	
Cocoa Beans Pricing Summary (\$/kilogram)	2.980	2.392	2.439	3.062	3.135	
Wheat Pricing Summary (\$/metric ton)	316.264	313.242	312.248	284.895	203.177	

Djibouti Review 2016 Page 100 of 289 pages

Metals Consumption and Production

Metals Consumption and Production						
	2011	2012	2013	2014	2015	
Copper Consumption (1000 mt)	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	
Copper Production (1000 mt)	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	
Copper Net Exports (1000 mt)	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	
Zinc Consumption (1000 mt)	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	
Zinc Production (1000 mt)	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	
Zinc Exports (1000 mt)	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	
Lead Consumption (1000 mt)	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	
Lead Production (1000 mt)	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	
Lead Exports (1000 mt)	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	
Tin Consumption (1000 mt)	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	
Tin Production (1000 mt)	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	
Tin Exports (1000 mt)	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	
Nickel Consumption (1000 mt)	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	
Nickel Production (1000 mt)	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	

Djibouti Review 2016 Page 101 of 289 pages

	2011	2012	2013	2014	2015
Nickel Exports (1000 mt)	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000
Gold Consumption (kg)	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000
Gold Production (kg)	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000
Gold Exports (kg)	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000
Silver Consumption (mt)	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000
Silver Production (mt)	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000
Silver Exports (mt)	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000

Djibouti Review 2016 Page 102 of 289 pages

World Metals Pricing Summary

World Metals Pricing Summary 2011 2012 2013 2014 2015 Copper (\$/mt) 8,828.19 7,962.35 6,863.40 7,332.10 5,510.46 Zinc (\$/mt) 2,193.90 1,950.41 1,910.26 2,160.97 1,931.68 Tin (\$/mt) 26,053.68 21,898.87 16,066.63 21,125.99 22,282.80 2,095.46 Lead (\$/mt) 2,400.81 2,064.64 2,139.79 1,787.82 Nickel (\$/mt) 22,910.36 17,547.55 15,031.80 16,893.38 11,862.64 Gold (\$/oz) 1,569.21 1,669.52 1,411.46 1,265.58 1,160.66 Silver (\$/oz) 35.224 31.137 23.850 19.071 15.721

Djibouti Review 2016 Page 103 of 289 pages

Economic Performance Index

Economic Performance Index

The Economic Performance rankings are calculated by CountryWatch's editorial team, and are based on criteria including sustained economic growth, monetary stability, current account deficits, budget surplus, unemployment and structural imbalances. Scores are assessed from 0 to 100 using this aforementioned criteria as well as CountryWatch's proprietary economic research data and models.

	Bank stability risk	Monetary/ Currency stability	Government Finances	Empl./ Unempl.	Econ.GNP growth or decline/ forecast
	0 - 100	0 - 100	0 - 100	0 - 100	%
North Americas					
Canada	92	69	35	38	3.14%
United States	94	76	4	29	3.01%
Western Europe					
Austria	90	27	30	63	1.33%
Belgium	88	27	19	23	1.15%
Cyprus	81	91	16	80	-0.69%
Denmark	97	70	45	78	1.20%
Finland	89	27	41	33	1.25%

Djibouti Review 2016 Page 104 of 289 pages

France	87	27	18	27	1.52%
Germany	86	27	22	21	1.25%
Greece	79	27	5	24	-2.00%
Iceland	90	17	2	34	-3.04%
Italy	85	27	37	24	0.84%
Ireland	92	27	11	10	-1.55%
Luxembourg	99	27	28	66	2.08%
Malta	77	27	41	51	0.54%
Netherlands	91	27	26	74	1.30%
Norway	98	44	10	76	1.08%
Portugal	77	27	13	20	0.29%
Spain	83	27	9	3	-0.41%
Sweden	94	72	54	32	1.23%
Switzerland	97	86	55	77	1.53%
United Kingdom	85	12	9	37	1.34%
Central and Eastern Europe					
Albania	44	60	33	6	2.30%
Armenia	45	59	49	30	1.80%

Djibouti Review 2016 Page 105 of 289 pages

Azerbaijan	56	4	84	99	2.68%
Belarus	59	21	83	98	2.41%
Bosnia and Herzegovina	34	68	69	N/A	0.50%
Bulgaria	58	75	88	49	0.20%
Croatia	69	68	94	9	0.18%
Czech Republic	80	89	29	70	1.67%
Estonia	72	90	66	92	0.80%
Georgia	36	60	53	56	2.00%
Hungary	70	66	26	54	-0.16%
Latvia	67	100	65	44	-3.97%
Lithuania	65	91	87	79	-1.65%
Macedonia (FYR)	53	69	56	2	2.03%
Moldova	23	36	81	67	2.50%
Poland	74	74	38	12	2.72%
Romania	62	56	70	62	0.75%
Russia	73	18	90	8	4.00%
Serbia	48	49	52	5	1.97%

Djibouti Review 2016 Page 106 of 289 pages

Montenegro	39	27	73	1	-1.70%
Slovak Republic	80	62	30	14	4.06%
Slovenia	81	27	36	65	1.12%
Ukraine	41	11	57	N/A	3.68%
Africa					
Algeria	57	18	96	7	4.55%
Angola	49	1	97	N/A	7.05%
Benin	19	91	20	N/A	3.22%
Botswana	68	58	76	N/A	6.33%
Burkina Faso	16	91	13	N/A	4.41%
Burundi	2	91	6	N/A	3.85%
Cameroon	26	91	91	N/A	2.58%
Cape Verde	52	87	4	N/A	4.96%
Central African Republic	9	91	32	N/A	3.18%
Chad	22	91	89	N/A	4.42%
Congo	52	87	87	N/A	12.13%
Côte d'Ivoire	25	91	82	28	2.98%
Dem. Republic					

Djibouti Review 2016 Page 107 of 289 pages

Congo	4	91	47	N/A	5.44%
Djibouti	31	76	50	N/A	4.47%
Egypt	37	20	24	69	5.01%
Equatorial Guinea	82	91	85	N/A	0.94%
Eritrea	1	3	1	18	1.81%
Ethiopia	6	45	8	N/A	6.96%
Gabon	64	91	96	N/A	5.36%
Gambia	8	48	86	N/A	4.82%
Ghana	9	11	69	N/A	4.50%
Guinea	10	7	91	N/A	3.03%
Guinea-Bissau	5	91	46	N/A	3.47%
Kenya	20	41	59	N/A	4.11%
Lesotho	13	40	12	N/A	2.98%
Liberia	12	73	74	N/A	5.92%
Libya	73	2	94	N/A	5.22%
Madagascar	4	22	24	N/A	-1.02%
Malawi	7	25	55	N/A	5.96%
Mali	20	91	82	N/A	5.12%

Djibouti Review 2016 Page 108 of 289 pages

Mauritania	15	13	93	N/A	4.58%
Mauritius	65	52	56	55	4.10%
Morocco	37	72	48	26	3.23%
Mozambique	12	23	71	N/A	6.45%
Namibia	40	39	62	N/A	1.70%
Niger	10	91	21	N/A	4.41%
Nigeria	30	6	61	N/A	6.98%
Rwanda	21	40	68	N/A	5.39%
Sao Tome & Principe	1	61	100	N/A	3.40%
Senegal	24	91	63	N/A	3.44%
Seychelles	60	67	97	N/A	4.01%
Sierra Leone	5	10	39	N/A	4.77%
Somalia	2	38	59	N/A	3.19%
South Africa	61	37	70	N/A	2.59%
Sudan	16	5	73	N/A	5.52%
Swaziland	32	44	79	N/A	1.09%
Tanzania	15	45	32	N/A	6.17%
Togo	8	91	92	N/A	2.56%

Djibouti Review 2016 Page 109 of 289 pages

Tunisia	50	61	44	39	4.00%
Uganda	11	17	54	N/A	5.59%
Zambia	29	20	49	N/A	5.84%
Zimbabwe	0	8	16	N/A	2.24%
South and Central America					
Argentina	66	3	80	36	3.50%
Belize	47	76	80	N/A	1.00%
Bolivia	32	51	61	81	3.99%
Brazil	71	47	78	11	5.50%
Chile	78	25	92	73	4.72%
Columbia	47	52	34	47	2.25%
Costa Rica	60	42	39	57	3.45%
Ecuador	43	76	75	64	2.51%
El Salvador	35	76	67	N/A	1.04%
Guatemala	46	59	58	N/A	2.52%
Honduras	27	47	58	N/A	2.00%
Mexico	69	42	52	61	4.07%
Nicaragua	23	49	42	N/A	1.75%

Djibouti Review 2016 Page 110 of 289 pages

Panama	66	76	72	45	5.00%
Paraguay	35	46	66	16	5.27%
Peru	59	66	75	22	6.33%
Suriname	58	26	81	59	4.02%
Uruguay	70	26	27	N/A	5.71%
Venezuela	55	1	28	13	-2.63%
Caribbean					
Antigua & Barbuda	72	76	15	N/A	-2.01%
Bahamas	74	76	45	87	-0.50%
Barbados	67	76	33	15	-0.50%
Bermuda	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Cuba	45	76	18	95	0.25%
Dominica	53	76	65	N/A	1.40%
Dominican Republic	54	39	43	4	3.50%
Grenada	63	76	48	N/A	0.80%
Guyana	28	56	17	N/A	4.36%
Haiti	11	27	89	N/A	-8.50%
Jamaica	42	9	85	19	-0.28%

Djibouti Review 2016 Page 111 of 289 pages

St Lucia	55	76	67	N/A	1.14%
St Vincent & Grenadines	49	76	95	N/A	0.50%
Trinidad & Tobago	82	37	77	72	2.13%
Middle East					
Bahrain	84	76	62	91	3.48%
Iran	51	19	40	58	3.01%
Iraq	48	9	8	N/A	7.27%
Israel	87	62	12	48	3.20%
Jordan	41	51	3	N/A	4.10%
Kuwait	96	4	99	N/A	3.10%
Lebanon	63	54	2	N/A	6.00%
Oman	76	16	88	N/A	4.71%
Qatar	99	16	83	N/A	18.54%
Saudi Arabia	76	8	98	N/A	3.70%
Syria	61	24	40	N/A	5.00%
Turkey	75	23	27	60	5.20%
United Arab Emirates	96	24	98	94	1.29%

Djibouti Review 2016 Page 112 of 289 pages

Yemen	28	2	78	N/A	7.78%
Asia					
Afghanistan	17	70	74	N/A	8.64%
Bangladesh	13	43	25	N/A	5.38%
Bhutan	24	55	5	N/A	6.85%
Brunei	78	19	99	75	0.48%
Cambodia	18	67	42	N/A	4.77%
China	54	90	19	68	11.03%
Hong Kong	89	76	14	82	5.02%
India	31	38	34	35	8.78%
Indonesia	42	46	37	31	6.00%
Japan	88	89	6	71	1.90%
Kazakhstan	62	13	76	42	2.40%
Korea North	18	65	23	N/A	1.50%
Korea South	83	63	22	85	4.44%
Kyrgyz Republic	24	15	84	88	4.61%
Laos	17	54	7	N/A	7.22%
Macao	91	76	14	82	3.00%

Djibouti Review 2016 Page 113 of 289 pages

Malaysia	68	65	44	90	4.72%
Maldives	44	55	17	N/A	3.45%
Mongolia	33	5	77	93	7.22%
Myanmar	3	41	72	N/A	5.26%
Nepal	3	14	25	N/A	2.97%
Pakistan	19	15	31	41	3.00%
Papua New Guinea	75	50	11	N/A	7.96%
Philippines	30	48	53	43	3.63%
Singapore	93	75	63	40	5.68%
Sri Lanka	38	22	10	N/A	5.50%
Taiwan	84	88	35	89	6.50%
Tajikistan	6	6	60	97	4.00%
Thailand	56	64	90	96	5.46%
Turkmenistan	51	53	68	N/A	12.00%
Uzbekistan	40	10	60	100	8.00%
Vietnam	25	12	20	N/A	6.04%
Pacific					
Australia	96	63	31	46	2.96%

Djibouti Review 2016 Page 114 of 289 pages

Fiji	46	53	3	N/A	2.06%
Marshall Islands	27	76	46	N/A	1.08%
Micronesia (Fed. States)	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
New Caledonia	96	73	51	52	2.00%
New Zealand	98	73	51	52	2.00%
Samoa	34	88	64	N/A	-2.77%
Solomon Islands	14	71	1	N/A	3.36%
Tonga	26	57	38	N/A	0.60%
Vanuatu	33	58	47	N/A	3.80%

Source:

CountryWatch Inc. www.countrywatch.com

<u>Updated</u>:

This material was produced in 2010; it is subject to updating in 2012.

Djibouti Review 2016 Page 115 of 289 pages

div style='margin-top:40%;padding-top:40%'>

Chapter 4

Investment Overview

Djibouti Review 2016 Page 116 of 289 pages

Foreign Investment Climate

Background

The economy of Djibouti is based on service activities connected with the country's strategic location and status as a free trade zone in the Horn of Africa. Two-thirds of Djibouti's inhabitants live in the capital city; the remainder are mostly nomadic herders. Scanty rainfall limits crop production to fruits and vegetables, and most food must be imported. Djibouti provides services as both a transit port for the region and an international transshipment and refueling center. Imports and exports from landlocked neighbor Ethiopia represent 70 percent of port activity at Djibouti's container terminal. Djibouti has few natural resources and little industry. The nation is, therefore, heavily dependent on foreign assistance to help support its balance of payments and to finance development projects. An unemployment rate of nearly 60 percent in urban areas continues to be a major problem. While inflation is not a concern, due to the fixed tie of the Djiboutian franc to the US dollar, the artificially high value of the Djiboutian franc adversely affects Djibouti's balance of payments. Per capita consumption dropped in the last decade because of recession, civil war, and a high population growth rate (including immigrants and refugees). Djibouti has experienced relatively minimal impact from the global economic downturn, but its reliance on diesel-generated electricity and imported food leave average consumers vulnerable to global price shocks.

Foreign Investment Assessment

Openness to Foreign Investment

The government of Djibouti welcomes all foreign direct investment. Djibouti's assets include a strategic geographic location, an open trade regime, a stable currency, substantial tax breaks and other incentives. Potential areas of investment include Djibouti's port and telecom sectors. Newly-elected president Ismail Omar Guelleh has placed privatization, economic reform, and increased foreign investment as top priorities for his government. The president has pledged to seek the help of the international private sector to develop the country's infrastructure.

Djibouti has no major laws that would discourage incoming foreign investment. In principle there is no screening of investment or other discriminatory mechanisms. That said ,certain sectors, most notably public utilities, are state owned and some parts are not currently open to investors. Conditions of the structural adjustment agreement recently signed by Djibouti and the IMF stipulate increased privatization of parastatals and government-owned monopolies.

Djibouti Review 2016 Page 117 of 289 pages

As in most African nations, access to licenses and approvals is complicated not so much by law as by administrative procedures. In Djibouti, the administrative process has been characterized as a form of 'circular dependency.' For example, the Finance Ministry will issue a license only if an investor possesses an approved investor visa, while the Interior Ministry will only issue an investor visa to a licensed business. The Djiboutian government is more and more realizing the importance of establishing a one-stop shop to facilitate the investment process.

Transparency of Regulatory System

No information is available on this subject at this time.

Labor Force

Total: 282,000 estimated

By occupation: N/A

Agriculture and Industry

Agriculture products: fruits, vegetables, goats, sheep, camels, animal hides

Industries: construction, agricultural processing, salt

Import Commodities and Partners

Commodities: foods, beverages, transport equipment, chemicals, petroleum products

Partners: Saudi Arabia 18.6%, Ethiopia 10.3%, China 8.8%, India 8.6%, France 6.2%, UK 4.8%, US 4.6%

Export Commodities and Partners

Commodities: reexports, hides and skins, coffee (in transit)

Partners: Somalia 63.4%, Yemen 22.5%, Ethiopia 5%

Telephone System

Telephones- main lines in use: 9,500

Telephones- mobile cellular: 23,000

General Assessment: The telephone facilities in the city of Djibouti are adequate. They are the microwave radio relay connections to outlying areas of the country.

Djibouti Review 2016 Page 118 of 289 pages

Domestic: microwave radio relay network

International: country code - 253; submarine cable to Jiddah, Suez, Sicily, Marseilles, Colombo, and Singapore; satellite earth stations - 1 Intelsat (Indian Ocean) and 1 Arabsat; Medarabtel regional microwave radio relay telephone network

Internet

Internet Hosts: 702

Internet users: 6,500

Roads, Airports, Ports and Harbors

Railways: 100km

Highways: 2,890 km

Ports and harbors: Djibouti

Airports: 13; w/paved runways: 3

Legal System and Considerations

Djibouti's legal system is based on a combination of the French civil law system, traditional practices, and Islamic law.

Dispute Settlement

For settlement of disputes, Djibouti's legal system is based on French law. It is complex and far from transparent. Government interference in the court system is common. Djibouti has written commercial and bankruptcy laws, but they are not applied consistently. Judgments by foreign courts are in principle accepted by Djiboutian courts. According to the Ministry of Justice, Djibouti is a member of the International Center for the Settlement of Investment Disputes because any international agreement signed by France before Djibouti gained independence in 1977 is automatically binding in Djibouti.

Corruption Perception Ranking

See Corruption Perception index reported by Transparency International elsewhere in this report, from least to most corrupt countries.

Cultural Considerations

Djibouti has a large Muslim populace. Because of this, it is best to remember to respect the Islamic

Djibouti Review 2016 Page 119 of 289 pages

social conventions. For example, respect their times of daily prayer and never show the heel of your foot to a Muslim as it is considered disrespectful.

For More information see:

United States' State Department Commercial Guide

Foreign Investment Index

Foreign Investment Index

The Foreign Investment Index is a proprietary index measuring attractiveness to international investment flows. The Foreign Investment Index is calculated using an established methodology by CountryWatch's Editor-in-Chief and is based on a given country's economic stability (sustained economic growth, monetary stability, current account deficits, budget surplus), economic risk (risk of non-servicing of payments for goods or services, loans and trade-related finance, risk of sovereign default), business and investment climate (property rights, labor force and laws, regulatory transparency, openness to foreign investment, market conditions, and stability of government). Scores are assigned from 0-10 using the aforementioned criteria. A score of 0 marks the lowest level of foreign investment viability, while a score of 10 marks the highest level of foreign investment viability, according to this proprietary index.

Country	Assessment
Afghanistan	2
Albania	4.5
Algeria	6
Andorra	9
Angola	4.5-5

Djibouti Review 2016 Page 120 of 289 pages

Antigua	8.5	
Argentina	5	
Armenia	5	
Australia	9.5	
Austria	9-9.5	
Azerbaijan	5	
Bahamas	9	
Bahrain	7.5	
Bangladesh	4.5	
Barbados	9	
Belarus	4	
Belgium	9	
Belize	7.5	
Benin	5.5	
Bhutan	4.5	
Bolivia	4.5	
Bosnia-Herzegovina	5	
Botswana	7.5-8	

Djibouti Review 2016 Page 121 of 289 pages

Brunei Bulgaria	7 5.5 4
Bulgaria	
	4
Burkina Faso	
Burma (Myanmar)	4.5
Burundi	4
Cambodia	4.5
Cameroon	5
Canada	9.5
Cape Verde	6
Central African Republic	3
Chad	4
Chile	9
China	7.5
China: Hong Kong	8.5
China: Taiwan	8.5
Colombia	7
Comoros	4

Djibouti Review 2016 Page 122 of 289 pages

Congo DRC	4
Congo RC	5
Costa Rica	8
Cote d'Ivoire	4.5
Croatia	7
Cuba	4.5
Cyprus	7
Czech Republic	8.5
Denmark	9.5
Djibouti	4.5
Dominica	6
Dominican Republic	6.5
East Timor	4.5
Ecuador	5.5
Egypt	4.5-5
El Salvador	6
Equatorial Guinea	4.5
Eritrea	3.5

Djibouti Review 2016 Page 123 of 289 pages

Estonia	8
Ethiopia	4.5
Fiji	5
Finland	9
Former Yugoslav Rep. of Macedonia	5
France	9-9.5
Gabon	5.5
Gambia	5
Georgia	5
Germany	9-9.5
Ghana	5.5
Greece	5
Grenada	7.5
Guatemala	5.5
Guinea	3.5
Guinea-Bissau	3.5
Guyana	4.5
Haiti	4

Djibouti Review 2016 Page 124 of 289 pages

Holy See (Vatican)	n/a
Hong Kong (China)	8.5
Honduras	5.5
Hungary	8
Iceland	8-8.5
India	8
Indonesia	5.5
Iran	4
Iraq	3
Ireland	8
Israel	8.5
Italy	8
Jamaica	5.5
Japan	9.5
Jordan	6
Kazakhstan	6
Kenya	5
Kiribati	5.5

Djibouti Review 2016 Page 125 of 289 pages

Korea, North	1
Korea, South	9
Kosovo	4.5
Kuwait	8.5
Kyrgyzstan	4.5
Laos	4
Latvia	7
Lebanon	5
Lesotho	5.5
Liberia	3.5
Libya	3
Liechtenstein	9
Lithuania	7.5
Luxembourg	9-9.5
Madagascar	4.5
Malawi	4.5
Malaysia	8.5
Maldives	6.5

Djibouti Review 2016 Page 126 of 289 pages

Mali	5
Malta	9
Marshall Islands	5
Mauritania	4.5
Mauritius	7.5-8
Mexico	6.5-7
Micronesia	5
Moldova	4.5-5
Monaco	9
Mongolia	5
Montenegro	5.5
Morocco	7.5
Mozambique	5
Namibia	7.5
Nauru	4.5
Nepal	4
Netherlands	9-9.5
New Zealand	9.5

Djibouti Review 2016 Page 127 of 289 pages

Nicaragua	5
Niger	4.5
Nigeria	4.5
Norway	9-9.5
Oman	8
Pakistan	4
Palau	4.5-5
Panama	7
Papua New Guinea	5
Paraguay	6
Peru	6
Philippines	6
Poland	8
Portugal	7.5-8
Qatar	9
Romania	6-6.5
Russia	6
Rwanda	4

Djibouti Review 2016 Page 128 of 289 pages

Saint Kitts and Nevis	8
Saint Lucia	8
Saint Vincent and Grenadines	7
Samoa	7
San Marino	8.5
Sao Tome and Principe	4.5-5
Saudi Arabia	7
Senegal	6
Serbia	6
Seychelles	5
Sierra Leone	4
Singapore	9.5
Slovak Republic (Slovakia)	8.5
Slovenia	8.5-9
Solomon Islands	5
Somalia	2
South Africa	8
Spain	7.5-8

Djibouti Review 2016 Page 129 of 289 pages

Sri Lanka	5.5
Sudan	4
Suriname	5
Swaziland	4.5
Sweden	9.5
Switzerland	9.5
Syria	2.5
Tajikistan	4
Taiwan (China)	8.5
Tanzania	5
Thailand	7.5-8
Togo	4.5-5
Tonga	5.5-6
Trinidad and Tobago	8-8.5
Tunisia	6
Turkey	6.5-7
Turkmenistan	4
Tuvalu	7

Djibouti Review 2016 Page 130 of 289 pages

Uganda	5
Ukraine	4.5-5
United Arab Emirates	8.5
United Kingdom	9
United States	9
Uruguay	6.5-7
Uzbekistan	4
Vanuatu	6
Venezuela	5
Vietnam	5.5
Yemen	3
Zambia	4.5-5
Zimbabwe	3.5

Editor's Note:

As of 2015, the global economic crisis (emerging in 2008) had affected many countries across the world, resulting in changes to their rankings. Among those countries affected were top tier economies, such as the <u>United Kingdom</u>, <u>Iceland</u>, <u>Switzerland</u> and <u>Austria</u>. However, in all these cases, their rankings have moved back upward in the last couple of years as anxieties have eased. Other top tier countries, such as <u>Spain</u>, <u>Portugal</u>, <u>Ireland</u>, and <u>Italy</u>, suffered some effects due to debt woes and the concomitant effect on the euro zone. Greece, another euro zone nation, was also downgraded due to its sovereign debt crisis; however, Greece's position on the

Djibouti Review 2016 Page 131 of 289 pages

precipice of default incurred a sharper downgrade than the other four euro zone countries mentioned above. Cyprus' exposure to Greek bank yielded a downgrade in its case. Slovenia and Latvia have been slightly downgraded due to a mix of economic and political concerns but could easily be upgraded in a future assessment, should these concerns abate. Meanwhile, the crisis in eastern <u>Ukraine</u> fueled downgrades in that country and neighboring <u>Russia</u>.

Despite the "trifecta of tragedy" in <u>Japan</u> in 2011 -- the earthquake, the ensuing tsunami, and the resulting nuclear crisis -- and the appreciable destabilization of the economic and political terrain therein, this country has only slightly been downgraded. Japan's challenges have been assessed to be transient, the government remains accountable, and there is little risk of default. Both <u>India</u> and China retain their rankings; <u>India</u> holds a slightly higher ranking than <u>China</u> due to its record of democratic representation and accountability.

There were shifts in opposite directions for Mali and Nigeria versus the Central African Republic, Burkina Faso, and Burundi. Mali was slightly upgraded due to its efforts to return to constitutional order following the 2012 coup and to neutralize the threat of separatists and Islamists. Likewise, a new government in Nigeria generated a slight upgrade as the country attempts to confront corruption, crime, and terrorism. But the Central African Republic was downgraded due to the takeover of the government by Seleka rebels and the continued decline into lawlessness in that country. Likewise, the attempts by the leaders of Burundi and Burkina Faso to hold onto power by by-passing the constitution raised eybrows and resulted in downgrades.

Political unrest in <u>Libya</u> and <u>Algeria</u> have contributed to a decision to marginally downgrade these countries as well. <u>Syria</u> incurred a sharper downgrade due to the devolution into de facto civil war and the dire security threat posed by Islamist terrorists. <u>Iraq</u> saw a similar downgrade as a result of the takeover of wide swaths of territory and the threat of genocide at the hands of Islamist terrorists. <u>Yemen</u>, likewise, has been downgraded due to political instability at the hands of secessionists, terrorists, Houthi rebels, and the intervention of external parties. Conversely, <u>Egypt</u> and <u>Tunisia</u> saw slight upgrades as their political environments stabilize.

At the low end of the spectrum, devolving security conditions and/or economic crisis have resulted in countries like <u>Pakistan</u>, <u>Afghanistan</u>, <u>Somalia</u>, and <u>Zimbabwe</u> maintaining their low ratings.

The <u>United States</u> continues to retain its previous slight downgrade due to the enduring threat of default surrounding the debt ceiling in that country, matched by a conflict-ridden political climate. In the case of <u>Mexico</u>, there is limited concern about default, but increasing alarm over the security situation in that country and the government's ability to contain it. In <u>Argentina</u>, a default to bond holders resulted in a downgrade to that country. Finally, a small but significant upgrade was attributed to <u>Cuba</u> due to its recent pro-business reforms and its normalization of ties with the United States

Djibouti Review 2016 Page 132 of 289 pages

Source:

CountryWatch Inc. www.countrywatch.com

Updated:

2015

Corruption Perceptions Index

Corruption Perceptions Index

Transparency International: Corruption Perceptions Index

Editor's Note:

Transparency International's <u>Corruption Perceptions Index</u> is a composite index which ranks countries in terms of the degree to which corruption is perceived to exist among public officials. This index indicates the views of national and international business people and analysts about the levels of corruption in each country. The highest (and best) level of transparency is indicated by the number, 10. The lower (and worse) levels of transparency are indicated by lower numbers.

Rank	Country/Territory	CPI 2009 Score	Surveys Used	Confidence Range
1	New Zealand	9.4	6	9.1 - 9.5
2	Denmark	9.3	6	9.1 - 9.5
3	Singapore	9.2	9	9.0 - 9.4
3	Sweden	9.2	6	9.0 - 9.3
5	Switzerland	9.0	6	8.9 - 9.1

Djibouti Review 2016 Page 133 of 289 pages

6	Finland	8.9	6	8.4 - 9.4
6	Netherlands	8.9	6	8.7 - 9.0
8	Australia	8.7	8	8.3 - 9.0
8	Canada	8.7	6	8.5 - 9.0
8	Iceland	8.7	4	7.5 - 9.4
11	Norway	8.6	6	8.2 - 9.1
12	Hong Kong	8.2	8	7.9 - 8.5
12	Luxembourg	8.2	6	7.6 - 8.8
14	Germany	8.0	6	7.7 - 8.3
14	Ireland	8.0	6	7.8 - 8.4
16	Austria	7.9	6	7.4 - 8.3
17	Japan	7.7	8	7.4 - 8.0
17	United Kingdom	7.7	6	7.3 - 8.2
19	United States	7.5	8	6.9 - 8.0
20	Barbados	7.4	4	6.6 - 8.2
21	Belgium	7.1	6	6.9 - 7.3
22	Qatar	7.0	6	5.8 - 8.1
22	Saint Lucia	7.0	3	6.7 - 7.5

Djibouti Review 2016 Page 134 of 289 pages

24	France	6.9	6	6.5 - 7.3
25	Chile	6.7	7	6.5 - 6.9
25	Uruguay	6.7	5	6.4 - 7.1
27	Cyprus	6.6	4	6.1 - 7.1
27	Estonia	6.6	8	6.1 - 6.9
27	Slovenia	6.6	8	6.3 - 6.9
30	United Arab Emirates	6.5	5	5.5 - 7.5
31	Saint Vincent and the Grenadines	6.4	3	4.9 - 7.5
32	Israel	6.1	6	5.4 - 6.7
32	Spain	6.1	6	5.5 - 6.6
34	Dominica	5.9	3	4.9 - 6.7
35	Portugal	5.8	6	5.5 - 6.2
35	Puerto Rico	5.8	4	5.2 - 6.3
37	Botswana	5.6	6	5.1 - 6.3
37	Taiwan	5.6	9	5.4 - 5.9
39	Brunei Darussalam	5.5	4	4.7 - 6.4
39	Oman	5.5	5	4.4 - 6.5
39	Korea (South)	5.5	9	5.3 - 5.7

Djibouti Review 2016 Page 135 of 289 pages

42	Mauritius	5.4	6	5.0 - 5.9
43	Costa Rica	5.3	5	4.7 - 5.9
43	Macau	5.3	3	3.3 - 6.9
45	Malta	5.2	4	4.0 - 6.2
46	Bahrain	5.1	5	4.2 - 5.8
46	Cape Verde	5.1	3	3.3 - 7.0
46	Hungary	5.1	8	4.6 - 5.7
49	Bhutan	5.0	4	4.3 - 5.6
49	Jordan	5.0	7	3.9 - 6.1
49	Poland	5.0	8	4.5 - 5.5
52	Czech Republic	4.9	8	4.3 - 5.6
52	Lithuania	4.9	8	4.4 - 5.4
54	Seychelles	4.8	3	3.0 - 6.7
55	South Africa	4.7	8	4.3 - 4.9
56	Latvia	4.5	6	4.1 - 4.9
56	Malaysia	4.5	9	4.0 - 5.1
56	Namibia	4.5	6	3.9 - 5.1
56	Samoa	4.5	3	3.3 - 5.3

Djibouti Review 2016 Page 136 of 289 pages

56	Slovakia	4.5	8	4.1 - 4.9
61	Cuba	4.4	3	3.5 - 5.1
61	Turkey	4.4	7	3.9 - 4.9
63	Italy	4.3	6	3.8 - 4.9
63	Saudi Arabia	4.3	5	3.1 - 5.3
65	Tunisia	4.2	6	3.0 - 5.5
66	Croatia	4.1	8	3.7 - 4.5
66	Georgia	4.1	7	3.4 - 4.7
66	Kuwait	4.1	5	3.2 - 5.1
69	Ghana	3.9	7	3.2 - 4.6
69	Montenegro	3.9	5	3.5 - 4.4
71	Bulgaria	3.8	8	3.2 - 4.5
71	FYR Macedonia	3.8	6	3.4 - 4.2
71	Greece	3.8	6	3.2 - 4.3
71	Romania	3.8	8	3.2 - 4.3
75	Brazil	3.7	7	3.3 - 4.3
75	Colombia	3.7	7	3.1 - 4.3
75	Peru	3.7	7	3.4 - 4.1

Djibouti Review 2016 Page 137 of 289 pages

75	Suriname	3.7	3	3.0 - 4.7
79	Burkina Faso	3.6	7	2.8 - 4.4
79	China	3.6	9	3.0 - 4.2
79	Swaziland	3.6	3	3.0 - 4.7
79	Trinidad and Tobago	3.6	4	3.0 - 4.3
83	Serbia	3.5	6	3.3 - 3.9
84	El Salvador	3.4	5	3.0 - 3.8
84	Guatemala	3.4	5	3.0 - 3.9
84	India	3.4	10	3.2 - 3.6
84	Panama	3.4	5	3.1 - 3.7
84	Thailand	3.4	9	3.0 - 3.8
89	Lesotho	3.3	6	2.8 - 3.8
89	Malawi	3.3	7	2.7 - 3.9
89	Mexico	3.3	7	3.2 - 3.5
89	Moldova	3.3	6	2.7 - 4.0
89	Morocco	3.3	6	2.8 - 3.9
89	Rwanda	3.3	4	2.9 - 3.7
95	Albania	3.2	6	3.0 - 3.3

Djibouti Review 2016 Page 138 of 289 pages

95	Vanuatu	3.2	3	2.3 - 4.7
97	Liberia	3.1	3	1.9 - 3.8
97	Sri Lanka	3.1	7	2.8 - 3.4
99	Bosnia and Herzegovina	3.0	7	2.6 - 3.4
99	Dominican Republic	3.0	5	2.9 - 3.2
99	Jamaica	3.0	5	2.8 - 3.3
99	Madagascar	3.0	7	2.8 - 3.2
99	Senegal	3.0	7	2.5 - 3.6
99	Tonga	3.0	3	2.6 - 3.3
99	Zambia	3.0	7	2.8 - 3.2
106	Argentina	2.9	7	2.6 - 3.1
106	Benin	2.9	6	2.3 - 3.4
106	Gabon	2.9	3	2.6 - 3.1
106	Gambia	2.9	5	1.6 - 4.0
106	Niger	2.9	5	2.7 - 3.0
111	Algeria	2.8	6	2.5 - 3.1
111	Djibouti	2.8	4	2.3 - 3.2
111	Egypt	2.8	6	2.6 - 3.1

Djibouti Review 2016 Page 139 of 289 pages

111 Kiribati 2.8 3 2.3 - 3.3 111 Mali 2.8 6 2.4 - 3.2 111 Sao Tome and Principe 2.8 3 2.4 - 3.3 111 Solomon Islands 2.8 3 2.3 - 3.3 111 Togo 2.8 5 1.9 - 3.9 120 Armenia 2.7 7 2.6 - 2.8 120 Bolivia 2.7 6 2.4 - 3.1 120 Ethiopia 2.7 7 2.4 - 2.9 120 Kazakhstan 2.7 7 2.4 - 2.9 120 Mongolia 2.7 7 2.4 - 3.0 120 Vietnam 2.7 9 2.4 - 3.1 126 Eritrea 2.6 4 1.6 - 3.8 126 Guyana 2.6 4 2.5 - 2.7 126 Syria 2.6 5 2.2 - 2.9 126 Tanzania 2.6 7 2.4 - 2.9 130 Honduras 2.5 3 1.9 - 3.1	111	Indonesia	2.8	9	2.4 - 3.2
111 Sao Tome and Principe 2.8 3 2.4 - 3.3 111 Solomon Islands 2.8 3 2.3 - 3.3 111 Togo 2.8 5 1.9 - 3.9 120 Armenia 2.7 7 2.6 - 2.8 120 Bolivia 2.7 6 2.4 - 3.1 120 Ethiopia 2.7 7 2.4 - 2.9 120 Kazakhstan 2.7 7 2.1 - 3.3 120 Mongolia 2.7 7 2.4 - 3.0 120 Vietnam 2.7 9 2.4 - 3.1 120 Vietnam 2.7 9 2.4 - 3.1 126 Eritrea 2.6 4 1.6 - 3.8 126 Guyana 2.6 4 2.5 - 2.7 126 Syria 2.6 5 2.2 - 2.9 126 Tanzania 2.6 7 2.4 - 2.9 130 Honduras 2.5 6 2.2 - 2.8	111	Kiribati	2.8	3	2.3 - 3.3
111 Solomon Islands 2.8 3 2.3 - 3.3 111 Togo 2.8 5 1.9 - 3.9 120 Armenia 2.7 7 2.6 - 2.8 120 Bolivia 2.7 6 2.4 - 3.1 120 Ethiopia 2.7 7 2.4 - 2.9 120 Kazakhstan 2.7 7 2.1 - 3.3 120 Mongolia 2.7 7 2.4 - 3.0 120 Vietnam 2.7 9 2.4 - 3.1 126 Eritrea 2.6 4 1.6 - 3.8 126 Guyana 2.6 4 2.5 - 2.7 126 Syria 2.6 5 2.2 - 2.9 126 Tanzania 2.6 7 2.4 - 2.9 130 Honduras 2.5 6 2.2 - 2.8	111	Mali	2.8	6	2.4 - 3.2
111 Togo 2.8 5 1.9 - 3.9 120 Armenia 2.7 7 2.6 - 2.8 120 Bolivia 2.7 6 2.4 - 3.1 120 Ethiopia 2.7 7 2.4 - 2.9 120 Kazakhstan 2.7 7 2.1 - 3.3 120 Mongolia 2.7 7 2.4 - 3.0 120 Vietnam 2.7 9 2.4 - 3.1 126 Eritrea 2.6 4 1.6 - 3.8 126 Guyana 2.6 4 2.5 - 2.7 126 Syria 2.6 5 2.2 - 2.9 126 Tanzania 2.6 7 2.4 - 2.9 130 Honduras 2.5 6 2.2 - 2.8	111	Sao Tome and Principe	2.8	3	2.4 - 3.3
120 Armenia 2.7 7 2.6 - 2.8 120 Bolivia 2.7 6 2.4 - 3.1 120 Ethiopia 2.7 7 2.4 - 2.9 120 Kazakhstan 2.7 7 2.1 - 3.3 120 Mongolia 2.7 7 2.4 - 3.0 120 Vietnam 2.7 9 2.4 - 3.1 126 Eritrea 2.6 4 1.6 - 3.8 126 Guyana 2.6 4 2.5 - 2.7 126 Syria 2.6 5 2.2 - 2.9 126 Tanzania 2.6 7 2.4 - 2.9 130 Honduras 2.5 6 2.2 - 2.8	111	Solomon Islands	2.8	3	2.3 - 3.3
120 Bolivia 2.7 6 2.4 - 3.1 120 Ethiopia 2.7 7 2.4 - 2.9 120 Kazakhstan 2.7 7 2.1 - 3.3 120 Mongolia 2.7 7 2.4 - 3.0 120 Vietnam 2.7 9 2.4 - 3.1 126 Eritrea 2.6 4 1.6 - 3.8 126 Guyana 2.6 4 2.5 - 2.7 126 Syria 2.6 5 2.2 - 2.9 126 Tanzania 2.6 7 2.4 - 2.9 130 Honduras 2.5 6 2.2 - 2.8	111	Togo	2.8	5	1.9 - 3.9
120 Ethiopia 2.7 7 2.4 - 2.9 120 Kazakhstan 2.7 7 2.1 - 3.3 120 Mongolia 2.7 7 2.4 - 3.0 120 Vietnam 2.7 9 2.4 - 3.1 126 Eritrea 2.6 4 1.6 - 3.8 126 Guyana 2.6 4 2.5 - 2.7 126 Syria 2.6 5 2.2 - 2.9 126 Tanzania 2.6 7 2.4 - 2.9 130 Honduras 2.5 6 2.2 - 2.8	120	Armenia	2.7	7	2.6 - 2.8
120 Kazakhstan 2.7 7 2.1 - 3.3 120 Mongolia 2.7 7 2.4 - 3.0 120 Vietnam 2.7 9 2.4 - 3.1 126 Eritrea 2.6 4 1.6 - 3.8 126 Guyana 2.6 4 2.5 - 2.7 126 Syria 2.6 5 2.2 - 2.9 126 Tanzania 2.6 7 2.4 - 2.9 130 Honduras 2.5 6 2.2 - 2.8	120	Bolivia	2.7	6	2.4 - 3.1
120 Mongolia 2.7 7 2.4 - 3.0 120 Vietnam 2.7 9 2.4 - 3.1 126 Eritrea 2.6 4 1.6 - 3.8 126 Guyana 2.6 4 2.5 - 2.7 126 Syria 2.6 5 2.2 - 2.9 126 Tanzania 2.6 7 2.4 - 2.9 130 Honduras 2.5 6 2.2 - 2.8	120	Ethiopia	2.7	7	2.4 - 2.9
120 Vietnam 2.7 9 2.4 - 3.1 126 Eritrea 2.6 4 1.6 - 3.8 126 Guyana 2.6 4 2.5 - 2.7 126 Syria 2.6 5 2.2 - 2.9 126 Tanzania 2.6 7 2.4 - 2.9 130 Honduras 2.5 6 2.2 - 2.8	120	Kazakhstan	2.7	7	2.1 - 3.3
126 Eritrea 2.6 4 1.6 - 3.8 126 Guyana 2.6 4 2.5 - 2.7 126 Syria 2.6 5 2.2 - 2.9 126 Tanzania 2.6 7 2.4 - 2.9 130 Honduras 2.5 6 2.2 - 2.8	120	Mongolia	2.7	7	2.4 - 3.0
126 Guyana 2.6 4 2.5 - 2.7 126 Syria 2.6 5 2.2 - 2.9 126 Tanzania 2.6 7 2.4 - 2.9 130 Honduras 2.5 6 2.2 - 2.8	120	Vietnam	2.7	9	2.4 - 3.1
126 Syria 2.6 5 2.2 - 2.9 126 Tanzania 2.6 7 2.4 - 2.9 130 Honduras 2.5 6 2.2 - 2.8	126	Eritrea	2.6	4	1.6 - 3.8
126 Tanzania 2.6 7 2.4 - 2.9 130 Honduras 2.5 6 2.2 - 2.8	126	Guyana	2.6	4	2.5 - 2.7
130 Honduras 2.5 6 2.2 - 2.8	126	Syria	2.6	5	2.2 - 2.9
	126	Tanzania	2.6	7	2.4 - 2.9
130 Lebanon 2.5 3 1.9 - 3.1	130	Honduras	2.5	6	2.2 - 2.8
	130	Lebanon	2.5	3	1.9 - 3.1

Djibouti Review 2016 Page 140 of 289 pages

130	Libya	2.5	6	2.2 - 2.8
130	Maldives	2.5	4	1.8 - 3.2
130	Mauritania	2.5	7	2.0 - 3.3
130	Mozambique	2.5	7	2.3 - 2.8
130	Nicaragua	2.5	6	2.3 - 2.7
130	Nigeria	2.5	7	2.2 - 2.7
130	Uganda	2.5	7	2.1 - 2.8
139	Bangladesh	2.4	7	2.0 - 2.8
139	Belarus	2.4	4	2.0 - 2.8
139	Pakistan	2.4	7	2.1 - 2.7
139	Philippines	2.4	9	2.1 - 2.7
143	Azerbaijan	2.3	7	2.0 - 2.6
143	Comoros	2.3	3	1.6 - 3.3
143	Nepal	2.3	6	2.0 - 2.6
146	Cameroon	2.2	7	1.9 - 2.6
146	Ecuador	2.2	5	2.0 - 2.5
146	Kenya	2.2	7	1.9 - 2.5
146	Russia	2.2	8	1.9 - 2.4

Djibouti Review 2016 Page 141 of 289 pages

146	Sierra Leone	2.2	5	1.9 - 2.4
146	Timor-Leste	2.2	5	1.8 - 2.6
146	Ukraine	2.2	8	2.0 - 2.6
146	Zimbabwe	2.2	7	1.7 - 2.8
154	Côte d'Ivoire	2.1	7	1.8 - 2.4
154	Papua New Guinea	2.1	5	1.7 - 2.5
154	Paraguay	2.1	5	1.7 - 2.5
154	Yemen	2.1	4	1.6 - 2.5
158	Cambodia	2.0	8	1.8 - 2.2
158	Central African Republic	2.0	4	1.9 - 2.2
158	Laos	2.0	4	1.6 - 2.6
158	Tajikistan	2.0	8	1.6 - 2.5
162	Angola	1.9	5	1.8 - 1.9
162	Congo Brazzaville	1.9	5	1.6 - 2.1
162	Democratic Republic of Congo	1.9	5	1.7 - 2.1
162	Guinea-Bissau	1.9	3	1.8 - 2.0
162	Kyrgyzstan	1.9	7	1.8 - 2.1
162	Venezuela	1.9	7	1.8 - 2.0

Djibouti Review 2016 Page 142 of 289 pages

168	Burundi	1.8	6	1.6 - 2.0
168	Equatorial Guinea	1.8	3	1.6 - 1.9
168	Guinea	1.8	5	1.7 - 1.8
168	Haiti	1.8	3	1.4 - 2.3
168	Iran	1.8	3	1.7 - 1.9
168	Turkmenistan	1.8	4	1.7 - 1.9
174	Uzbekistan	1.7	6	1.5 - 1.8
175	Chad	1.6	6	1.5 - 1.7
176	Iraq	1.5	3	1.2 - 1.8
176	Sudan	1.5	5	1.4 - 1.7
178	Myanmar	1.4	3	0.9 - 1.8
179	Afghanistan	1.3	4	1.0 - 1.5
180	Somalia	1.1	3	0.9 - 1.4

Methodology:

As noted above, the highest (and best) level of transparency with the least perceived corruption is indicated by the number, 10. The lower (and worse) levels of transparency are indicated by lower numbers.

According to Transparency International, the <u>Corruption Perceptions Index</u> (CPI) table shows a country's ranking and score, the number of surveys used to determine the score, and the confidence range of the scoring.

Djibouti Review 2016 Page 143 of 289 pages

The rank shows how one country compares to others included in the index. The CPI score indicates the perceived level of public-sector corruption in a country/territory.

The CPI is based on 13 independent surveys. However, not all surveys include all countries. The surveys used column indicates how many surveys were relied upon to determine the score for that country.

The confidence range indicates the reliability of the CPI scores and tells us that allowing for a margin of error, we can be 90% confident that the true score for this country lies within this range.

Note:

Kosovo, which separated from the Yugoslav successor state of <u>Serbia</u>, is not listed above. No calculation is available for <u>Kosovo</u> at this time, however, a future corruption index by Transparency International may include the world's newest country in its tally. Taiwan has been listed above despite its contested status; while Taiwan claims sovereign status, <u>China</u> claims ultimate jurisdiction over Taiwan. Hong Kong, which is also under the rubric of Chinese sovereignty, is listed above. Note as well that Puerto Rico, which is a <u>United States</u> domain, is also included in the list above. These inclusions likely have to do with the size and fairly autonomous status of their economies.

Source:

Transparency International's Corruption Perception Index; available at URL: http://www.transparency.org

<u>Updated</u>:

Uploaded in 2011 using most recent ranking available; reviewed in 2015.

Competitiveness Ranking

Competitiveness Ranking

Editor's Note:

The Global Competitiveness Report's competitiveness ranking is based on the Global

Djibouti Review 2016 Page 144 of 289 pages

Competitiveness Index (GCI), which was developed for the World Economic Forum. The GCI is based on a number of competitiveness considerations, and provides a comprehensive picture of the competitiveness landscape in countries around the world. The competitiveness considerations are: institutions, infrastructure, macroeconomic environment, health and primary education, higher education and training, goods market efficiency, labour market efficiency, financial market development, technological readiness, market size, business sophistication, and innovation. The rankings are calculated from both publicly available data and the Executive Opinion Survey.

Country/Economy	GCI 2010 Rank	GCI 2010 Score	GCI 2009 Rank	Change 2009-2010
Switzerland	1	5.63	1	0
Sweden	2	5.56	4	2
Singapore	3	5.48	3	0
United States	4	5.43	2	-2
Germany	5	5.39	7	2
Japan	6	5.37	8	2
Finland	7	5.37	6	-1
Netherlands	8	5.33	10	2
Denmark	9	5.32	5	-4
Canada	10	5.30	9	-1
Hong Kong SAR	11	5.30	11	0
United Kingdom	12	5.25	13	1
Taiwan, China	13	5.21	12	-1

Djibouti Review 2016 Page 145 of 289 pages

Norway 14 5.14 14 0 France 15 5.13 16 1 Australia 16 5.11 15 -1 Qatar 17 5.10 22 5 Austria 18 5.09 17 -1 Belgium 19 5.07 18 -1 Luxembourg 20 5.05 21 1 Saudi Arabia 21 4.95 28 7 Korea, Rep. 22 4.93 19 -3 New Zealand 23 4.92 20 -3 Israel 24 4.91 27 3 United Arab Emirates 25 4.89 23 -2 Malaysia 26 4.88 24 -2 China 27 4.84 29 2 Brunei Darussalam 28 4.75 32 4 Ireland 29 4.74 25 -4					
Australia 16 5.11 15 -1 Qatar 17 5.10 22 5 Austria 18 5.09 17 -1 Belgium 19 5.07 18 -1 Luxembourg 20 5.05 21 1 Saudi Arabia 21 4.95 28 7 Korea, Rep. 22 4.93 19 -3 New Zealand 23 4.92 20 -3 Israel 24 4.91 27 3 United Arab Emirates 25 4.89 23 -2 Malaysia 26 4.88 24 -2 China 27 4.84 29 2 Brunei Darussalam 28 4.75 32 4	Norway	14	5.14	14	0
Qatar 17 5.10 22 5 Austria 18 5.09 17 -1 Belgium 19 5.07 18 -1 Luxembourg 20 5.05 21 1 Saudi Arabia 21 4.95 28 7 Korea, Rep. 22 4.93 19 -3 New Zealand 23 4.92 20 -3 Israel 24 4.91 27 3 United Arab Emirates 25 4.89 23 -2 Malaysia 26 4.88 24 -2 China 27 4.84 29 2 Brunei Darussalam 28 4.75 32 4	France	15	5.13	16	1
Austria 18 5.09 17 -1 Belgium 19 5.07 18 -1 Luxembourg 20 5.05 21 1 Saudi Arabia 21 4.95 28 7 Korea, Rep. 22 4.93 19 -3 New Zealand 23 4.92 20 -3 Israel 24 4.91 27 3 United Arab Emirates 25 4.89 23 -2 Malaysia 26 4.88 24 -2 China 27 4.84 29 2 Brunei Darussalam 28 4.75 32 4	Australia	16	5.11	15	-1
Belgium 19 5.07 18 -1 Luxembourg 20 5.05 21 1 Saudi Arabia 21 4.95 28 7 Korea, Rep. 22 4.93 19 -3 New Zealand 23 4.92 20 -3 Israel 24 4.91 27 3 United Arab Emirates 25 4.89 23 -2 Malaysia 26 4.88 24 -2 China 27 4.84 29 2 Brunei Darussalam 28 4.75 32 4	Qatar	17	5.10	22	5
Luxembourg 20 5.05 21 1 Saudi Arabia 21 4.95 28 7 Korea, Rep. 22 4.93 19 -3 New Zealand 23 4.92 20 -3 Israel 24 4.91 27 3 United Arab Emirates 25 4.89 23 -2 Malaysia 26 4.88 24 -2 China 27 4.84 29 2 Brunei Darussalam 28 4.75 32 4	Austria	18	5.09	17	-1
Saudi Arabia 21 4.95 28 7 Korea, Rep. 22 4.93 19 -3 New Zealand 23 4.92 20 -3 Israel 24 4.91 27 3 United Arab Emirates 25 4.89 23 -2 Malaysia 26 4.88 24 -2 China 27 4.84 29 2 Brunei Darussalam 28 4.75 32 4	Belgium	19	5.07	18	-1
Korea, Rep. 22 4.93 19 -3 New Zealand 23 4.92 20 -3 Israel 24 4.91 27 3 United Arab Emirates 25 4.89 23 -2 Malaysia 26 4.88 24 -2 China 27 4.84 29 2 Brunei Darussalam 28 4.75 32 4	Luxembourg	20	5.05	21	1
New Zealand 23 4.92 20 -3 Israel 24 4.91 27 3 United Arab Emirates 25 4.89 23 -2 Malaysia 26 4.88 24 -2 China 27 4.84 29 2 Brunei Darussalam 28 4.75 32 4	Saudi Arabia	21	4.95	28	7
Israel 24 4.91 27 3 United Arab Emirates 25 4.89 23 -2 Malaysia 26 4.88 24 -2 China 27 4.84 29 2 Brunei Darussalam 28 4.75 32 4	Korea, Rep.	22	4.93	19	-3
United Arab Emirates 25 4.89 23 -2 Malaysia 26 4.88 24 -2 China 27 4.84 29 2 Brunei Darussalam 28 4.75 32 4	New Zealand	23	4.92	20	-3
Malaysia 26 4.88 24 -2 China 27 4.84 29 2 Brunei Darussalam 28 4.75 32 4	Israel	24	4.91	27	3
China 27 4.84 29 2 Brunei Darussalam 28 4.75 32 4	United Arab Emirates	25	4.89	23	-2
Brunei Darussalam 28 4.75 32 4	Malaysia	26	4.88	24	-2
	China	27	4.84	29	2
Ireland 29 4.74 25 -4	Brunei Darussalam	28	4.75	32	4
	Ireland	29	4.74	25	-4
Chile 30 4.69 30 0	Chile	30	4.69	30	0
Iceland 31 4.68 26 -5	Iceland	31	4.68	26	-5

Djibouti Review 2016 Page 146 of 289 pages

Estonia 33 4.61 35 2 Oman 34 4.61 41 7 Kuwait 35 4.59 39 4 Czech Republic 36 4.57 31 -5 Bahrain 37 4.54 38 1 Thailand 38 4.51 36 -2 Poland 39 4.51 46 7 Cyprus 40 4.50 34 -6 Puerto Rico 41 4.49 42 1 Spain 42 4.49 33 -9 Barbados 43 4.45 44 1
Kuwait 35 4.59 39 4 Czech Republic 36 4.57 31 -5 Bahrain 37 4.54 38 1 Thailand 38 4.51 36 -2 Poland 39 4.51 46 7 Cyprus 40 4.50 34 -6 Puerto Rico 41 4.49 42 1 Spain 42 4.49 33 -9
Czech Republic 36 4.57 31 -5 Bahrain 37 4.54 38 1 Thailand 38 4.51 36 -2 Poland 39 4.51 46 7 Cyprus 40 4.50 34 -6 Puerto Rico 41 4.49 42 1 Spain 42 4.49 33 -9
Bahrain 37 4.54 38 1 Thailand 38 4.51 36 -2 Poland 39 4.51 46 7 Cyprus 40 4.50 34 -6 Puerto Rico 41 4.49 42 1 Spain 42 4.49 33 -9
Thailand 38 4.51 36 -2 Poland 39 4.51 46 7 Cyprus 40 4.50 34 -6 Puerto Rico 41 4.49 42 1 Spain 42 4.49 33 -9
Poland 39 4.51 46 7 Cyprus 40 4.50 34 -6 Puerto Rico 41 4.49 42 1 Spain 42 4.49 33 -9
Cyprus 40 4.50 34 -6 Puerto Rico 41 4.49 42 1 Spain 42 4.49 33 -9
Puerto Rico 41 4.49 42 1 Spain 42 4.49 33 -9
Spain 42 4.49 33 -9
Barbados 43 4.45 44 1
Indonesia 44 4.43 54 10
Slovenia 45 4.42 37 -8
Portugal 46 4.38 43 -3
Lithuania 47 4.38 53 6
Italy 48 4.37 48 0
Montenegro 49 4.36 62 13

Djibouti Review 2016 Page 147 of 289 pages

Malta	50	4.34	52	2
India	51	4.33	49	-2
Hungary	52	4.33	58	6
Panama	53	4.33	59	6
South Africa	54	4.32	45	-9
Mauritius	55	4.32	57	2
Costa Rica	56	4.31	55	-1
Azerbaijan	57	4.29	51	-6
Brazil	58	4.28	56	-2
Vietnam	59	4.27	75	16
Slovak Republic	60	4.25	47	-13
Turkey	61	4.25	61	0
Sri Lanka	62	4.25	79	17
Russian Federation	63	4.24	63	0
Uruguay	64	4.23	65	1
Jordan	65	4.21	50	-15
Mexico	66	4.19	60	-6
Romania	67	4.16	64	-3

Djibouti Review 2016 Page 148 of 289 pages

Colombia	68	4.14	69	1
Iran	69	4.14	n/a	n/a
Latvia	70	4.14	68	-2
Bulgaria	71	4.13	76	5
Kazakhstan	72	4.12	67	-5
Peru	73	4.11	78	5
Namibia	74	4.09	74	0
Morocco	75	4.08	73	-2
Botswana	76	4.05	66	-10
Croatia	77	4.04	72	-5
Guatemala	78	4.04	80	2
Macedonia, FYR	79	4.02	84	5
Rwanda	80	4.00	n/a	n/a
Egypt	81	4.00	70	-11
El Salvador	82	3.99	77	-5
Greece	83	3.99	71	-12
Trinidad and Tobago	84	3.97	86	2
Philippines	85	3.96	87	2

Djibouti Review 2016 Page 149 of 289 pages

Algeria	86	3.96	83	-3
Argentina	87	3.95	85	-2
Albania	88	3.94	96	8
Ukraine	89	3.90	82	-7
Gambia, The	90	3.90	81	-9
Honduras	91	3.89	89	-2
Lebanon	92	3.89	n/a	n/a
Georgia	93	3.86	90	-3
Moldova	94	3.86	n/a	n/a
Jamaica	95	3.85	91	-4
Serbia	96	3.84	93	-3
Syria	97	3.79	94	-3
Armenia	98	3.76	97	-1
Mongolia	99	3.75	117	18
Libya	100	3.74	88	-12
Dominican Republic	101	3.72	95	-6
Bosnia and Herzegovina	102	3.70	109	7
Benin	103	3.69	103	0

Djibouti Review 2016 Page 150 of 289 pages

Senegal	104	3.67	92	-12
Ecuador	105	3.65	105	0
Kenya	106	3.65	98	-8
Bangladesh	107	3.64	106	-1
Bolivia	108	3.64	120	12
Cambodia	109	3.63	110	1
Guyana	110	3.62	104	-6
Cameroon	111	3.58	111	0
Nicaragua	112	3.57	115	3
Tanzania	113	3.56	100	-13
Ghana	114	3.56	114	0
Zambia	115	3.55	112	-3
Tajikistan	116	3.53	122	6
Cape Verde	117	3.51	n/a	n/a
Uganda	118	3.51	108	-10
Ethiopia	119	3.51	118	-1
Paraguay	120	3.49	124	4
Kyrgyz Republic	121	3.49	123	2

Djibouti Review 2016 Page 151 of 289 pages

Venezuela	122	3.48	113	-9
Pakistan	123	3.48	101	-22
Madagascar	124	3.46	121	-3
Malawi	125	3.45	119	-6
Swaziland	126	3.40	n/a	n/a
Nigeria	127	3.38	99	-28
Lesotho	128	3.36	107	-21
Côte d'Ivoire	129	3.35	116	-13
Nepal	130	3.34	125	-5
Mozambique	131	3.32	129	-2
Mali	132	3.28	130	-2
Timor-Leste	133	3.23	126	-7
Burkina Faso	134	3.20	128	-6
Mauritania	135	3.14	127	-8
Zimbabwe	136	3.03	132	-4
Burundi	137	2.96	133	-4
Angola	138	2.93	n/a	n/a
Chad	139	2.73	131	-8

Djibouti Review 2016 Page 152 of 289 pages

Methodology:

The competitiveness rankings are calculated from both publicly available data and the Executive Opinion Survey, a comprehensive annual survey conducted by the World Economic Forum together with its network of Partner Institutes (leading research institutes and business organizations) in the countries covered by the Report.

Highlights according to WEF --

- The <u>United States</u> falls two places to fourth position, overtaken by <u>Sweden</u> and <u>Singapore</u> in the rankings of the World Economic Forum's Global Competitiveness Report 2010-2011
- The People's Republic of <u>China</u> continues to move up the rankings, with marked improvements in several other Asian countries
- Germany moves up two places to fifth place, leading the Eurozone countries
- Switzerland tops the rankings

Source:

World Economic Forum; available at URL: http://www.weforum.org

<u>Updated</u>:

2011 using most recent ranking available; reviewed in 2015.

Taxation

Corporate tax

The corporate income tax is 25 percent of profits or one percent of turnover taxable income or turnover.

Social Security

Djibouti Review 2016 Page 153 of 289 pages

Social security contributions make up 15.7 percent of gross salaries.

Stock Market

There is currently no stock market data for Djibouti.

Partner Links

Partner Links

Djibouti Review 2016 Page 154 of 289 pages

Chapter 5 Social Overview

Djibouti Review 2016 Page 155 of 289 pages

People

Population

More than half of the Republic of Djibouti's inhabitants (over 800,000) reside in the capital city. The population is divided between the Somalis (predominantly of the Issa tribe, with minority Issak and Gadaboursi representation) and the Afar. All are Cushitic-speaking peoples, although French and Arabic are the official languages and Somali and Afar are spoken languages. Nearly all the inhabitants are Muslim, however, there is a small Christian minority. Among the 15,000 foreigners residing in Djibouti, the French are the most numerous. Among the French are 3,200 troops. There is also a sizable Arab population living in Djibouti, which constitutes about five percent of the population.

Cultural and Ethnic Divisions

The ethnic divide between the Issa and the Afar dominates the social and political landscapes. It is the cause of political hostilities and the root of what some at one time called Djibouti's 'boiling cauldron.' In the late 1980s and early 1990s there was a renewed effort to grow a "greater Afar" nation that led many to believe that the cauldron would boil over. Ultimately, the conflict abated without significant regime upheaval. In the political sphere there have been attempts at power sharing to try to quell the conflict, though the political dominance of the Issa continues to be a source of Afar resentment and periodic unrest. In the social sphere the divide looms large.

There is also a divide in Djibouti between those who are of Arab descent and those who are of African descent. The importance of the Arab population derives largely from the wealth they have amassed. The Arab population plays a disproportionately powerful role in the commercial sector. The divide is further complicated by the fact that though Djiboutians share a united faith in Islam, the Arab population holds the dominant commercial connection to the broader Arab world. Arab influence in the political sphere has been limited predominantly to its role in civil society.

Socio-Economic Considerations

Djibouti Review 2016 Page 156 of 289 pages

Djibouti has few natural resources to offer beyond low profit-yielding salt. The arid soils provide little agricultural opportunity, there is little or no mineral wealth, and there is no oil known off the coast. The people, while more educated than many of their regional counterparts, are not well trained enough to offer international business skills. Infrastructure does not provide the requirements for attracting significant international business. Djibouti's main advantages have been its strategic position. It has a vibrant port in a region of large land-locked country. Since the Ethiopian-Eritrean conflict Djibouti has profited by providing Ethiopia an alternative to the Eritrean port. As a neighbor to Somalia and Somaliland, and baring a large Somali population, Djibouti has seen its interest involved in the Somali conflict, most notably hosting peace talks in Spring 2000.

Human Development

In terms of health and welfare, the average life expectancy in Djibouti is 61.14 years of age (58.69 years for males and 63.66 years for females). The infant mortality rate is 99 deaths per 1000 live births and the birth rate is close to two percent.

The risk of infectious diseases in this country is high. Food or waterborne diseases include bacterial and protozoal diarrhea, hepatitis A and E, and typhoid fever; vectorborne disease include malaria. The highly pathogenic H5N1 avian influenza has been identified in this country although it poses a negligible risk. The HIV/AIDs infection rate is lower than many other African countries at only 2.9 percent. About 6.4 percent of GDP in this country is spent on health expenditures.

Around 67.9 percent of the population is literate, although that number obfuscates gender disparity -- 78 percent of males are literate and 58.4 percent of females are literate. About 8.4 percent of GDP in this country is spent on educational expenditures.

A notable measure of human development is the Human Development Index (HDI), which is formulated by the United Nations Development Program. The HDI is a composite of several indicators, which measure a country's achievements in three main areas of human development: longevity, knowledge and education, as well as economic standard of living. The HDI places Djibouti in the low human development category, at 147th place.

Note: Although the concept of human development is complicated and cannot be properly captured by values and indices, the HDI, which is calculated and updated annually, offers a wide-ranging assessment of human development in certain countries, not based solely upon traditional economic and financial indicators.

Djibouti Review 2016 Page 157 of 289 pages

Written by Dr. Denise Youngblood Coleman, Editor in Chief, www.countrywatch.com; see Bibliograpy for list of research sources. Supplementary sources: Indian Ocean Newsletter.

Human Development Index

Human Development Index

Human Development Index (Ranked Numerically)

The <u>Human Development Index</u> (HDI) is used to measure quality of life in countries across the world. The HDI has been compiled since 1990 by the United Nations Development Programme (UNDP) on a regular basis. The HDI is a composite of several indicators, which measure a country's achievements in three main arenas of human development: longevity, education, and economic standard of living. Although the concept of human development is complicated and cannot be properly captured by values and indices, the HDI offers a wide-ranging assessment of human development in certain countries, not based solely upon traditional economic and financial indicators. For more information about the methodology used to calculate the HDI, please see the "Source Materials" in the appendices of this review.

Very High Human Development	High Human Development	Medium Human Development	Low Human Development
1. Norway	43. Bahamas	86. Fiji	128. Kenya
2. Australia	44. Lithuania	87. Turkmenistan	129. Bangladesh
3. New Zealand	45. Chile	88. Dominican Republic	130. Ghana
4. United States	46. Argentina	89. China	131. Cameroon
			132. Myanmar

Djibouti Review 2016 Page 158 of 289 pages

5. Ireland	47. Kuwait	90. El Salvador	(Burma)
6. Liechtenstein	48. Latvia	91. Sri Lanka	133. Yemen
7. Netherlands	49. Montenegro	92. Thailand	134. Benin
8. Canada	50. Romania	93. Gabon	135. Madagascar
9. Sweden	51. Croatia	94. Surname	136. Mauritania
10. Germany	52. Uruguay	95. Bolivia	137. Papua New Guinea
11. Japan	53. Libya	96. Paraguay	138. Nepal
12. South Korea	54. Panama	97. Philippines	139. Togo
13. Switzerland	55. Saudi Arabia	98. Botswana	140. Comoros
14. France	56. Mexico	99. Moldova	141. Lesotho
15. Israel	57. Malaysia	100. Mongolia	142. Nigeria
16. Finland	58. Bulgaria	101. Egypt	143. Uganda
17. Iceland	59. Trinidad and Tobago	102. Uzbekistan	144. Senegal
18. Belgium	60. Serbia	103. Micronesia	145. Haiti
19. Denmark	61. Belarus	104. Guyana	146. Angola
20. Spain	62. Costa Rica	105. Namibia	147. Djibouti
21. Hong King	63. Peru	106. Honduras	148. Tanzania

Djibouti Review 2016 Page 159 of 289 pages

22. Greece	64. Albania	107. Maldives	149. Cote d'Ivoire
23. Italy	65. Russian Federation	108. Indonesia	150. Zambia
24. Luxembourg	66. Kazakhstan	109. Kyrgyzstan	151. Gambia
25. Austria	67. Azerbaijan	110. South Africa	152. Rwanda
26. United Kingdom	68. Bosnia and Herzegovina	111. Syria	153. Malawi
27. Singapore	69. Ukraine	112. Tajikistan	154. Sudan
28. Czech Republic	70. Iran	113. Vietnam	155. Afghanistan
29. Slovenia	71. The former Yugoslav Republic of Macedonia	114. Morocco	156. Guinea
30. Andorra	72. Mauritius	115. Nicaragua	157. Ethiopia
31. Slovakia	73. Brazil	116. Guatemala	158. Sierra Leone
32. United Arab Emirates	74. Georgia	117. Equatorial Guinea	159. Central African Republic
33. Malta	75. Venezuela	118. Cape Verde	160. Mali
34. Estonia	76. Armenia	119. India	161. Burkina Faso
35. Cyprus	77. Ecuador	120. East Timor	162. Liberia
36. Hungary	78. Belize	121. Swaziland	163. Chad

Djibouti Review 2016 Page 160 of 289 pages

37. Brunei	79. Colombia	122. Laos	164. Guinea- Bissau
38. Qatar	80. Jamaica	123. Solomon Islands	165. Mozambique
39. Bahrain	81. Tunisia	124. Cambodia	166. Burundi
40. Portugal	82. Jordan	125. Pakistan	167. Niger
41. Poland	83. Turkey	126. Congo RC	168. Congo DRC
42. Barbados	84. Algeria	127. Sao Tome and Principe	169. Zimbabwe
	85. Tonga		

Methodology:

For more information about the methodology used to calculate the HDI, please see the "Source Materials" in the appendices of this Country Review.

Reference:

As published in United Nations Development Programme's Human Development Report 2010.

Source:

United Nations Development Programme's <u>Human Development Index</u> available at URL: http://hdr.undp.org/en/statistics/

Updated:

Uploaded in 2011 using ranking available; reviewed in 2015

Djibouti Review 2016 Page 161 of 289 pages

Life Satisfaction Index

Life Satisfaction Index

Life Satisfaction Index

Created by Adrian G. White, an Analytic Social Psychologist at the University of Leicester, the "Satisfaction with Life Index" measures subjective life satisfaction across various countries. The data was taken from a metastudy (see below for source) and associates the notion of subjective happiness or life satisfaction with qualitative parameters such as health, wealth, and access to basic education. This assessment serves as an alternative to other measures of happiness that tend to rely on traditional and quantitative measures of policy on quality of life, such as GNP and GDP. The methodology involved the responses of 80,000 people across the globe.

Rank	Country	Score
1	Denmark	273.4
2	Switzerland	273.33
3	Austria	260
4	Iceland	260
5	The Bahamas	256.67
6	Finland	256.67
7	Sweden	256.67
8	Iran	253.33
9	Brunei	253.33

Djibouti Review 2016 Page 162 of 289 pages

10	Canada	253.33
11	Ireland	253.33
12	Luxembourg	253.33
13	Costa Rica	250
14	Malta	250
15	Netherlands	250
16	Antiguaand Barbuda	246.67
17	Malaysia	246.67
18	New Zealand	246.67
19	Norway	246.67
20	Seychelles	246.67
21	Saint Kitts and Nevis	246.67
22	United Arab Emirates	246.67
23	United States	246.67
24	Vanuatu	246.67
25	Venezuela	246.67
26	Australia	243.33
27	Barbados	243.33

Djibouti Review 2016 Page 163 of 289 pages

28	Belgium	243.33
29	Dominica	243.33
30	Oman	243.33
31	Saudi Arabia	243.33
32	Suriname	243.33
33	Bahrain	240
34	Colombia	240
35	Germany	240
36	Guyana	240
37	Honduras	240
38	Kuwait	240
39	Panama	240
40	Saint Vincent and the Grenadines	240
41	United Kingdom	236.67
42	Dominican Republic	233.33
43	Guatemala	233.33
44	Jamaica	233.33
45	Qatar	233.33

Djibouti Review 2016 Page 164 of 289 pages

46	Spain	233.33
47	Saint Lucia	233.33
48	Belize	230
49	Cyprus	230
50	Italy	230
51	Mexico	230
52	Samoa	230
53	Singapore	230
54	Solomon Islands	230
55	Trinidad and Tobago	230
56	Argentina	226.67
57	Fiji	223.33
58	Israel	223.33
59	Mongolia	223.33
60	São Tomé and Príncipe	223.33
61	El Salvador	220
62	France	220
63	Hong Kong	220

Djibouti Review 2016 Page 165 of 289 pages

64	Indonesia	220
65	Kyrgyzstan	220
66	Maldives	220
67	Slovenia	220
68	Taiwan	220
69	East Timor	220
70	Tonga	220
71	Chile	216.67
72	Grenada	216.67
73	Mauritius	216.67
74	Namibia	216.67
75	Paraguay	216.67
76	Thailand	216.67
77	Czech Republic	213.33
78	Philippines	213.33
79	Tunisia	213.33
80	Uzbekistan	213.33
81	Brazil	210

Djibouti Review 2016 Page 166 of 289 pages

82	China	210
83	Cuba	210
84	Greece	210
85	Nicaragua	210
86	Papua New Guinea	210
87	Uruguay	210
88	Gabon	206.67
89	Ghana	206.67
90	Japan	206.67
91	Yemen	206.67
92	Portugal	203.33
93	Sri Lanka	203.33
94	Tajikistan	203.33
95	Vietnam	203.33
96	Bhutan	200
97	Comoros	196.67
98	Croatia	196.67
99	Poland	196.67

Djibouti Review 2016 Page 167 of 289 pages

100	Cape Verde	193.33
101	Kazakhstan	193.33
102	South Korea	193.33
103	Madagascar	193.33
104	Bangladesh	190
105	Republic of the Congo	190
106	The Gambia	190
107	Hungary	190
108	Libya	190
109	South Africa	190
110	Cambodia	186.67
111	Ecuador	186.67
112	Kenya	186.67
113	Lebanon	186.67
114	Morocco	186.67
115	Peru	186.67
116	Senegal	186.67
117	Bolivia	183.33

Djibouti Review 2016 Page 168 of 289 pages

118	Haiti	183.33
119	Nepal	183.33
120	Nigeria	183.33
121	Tanzania	183.33
122	Benin	180
123	Botswana	180
124	Guinea-Bissau	180
125	India	180
126	Laos	180
127	Mozambique	180
128	Palestinian Authority	180
129	Slovakia	180
130	Myanmar	176.67
131	Mali	176.67
132	Mauritania	176.67
133	Turkey	176.67
134	Algeria	173.33
135	Equatorial Guinea	173.33

Djibouti Review 2016 Page 169 of 289 pages

136	Romania	173.33
137	Bosnia and Herzegovina	170
138	Cameroon	170
139	Estonia	170
140	Guinea	170
141	Jordan	170
142	Syria	170
143	Sierra Leone	166.67
144	Azerbaijan	163.33
145	Central African Republic	163.33
146	Republic of Macedonia	163.33
147	Togo	163.33
148	Zambia	163.33
149	Angola	160
150	Djibouti	160
151	Egypt	160
152	Burkina Faso	156.67
153	Ethiopia	156.67

Djibouti Review 2016 Page 170 of 289 pages

	Latvia	156.67
155	Lithuania	156.67
156	Uganda	156.67
157	Albania	153.33
158	Malawi	153.33
159	Chad	150
160	Côte d'Ivoire	150
161	Niger	150
162	Eritrea	146.67
163	Rwanda	146.67
164	Bulgaria	143.33
165	Lesotho	143.33
166	Pakistan	143.33
167	Russia	143.33
168	Swaziland	140
169	Georgia	136.67
170	Belarus	133.33
171	Turkmenistan	133.33

Djibouti Review 2016 Page 171 of 289 pages

172	Armenia	123.33
173	Sudan	120
174	Ukraine	120
175	Moldova	116.67
176	Democratic Republic of the Congo	110
177	Zimbabwe	110
178	Burundi	100

Commentary:

European countries, such as Denmark, Iceland, Finland, Sweden, Switzerland, Austria resided at the top of the ranking with highest levels of self-reported life satisfaction. Conversely, European countries such as Latvia, Lithuania, Moldova, Belarus and Ukraine ranked low on the index. African countries such as Democratic Republic of Congo, Zimbabwe and Burundi found themselves at the very bottom of the ranking, and indeed, very few African countries could be found in the top 100. Japan was at the mid-way point in the ranking, however, other Asian countries such as Brunei and Malaysia were in the top tier, while Pakistan was close to the bottom with a low level of self-identified life satisfaction. As a region, the Middle East presented a mixed bad with Saudi Arabians reporing healthy levels of life satisfaction and Egyptians near the bottom of the ranking. As a region, Caribbean countries were ranked highly, consistently demonstrating high levels of life satisfaction. The findings showed that health was the most crucial determining factor in life satisfaction, followed by prosperity and education.

Source:

White, A. (2007). A Global Projection of Subjective Well-being: A Challenge To Positive Psychology? Psychtalk 56, 17-20. The data was extracted from a meta-analysis by Marks, Abdallah, Simms & Thompson (2006).

Djibouti Review 2016 Page 172 of 289 pages

<u>Uploaded:</u>

Based on study noted above in "Source"; reviewed in 2015

Happy Planet Index

Happy Planet Index

The Happy Planet Index (HPI) is used to measure human well-being in conjunction with environmental impact. The HPI has been compiled since 2006 by the New Economics Foundation. The index is a composite of several indicators including subjective life satisfaction, life expectancy at birth, and ecological footprint per capita.

As noted by NEFA, the HPI "reveals the ecological efficiency with which human well-being is delivered." Indeed, the index combines environmental impact with human well-being to measure the environmental efficiency with which, country by country, people live long and happy lives. The countries ranked highest by the HPI are not necessarily the ones with the happiest people overall, but the ones that allow their citizens to live long and fulfilling lives, without negatively impacting this opportunity for either future generations or citizens of other countries. Accordingly, a country like the <u>United States</u> will rank low on this list due to its large per capital ecological footprint, which uses more than its fair share of resources, and will likely cause planetary damage.

It should be noted that the HPI was designed to be a counterpoint to other well-established indices of countries' development, such as Gross Domestic Product (GDP), which measures overall national wealth and economic development, but often obfuscates the realities of countries with stark variances between the rich and the poor. Moreover, the objective of most of the world's people is not to be wealthy but to be happy. The HPI also differs from the Human Development Index (HDI), which measures quality of life but not ecology, since it [HPI] also includes sustainability as a key indicator.

Rank	Country	HPI
1	Costa Rica	76.1

Djibouti Review 2016 Page 173 of 289 pages

2	Dominican Republic	71.8
3	Jamaica	70.1
4	Guatemala	68.4
5	Vietnam	66.5
6	Colombia	66.1
7	Cuba	65.7
8	El Salvador	61.5
9	Brazil	61.0
10	Honduras	61.0
11	Nicaragua	60.5
12	Egypt	60.3
13	Saudi Arabia	59.7
14	Philippines	59.0
15	Argentina	59.0
16	Indonesia	58.9
17	Bhutan	58.5
18	Panama	57.4
19	Laos	57.3

Djibouti Review 2016 Page 174 of 289 pages

20	China	57.1
21	Morocco	56.8
22	Sri Lanka	56.5
23	Mexico	55.6
24	Pakistan	55.6
25	Ecuador	55.5
26	Jordan	54.6
27	Belize	54.5
28	Peru	54.4
29	Tunisia	54.3
30	Trinidad and Tobago	54.2
31	Bangladesh	54.1
32	Moldova	54.1
33	Malaysia	54.0
34	Tajikistan	53.5
35	India	53.0
36	Venezuela	52.5
37	Nepal	51.9

Djibouti Review 2016 Page 175 of 289 pages

38	Syria	51.3
39	Burma	51.2
40	Algeria	51.2
41	Thailand	50.9
42	Haiti	50.8
43	Netherlands	50.6
44	Malta	50.4
45	Uzbekistan	50.1
46	Chile	49.7
47	Bolivia	49.3
48	Armenia	48.3
49	Singapore	48.2
50	Yemen	48.1
51	Germany	48.1
52	Switzerland	48.1
53	Sweden	48.0
54	Albania	47.9
55	Paraguay	47.8

Djibouti Review 2016 Page 176 of 289 pages

56	Palestinian Authority	47.7
57	Austria	47.7
58	Serbia	47.6
59	Finland	47.2
60	Croatia	47.2
61	Kyrgyzstan	47.1
62	Cyprus	46.2
63	Guyana	45.6
64	Belgium	45.4
65	Bosnia and Herzegovina	45.0
66	Slovenia	44.5
67	Israel	44.5
68	South Korea	44.4
69	Italy	44.0
70	Romania	43.9
71	France	43.9
72	Georgia	43.6
73	Slovakia	43.5

Djibouti Review 2016 Page 177 of 289 pages

74	United Kingdom	43.3
75	Japan	43.3
76	Spain	43.2
77	Poland	42.8
78	Ireland	42.6
79	Iraq	42.6
80	Cambodia	42.3
81	Iran	42.1
82	Bulgaria	42.0
83	Turkey	41.7
84	Hong Kong	41.6
85	Azerbaijan	41.2
86	Lithuania	40.9
87	Djibouti	40.4
88	Norway	40.4
89	Canada	39.4
90	Hungary	38.9
91	Kazakhstan	38.5

Djibouti Review 2016 Page 178 of 289 pages

92	Czech Republic	38.3
93	Mauritania	38.2
94	Iceland	38.1
95	Ukraine	38.1
96	Senegal	38.0
97	Greece	37.6
98	Portugal	37.5
99	Uruguay	37.2
100	Ghana	37.1
101	Latvia	36.7
102	Australia	36.6
103	New Zealand	36.2
104	Belarus	35.7
105	Denmark	35.5
106	Mongolia	35.0
107	Malawi	34.5
108	Russia	34.5
109	Chad	34.3

Djibouti Review 2016 Page 179 of 289 pages

110	Lebanon	33.6
111	Macedonia	32.7
112	Republic of the Congo	32.4
113	Madagascar	31.5
114	United States	30.7
115	Nigeria	30.3
116	Guinea	30.3
117	Uganda	30.2
118	South Africa	29.7
119	Rwanda	29.6
120	Democratic Republic of the Congo	29.0
121	Sudan	28.5
122	Luxembourg	28.5
123	United Arab Emirates	28.2
124	Ethiopia	28.1
125	Kenya	27.8
126	Cameroon	27.2
127	Zambia	27.2

Djibouti Review 2016 Page 180 of 289 pages

128	Kuwait	27.0
129	Niger	26.9
130	Angola	26.8
131	Estonia	26.4
132	Mali	25.8
133	Mozambique	24.6
134	Benin	24.6
135	Togo	23.3
136	Sierra Leone	23.1
137	Central African Republic	22.9
138	Burkina Faso	22.4
139	Burundi	21.8
140	Namibia	21.1
141	Botswana	20.9
142	Tanzania	17.8
143	Zimbabwe	16.6

Source: This material is derived from the Happy Planet Index issued by the New Economics Foundation (NEF).

Djibouti Review 2016 Page 181 of 289 pages

Methodology:	The	methodology	for	the	calculations	can	be foun	d at	URL:
http://www.hap	<u>pyplar</u>	netindex.org/							

Status of Women

Overview

Although women in Djibouti enjoy a higher public status than in many other Islamic countries, women's rights and family planning are not high priorities. Few women hold senior positions. Nonetheless, one women's organization, *Union Nationale Aicha Bogoreh*, is active.

Gender Related Development Index (GDI) Rank:

Not Ranked

Gender Empowerment Measure (GEM) Rank:

Not Ranked

Female Population:

400,000

Female Life Expectancy at birth:

63.66 years

Total Fertility Rate:

5.9

Maternal Mortality Ratio (2000):

730

Djibouti Review 2016 Page 182 of 289 pages

Total Number of Women Living with HIV/AIDS:
2,200-19,000
Ever Married Women, Ages 15-19 (%):
N/A
Mean Age at Time of Marriage:
N/A
Contraceptive Use Among Married Women, Any Method (%):
N/A
Female Adult Literacy Rate:
58.4%
Combined Female Gross enrollment ratio for Primary, Secondary and Tertiary schools:
23%
Female-Headed Households (%):
18%
Economically Active Females (%):
N/A
Female Contributing Family Workers (%):
N/A
Female Estimated Earned Income:
N/A
Seats in Parliament held by women (%):
Lower or Single House: 10.8%

Djibouti Review 2016 Page 183 of 289 pages

Upper House or Senate: N/A

Year Women Received the Right to Vote:

1946

Year Women Received the Right to Stand for Election:

1986

- *The Gender Development Index (GDI) is a composite index which measures the average achievement in a country. While very similar to the Human Development Index in its use of the same variables, the GDI adjusts the average achievement of each country in terms of life expectancy, enrollment in schools, income, and literacy in accordance to the disparities between males and females.
- *The Gender Empowerment Measure (GEM) is a composite index measuring gender inequality in three of the basic dimensions of empowerment; economic participation and decision-making, political participation and decision-making, and power over economic resources.
- *Total Fertility Rate (TFR) is defined as the average number of babies born to women during their reproductive years. A TFR of 2.1 is considered the replacement rate; once a TFR of a population reaches 2.1 the population will remain stable assuming no immigration or emigration takes place. When the TFR is greater than 2.1 a population will increase and when it is less than 2.1 a population will eventually decrease, although due to the age structure of a population it will take years before a low TFR is translated into lower population.
- *Maternal Mortality Rate is the number of deaths to women per 100,000 live births that resulted from conditions related to pregnancy and or delivery related complications.
- *Economically Active Females are the share of the female population, ages 15 and above, whom supply, or are able to supply, labor for the production of goods and services.
- *Female Contributing Family Workers are those females who work without pay in an economic enterprise operated by a relative living in the same household.
- *Estimated Earned Income is measured according to Purchasing Power Parity (PPP) in US dollars.

Djibouti Review 2016 Page 184 of 289 pages

Global Gender Gap Index

Global Gender Gap Index

Editor's Note:

The Global Gender Gap Index by the World Economic Forum ranks most of the world's countries in terms of the division of resources and opportunities among males and females. Specifically, the ranking assesses the gender inequality gap in these four arenas:

- 1. Economic participation and opportunity (salaries and high skilled employment participation levels)
- 2. Educational attainment (access to basic and higher level education)
- 3. Political empowerment (representation in decision-making structures)
- 4. Health and survival (life expectancy and sex ratio)

	2010 rank	2010 score	2010 rank among 2009 countries	2009 rank	2009 score	2008 rank	2008 score	2007 rank
Country								
Iceland	1	0.8496	1	1	0.8276	4	0.7999	4
Norway	2	0.8404	2	3	0.8227	1	0.8239	2
Finland	3	0.8260	3	2	0.8252	2	0.8195	3
Sweden	4	0.8024	4	4	0.8139	3	0.8139	1
New Zealand	5	0.7808	5	5	0.7880	5	0.7859	5

Djibouti Review 2016 Page 185 of 289 pages

Ireland	6	0.7773	6	8	0.7597	8	0.7518	9
Denmark	7	0.7719	7	7	0.7628	7	0.7538	8
Lesotho	8	0.7678	8	10	0.7495	16	0.7320	26
Philippines	9	0.7654	9	9	0.7579	6	0.7568	6
Switzerland	10	0.7562	10	13	0.7426	14	0.7360	40
Spain	11	0.7554	11	17	0.7345	17	0.7281	10
South Africa	12	0.7535	12	6	0.7709	22	0.7232	20
Germany	13	0.7530	13	12	0.7449	11	0.7394	7
Belgium	14	0.7509	14	33	0.7165	28	0.7163	19
United Kingdom	15	0.7460	15	15	0.7402	13	0.7366	11
Sri Lanka	16	0.7458	16	16	0.7402	12	0.7371	15
Netherlands	17	0.7444	17	11	0.7490	9	0.7399	12
Latvia	18	0.7429	18	14	0.7416	10	0.7397	13
United States	19	0.7411	19	31	0.7173	27	0.7179	31
Canada	20	0.7372	20	25	0.7196	31	0.7136	18
Trinidad and Tobago	21	0.7353	21	19	0.7298	19	0.7245	46
Mozambique	22	0.7329	22	26	0.7195	18	0.7266	43

Djibouti Review 2016 Page 186 of 289 pages

Australia	23	0.7271	23	20	0.7282	21	0.7241	17
Cuba	24	0.7253	24	29	0.7176	25	0.7195	22
Namibia	25	0.7238	25	32	0.7167	30	0.7141	29
Luxembourg	26	0.7231	26	63	0.6889	66	0.6802	58
Mongolia	27	0.7194	27	22	0.7221	40	0.7049	62
Costa Rica	28	0.7194	28	27	0.7180	32	0.7111	28
Argentina	29	0.7187	29	24	0.7211	24	0.7209	33
Nicaragua	30	0.7176	30	49	0.7002	71	0.6747	90
Barbados	31	0.7176	31	21	0.7236	26	0.7188	n/a
Portugal	32	0.7171	32	46	0.7013	39	0.7051	37
Uganda	33	0.7169	33	40	0.7067	43	0.6981	50
Moldova	34	0.7160	34	36	0.7104	20	0.7244	21
Lithuania	35	0.7132	35	30	0.7175	23	0.7222	14
Bahamas	36	0.7128	36	28	0.7179	n/a	n/a	n/a
Austria	37	0.7091	37	42	0.7031	29	0.7153	27
Guyana	38	0.7090	38	35	0.7108	n/a	n/a	n/a
Panama	39	0.7072	39	43	0.7024	34	0.7095	38
Ecuador	40	0.7072	40	23	0.7220	35	0.7091	44

Djibouti Review 2016 Page 187 of 289 pages

Kazakhstan	41	0.7055	41	47	0.7013	45	0.6976	32
Slovenia	42	0.7047	42	52	0.6982	51	0.6937	49
Poland	43	0.7037	43	50	0.6998	49	0.6951	60
Jamaica	44	0.7037	44	48	0.7013	44	0.6980	39
Russian Federation	45	0.7036	45	51	0.6987	42	0.6994	45
France	46	0.7025	46	18	0.7331	15	0.7341	51
Estonia	47	0.7018	47	37	0.7094	37	0.7076	30
Chile	48	0.7013	48	64	0.6884	65	0.6818	86
Macedonia, FYR	49	0.6996	49	53	0.6950	53	0.6914	35
Bulgaria	50	0.6983	50	38	0.7072	36	0.7077	25
Kyrgyz Republic	51	0.6973	51	41	0.7058	41	0.7045	70
Israel	52	0.6957	52	45	0.7019	56	0.6900	36
Croatia	53	0.6939	53	54	0.6944	46	0.6967	16
Honduras	54	0.6927	54	62	0.6893	47	0.6960	68
Colombia	55	0.6927	55	56	0.6939	50	0.6944	24
Singapore	56	0.6914	56	84	0.6664	84	0.6625	77
Thailand	57	0.6910	57	59	0.6907	52	0.6917	52

Djibouti Review 2016 Page 188 of 289 pages

Greece	58	0.6908	58	85	0.6662	75	0.6727	72
Uruguay	59	0.6897	59	57	0.6936	54	0.6907	78
Peru	60	0.6895	60	44	0.7024	48	0.6959	75
China	61	0.6881	61	60	0.6907	57	0.6878	73
Botswana	62	0.6876	62	39	0.7071	63	0.6839	53
Ukraine	63	0.6869	63	61	0.6896	62	0.6856	57
Venezuela	64	0.6863	64	69	0.6839	59	0.6875	55
Czech Republic	65	0.6850	65	74	0.6789	69	0.6770	64
Tanzania	66	0.6829	66	73	0.6797	38	0.7068	34
Romania	67	0.6826	67	70	0.6805	70	0.6763	47
Malawi	68	0.6824	68	76	0.6738	81	0.6664	87
Paraguay	69	0.6804	69	66	0.6868	100	0.6379	69
Ghana	70	0.6782	70	80	0.6704	77	0.6679	63
Slovak Republic	71	0.6778	71	68	0.6845	64	0.6824	54
Vietnam	72	0.6776	72	71	0.6802	68	0.6778	42
Dominican Republic	73	0.6774	73	67	0.6859	72	0.6744	65
Italy	74	0.6765	74	72	0.6798	67	0.6788	84

Djibouti Review 2016 Page 189 of 289 pages

Gambia, The	75	0.6762	75	75	0.6752	85	0.6622	95
Bolivia	76	0.6751	76	82	0.6693	80	0.6667	80
Brueni Darussalem	77	0.6748	77	94	0.6524	99	0.6392	n/a
Albania	78	0.6726	78	91	0.6601	87	0.6591	66
Hungary	79	0.6720	79	65	0.6879	60	0.6867	61
Madagascar	80	0.6713	80	77	0.6732	74	0.6736	89
Angola	81	0.6712	81	106	0.6353	114	0.6032	110
Bangladesh	82	0.6702	82	93	0.6526	90	0.6531	100
Malta	83	0.6695	83	88	0.6635	83	0.6634	76
Armenia	84	0.6669	84	90	0.6619	78	0.6677	71
Brazil	85	0.6655	85	81	0.6695	73	0.6737	74
Cyprus	86	0.6642	86	79	0.6706	76	0.6694	82
Indonesia	87	0.6615	87	92	0.6580	93	0.6473	81
Georgia	88	0.6598	88	83	0.6680	82	0.6654	67
Tajikistan	89	0.6598	89	86	0.6661	89	0.6541	79
El Salvador	90	0.6596	90	55	0.6939	58	0.6875	48
Mexico	91	0.6577	91	98	0.6503	97	0.6441	93

Djibouti Review 2016 Page 190 of 289 pages

Zimbabwe	92	0.6574	92	95	0.6518	92	0.6485	88
Belize	93	0.6536	93	87	0.6636	86	0.6610	94
Japan	94	0.6524	94	101	0.6447	98	0.6434	91
Mauritius	95	0.6520	95	96	0.6513	95	0.6466	85
Kenya	96	0.6499	96	97	0.6512	88	0.6547	83
Cambodia	97	0.6482	97	104	0.6410	94	0.6469	98
Malaysia	98	0.6479	98	100	0.6467	96	0.6442	92
Maldives	99	0.6452	99	99	0.6482	91	0.6501	99
Azerbaijan	100	0.6446	100	89	0.6626	61	0.6856	59
Senegal	101	0.6414	101	102	0.6427	n/a	n/a	n/a
Suriname	102	0.6407	102	78	0.6726	79	0.6674	56
United Arab Emirates	103	0.6397	103	112	0.6198	105	0.6220	105
Korea, Rep.	104	0.6342	104	115	0.6146	108	0.6154	97
Kuwait	105	0.6318	105	105	0.6356	101	0.6358	96
Zambia	106	0.6293	106	107	0.6310	106	0.6205	101
Tunisia	107	0.6266	107	109	0.6233	103	0.6295	102
Fiji	108	0.6256	108	103	0.6414	n/a	n/a	n/a
Guatemala	109	0.6238	109	111	0.6209	112	0.6072	106

Djibouti Review 2016 Page 191 of 289 pages

Bahrain	110	0.6217	110	116	0.6136	121	0.5927	115
Burkina Faso	111	0.6162	111	120	0.6081	115	0.6029	117
India	112	0.6155	112	114	0.6151	113	0.6060	114
Mauritania	113	0.6152	113	119	0.6103	110	0.6117	111
Cameroon	114	0.6110	114	118	0.6108	117	0.6017	116
Nepal	115	0.6084	115	110	0.6213	120	0.5942	125
Lebanon*	116	0.6084	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a
Qatar	117	0.6059	116	125	0.5907	119	0.5948	109
Nigeria	118	0.6055	117	108	0.6280	102	0.6339	107
Algeria	119	0.6052	118	117	0.6119	111	0.6111	108
Jordan	120	0.6048	119	113	0.6182	104	0.6275	104
Ethiopia	121	0.6019	120	122	0.5948	122	0.5867	113
Oman	122	0.5950	121	123	0.5938	118	0.5960	119
Iran	123	0.5933	122	128	0.5839	116	0.6021	118
Syria	124	0.5926	123	121	0.6072	107	0.6181	103
Egypt	125	0.5899	124	126	0.5862	124	0.5832	120
Turkey	126	0.5876	125	129	0.5828	123	0.5853	121
Morocco	127	0.5767	126	124	0.5926	125	0.5757	122

Djibouti Review 2016 Page 192 of 289 pages

Benin	128	0.5719	127	131	0.5643	126	0.5582	123
Saudi Arabia	129	0.5713	128	130	0.5651	128	0.5537	124
Côte d'Ivoire*	130	0.5691	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a
Mali	131	0.5680	129	127	0.5860	109	0.6117	112
Pakistan	132	0.5465	130	132	0.5458	127	0.5549	126
Chad	133	0.5330	131	133	0.5417	129	0.5290	127
Yemen	134	0.4603	132	134	0.4609	130	0.4664	128
Belarus	n/a	n/a	n/a	34	0.7141	33	0.7099	23
Uzbekistan	n/a	n/a	n/a	58	0.6913	55	0.6906	41

Commentary:

According to the report's index, Nordic countries, such as <u>Iceland</u>, <u>Norway</u>, <u>Finland</u>, and <u>Sweden</u> have continued to dominate at the top of the ranking for gender equality. Meanwhile, <u>France</u> has seen a notable decline in the ranking, largely as a result of decreased number of women holding ministerial portfolios in that country. In the Americas, the <u>United States</u> has risen in the ranking to top the region, predominantly as a result of a decreasing wage gap, as well as higher number of women holding key positions in the current Obama administration. <u>Canada</u> has continued to remain as one of the top ranking countries of the Americas, followed by the small Caribbean island nation of Trinidad and Tobago, which has the distinction of being among the top three countries of the Americans in the realm of gender equality. <u>Lesotho</u> and South African ranked highly in the

Djibouti Review 2016 Page 193 of 289 pages

^{*}new country 2010

index, leading not only among African countries but also in global context. Despite Lesotho still lagging in the area of life expectancy, its high ranking was attributed to high levels of female participation in the labor force and female literacy. The Philippines and Sri Lanka were the top ranking countries for gender equality for Asia, ranking highly also in global context. The Philippines has continued to show strong performance in all strong performance on all four dimensions (detailed above) of the index. Finally, in the Arab world, the United Arab Emirates held the highest-rank within that region of the world; however, its placement near the bottom of the global list highlights the fact that Arab countries are generally poor performers when it comes to the matter of gender equality in global scope.

Source:

This data is derived from the latest edition of The Global Gender Gap Report by the World Economic Forum

Available at URL:

http://www.weforum.org/en/Communities/Women%20Leaders%20and%20Gender%20Parity/Gende

Updated:

Based on latest available data as set forth in chart; reviewed in 2014

Culture and Arts

Etiquette

Cultural Dos and Taboos

Djibouti Review 2016 Page 194 of 289 pages

- 1. The handshake is an acceptable form of greeting. Persons of the opposite sex may choose not to shake hands with each other
- 2. Stick to formal forms of address, including titles, unless invited to do otherwise.
- 3. There is a large Muslim population here so always respect the Islamic social conventions.
- 4. Respect their times of daily prayer.
- 5. Remember to never expose the heel of your foot to a Muslim.
- 6. Never offer a gift of pork or alcohol.
- 7. Flirting between the sexes is taboo.
- 8. Always remove shoes before entering a house or mosque.
- 9. In general, it is best not to give or take anything with your left hand.
- 10. It is best to dress modestly while in the company of a Muslim.

Travel Information

Please Note: This is a generalized travel guide and it is intended to coalesce several resources, which a traveler might find useful, regardless of a particular destination. As such, it does not include travel warnings for specific "hot spot" destinations.

For travel alerts and warnings, please see the United States Department of State's listings available at URL:

http://travel.state.gov/content/passports/english/alertswarnings.html

Please note that travel to the following countries, based on these warnings, is ill-advised, or should be undertaken with the utmost precaution:

Afghanistan, Algeria, Burundi, Cameroon, Central African Republic, Chad, Colombia, Democratic Republic of Congo, Djibouti, El Salvador, Eritrea, Ethiopia, Guinea,

Djibouti Review 2016 Page 195 of 289 pages

Honduras, Iraq, Iran, Lebanon, Liberia, Libya, Mali, Mauritania, Mexico, Nepal, Niger, Nigeria, North Korea, Pakistan, Palestinian Territories of West Bank and Gaza, Philippines areas of Sulu Archipelago, Mindanao, and southern Sulu Sea, Saudi Arabia, Sierra Leone, Somalia, South Sudan, Sudan, Syria, Ukraine, Venezuela, and Yemen.

Please note:

The U.S. government continues to receive information about potential terrorist threats aimed at Western (including U.S.) and Djiboutian interests in Djibouti. Terrorist acts can include suicide operations, bombings (to include car bombings), kidnappings, attacks on civil aviation, and attacks on maritime vessels in or near Djiboutian ports. Attacks may target official government facilities, including Embassies and military installations, as well as soft targets such as restaurants, clubs, hotels, and other commercial entities.

International Travel Guide

Checklist for Travelers

- 1. Take out travel insurance to cover hospital treatment or medical evacuation. Overseas medical costs are expensive to most international travelers, where one's domestic, nationalized or even private health insurance plans will not provide coverage outside one's home country. Learn about "reciprocal insurance plans" that some international health care companies might offer.
- **2.** Make sure that one's travel insurance is appropriate. If one intends to indulge in adventurous activities, such as parasailing, one should be sure that one is fully insured in such cases. Many traditional insurance policies do not provide coverage in cases of extreme circumstances.
- **3.** Take time to learn about one's destination country and culture. Read and learn about the place one is traveling. Also check political, economic and socio-cultural developments at the destination by reading country-specific travel reports and fact sheets noted below.
- **4.** Get the necessary visas for the country (or countries) one intends to visit but be aware that a visa does not guarantee entry. A number of useful sites regarding visa and other entry requirements are noted below.
- **5.** Keep in regular contact with friends and relatives back at home by phone or email, and be sure to leave a travel itinerary.

Djibouti Review 2016 Page 196 of 289 pages

- **6.** Protect one's personal information by making copies of one's passport details, insurance policy, travelers checks and credit card numbers. Taking copies of such documents with you, while leaving another collection copies with someone at home is also good practice for travelers. Taking copies of one's passport photograph is also recommended.
- 7. Stay healthy by taking all possible precautions against illness. Also, be sure to take extra supplies of prescription drugs along for the trip, while also taking time to pack general pharmaceutical supplies, such as aspirin and other such painkillers, bandages, stomach ailment medication, anti-inflammatory medication and anti-bacterial medication.
- **8.** Do not carry illicit drugs. Understand that the punishment for possession or use of illegal drugs in some countries may be capital punishment. Make sure your prescription drugs are legal in the countries you plan to visit.
- **9.** Know the laws of one's destination country and culture; be sure to understand the repercussions of breaking those laws and regulations. Often the transparency and freedoms of the juridical system at home is not consistent with that of one's destination country. Become aware of these complexities and subtleties before you travel.
- **10.** For longer stays in a country, or where the security situation is volatile, one should register one's self and traveling companions at the local embassy or consulate of one's country of citizenship.
- 11. Women should take care to be prepared both culturally and practically for traveling in a different country and culture. One should be sure to take sufficient supplies of personal feminine products and prescription drugs. One should also learn about local cultural standards for women, including norms of dressing. Be aware that it is simply inappropriate and unsafe for women to travel alone in some countries, and take the necessary precautions to avoid risk-filled situations.
- 12. If one is traveling with small children, one should pack extra supplies, make arrangements with the travel carrier for proper seating that would adequately accommodate children, infants or toddlers. Note also that whether one is male of female, traveling with children means that one's hands are thus not free to carry luggage and bags. Be especially aware that this makes one vulnerable to pickpockets, thieves and other sorts of crime.
- **13.** Make proper arrangements for accommodations, well in advance of one's arrival at a destination. Some countries have limited accommodation, while others may have culturally distinctive facilities. Learning about these practicalities before one travels will greatly aid the enjoyment of one's trip.
- **14.** Travel with different forms of currency and money (cash, traveler's checks and credit cards) in anticipation that venues may not accept one or another form of money. Also, ensuring that one's financial resources are not contained in one location, or by one person (if one is traveling with others) can be a useful measure, in the event that one loses a wallet or purse.
- **15.** Find out about transportation in the destination country. In some places, it might be advisable to hire a local driver or taxi guide for safety reasons, while in other countries, enjoying one's travel experience may well be enhanced by renting a vehicle and seeing the local sights and culture independently. Costs may also be prohibitive for either of these choices, so again, prior planning is suggested.

Djibouti Review 2016 Page 197 of 289 pages

Tips for Travelers

Please note:

The U.S. government continues to receive information about potential terrorist threats aimed at Western (including U.S.) and Djiboutian interests in Djibouti. Terrorist acts can include suicide operations, bombings (to include car bombings), kidnappings, attacks on civil aviation, and attacks on maritime vessels in or near Djiboutian ports. Attacks may target official government facilities, including Embassies and military installations, as well as soft targets such as restaurants, clubs, hotels, and other commercial entities.

A passport, visa and evidence of yellow fever vaccination are required. Travelers may obtain the latest information on entry requirements from the Embassy of the Republic of Djibouti.

Travelers should exercise caution when traveling to any remote area of the country, including the borders with Eritrea, Ethiopia and Somalia, since the Djiboutian security forces do not have a widespread presence in those regions. Visitors should avoid large crowds, political rallies, and street demonstrations and maintain security awareness at all times.

Petty crime occurs occasionally in the capital and elsewhere in the country. The loss or theft abroad of a passport should be reported immediately to local police and to the nearest appropriate embassy or consulate.

Medical facilities are limited, and medicines are often unavailable. Doctors and hospitals often expect immediate cash payment for health services. Uninsured travelers who require medical care overseas may face extreme difficulties. Please check with your own insurance company to confirm whether your policy applies overseas, including provision for medical evacuation and for adequacy of coverage. Serious medical problems requiring hospitalization and/or medical evacuation back to your country can be very expensive. Please ascertain whether payment will be made to the overseas hospital or doctor, or whether you will be reimbursed later for expenses that you incur.

Djibouti Review 2016 Page 198 of 289 pages

Some insurance policies also include coverage for psychiatric treatment and for disposition of remains in the event of death.

While in a foreign country, you may encounter road conditions that differ significantly from those in your home country. The information below concerning Djibouti is provided for general reference only, and it may not be totally accurate in a particular location or circumstance.

Safety of Public Transportation: Poor Urban Road Conditions/Maintenance: Poor Rural Road Conditions/Maintenance: Poor

Availability of Roadside/Ambulance Assistance: None

Land mines are known to be present in the northern districts of Tadjoureh and Obock. In addition, mines are believed to be present in the Ali Sabieh district in the south. Travelers should stay on paved roads and should check with local authorities before using unpaved roads. Travel by road is not recommended from Tadjoureh City to Obock district due to the presence of land mines on the main and side roads and the very poor condition of the roadway. The two main international routes to Djibouti City via Dire Dawa, Ethiopia, and Yoboki, Djibouti, are in poor condition due to the passage of 1000 heavily-laden trucks per day. The presence of so many trucks on those routes demands vigilance by drivers. Major roads outside the capital are paved, but they lack guardrails in some areas, and railroad crossings are not clearly marked.

The Department of Defense and the national police force share responsibility for road safety in Djibouti. Due to narrow, poorly maintained, and poorly lit streets, drivers and pedestrians in Djibouti City should exercise extreme caution to avoid accidents. Excessive speed, unpredictable local driving habits, pedestrians and livestock in the roadway, and the lack of basic safety equipment on many vehicles are daily hazards. Speed limits are posted occasionally, but they are not enforced. The stimulant drug khat is widely used, particularly in the afternoons, creating another traffic hazard. Travelers should be aware that police set up wire coils as roadblocks on some of the major roads, and these may be difficult to see at night.

There are only two forms of public inter-city travel: by bus and by a ferry operating between Djibouti City and the towns of Tadjoureh and Obock. The buses are poorly maintained, and their operators often drive erratically with little regard for passenger safety.

While in a foreign country, you are subject to that country's laws and regulations. Penalties for breaking the law can be more severe than in your country for similar offenses. Persons violating the laws of Djibouti, even unknowingly, may be expelled, arrested, or imprisoned. Penalties for use, possession, or trafficking in illegal drugs are strict, and convicted offenders can expect jail sentences and fines. Although the mild stimulant khat is legal and widely used in Djibouti, it is considered an illegal substance in many countries, including the United States.

Djibouti Review 2016 Page 199 of 289 pages

Djiboutian Customs authorities may enforce strict regulations concerning temporary importation into or export from Djibouti of firearms.

Credit cards are not widely accepted in Djibouti. There are only six ATM's in Djibouti (three in Djibouti City and one each in Ali Sabieh, Tadjourah and Plateau du Marabout) and they accept only Visa cards. ATM's should not be depended upon as one's sole means for obtaining currency, as they are frequently broken.

<u>Note</u>: This information is directly quoted from the United States Department of State Consular Information Sheet.

Sources: United States Department of State Consular Information Sheet

Business Culture: Information for Business Travelers

There are a few local habits to be aware of. For instance, you will typically see men holding hands: this is only a mark of friendship and not courtship. Also, people getting very close to you when talking is considered quite normal. Another cultural difference is that if your local business partner does not look at you when you are speaking, it does not mean that you do not have his (her) attention. Moreover, the business community is largely Muslim and would rather discuss things over a cup of tea or coffee than a glass of beer.

Sources: United States Department of State Commercial Guides

Online Resources Regarding Entry Requirements and Visas

Foreign Entry Requirements for Americans from the United States Department of State http://travel.state.gov/travel/cis pa tw/cis/cis 1765.html

Visa Services for Non-Americans from the United States Department of State http://travel.state.gov/visa/visa_1750.html

Visa Bulletins from the United States Department of State http://travel.state.gov/visa/frvi/bulletin/bulletin 1360.html

Visa Waivers from the United States Department of State http://travel.state.gov/visa/temp/without/without_1990.html - new

Djibouti Review 2016 Page 200 of 289 pages

Passport and Visa Information from the Government of the United Kingdom http://www.bia.homeoffice.gov.uk/

Visa Information from the Government of Australia http://www.dfat.gov.au/visas/index.html

Passport Information from the Government of Australia https://www.passports.gov.au/Web/index.aspx

Passport Information from the Government of Canada http://www.voyage.gc.ca/preparation information/passport passeport-eng.asp

Visa Information from the Government of Canada http://www.voyage.gc.ca/preparation information/visas-eng.asp

Online Visa Processing by Immigration Experts by VisaPro http://www.visapro.com

Sources: United States Department of State, United Kingdom Foreign and Commonwealth Office, Government of Australia: Department of Foreign Affairs and Trade, Government of Canada Department of Foreign Affairs and International Trade

Useful Online Resources for Travelers

Country-Specific Travel Information from United States http://travel.state.gov/travel/cis pa tw/cis/cis 1765.html

Travel Advice by Country from Government of United Kingdom http://www.fco.gov.uk/en/travelling-and-living-overseas/travel-advice-by-country/

General Travel Advice from Government of Australia http://www.smartraveller.gov.au/zw-cgi/view/Advice/General

Travel Bulletins from the Government of Australia http://www.smartraveller.gov.au/zw-cgi/view/TravelBulletins/

Travel Tips from Government of Australia http://www.smartraveller.gov.au/tips/index.html

Djibouti Review 2016 Page 201 of 289 pages

Travel Checklist by Government of Canada

http://www.voyage.gc.ca/preparation_information/checklist_sommaire-eng.asp

Travel Checklist from Government of United Kingdom

http://www.fco.gov.uk/en/travelling-and-living-overseas/staying-safe/checklist

Your trip abroad from United States Department of State

http://travel.state.gov/travel/tips/brochures_1225.html

A safe trip abroad from United States Department of State

http://travel.state.gov/travel/tips/safety/safety 1747.html

Tips for expatriates abroad from United States Department of State

http://travel.state.gov/travel/living/residing/residing 1235.html

Tips for students from United States Department of State

http://travel.state.gov/travel/living/studying_1238.html http://travel.state.gov/travel/tips/brocl

Medical information for travelers from United States Department of State

http://travel.state.gov/travel/tips/health/health 1185.html

US Customs Travel information

http://www.customs.gov/xp/cgov/travel/

Sources: United States Department of State; United States Customs Department, United Kingdom

Foreign and Commonwealth Office, Foreign and Commonwealth Office, Government of Australia;

Government of Canada: Department of Foreign Affairs and International Trade

Other Practical Online Resources for Travelers

Foreign Language Phrases for Travelers

http://www.travlang.com/languages/

http://www.omniglot.com/language/phrases/index.htm

World Weather Forecasts

http://www.intellicast.com/

http://www.wunderground.com/

http://www.worldweather.org/

Worldwide Time Zones, Map, World Clock

Djibouti Review 2016 Page 202 of 289 pages

http://www.timeanddate.com/ http://www.worldtimezone.com/

International Airport Codes http://www.world-airport-codes.com/

International Dialing Codes http://www.kropla.com/dialcode.htm http://www.countrycallingcodes.com/

International Phone Guide http://www.kropla.com/phones.htm

International Mobile Phone Guide http://www.kropla.com/mobilephones.htm

International Internet Café Search Engine http://cybercaptive.com/

Global Internet Roaming http://www.kropla.com/roaming.htm

World Electric Power Guide http://www.kropla.com/electric2.htm

World Television Standards and Codes http://www.kropla.com/tv.htm
International Currency Exchange Rates http://www.xe.com/ucc/

Banking and Financial Institutions Across the World http://www.123world.com/banks/index.html

International Credit Card or Automated Teller Machine (ATM) Locator http://visa.via.infonow.net/locator/global/
http://www.mastercard.com/us/personal/en/cardholderservices/atmlocations/index.html

International Chambers of Commerce http://www.123world.com/chambers/index.html

Djibouti Review 2016 Page 203 of 289 pages

World Tourism Websites

http://123world.com/tourism/

Diplomatic and Consular Information

United States Diplomatic Posts Around the World http://www.usembassy.gov/

United Kingdom Diplomatic Posts Around the World http://www.fco.gov.uk/en/about-the-fco/embassies-and-posts/find-an-embassy-overseas/

Australia's Diplomatic Posts Around the World http://www.dfat.gov.au/missions/
http://www.dfat.gov.au/embassies.html

Canada's Embassies and High Commissions http://www.international.gc.ca/ciw-cdm/embassies-ambassades.aspx

Resources for Finding Embassies and other Diplomatic Posts Across the World http://www.escapeartist.com/embassy1/embassy1.htm

Safety and Security

Travel Warnings by Country from Government of Australia http://www.smartraveller.gov.au/zw-cgi/view/Advice/

Travel Warnings and Alerts from United States Department of State http://travel.state.gov/travel/cis_pa_tw/tw/tw_1764.html
http://travel.state.gov/travel/cis_pa_tw/pa/pa_1766.html

Travel Reports and Warnings by Government of Canada http://www.voyage.gc.ca/countries_pays/menu-eng.asp http://www.voyage.gc.ca/countries_pays/updates_mise-a-jour-eng.asp

Travel Warnings from Government of United Kingdom
http://www.fco.gov.uk/en/travelling-and-living-overseas/travel-advice-by-country/?
http://www.fco.gov.uk/en/travelling-and-living-overseas/travel-advice-by-country/?
http://www.fco.gov.uk/en/travelling-and-living-overseas/travel-advice-by-country/?
http://www.fco.gov.uk/en/travelling-and-living-overseas/travel-advice-by-country/?
http://www.fco.gov.uk/en/travelling-and-living-overseas/travel-advice-by-country/?
http://www.fco.gov.uk/en/travelling-and-living-overseas/travel-advice-by-country/?
http://www.fco.gov.uk/en/travelling-and-living-overseas/travel-advice-by-country/?
http://www.fco.gov.uk/en/travelling-and-living-overseas/travel-advice-by-country/
http://www.fco.gov.uk/en/travelling-and-living-overseas/travel-advice-by-country/
http://www.fco.gov.uk/en/travelling-advice-by-country/
http://www.fco.gov.uk/en/travelling-advice-by-country/
http://www.fco.gov.uk/en/travelling-advice

Djibouti Review 2016 Page 204 of 289 pages

Sources: United Kingdom Foreign and Commonwealth Office, the United States Department of State, the Government of Canada: Department of Foreign Affairs and International Trade, Government of Australia: Department of Foreign Affairs and Trade

Other Safety and Security Online Resources for Travelers

United States Department of State Information on Terrorism http://www.state.gov/s/ct/

Government of the United Kingdom Resource on the Risk of Terrorism http://www.fco.gov.uk/servlet/Front?
pagename=OpenMarket/Xcelerate/ShowPage&c=Page&cid=1044011304926

Government of Canada Terrorism Guide http://www.international.gc.ca/crime/terrorism-terrorisme.aspx?lang=eng

Information on Terrorism by Government of Australia http://www.dfat.gov.au/icat/index.html

FAA Resource on Aviation Safety http://www.faasafety.gov/

In-Flight Safety Information for Air Travel (by British Airways crew trainer, Anna Warman) http://www.warman.demon.co.uk/anna/inflight.html

Hot Spots: Travel Safety and Risk Information http://www.airsecurity.com/hotspots/HotSpots.asp

Information on Human Rights http://www.state.gov/g/drl/hr/

Sources: The United States Department of State, the United States Customs Department, the Government of Canada, the Government of United Kingdom, the Government of Australia, the Federal Aviation Authority, Anna Warman's In-flight Website, Hot Spots Travel and Risk Information

Djibouti Review 2016 Page 205 of 289 pages

Diseases/Health Data

Please Note: Most of the entry below constitutes a generalized health advisory, which a traveler might find useful, regardless of a particular destination.

As a supplement, however, reader will also find below a list of countries flagged with current health notices and alerts issued by the Centers for Disease Control and Prevention (CDC). Please note that travel to the following countries, based on these 3 levels of warnings, is ill-advised, or should be undertaken with the utmost precaution:

Level 3 (highest level of concern; avoid non-essential travel) --

Guinea - Ebola Liberia - Ebola Nepal - Eathquake zone Sierra Leone - Ebola

Level 2 (intermediate level of concern; use utmost caution during travel) --

Cameroon - Polio Somalia - Polio

Vanuatu - Tropical Cyclone zone

Throughout Middle East and Arabia Peninsula - MERS ((Middle East Respiratory Syndrome)

Level 1 (standard level of concern; use practical caution during travel) -

Australia - Ross River disease

Bosnia-Herzegovina - Measles

Brazil - Dengue Fever

Brazil - Malaria

Brazil - Zika

China - H7N9 Avian flu

Cuba - Cholera

Egypt - H5N1 Bird flu

Djibouti Review 2016 Page 206 of 289 pages

Ethiopia - Measles

Germany - Measles

Japan - Hand, foot, and mouth disease (HFMD)

Kyrgyzstan - Measles

Malaysia -Dengue Fever

Mexico - Chikungunya

Mexico - Hepatitis A

Nigeria - Meningitis

Philippines - Measles

Scotland - Mumps

Singapore - Hand, foot, and mouth disease (HFMD)

South Korea - MERS ((Middle East Respiratory Syndrome)

Throughout Caribbean - Chikungunya

Throughout Central America - Chikungunya

Throughout South America - Chikungunya

Throughout Pacific Islands - Chikungunya

For specific information related to these health notices and alerts please see the CDC's listing available at URL:

http://wwwnc.cdc.gov/travel/notices

Health Information for Travelers to Djibouti

Several cases of African trypanosomiasis (African sleeping sickness) have been reported from this region recently, including cases among tourists visiting game parks. For more information and recommendations on trypanosomiasis, see Travelers' Health Information on African Trypanosomiasis (http://www.cdc.gov/travel/diseases/aftrypano.htm).

Also, please check the Outbreaks section for important updates on this region, including information on the Epidemic of Meningococcal Disease in Addis Ababa, Ethiopia. (http://www.cdc.gov/travel/outbreaks.htm).

Food and waterborne diseases are the number one cause of illness in travelers. Travelers' diarrhea can be caused by viruses, bacteria, or parasites, which are found throughout the region and can contaminate food or water. Infections may cause diarrhea and vomiting (*E. coli*, *Salmonella*, cholera, and parasites), fever (typhoid fever and toxoplasmosis), or liver damage (hepatitis). Make sure your food and drinking water are safe. (See below.)

Malaria is a preventable infection that can be fatal if left untreated. Prevent infection by taking prescription antimalarial drugs and protecting yourself against mosquito bites (see below). Most

Djibouti Review 2016 Page 207 of 289 pages

travelers to malaria risk areas in this region should take mefloquine to prevent malaria. You can be infected with malaria in all areas of this region except the cities of Addis Ababa, Ismara, and Nairobi, the islands of Reunion and Seychelles, and in highland areas above 2,500 meters. For more detailed information about the risk in specific locations, see Malaria in East Africa (http://www.cdc.gov/travel/regionalmalaria/eafrica.htm).

A certificate of yellow fever vaccine may be required for entry into certain of these countries. For detailed information, see Comprehensive Yellow Fever Vaccination Requirements (http://www.cdc.gov/travel/yelfever.htm).

Dengue, filariasis, leishmaniasis, onchocerciasis, trypanosomiasis (sleeping sickness), and Rift Valley fever are diseases carried by insects that also occur in this region. Protecting yourself against insect bites will help to prevent these diseases.

Schistosomiasis, a parasitic infection, is found in fresh water in the region, including Lake Malawi. Do not swim in fresh water (except in well-chlorinated swimming pools) in these countries. (For more information, please see the Swimming Precautions on the Making Travel Safe page at URL http://www.cdc.gov/travel/safety.htm.)

Because motor vehicle crashes are a leading cause of injury among travelers, walk and drive defensively. Avoid nighttime travel if possible and always use seat belts.

CDC Recommends the Following Vaccines (as Appropriate for Age):

See your doctor at least 4-6 weeks before your trip to allow time for shots to take effect.

- Hepatitis A or immune globulin (IG).
- Hepatitis B, if you might be exposed to blood (for example, health-care workers), have sexual contact with the local population, stay longer than 6 months, or be exposed through medical treatment.
- Meningococcal (meningitis) vaccine, if you plan to visit the western half of Ethiopia (see meningitis map at URL http://www.cdc.gov/travel/meninmap.htm) from December through June.
- Rabies, if you might be exposed to wild or domestic animals through your work or recreation.
- Typhoid, particularly if you are visiting developing countries in this region.
- Yellow fever, if you travel anywhere outside urban areas.
- As needed, booster doses for tetanus-diphtheria, measles, and a one-time dose of polio vaccine for adults. Hepatitis B vaccine is now recommended for all infants and for children ages 11-12 years who did not receive the series as infants.

To Stay Healthy, Do:

Djibouti Review 2016 Page 208 of 289 pages

- Wash hands often with soap and water.
- Drink only bottled or boiled water, or carbonated (bubbly) drinks in cans or bottles. Avoid tap water, fountain drinks, and ice cubes. If this is not possible, make water safer by BOTH filtering through an "absolute 1-micron or less" filter AND adding iodine tablets to the filtered water. "Absolute 1-micron filter" are found in camping/outdoor supply stores.
- Eat only thoroughly cooked food or fruits and vegetables you have peeled yourself. Remember: boil it, cook it, peel it, or forget it.
- If you travel to an area where there is risk for malaria, take your malaria prevention medication before, during, and after travel, as directed. (See your doctor for a prescription.)
- Protect yourself from insects by remaining in well-screened areas, using repellents (applied sparingly at 4-hour intervals) and permethrin-impregnated mosquito nets, and wearing long-sleeved shirts and long pants from dusk through dawn.
- To prevent fungal and parasitic infections, keep feet clean and dry, and do not go barefoot.
- Always use latex condoms to reduce the risk of HIV and other sexually transmitted diseases.

To Avoid Getting Sick:

- Don't eat food purchased from street vendors.
- Don't drink beverages with ice.
- Don't eat dairy products unless you know they have been pasteurized.
- Don't share needles with anyone.
- Don't handle animals (especially monkeys, dogs, and cats), to avoid bites and serious diseases (including rabies and plague). (For more information, please see the Animal-Associated Hazards on the Making Travel Safe page.)
- Don't swim in fresh water, including Lake Malawi. Salt water is usually safer. (For more information, please see the Swimming Precautions on the Making Travel Safe page.)

What You Need To Bring with You:

- Long-sleeved shirt and long pants to wear while outside whenever possible, to prevent illnesses carried by insects (e.g., malaria, dengue, filariasis, leishmaniasis, and onchocerciasis).
- Insect repellent containing DEET (diethylmethyltoluamide), in 30%-35% strength for adults and 6%-10% for children. Unless you are staying in air-conditioned or well-screened housing, purchase a bed net impregnated with the insecticide permethrin. (Bed nets can be purchased in camping or military supply stores.)
- Over-the-counter antidiarrheal medicine to take if you have diarrhea.
- Iodine tablets and water filters to purify water if bottled water is not available. See Do's above for more detailed information about water filters.
- Sunblock, sunglasses, hat.
- Prescription medications: make sure you have enough to last during your trip, as well as a copy of the prescription(s).

Djibouti Review 2016 Page 209 of 289 pages

After You Return Home:

If you have visited an area where there is risk for malaria, continue taking your malaria medication weekly for 4 weeks after you leave the area.

If you become ill after your trip-even as long as a year after you return-tell your doctor where you have traveled

For More Information:

Ask your doctor or check the CDC web sites for more information about how to protect yourself against diseases that occur in East Africa, such as:

For information about diseases-

Carried by Insects Dengue, Malaria, Yellow Fever

Carried in Food or Water Cholera, *Escherichia coli*, diarrhea, Hepatitis A, Schistosomiasis, Typhoid Fever

Person-to-Person Contact Hepatitis B, HIV/AIDS

For more information about these and other diseases, please check the Diseases (http://www.cdc.gov/travel/diseases.htm) section and the Health Topics A-Z (http://www.cdc.gov/health/diseases.htm).

Note:

Djibouti is located in the East Africa health region.

Sources:

The Center for Disease Control Destinations Website: http://www.cdc.gov/travel/indianrg.htm

Djibouti Review 2016 Page 210 of 289 pages

Djibouti Review 2016 Page 211 of 289 pages

Chapter 6 Environmental Overview

Djibouti Review 2016 Page 212 of 289 pages

Environmental Issues

General Overview:

Djibouti 's environment, already suffering from a marked lack of resources, is also suffering from intense desertification. Its current conditions continue to degrade, as a consequence of human activities.

Current Issues:

- -inadequate supplies of potable water
- -desertification

Total Greenhouse Gas Emissions (Mtc):

0.5

Country Rank (GHG output):

161st

Natural Hazards:

- -earthquakes
- -droughts
- -occasional cyclonic disturbances from the Indian Ocean bring heavy rains and flash floods

Djibouti Review 2016 Page 213 of 289 pages

Environmental Policy

Regulation and Jurisdiction:

The regulation and protection of the environment in Djibouti is under the jurisdiction of the following:

- The Comite National pour l'Environnement (National Committee for the Environment)
- Ministry of Agriculture and Water Resources
- The Office National du Tousisme et de l'Artisanat, Service de la Protection des Sites et de l'Environnement (National Tourism and Crafts Agency, Service for the Protection of Sites and the Environment)

Major Non-Governmental Organizations:

N/A

International Environmental Accords:

Party to:

- Biodiversity
- Climate Change
- Climate Change-Kyoto Protocol
- Desertification
- Endangered Species
- Hazardous Wastes
- Law of the Sea
- Ozone Layer Protection
- Ship Pollution

Signed but not ratified:

• None

Kyoto Protocol Status (year ratified):

2002

Djibouti Review 2016 Page 214 of 289 pages

Greenhouse Gas Ranking

Greenhouse Gas Ranking

GHG Emissions Rankings

Country Rank	Country
1	United States
2	China
4	Russia
5	Japan
6	India
7	Germany
8	United Kingdom

Djibouti Review 2016 Page 215 of 289 pages

9	Canada
10	Korea, South
11	Italy
12	Mexico
13	France
14	South Africa
15	Iran
16	Indonesia
17	Australia
18	Spain
19	Brazil
20	Saudi Arabia
21	Ukraine
22	Poland
23	Taiwan
24	Turkey
25	Thailand
26	Netherlands

Djibouti Review 2016 Page 216 of 289 pages

27	Kazakhstan
28	Malaysia
29	Egypt
30	Venezuela
31	Argentina
32	Uzbekistan
33	Czech Republic
34	Belgium
35	Pakistan
36	Romania
37	Greece
38	United Arab Emirates
39	Algeria
40	Nigeria
41	Austria
42	Iraq
43	Finland
44	Philippines

Djibouti Review 2016 Page 217 of 289 pages

45	Vietnam
46	Korea, North
47	Israel
48	Portugal
49	Colombia
50	Belarus
51	Kuwait
52	Hungary
53	Chile
54	Denmark
55	Serbia & Montenegro
56	Sweden
57	Syria
58	Libya
59	Bulgaria
60	Singapore
61	Switzerland
62	Ireland

Djibouti Review 2016 Page 218 of 289 pages

63	Turkmenistan
64	Slovakia
65	Bangladesh
66	Morocco
67	New Zealand
68	Oman
69	Qatar
70	Azerbaijan
71	Norway
72	Peru
73	Cuba
74	Ecuador
75	Trinidad & Tobago
76	Croatia
77	Tunisia
78	Dominican Republic
79	Lebanon
80	Estonia

Djibouti Review 2016 Page 219 of 289 pages

81	Yemen
82	Jordan
83	Slovenia
84	Bahrain
85	Angola
86	Bosnia & Herzegovina
87	Lithuania
88	Sri Lanka
89	Zimbabwe
90	Bolivia
91	Jamaica
92	Guatemala
93	Luxembourg
94	Myanmar
95	Sudan
96	Kenya
97	Macedonia
98	Mongolia

Djibouti Review 2016 Page 220 of 289 pages

99	Ghana
100	Cyprus
101	Moldova
102	Latvia
103	El Salvador
104	Brunei
105	Honduras
106	Cameroon
107	Panama
108	Costa Rica
109	Cote d'Ivoire
110	Kyrgyzstan
111	Tajikistan
112	Ethiopia
113	Senegal
114	Uruguay
115	Gabon
116	Albania

Djibouti Review 2016 Page 221 of 289 pages

117	Nicaragua
118	Botswana
119	Paraguay
120	Tanzania
121	Georgia
122	Armenia
123	Congo, RC
124	Mauritius
125	Nepal
126	Mauritius
127	Nepal
128	Mauritania
129	Malta
130	Papua New Guinea
131	Zambia
132	Suriname
133	Iceland
134	Togo

Djibouti Review 2016 Page 222 of 289 pages

135	Benin
136	Uganda
137	Bahamas
138	Haiti
139	Congo, DRC
140	Guyana
141	Mozambique
142	Guinea
143	Equatorial Guinea
144	Laos
145	Barbados
146	Niger
147	Fiji
148	Burkina Faso
149	Malawi
150	Swaziland
151	Belize
152	Afghanistan

Djibouti Review 2016 Page 223 of 289 pages

153	Sierra Leone
154	Eritrea
155	Rwanda
156	Mali
157	Seychelles
158	Cambodia
159	Liberia
160	Bhutan
161	Maldives
162	Antigua & Barbuda
163	Djibouti
164	Saint Lucia
165	Gambia
166	Guinea-Bissau
167	Central African Republic
168	Palau
169	Burundi
170	Grenada

Djibouti Review 2016 Page 224 of 289 pages

171	Lesotho
172	Saint Vincent & the Grenadines
173	Solomon Islands
174	Samoa
175	Cape Verde
176	Nauru
177	Dominica
178	Saint Kitts & Nevis
179	Chad
180	Tonga
181	Sao Tome & Principe
182	Comoros
183	Vanuatu
185	Kiribati
Not Ranked	Andorra
Not Ranked	East Timor
Not Ranked	Holy See
Not Ranked	Hong Kong

Djibouti Review 2016 Page 225 of 289 pages

Not Ranked	Liechtenstein
Not Ranked	Marshall Islands
Not Ranked	Micronesia
Not Ranked	Monaco
Not Ranked	San Marino
Not Ranked	Somalia
Not Ranked	Tuvalu

^{*} European Union is ranked 3rd Cook Islands are ranked 184th Niue is ranked 186th

Global Environmental Snapshot

Introduction

The countries of the world face many environmental challenges in common. Nevertheless, the nature and intensity of problem vary from region to region, as do various countries' respective capacities, in terms of affluence and infrastructure, to remediate threats to environmental quality.

Consciousness of perils affecting the global environment came to the fore in the last third or so of the 20th century has continued to intensify well into the new millennium. According to the United Nations Environment Programme, considerable environmental progress has been made at the level of institutional developments, international cooperation accords, and public participation. Approximately two-dozen international environmental protection accords with global implications have been promulgated since the late 1970s under auspices of the United Nations and other international organizations, together with many additional regional agreements. Attempts to address and rectify environmental problems take the form of legal frameworks, economic instruments,

Djibouti Review 2016 Page 226 of 289 pages

environmentally sound technologies and cleaner production processes as well as conservation efforts. Environmental impact assessments have increasingly been applied across the globe.

Environmental degradation affects the quality, or aesthetics, of human life, but it also displays potential to undermine conditions necessary for the sustainability of human life. Attitudes toward the importance of environmental protection measures reflect ambivalence derived from this bifurcation. On one hand, steps such as cleaning up pollution, dedicating parkland, and suchlike, are seen as embellishments undertaken by wealthy societies already assured they can successfully perform those functions deemed, ostensibly, more essential-for instance, public health and education, employment and economic development. On the other hand, in poorer countries, activities causing environmental damage-for instance the land degradation effects of unregulated logging, slash-and-burn agriculture, overgrazing, and mining-can seem justified insofar as such activities provide incomes and livelihoods.

Rapid rates of resource depletion are associated with poverty and high population growth, themselves correlated, whereas consumption per capita is much higher in the most developed countries, despite these nations' recent progress in energy efficiency and conservation. It is impossible to sequester the global environmental challenge from related economic, social and political challenges.

First-tier industrialized countries have recently achieved measurable decreases in environmental pollution and the rate of resource depletion, a success not matched in middle income and developing countries. It is believed that the discrepancy is due to the fact that industrialized countries have more developed infrastructures to accommodate changes in environmental policy, to apply environmental technologies, and to invest in public education. The advanced industrialized countries incur relatively lower costs in alleviating environmental problems, in comparison to developing countries, since in the former even extensive environmental programs represent a rather minuscule percentage of total expenditures. Conversely, budget constraints, lagged provision of basic services to the population, and other factors such as debt service and militarization may preclude institution of minimal environmental protection measures in the poorest countries.

A synopsis for the current situation facing each region of the world follows:

Regional Synopsis: Africa

The African continent, the world's second-largest landmass, encompasses many of the world's least developed countries. By global standards, urbanization is comparatively low but rising at a rapid rate. More heavily industrialized areas at the northern and southern ends of the continent experience the major share of industrial pollution. In other regions the most serious environmental problems typically stem from inefficient subsistence farming methods and other forms of land

Djibouti Review 2016 Page 227 of 289 pages

degradation, which have affected an increasingly extensive area under pressure of a widely impoverished, fast-growing population. Africa's distribution of natural resources is very uneven. It is the continent at greatest risk of desertification, especially in the Sahel region at the edge of the Sahara but also in other dry-range areas. Yet at the same time, Africa also harbors some of the earth's richest and most diverse biological zones.

Key Points:

Up to half a billion hectares of African land are moderately to severely degraded, an occurrence reflecting short-fallow shifting cultivation and overgrazing as well as a climatic pattern of recurrent droughts.

Soil degradation is severe along the expanse directly south of the Sahara, from the west to the east coasts. Parts of southern Africa, central-eastern Africa, and the neighboring island of Madagascar suffer from serious soil degradation as well.

Africa contains about 17 percent of the world's forest cover, concentrated in the tropical belt of the continent. Many of the forests, however, are severely depleted, with an estimated 70 percent showing some degree of degradation.

Population growth has resulted in continuing loss of arable land, as inefficient subsistence farming techniques affect increasingly extensive areas. Efforts to implement settled, sustainable agriculture have met with some recent success, but much further progress in this direction is needed. Especially in previously uninhabited forestlands, concern over deforestation is intensifying.

By contrast, the African savanna remains the richest grassland in the world, supporting a substantial concentration of animal and plant life. Wildlife parks are sub-Saharan Africa's greatest tourist attraction, and with proper management-giving local people a stake in conservation and controlling the pace of development-could greatly enhance African economies.

Significant numbers of mammal species in parts of northern, southern and eastern Africa are currently threatened, while the biological diversity in Mauritania and Madagascar is even further compromised with over 20 percent of the mammal species in these two countries currently under threat.

With marine catch trends increasing from 500,000 metric tons in the 1950s to over 3,000,000 metric tons by 2000, there was increasing concern about the reduction in fisheries and marine life, should this trend continue unabated

Water resource vulnerability is a major concern in northeastern Africa, and a moderate concern across the rest of the continent. An exception is central Africa, which has plentiful water supplies.

Djibouti Review 2016 Page 228 of 289 pages

Many Africans lack adequate access to resources, not just (if at all) because the resources are unevenly distributed geographically, but also through institutional failures such as faulty land tenure systems or political upheaval. The quality of Africa's natural resources, despite their spotty distribution, is in fact extraordinarily rich. The infrastructure needed to protect and benefit from this natural legacy, however, is largely lacking.

Regional Synopsis: Asia and the Pacific

Asia-earth's largest landmass-and the many large and nearly innumerable small islands lying off its Pacific shore display extraordinarily contrasting landscapes, levels of development, and degrees of environmental stress. In the classification used here, the world's smallest continent, Australia, is also included in the Asia-Pacific region.

The Asia-Pacific region is home to 9 of the world's 14 largest urban areas, and as energy use for utilities, industry and transport increases in developing economies, urban centers are subject to worsening air quality. Intense population density in places such as Bangladesh or Hong Kong is the quintessential image many people have of Asia, yet vast desert areas such as the Gobi and the world's highest mountain range, the Himalayas, span the continent as well. Forested areas in Southeast Asia and the islands of Indonesia and the Philippines were historically prized for their tropical hardwood, but in many places this resource is now severely depleted. Low-lying small island states are extremely vulnerable to the effects of global warming, both rising sea levels and an anticipated increase in cyclones.

Key Points:

Asian timber reserves are forecast to be depleted in the next 40 years. Loss of natural forest is irreversible in some areas, but plantation programs to restore tree cover may ameliorate a portion of the resulting land degradation.

Increased usage of fossil fuels in China and other parts of southern Asia is projected to result in a marked increase in emissions, especially in regard to carbon dioxide. The increased usage of energy has led to a marked upsurge in air pollution across the region.

Acidification is an emerging problem regionally, with sulfur dioxide emissions expected to triple by 2010 if the current growth rate is sustained. China, Thailand, India, and Korea seem to be suffering from particularly high rates of acid deposition. By contrast, Asia's most highly developed economy, Japan, has effected substantial improvements in its environmental indicators.

Water pollution in the Pacific is an urgent concern since up to 70 percent of the water discharged

Djibouti Review 2016 Page 229 of 289 pages

into the region's waters receives no treatment. Additionally, the disposal of solid wastes, in like manner, poses a major threat in a region with many areas of high population density.

The Asia-Pacific region is the largest expanse of the world's land that is adversely affected by soil degradation.

The region around Australia reportedly suffers the largest degree of ozone depletion.

The microstates of the Pacific suffer land loss due to global warming, and the consequent rise in the levels of ocean waters. A high-emissions scenario and anthropogenic climate impact at the upper end of the currently predicted range would probably force complete evacuation of the lowest-elevation islands sometime in this century.

The species-rich reefs surrounding Southeast Asia are highly vulnerable to the deleterious effects of coastal development, land-based pollution, over-fishing and exploitative fishing methods, as well as marine pollution from oil spills and other activities.

With marine catch trends increasing from 5,000,000 metric tons in the 1950s to over 20,000,000 metric tons by 2000, there was increasing concern about the reduction in fisheries and marine life, should this trend continue unabated.

Significant numbers of mammal species in parts of China and south-east Asia are currently threatened, while the biological diversity in India, Japan, Australia, the Philippines, Indonesia and parts of Malaysia is even further compromised with over 20 percent of the mammal species in these countries currently under threat.

Water resource vulnerability is a serious concern in areas surrounding the Indian subcontinent.

Regional Synopsis: Central Asia

The Central Asian republics, formerly in the Soviet Union, experience a range of environmental problems as the result of poorly executed agricultural, industrial, and nuclear programs during the Soviet era. Relatively low population densities are the norm, especially since upon the breakup of the U.S.S.R. many ethnic Russians migrated back to European Russia. In this largely semi-arid region, drought, water shortages, and soil salinization pose major challenges.

Key Points:

The use of agricultural pesticides, such as DDT and other chemicals, has contributed to the contamination of soil and groundwater throughout the region.

Djibouti Review 2016 Page 230 of 289 pages

Land and soil degradation, and in particular, increased salinization, is mostly attributable to faulty irrigation practices.

Significant desertification is also a problem in the region.

Air pollution is prevalent, mostly due to use of low octane automobile fuel.

Industrial pollution of the Caspian Sea and the Aral Sea, as a result of industrial effluents as well as mining and metal production, presents a challenge to the countries bordering these bodies of water.

One of the most severe environmental problems in the region is attributable to the several billion tons of hazardous materials stored in landfills across Central Asia.

Uzbekistan's particular problem involves the contraction of the Aral Sea, which has decreased in size by a third, as a consequence of river diversions and poor irrigation practices. The effect has been the near-total biological destruction of that body of water.

Kazakhstan, as a consequence of being the heartland of the former Soviet Union's nuclear program, has incurred a high of cancerous malignancies, biogenetic abnormalities and radioactive contamination.

While part of the Soviet Union, the republics in the region experienced very high levels of greenhouse gas emissions, as a consequence of rapid industrialization using cheap but dirty energy sources, especially coal.

By contrast, however, there have recently been substantial reductions in the level of greenhouse gas emissions, especially those attributable to coal burning, with further decreases anticipated over the next decade. These changes are partially due to the use of cleaner energy technologies, such as natural gas, augmented by governmental commitment to improving environmental standards.

Regional Synopsis: Europe

Western Europe underwent dramatic transformation of its landscape, virtually eliminating large-scale natural areas, during an era of rapid industrialization, which intensified upon its recovery from World War II. In Eastern Europe and European Russia, intensive land development has been less prevalent, so that some native forests and other natural areas remain. Air and water pollution from use of dirty fuels and industrial effluents, however, are more serious environmental problems in Eastern than in Western Europe, though recent trends show improvement in many indicators. Acid rain has inflicted heavy environmental damage across much of Europe, particularly on forests.

Djibouti Review 2016 Page 231 of 289 pages

Europe and North America are the only regions in which water usage for industry exceeds that for agriculture, although in Mediterranean nations agriculture is the largest water consumer.

Key Points:

Europe contributes 36 percent of the world's chlorofluorocarbon emissions, 30 percent of carbon dioxide emissions, and 25 percent of sulfur dioxide emissions.

Sulfur and nitrogen oxide emissions are the cause of 30 to 50 percent of Central and Eastern Europe's deforestation.

Acid rain has been an environmental concern for decades and continues to be a challenge in parts of Western Europe.

Overexploitation of up to 60 percent of Europe's groundwater presents a problem in industrial and urban areas.

With marine catch trends increasing from 5,000,000 metric tons in the 1950s to over 20,000,000 metric tons by 2000, there was increasing concern about the reduction in fisheries and marine life, should this trend continue unabated.

Significant numbers of mammal species in parts of western Europe, Eastern Europe and Russia are currently threatened, while the biological diversity on the Iberian Peninsula is even further compromised with over 40 percent of the mammal species in this region currently under threat. As a result, there has been a 10 percent increase in protected areas of Europe.

A major environmental issue for Europe involves the depletion of various already endangered or threatened species, and most significantly, the decline of fish stocks. Some estimates suggest that up to 50 percent of the continent's fish species may be considered endangered species. Coastal fisheries have been over-harvested, resulting in catch limits or moratoriums on many commercially important fish species.

Fortunately, in the last few years, these policies have started to yield measurable results with decreasing trends in marine fish catch.

Recently, most European countries have adopted cleaner production technologies, and alternative methods of waste disposal, including recycling.

The countries of Eastern Europe have made air quality a major environmental priority. This is exemplified by the Russian Federation's addition to the 1995 "Berlin Mandate" (transnational legislation based on resolutions of the Rio Earth Summit) compelling nations to promote "carbon

Djibouti Review 2016 Page 232 of 289 pages

sinks" to absorb greenhouse gases.

On a relative basis, when compared with the degree of industrial emissions emitted by many Eastern European countries until the late 1980s, there has been some marked increase in air quality in the region, as obsolete plants are closed and a transition to cleaner fuels and more efficient energy use takes place.

Regional Synopsis: The Middle and Near East

Quite possibly, the Middle East will exemplify the adage that, as the 20th century was a century fixated on oil, the 21st century will be devoted to critical decisions about water. Many (though far from all) nations in the Middle East rank among those countries with the largest oil and gas reserves, but water resources are relatively scarce throughout this predominantly dry region. Effects of global warming may cause moderately high elevation areas that now typically receive winter "snowpack" to experience mainly rain instead, which would further constrain dry-season water availability. The antiquities and religious shrines of the region render it a great magnet for tourism, which entails considerable economic growth potential but also intensifies stresses on the environment.

Key Points:

Water resource vulnerability is a serious concern across the entire region. The increased usage of, and further demand for water, has exacerbated long-standing water scarcity in the region. For instance, river diversions and industrial salt works have caused the Dead Sea to shrink by one-third from its original surface area, with further declines expected.

The oil industry in the region contributes to water pollution in the Persian Gulf, as a result of oil spills, which have averaged 1.2 million barrels of oil spilt per year (some sources suggest that this figure is understated). The consequences are severe because even after oil spills have been cleaned up, environmental damage to the food webs and ecosystems of marine life will persist for a prolonged period.

The region's coastal zone is considered one of the most fragile and endangered ecosystems of the world. Land reclamation, shoreline construction, discharge of industrial effluents, and tourism (such as diving in the Red Sea) contribute to widespread coastal damage.

Significant numbers of mammal species in parts of the Middle East are currently threatened.

Since the 1980s, 11 percent of the region's natural forest has been depleted.

Djibouti Review 2016 Page 233 of 289 pages

Regional Synopsis: Latin America and the Caribbean

The Latin American and Caribbean region is characterized by exceedingly diverse landforms that have generally seen high rates of population growth and economic development in recent decades. The percentage of inhabitants residing in urban areas is quite high at 73.4 percent; the region includes the megacities of Mexico City, Sao Paulo, and Rio de Janeiro. The region also includes the world's second-highest mountain range, the Andes; significant expanses of desert and grassland; the coral reefs of the Caribbean Sea; and the world's largest contiguous tropical forest in the Amazon basin. Threats to the latter from subsistence and commercial farming, mineral exploitation and timbering are well publicized. Nevertheless, of eight countries worldwide that still retain at least 70 percent of their original forest cover, six are in Latin America. The region accounts for nearly half (48.3 percent) of the world's greenhouse gas emissions derived from land clearing, but as yet a comparatively minuscule share (4.3 percent) of such gases from industrial sources.

Key Points:

Although Latin America is one of the most biologically diverse regions of the world, this biodiversity is highly threatened, as exemplified by the projected extinction of up to 100,000 species in the next few decades. Much of this loss will be concentrated in the Amazon area, although the western coastline of South America will also suffer significant depletion of biological diversity. The inventory of rainforest species with potentially useful commercial or medical applications is incomplete, but presumed to include significant numbers of such species that may become extinct before they are discovered and identified.

Up to 50 percent of the region's grazing land has lost its soil fertility as a result of soil erosion, salinization, alkalinization and overgrazing.

The Caribbean Sea, the Atlantic Ocean, and the Pacific Ocean have all been contaminated by agricultural wastes, which are discharged into streams that flow into these major waters. Water pollution derived from phosphorous, nitrates and pesticides adversely affects fish stocks, contributes to oxygen depletion and fosters overgrowth of aquatic vegetation. Marine life will continue to be severely compromised as a result of these conditions.

Due to industrial development in the region, many beaches of eastern Latin America and the Caribbean suffer from tar deposits.

Most cities in the region lack adequate sewage treatment facilities, and rapid migration of the rural poor into the cities is widening the gap between current infrastructure capacity and the much greater level needed to provide satisfactory basic services.

Djibouti Review 2016 Page 234 of 289 pages

The rainforest region of the Amazon Basin suffers from dangerously high levels of deforestation, which may be a significant contributory factor to global warming or "the greenhouse effect." In the late 1990s and into the new millennium, the rate of deforestation was around 20 million acres of rainforest being destroyed annually.

Deforestation on the steep rainforest slopes of Caribbean islands contributes to soil erosion and landslides, both of which then result in heavy sedimentation of nearby river systems. When these sedimented rivers drain into the sea and coral reefs, they poison the coral tissues, which are vital to the maintenance of the reef ecosystem. The result is marine degradation and nutrient depletion. Jamaica's coral reefs have never quite recovered from the effects of marine degradation.

The Southern Cone of Latin America (Argentina, Brazil, Chile, Paraguay, and Uruguay) suffers the effects of greatly increased ultraviolet-B radiation, as a consequence of more intense ozone depletion in the southern hemisphere.

Water resource vulnerability is an increasingly major concern in the northwestern portion of South America.

Regional Synopsis: North America

North American nations, in particular the United States and Canada, rank among the world's most highly developed industrial economies-a fact which has generated significant pollution problems, but also financial resources and skills that have enabled many problems to be corrected. Although efforts to promote energy efficiency, recycling, and suchlike have helped ease strains on the environment in a part of the world where per capita consumption levels are high, sprawling land development patterns and recent preferences many households have demonstrated for larger vehicles have offset these advances.

Meanwhile, a large portion of North America's original forest cover has been lost, though in many cases replaced by productive second-growth woodland. In recent years, attitudes toward best use of the region's remaining natural or scenic areas seem to be shifting toward recreation and preservation and away from resource extraction. With increasing attention on the energy scarcity in the United States, however, there is speculation that this shift may be short-lived. Indeed, the energy shortage on the west coast of the United States and associated calls for energy exploration, indicate a possible retrenchment toward resource extraction. At the same time, however, it has also served to highlight the need for energy conservation as well as alternative energy sources.

Despite generally successful anti-pollution efforts, various parts of the region continue to suffer significant air, water and land degradation from industrial, vehicular, and agricultural emissions and

Djibouti Review 2016 Page 235 of 289 pages

runoff. Mexico, as a middle-income country, displays environmental problems characteristic of a developing economy, including forest depletion, pollution from inefficient industrial processes and dirty fuels, and lack of sufficient waste-treatment infrastructure.

Key Points:

Because of significantly greater motor vehicle usage in the United States (U.S.) than in the rest of the world, the U.S. contribution of urban air pollution and greenhouse gas emissions, especially carbon dioxide, is disproportionately high in relation to its population.

Acid rain is an enduring issue of contention in the northeastern part of the United States, on the border with Canada.

Mexico's urban areas suffer extreme air pollution from carbon monoxide, nitrogen oxides, sulfur dioxide, and other toxic air pollutants. Emissions controls on vehicles are in their infancy, compared to analogous regulations in the U.S.

The cities of Mexico, including those on the U.S. border, also discharge large quantities of untreated or poorly treated sewage, though officials are currently planning infrastructure upgrades.

Deforestation is noteworthy in various regions of the U.S., especially along the northwest coastline. Old growth forests have been largely removed, but in the northeastern and upper midwestern sections of the United States, evidence suggests that the current extent of tree cover probably surpasses the figure for the beginning of the 20th century.

Extreme weather conditions in the last few years have resulted in a high level of soil erosion along the north coast of California; in addition, the coastline itself has shifted substantially due to soil erosion and concomitant landslides.

Agricultural pollution-including nitrate contamination of well water, nutrient runoff to waterways, and pesticide exposure-is significant in various areas. Noteworthy among affected places are California's Central Valley, extensive stretches of the Midwest, and land in the Chesapeake Bay watershed.

Inland waterways, especially around the Great Lakes, have substantially improved their water quality, due to concentrated efforts at reducing water pollution by governmental, commercial and community representatives. Strict curbs on industrial effluents and near-universal implementation of sewage treatment are the chief factors responsible for this improvement.

A major environmental issue for Canada and the United States involves the depletion of various

Djibouti Review 2016 Page 236 of 289 pages

already endangered or threatened species, and most significantly, the decline of fish stocks. Coastal fisheries have been over-harvested, resulting in catch limits or moratoriums on many commercially important fish species. In the last few years, these policies have started to yield measurable results with decreasing trends in marine fish catch.

Due to the decay of neighboring ecosystems in Central America and the Caribbean, the sea surrounding Florida has become increasingly sedimented, contributing to marine degradation, nutrient depletion of the ecosystem, depletion of fish stocks, and diseases to coral species in particular.

Polar Regions

Key Points:

The significant rise in sea level, amounting 10 to 25 centimeters in the last 100 years, is due to the melting of the Arctic ice sheets, and is attributed to global warming.

The Antarctic suffers from a significant ozone hole, first detected in 1976. By 1985, a British scientific team reported a 40 percent decrease in usual regeneration rates of the ozone. Because a sustained increase in the amount of ultraviolet-B radiation would have adverse consequences upon all planetary life, recent environmental measures have been put into effect, aimed at reversing ozone depletion. These measures are projected to garner significant results by 2050.

Due to air and ocean currents, the Arctic is a sink for toxic releases originally discharged thousands of miles away. Arctic wildlife and Canada's Inuit population have higher bodily levels of contaminants such as PCB and dioxin than those found in people and animals in much of the rest of the world.

Global Environmental Concepts

-

1. Global Warming and Greenhouse Gases

The Greenhouse Effect:

Djibouti Review 2016 Page 237 of 289 pages

In the early 19th century, the French physicist, Jean Fourier, contended that the earth's atmosphere functions in much the same way as the glass of a greenhouse, thus describing what is now understood as the "greenhouse effect." Put simply, the "greenhouse effect" confines some of the sun's energy to the earth, preserving some of the planet's warmth, rather than allowing it to flow back into space. In so doing, all kinds of life forms can flourish on earth. Thus, the "greenhouse effect" is necessary to sustain and preserve life forms and ecosystems on earth.

In the late 19th century, a Swedish chemist, Svante Arrhenius, noticed that human activities, such as the burning of coal and other fossil fuels for heat, and the removal of forested lands for urban development, led to higher concentrations of greenhouse gases, like carbon dioxide and methane, in the atmosphere. This increase in the levels of greenhouse gases was believed to advance the "greenhouse effect" exponentially, and might be related to the trend in global warming.

In the wake of the Industrial Revolution, after industrial development took place on a large scale and the total human population burgeoned simultaneously with industrialization, the resulting increase in greenhouse gas emissions could, many scientists believe, be significant enough to have some bearing on climate. Indeed, many studies in recent years support the idea that there is a linkage between human activities and global warming, although there is less consensus on the extent to which this linkage may be relevant to environmental concerns.

That said, some scientists have argued that temperature fluctuations have existed throughout the evolution of the planet. Indeed, Dr. S. Fred Singer, the president of the Science and Environment Policy Project has noted that 3,000-year-old geological records of ocean sediment reveal changes in the surface temperature of the ocean. Hence, it is possible that climate variability is merely a normal fact of the planet's evolution. Yet even skeptics as to anthropogenic factors concur that any substantial changes in global temperatures would likely have an effect upon the earth's ecosystems, as well as the life forms that inhabit them.

The Relationship Between Global Warming and Greenhouse Gases:

A large number of climatologists believe that the increase in atmospheric concentrations of "greenhouse gas emissions," mostly a consequence of human activities such as the burning of fossil fuels, are contributing to global warming. The cause notwithstanding, the planet has reportedly warmed 0.3°C to 0.6°C over the last century. Indeed, each year during the 1990s was one of the very warmest in the 20th century, with the mean surface temperature for 1999 being the fifth warmest on record since 1880.

In early 2000, a panel of atmospheric scientists for the National Research Council concluded in a report that global warming was, indeed, a reality. While the panel, headed by Chairman John Wallace, a professor of atmospheric sciences at the University of Washington, stated that it

Djibouti Review 2016 Page 238 of 289 pages

remained unclear whether human activities have contributed to the earth's increasing temperatures, it was apparent that global warming exists.

In 2001, following a request for further study by the incoming Bush administration in the <u>United States</u>, the National Academy of Sciences again confirmed that global warming had been in existence for the last 20 years. The study also projected an increase in temperature between 2.5 degrees and 10.4 degrees Fahrenheit by the year 2100. Furthermore, the study found the leading cause of global warming to be emissions of carbon dioxide from the burning of fossil fuels, and it noted that greenhouse gas accumulations in the earth's atmosphere was a result of human activities.

Within the scientific community, the controversy regarding has centered on the difference between surface air and upper air temperatures. Information collected since 1979 suggests that while the earth's surface temperature has increased by about a degree in the past century, the atmospheric temperature five miles above the earth's surface has indicated very little increase. Nevertheless, the panel stated that this discrepancy in temperature between surface and upper air does not invalidate the conclusion that global warming is taking place. Further, the panel noted that natural events, such as volcanic eruptions, can decrease the temperature in the upper atmosphere.

The major consequences of global warming potentially include the melting of the polar ice caps, which, in turn, contribute to the rise in sea levels. Many islands across the globe have already experienced a measurable loss of land as a result. Because global warming may increase the rate of evaporation, increased precipitation, in the form of stronger and more frequent storm systems, is another potential outcome. Other consequences of global warming may include the introduction and proliferation of new infectious diseases, loss of arable land (referred to as "desertification"), destructive changes to existing ecosystems, loss of biodiversity and the isolation of species, and concomitant adverse changes in the quality of human life.

International Policy Development in Regard to Global Warming:

Regardless of what the precise nature of the relationship between greenhouse gas emissions and global warming may be, it seems that there is some degree of a connection between the phenomena. Any substantial reductions in greenhouse gas emissions and global warming trends will likely involve systematic changes in industrial operations, the use of advanced energy sources and technologies, as well as global cooperation in implementing and regulating these transformations.

In this regard, the United Nations Framework Convention on Climate Change (UNFCCC) stipulated the following objectives:

1. To stabilize "greenhouse gas" concentrations within the atmosphere, in such a manner that would preclude hazardous anthropogenic intervention into the existing biosphere and ecosystems of the world. This stabilization process would facilitate the natural adaptation of ecosystems to

Djibouti Review 2016 Page 239 of 289 pages

changes in climate.

2. To ensure and enable sustainable development and food production on a global scale.

*** See section on "International Environmental Agreements and Associations" for information related to international policies related to limiting greenhouse gases and controlling climate change emanating from historic summits at Kyoto, Copenhagen, Doha, and Paris. ***

2. Air Pollution

Long before global warming reared its head as a significant issue, those concerned about the environment and public health noted the deleterious effects of human-initiated combustion upon the atmosphere. Killer smogs from coal burning triggered acute health emergencies in London and other places. At a lower level of intensity motor vehicle, power plant, and industrial emissions impaired long-range visibility and probably had some chronic adverse consequences on the respiratory systems of persons breathing such air.

In time, scientists began associating the sulfur dioxide and nitrogen oxides released from coal burning with significant acid deposition in the atmosphere, eventually falling as "acid rain." This phenomenon has severely degraded forestlands, especially in Europe and a few parts of the <u>United States</u>. It has also impaired some aquatic ecosystems and eaten away the surface of some human artifacts, such as marble monuments. Scrubber technology and conversion to cleaner fuels have enabled the level of industrial production to remain at least constant while significantly reducing acid deposition. Technologies aimed at cleaning the air and curtailing acid rain, soot, and smog may, nonetheless, boomerang as the perils of global warming become increasingly serious. In brief, these particulates act as sort of a sun shade -- comparable to the effect of volcanic eruptions on the upper atmosphere whereby periods of active volcanism correlate with temporarily cooler weather conditions. Thus, while the carbon dioxide releases that are an inevitable byproduct of combustion continue, by scrubbing the atmosphere of pollutants, an industrial society opens itself to greater insolation (penetration of the sun's rays and consequent heating), and consequently, it is likely to experience a correspondingly greater rise in ambient temperatures.

The health benefits of removing the sources of acid rain and smog are indisputable, and no one would recommend a return to previous conditions. Nevertheless, the problematic climatic effects of continually increasing emissions of carbon dioxide and other greenhouse gases pose a major global environmental challenge, not as yet addressed adequately.

Djibouti Review 2016 Page 240 of 289 pages

3. Ozone Depletion

The stratospheric ozone layer functions to prevent ultraviolet radiation from reaching the earth. Normally, stratospheric ozone is systematically disintegrated and regenerated through natural photochemical processes. The stratospheric ozone layer, however, has been depleted unnaturally as a result of anthropogenic (man-made) chemicals, most especially chlorine and bromide compounds such as chloroflorocarbons (CFCs), halons, and various industrial chemicals in the form of solvents, refrigerants, foaming agents, aerosol propellants, fire retardants, and fumigants. Ozone depletion is of concern because it permits a greater degree of ultraviolet-B radiation to reach the earth, which then increases the incidences of cancerous malignancies, cataracts, and human immune deficiencies. In addition, even in small doses, ozone depletion affects the ecosystem by disturbing food chains, agriculture, fisheries and other forms of biological diversity.

Transnational policies enacted to respond to the dangers of ozone depletion include the 1985 Vienna Convention on the Protection of the Ozone Layer and the 1987 Montreal Protocol on Substances that Deplete the Ozone Layer. The Montreal Protocol was subsequently amended in London in 1990, Copenhagen in 1992 and Vienna in 1995. By 1996, 155 countries had ratified the Montreal Protocol, which sets out a time schedule for the reduction (and eventual elimination) of ozone depleting substances (OPS), and bans exports and imports of ODS from and to non-participant countries.

In general, the Protocol stipulates that developed countries must eliminate halon consumption by 1994 and CFC consumption by 1996, while developing countries must eliminate these substances by 2010. Consumption of methyl bromide, which is used as a fumigant, was to be frozen at the 1995 in developed countries, and fully eliminated in 2010, while developing countries are to freeze consumption by 2002, based on average 1995-1998 consumption levels. Methyl chloroform is to be phased out by 2005. Under the Montreal Protocol, most ODS will be completely eliminated from use by 2010.

4. Land Degradation

In recent decades, land degradation in more arid regions of the world has become a serious concern. The problem, manifest as both "desertification" and "devegetation," is caused primarily by climate variability and human activities, such as "deforestation," excessive cultivation, overgrazing, and other forms of land resource exploitation. It is also exacerbated by inadequate irrigation practices. Although the effects of droughts on drylands have been temporary in the past, today, the productivity and sustainability of these lands have been severely compromised for the long term. Indeed, in every region of the world, land degradation has become an acute issue.

Djibouti Review 2016 Page 241 of 289 pages

Desertification and Devegetation:

"Desertification" is a process of land degradation causing the soil to deteriorate, thus losing its nutrients and fertility, and eventually resulting in the loss of vegetation, known as "devegetation." As aforementioned, "desertification" and "devegetation" are caused by human activities, yet human beings are also the greatest casualties. Because these forms of land degradation affect the ability of the soil to produce crops, they concomitantly contribute to poverty. As population increases and demographic concentrations shift, the extent of land subject to stresses by those seeking to wrest subsistence from it has inexorably risen.

In response, the United Nations has formed the Convention to Combat Desertification-aimed at implementing programs to address the underlying causes of desertification, as well as measures to prevent and minimize its effects. Of particular significance is the formulation of policies on transboundary resources, such as areas around lakes and rivers. At a broader level, the Convention has established a Conference of Parties (COP), which includes all ratifying governments, for directing and advancing international action.

To ensure more efficacious use of funding, the Convention intends to reconfigure international aid to utilize a consultative and coordinated approach in the disbursement and expenditure of donor funds. In this way, local communities that are affected by desertification will be active participants in the solution-generation process. In-depth community education projects are envisioned as part of this new international aid program, and private donor financing is encouraged. Meanwhile, as new technologies are developed to deal with the problem of desertification, they need to be distributed for application across the world. Hence, the Convention calls for international cooperation in scientific research in this regard.

Desertification is a problem of sustainable development. It is directly connected to human challenges such as poverty, social and economic well-being and environmental protection as well. Broader environmental issues, such as climate change, biological diversity, and freshwater supplies, are indirectly related, so any effort to resolve this environmental challenge must entail coordinated research efforts and joint action.

Deforestation:

Deforestation is not a recent phenomenon. For centuries, human beings have cut down trees to clear space for land cultivation, or in order to use the wood for fuel. Over the last 200 years, and most especially after World War II, deforestation increased because the logging industry became a globally profitable endeavor, and so the clearing of forested areas was accelerated for the purposes of industrial development. In the long term, this intensified level of deforestation is considered problematic because the forest is unable to regenerate itself quickly. The deforestation that has

Djibouti Review 2016 Page 242 of 289 pages

occurred in tropical rainforests is seen as an especially serious concern, due to the perceived adverse effects of this process upon the entire global ecosystem.

The most immediate consequence of deforestation is soil degradation. Soil, which is necessary for the growth of vegetation, can be a fragile and vital property. Organically, an extensive evolution process must take place before soil can produce vegetation, yet at the same time, the effects of natural elements, such as wind and rain, can easily and quickly degrade this resource. This phenomenon is known as soil erosion. In addition, natural elements like wind and rain reduce the amount of fertile soil on the ground, making soil scarcity a genuine problem. When fertile topsoil that already exists is removed from the landscape in the process of deforestation, soil scarcity is further exacerbated. Equally significant is the fact that once land has been cleared so that the topsoil can be cultivated for crop production, not only are the nutrient reserves in the soil depleted, thus producing crops of inferior quality, but the soil structure itself becomes stressed and deteriorates further.

Another direct result of deforestation is flooding. When forests are cleared, removing the cover of vegetation, and rainfall occurs, the flow of water increases across the surface of land. When extensive water runoff takes place, the frequency and intensity of flooding increases. Other adverse effects of deforestation include the loss of wildlife and biodiversity within the ecosystem that supports such life forms.

At a broader level, tropical rainforests play a vital role in maintaining the global environmental system. Specifically, destruction of tropical rainforests affects the carbon dioxide cycle. When forests are destroyed by burning (or rotting), carbon dioxide is released into the air, thus contributing to an intensified "greenhouse effect." The increase in greenhouse gas emissions like carbon dioxide is a major contributor to global warming, according to many environmental scientists. Indeed, trees themselves absorb carbon dioxide in the process of photosynthesis, so their loss also reduces the absorption of greenhouse gases.

Tropical rainforest destruction also adversely affects the nitrogen cycle. Nitrogen is a key nutrient for both plants and animals. Plants derive nitrogen from soil, while animals obtain it via nitrogen-enriched vegetation. This element is essential for the formation of amino acids, and thereby for proteins and biochemicals that all living things need for metabolism and growth. In the nitrogen cycle, vegetation acquires these essential proteins and biochemicals, and then cyclically returns them to the atmosphere and global ecosystem. Accordingly, when tropical rainforest ecosystems are compromised, not only is vegetation removed; the atmosphere is also affected and climates are altered. At a more immediate level, the biodiversity within tropical rainforests, including wildlife and insect species and a wealth of plant varieties, is depleted. Loss of rare plants is of particular concern because certain species as yet unknown and unused could likely yield many practical benefits, for instance as medicines.

Djibouti Review 2016 Page 243 of 289 pages

As a result of the many challenges associated with deforestation, many environmental groups and agencies have argued for government policies on the sustainable development of forests by governments across the globe. While many countries have instituted national policies and programs aimed at reducing deforestation, and substantial research has been advanced in regard to sustainable and regenerative forestry development, there has been very little progress on an international level. Generally speaking, most tropical rainforests are located in developing and less developed countries, where economic growth is often dependent upon the exploitation of tropical rainforests. Timber resources as well as wildlife hunting tend to be particularly lucrative arenas.

In places such as the Amazon, where deforestation takes place for the construction of energy plants aimed at industrialization and economic development, there is an exacerbated effect on the environment. After forests are cleared in order to construct such projects, massive flooding usually ensues. The remaining trees then rot and decay in the wake of the flooding. As the trees deteriorate, their biochemical makeup becomes more acidic, producing poisonous substances such as hydrogen sulphide and methane gases. Acidified water subsequently corrodes the mechanical equipment and operations of the plants, which are already clogged by rotting wood after the floodwaters rise.

Deforestation generally arises from an economically plausible short-term motivation, but nonetheless poses a serious global concern because the effects go beyond national boundaries. The United Nations has established the World Commission on Forest and Sustainable Development. This body's task is to determine the optimal means of dealing with the issue of deforestation, without unduly affecting normal economic development, while emphasizing the global significance of protecting tropical forest ecosystems.

5. Water Resources

For all terrestrial fauna, including humans, water is the most immediate necessity to sustain life. As the population has increased and altered an ever-greater portion of the landscape from its natural condition, demand on water resources has intensified, especially with the development of industrialization and large-scale irrigation. The supply of freshwater is inherently limited, and moreover distributed unevenly across the earth's landmasses. Moreover, not just demand for freshwater but activities certain to degrade it are becoming more pervasive. By contrast, the oceans form a sort of "last wilderness," still little explored and in large part not seriously affected by human activity. However, coastal environments - the biologically richest part of the marine ecosystem-are experiencing major depletion due to human encroachment and over-exploitation.

Freshwater:

Djibouti Review 2016 Page 244 of 289 pages

In various regions, for instance the Colorado River in the western <u>United States</u>, current withdrawals of river water for irrigation, domestic, and industrial use consume the entire streamflow so that almost no water flows into the sea at the river's mouth. Yet development is ongoing in many such places, implying continually rising demand for water. In some areas reliant on groundwater, aquifers are being depleted at a markedly faster rate than they are being replenished. An example is the San Joaquin Valley in California, where decades of high water withdrawals for agriculture have caused land subsidence of ten meters or more in some spots. Naturally, the uncertainty of future water supplies is particularly acute in arid and semi-arid regions. Speculation that the phenomenon of global warming will alter geographic and seasonal rainfall patterns adds further uncertainty.

Water conservation measures have great potential to alleviate supply shortages. Some city water systems are so old and beset with leaking pipes that they lose as much water as they meter. Broad-scale irrigation could be replaced by drip-type irrigation, actually enhancing the sustainability of agriculture. In many areas where heavy irrigation has been used for decades, the result is deposition of salts and other chemicals in the soil such that the land becomes unproductive for farming and must be abandoned.

Farming is a major source of water pollution. Whereas restrictions on industrial effluents and other "point sources" are relatively easy to implement, comparable measures to reform hydraulic practices at farms and other "nonpoint sources" pose a significantly knottier challenge. Farm-caused water pollution takes the following main forms:

- Nitrate pollution found in wells in intensive farming areas as a consequence of heavy fertilizer use is a threat to human health. The most serious danger is to infants, who by ingesting high-nitrate water can contract methemoglobinemia, sometimes called "blue baby syndrome," a potentially fatal condition.
- Fertilizer runoff into rivers and lakes imparts unwanted nutrients that cause algae growth and eventual loss of oxygen in the body of water, degrading its ability to support fish and other desirable aquatic life.
- Toxic agricultural chemicals insecticides, herbicides, and fungicides are detectable in some aquifers and waterways.

In general, it is much easier to get a pollutant into water than to retrieve it out. Gasoline additives, dry cleaning chemicals, other industrial toxins, and in a few areas radionucleides have all been found in water sources intended for human use. The complexity and long time scale of subterranean hydrological movements essentially assures that pollutants already deposited in aquifers will continue to turn up for decades to come. Sophisticated water treatment processes are available, albeit expensive, to reclaim degraded water and render it fit for human consumption. Yet

Djibouti Review 2016 Page 245 of 289 pages

source protection is unquestionably a more desirable alternative.

In much of the developing world, and even some low-income rural enclaves of the developed world, the population lacks ready access to safe water. Surface water and shallow groundwater supplies are susceptible to contamination from untreated wastewater and failing septic tanks, as well as chemical hazards. The occurrence of waterborne disease is almost certainly greatly underreported.

Marine Resources:

Coastal areas have always been desirable places for human habitation, and population pressure on them continues to increase. Many types of water degradation that affect lakes and rivers also affect coastal zones: industrial effluents, untreated or partially treated sewage, nutrient load from agriculture figure prominently in both cases. Prospects for more extreme storms as a result of global warming, as well as the pervasiveness of poorly planned development in many coastal areas, forebode that catastrophic hurricanes and landslides may increase in frequency in the future. Ongoing rise in sea levels will force remedial measures and in some cases abandonment of currently valuable coastal property.

Fisheries over much of the globe have been overharvested, and immediate conservation measures are required to preserve stocks of many species. Many governments subsidized factory-scale fishing fleets in the 1970s and 1980s, and the resultant catch increase evidently surpassed a sustainable level. It is uncertain how much of the current decline in fish stocks stems from overharvesting and how much from environmental pollution. The deep ocean remains relatively unaffected by human activity, but continental shelves near coastlines are frequently seriously polluted, and these close-to-shore areas are the major biological nurseries for food fish and the smaller organisms they feed on.

6. Environmental Toxins

Toxic chemical pollution exploded on the public consciousness with disclosure of spectacularly polluted industrial areas such as Love Canal near Buffalo, New York. There is no question that pollutants such as organophosphates or radionucleides can be highly deleterious to health, but evidence to date suggests that seriously affected areas are a localized rather than universal problem.

While some explore the possibilities for a lifestyle that fully eschews use of modern industrial chemicals, the most prevalent remediative approach is to focus on more judicious use. The most efficient chemical plants are now able to contain nearly all toxic byproducts of their production processes within the premises, minimizing the release of such substances into the environment.

Djibouti Review 2016 Page 246 of 289 pages

Techniques such as Integrated Pest Management (IPM) dictate limited rather than broadcast use of pesticides: application only when needed using the safest available chemical, supplemented as much as possible with nontoxic controls.

While heightened public awareness and growing technical sophistication suggest a hopeful outlook on limiting the damage from manmade environmental toxins, one must grant that previous incidents of their misuse and mishandling have already caused environmental damage that will have to be dealt with for many years to come. In the case of the most hazardous radioactive substances, the time scale for successful remediation actually extends beyond that of the recorded history of civilization. Moreover, in this era of high population density and rapid economic growth, quotidian activities such as the transport of chemicals will occasionally, seemingly inevitably result in accidents with adverse environmental consequences.

7. "Islandization" and Biodiversity

With increased awareness regarding the adverse effects of unregulated hunting and habitat depletion upon wildlife species and other aspects of biodiversity, large-scale efforts across the globe have been initiated to reduce and even reverse this trend.

In every region of the world, many species of wildlife and areas of biodiversity have been saved from extinction. Nationally, many countries have adopted policies aimed at preservation and conservation of species, and one of the most tangible measures has been the proliferation of protected habitats. Such habitats exist in the form of wildlife reserves, marine life reserves, and other such areas where biodiversity can be protected from external encroachment and exploitation.

Despite these advances in wildlife and biodiversity protection, further and perhaps more intractable challenges linger. Designated reserves, while intended to prevent further species decline, exist as closed territories, fragmented from other such enclaves and disconnected from the larger ecosystem. This environmental scenario is referred to as "islandization." Habitat reserves often serve as oversized zoos or game farms, with landscapes and wildlife that have effectively been "tamed" to suit. Meanwhile, the larger surrounding ecosystem continues to be seriously degraded and transformed, while within the islandized habitat, species that are the focus of conservation efforts may not have sufficient range and may not be able to maintain healthy genetic variability.

As a consequence, many conservationists and preservationists have demanded that substantially larger portions of land be withheld as habitat reserves, and a network of biological corridors to connect continental reserves be established. While such efforts to combat islandization have considerable support in the <u>United States</u>, how precisely such a program would be instituted, especially across national boundaries, remains a matter of debate. International conservationists and preservationists say without a network of reserves a massive loss of biodiversity will result.

Djibouti Review 2016 Page 247 of 289 pages

The concept of islandization illustrates why conservation and preservation of wildlife and biodiversity must consider and adopt new, broader strategies. In the past, conservation and preservation efforts have been aimed at specific species, such as the spotted owl and grizzly bear in North America, the Bengal tiger in Southeast Asia, the panda in China, elephants in Africa. Instead, the new approach is to simultaneously protect many and varied species that inhabit the same ecosystem. This method, referred to as "bio-regional conservation," may more efficaciously generate longer-term and more far-reaching results precisely because it is aimed at preserving entire ecosystems, and all the living things within.

More About Biodiversity Issues:

This section is directly taken from the United Nations Environmental Program: "Biodiversity Assessment"

The Global Biodiversity Assessment, completed by 1500 scientists under the auspices of United Nations Environmental Program in 1995, updated what is known (or unknown) about global biological diversity at the ecosystem, species and genetic levels. The assessment was uncertain of the total number of species on Earth within an order of magnitude. Of its working figure of 13 million species, only 13 percent are scientifically described. Ecological community diversity is also poorly known, as is its relationship to biological diversity, and genetic diversity has been studied for only a small number of species. The effects of human activities on biodiversity have increased so greatly that the rate of species extinctions is rising to hundreds or thousands of times the background level. These losses are driven by increasing demands on species and their habitats, and by the failure of current market systems to value biodiversity adequately. The Assessment calls for urgent action to reverse these trends.

There has been a new recognition of the importance of protecting marine and aquatic biodiversity. The first quantitative estimates of species losses due to growing coral reef destruction predict that almost 200,000 species, or one in five presently contributing to coral reef biodiversity, could die out in the next 40 years if human pressures on reefs continue to increase.

Since Rio, many countries have improved their understanding of the status and importance of their biodiversity, particularly through biodiversity country studies such as those prepared under the auspices of UNEP/GEF. The <u>United Kingdom</u> identified 1250 species needing monitoring, of which 400 require action plans to ensure their survival. Protective measures for biodiversity, such as legislation to protect species, can prove effective. In the USA, almost 40 percent of the plants and animals protected under the Endangered Species Act are now stable or improving as a direct result of recovery efforts. Some African countries have joined efforts to protect threatened species through the 1994 Lusaka Agreement, and more highly migratory species are being protected by

Djibouti Review 2016 Page 248 of 289 pages

specialized cooperative agreements among range states under the Bonn Agreement.

There is an emerging realization that a major part of conservation of biological diversity must take place outside of protected areas and involve local communities. The extensive agricultural areas occupied by small farmers contain much biodiversity that is important for sustainable food production. Indigenous agricultural practices have been and continue to be important elements in the maintenance of biodiversity, but these are being displaced and lost. There is a new focus on the interrelationship between agrodiversity conservation and sustainable use and development practices in smallholder agriculture, with emphasis on use of farmers' knowledge and skills as a source of information for sustainable farming.

Perhaps even more important than the loss of biodiversity is the transformation of global biogeochemical cycles, the reduction in the total world biomass, and the decrease in the biological productivity of the planet. While quantitative measurements are not available, the eventual economic and social consequences may be so significant that the issue requires further attention.

Specific sources used for this section:

Bendall, Roger. 1996. "Biodiversity: the follow up to Rio". The Globe 30:4-5, April 1996.

Global Environmental Change: Human and Policy Implications. 1995. Special issue on "People, Land Management and Environmental Change", Vol. 3, No. 4, September 1995.

Golubev, Genady N. (Moscow University) In litt. 29 June 1996.

Heywood, V.H. (ed.). 1995. Global Biodiversity Assessment. United Nations Environment Programme. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge.

Heywood, V.H. 1996. "The Global Biodiversity Assessment". The Globe, 30:2-4, April 1996.

Djibouti Review 2016 Page 249 of 289 pages

Reaka-Kudla, Marjorie. 1996. Paper presented at American Association for Advancement of Science, February 1996. Quoted in Pain, Stephanie. "Treasures lost in reef madness". New Scientist, 17 February 1996.

Uitto, Juha I., and Akiko Ono (eds). 1996. Population, Land Management and Environmental Change. The United Nations University, Tokyo.

USFWS. 1994. U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service report to Congress, cited in news release 21 July 1994.

Online resources used generally in the Environmental Overview:

Environmental Protection Agency Global Warming Site. URL: http://www.epa.gov/globalwarming

Food and Agriculture Organization of United Nations: Forestry. URL: http://www.fao.org/forestry/site/sofo/en/

Global Warming Information Page. URL: http://globalwarming.org

United Nations Environmental Program. URL: http://www.unep.org/GEO/GEO_Products/Assessment_Reports/

United Nations Global Environmental Outlook. URL: http://www.unep.org/geo/geo4/media/

Note on Edition Dates:

The edition dates for textual resources are noted above because they were used to formulate the original content. We also have used online resources (cited above) to update coverage as needed.

Information Resources

Djibouti Review 2016 Page 250 of 289 pages

For more information about environmental concepts, CountryWatch recommends the following resources:

The United Nations Environmental Program Network (with country profiles)

The United Nations Environment Program on Climate Change

The United Nations Environmental Program on Waters and Oceans

http://www.unep.ch/earthw/Pdepwat.htm

The United Nations Environmental Program on Forestry: "Forests in Flux"

FAO "State of the World's Forests"

World Resources Institute.

Harvard University Center for Health and the Global Environment

The University of Wisconsin Center for Sustainability and the Global Environment

http://sage.aos.wisc.edu/

International Environmental Agreements and Associations

Djibouti Review 2016 Page 251 of 289 pages

International Policy Development in Regard to Global Warming:

Introduction

Regardless of what the precise nature of the relationship between greenhouse gas emissions and global warming may be, it seems that there is some degree of a connection between the phenomena. Any substantial reductions in greenhouse gas emissions and global warming trends will likely involve systematic changes in industrial operations, the use of advanced energy sources and technologies, as well as global cooperation in implementing and regulating these transformations.

In this regard, the United Nations Framework Convention on Climate Change (UNFCCC) stipulated the following objectives:

- 1. To stabilize "greenhouse gas" concentrations within the atmosphere, in such a manner that would preclude hazardous anthropogenic intervention into the existing biosphere and ecosystems of the world. This stabilization process would facilitate the natural adaptation of ecosystems to changes in climate.
- 2. To ensure and enable sustainable development and food production on a global scale.

Following are two discussions regarding international policies on the environment, followed by listings of international accords.

Special Entry: The Kyoto Protocol

The UNFCCC was adopted at the Rio Earth Summit in 1992, and entered into force in 1994. Over 175 parties were official participants.

Meanwhile, however, many of the larger, more industrialized nations failed to reach the emissions' reduction targets, and many UNFCCC members agreed that the voluntary approach to reducing emissions had not been successful. As such, UNFCCC members reached a consensus that legally binding limits were necessitated, and agreed to discuss such a legal paradigm at a meeting in Kyoto, Japan in 1997. At that meeting, the UNFCCC forged the Kyoto Protocol. This concord is the first legally binding international agreement that places limits on emissions from industrialized countries. The major greenhouse gas emissions addressed in the Kyoto Protocol include carbon dioxide, nitrous oxide, hydrofluorocarbons, perfluorocarbons, sulfur hexafluoride, and methane.

The provisions of the Kyoto Protocol stipulate that economically advanced nations must reduce their combined emissions of greenhouse gases, by approximately five percent from their 1990

Djibouti Review 2016 Page 252 of 289 pages

levels, before the 2008-2010 deadline. Countries with the highest carbon dioxide emissions, such as the <u>United States</u> (U.S.), many of the European Union (EU) countries, and <u>Japan</u>, are to reduce emissions by a scale of 6 to 8 percent. All economically advanced nations must show "demonstrable progress" by 2005. In contrast, no binding limits or timetable have been set on developing countries. Presumably, this distinction is due to the fact that most developing countries - with the obvious exceptions of <u>India</u> and <u>China</u> -- simply do not emit as many greenhouse gases as do more industrially advanced countries. Meanwhile, these countries are entrenched in the process of economic development.

Regardless of the aforementioned reasoning, there has been strong opposition against the asymmetrical treatment assigned to emissions limits among developed and developing countries. Although this distinction might be regarded as unfair in principle, associations such as the Alliance of Small Island States have been vocal in expressing how global warming -- a result of greenhouse gas emissions - has contributed to the rise in sea level, and thus deleteriously affected their very existence as island nation states. For this reason, some parties have suggested that economically advanced nations, upon returning to their 1990 levels, should be required to further reduce their greenhouse gas emissions by a deadline of 2005. In response, interested parties have observed that even if such reductions were undertaken by economically advanced nations, they would not be enough to completely control global warming. Indeed, a reduction in the rate of fossil fuel usage by developing nations would also be necessary to have substantial ameliorative effect on global warming. Indeed, a reduction in the rate of fossil fuel usage by developing nations would also be necessary to have substantial ameliorative effect on global warming.

As such, the Protocol established a "Clean Development Mechanism" which permits developed countries to invest in projects aimed at reducing emissions within developing countries in return for credit for the reductions. Ostensibly, the objective of this mechanism is to curtail emissions in developing countries without unduly penalizing them for their economic development. Under this model, the countries with more potential emissions credits could sell them to other signatories of the Kyoto Protocol, whose emissions are forecast to significantly rise in the next few years. Should this trading of emissions credits take place, it is estimated that the Kyoto Protocol's emissions targets could still be met.

In 1999, the International Energy Outlook projected that Eastern Europe, the former Soviet Union and Newly Independent States, as well as parts of Asia, are all expected to show a marked decrease in their level of energy-related carbon emissions in 2010. Nations with the highest emissions, specifically, the U.S., the EU and Japan, are anticipated to reduce their emissions by up to 8 percent by 2012. By 2000, however, the emissions targets were not on schedule for achievement. Indeed, the U.S. Department of Energy estimates forecast that by 2010, there will be a 34 percent increase in carbon emissions from the 1990 levels, in the absence of major shifts in policy, economic growth, energy prices, and consumer trends. Despite this assessment in the U.S., international support for the Kyoto Protocol remained strong, especially among European countries

Djibouti Review 2016 Page 253 of 289 pages

and island states, who view the pact as one step in the direction away from reliance on fossil fuels and other sources of greenhouse gases.

In 2001, U.S. President, George W. Bush, rejected his country's participation in the Kyoto Protocol, saying that the costs imposed on the global economic system, and especially, on the US, overshadowed the benefits of the Protocol. He also cited the unfair burden on developed nations to reduce emissions, as another primary reasons for withdrawal from the international pact, as well as insufficient evidence regarding the science of global warming. Faced with impassioned international disapproval for his position, the U.S. president stated that his administration remained interested in dealing with the matter of global warming, but would endorse alternative measures to combat the problem, such as voluntary initiatives limiting emissions. Critics of Bush's position, however, have noted that it was the failure of voluntary initiatives to reduce emissions following the Rio Summit that led to the establishment of the Kyoto Protocol in the first place.

In the wake of the Bush administration's decision, many participant countries resigned themselves to the reality that the goals of the Kyoto Protocol might not be achieved without U.S. involvement. Nevertheless, in Bonn, Germany, in July 2001, the remaining participant countries struck a political compromise on some of the key issues and sticking points, and planned to move forward with the Protocol, irrespective of the absence of the U.S. The key compromise points included the provision for countries to offset their targets with carbon sinks (these are areas of forest and farmland which can absorb carbon through the process of photosynthesis). Another compromise point within the broader Bonn Agreement was the reduction of emissions cuts of six gases from over 5 percent to a more achievable 2 percent. A third key change was the provision of funding for less wealthy countries to adopt more progressive technologies.

In late October and early November 2001, the UNFCC's 7th Conference of the Parties met in Marrakesh, Morocco, to finalize the measures needed to make the Kyoto Protocol operational. Although the UNFCC projected that ratification of the Protocol would make it legally binding within a year, many critics noted that the process had fallen short of implementing significant changes in policy that would be necessary to actually stop or even slow climate change. They also maintained that the absence of U.S. participation effectively rendered the Protocol into being a political exercise without any substance, either in terms of transnational policy or in terms of environmental concerns.

The adoption of the compromises ensconced within the Bonn Agreement had been intended to make the provisions of the Kyoto Protocol more palatable to the U.S. In this regard, it failed to achieve its objective as the Bush administration continued to eschew participation in the international accord. Still, however, the Bonn Agreement did manage to render a number of other positive outcomes. Specifically, in 2002, key countries, such as Russia, Japan and Canada agreed to ratify the protocol, bringing the number of signatories to 178. The decision by key countries to

Djibouti Review 2016 Page 254 of 289 pages

ratify the protocol was regarded as "the kiss of life" by observers.

By 2005, on the eve of a climate change conference in London, British Prime Minister Tony Blair was hoping to deal with the problems of climate change beyond the provisions set forth in the Kyoto Protocol. Acknowledging that the Kyoto Protocol could not work in its current form, Blair wanted to open the discussion for a new climate change plan.

Blair said that although most of the world had signed on to Kyoto, the protocol could not meet any of its practical goals of cutting greenhouse gas emissions without the participation of the United States, the world's largest polluter. He also noted that any new agreement would have to include India and China -- significant producers of greenhouse gas emissions, but exempt from Kyoto because they have been classified as developing countries. Still, he said that progress on dealing with climate change had been stymied by "a reluctance to face up to reality and the practical action needed to tackle problem."

Blair also touted the "huge opportunities" in technology and pointed toward the possibilities offered by wind, solar and nuclear power, along with fuel cell technology, eco-friendly biofuels, and carbon capture and storage which could generate low carbon power. Blair also asserted that his government was committed to achieving its domestic goal of reducing carbon dioxide emissions by 20 percent by 2010.

In the United States, President George W. Bush has said that global warming remained a debatable issue and despite conclusions reached by his own Environmental Protection Agency, he has not agreed with the conclusion that global warming and climate change are linked with human activities. Bush has also refused to ratify Kyoto on the basis of its economic costs.

Australia, an ally of the United States, has taken a similarly dim view of the Kyoto Protocol. Ahead of the November 2005 climate change meeting in Canada in which new goals for the protocol were to be discussed, Australia 's Environment Minister, Ian Campbell, said that negotiating new greenhouse gas emission levels for the Kyoto Protocol would be a waste of time. Campbell said, "There is a consensus that the caps, targets and timetables approach is flawed. If we spend the next five years arguing about that, we'll be fiddling and negotiating while Rome burns." Campbell, like the Bush administration, has also advocated a system of voluntary action in which industry takes up new technologies rather than as a result of compelling the reduction of emissions. But the Australian Conservation Foundation (ACF) has called on its government to ratify the Kyoto Protocol, to establish a system of emissions trading, and to set binding limits on emissions. Interestingly, although it did not sign on to Kyoto, Australia was expected to meet its emissions target by 2012 (an 8 percent increase in 1990 levels in keeping with the country's reliance on coal). But this success has nothing to do with new technologies and is due to state-based regulations on land clearing.

Note: The Kyoto Protocol calls for developed nations to cut greenhouse emissions by 5.2 percent

Djibouti Review 2016 Page 255 of 289 pages

of 1990 levels by 2012.

Special Entry: Climate Change Summit in Copenhagen (2009) --

In December 2009, the United Nations Climate Change Summit opened in the Danish capital of Copenhagen. The summit was scheduled to last from Dec. 7-18, 2009. Delegates from more than 190 countries were in attendance, and approximately 100 world leaders, including British Prime Minister Gordon Brown and <u>United States</u> President Barack Obama, were expected to participate. At issue was the matter of new reductions targets on greenhouse gas emissions by 2020.

Despite earlier fears that little concurrence would come from the conference, effectively pushing significant actions forward to a 2010 conference in Mexico City, negotiators were now reporting that the talks were productive and several key countries, such as South Africa, had pledged to reduce greenhouse gas emissions. The two main issues that could still lead to cleavages were questions of agreement between the industrialized countries and the developing countries of the world, as well as the overall effectiveness of proposals in seriously addressing the perils of climate change.

On Dec. 9, 2009, four countries -- the <u>United Kingdom</u>, <u>Australia</u>, <u>Mexico</u> and <u>Norway</u> -- presented a document outlining ideas for raising and managing billions of dollars, which would be intended to help vulnerable countries dealing with the perils of climate change. Described as a "green fund," the concept could potentially help small island states at risk because of the rise in sea level. <u>Bangladesh</u> identified itself as a potential recipient of an assistance fund, noting that as a country plagued by devastating floods, it was particularly hard-hit by climate change. The "green fund" would fall under the rubric of the United Nations Framework Convention on Climate Change, for which developed countries have been committed to quantifying their emission reduction targets, and also to providing financial and technical support to developing countries.

The <u>United Kingdom</u>, <u>Australia</u>, <u>Mexico</u> and <u>Norway</u> also called for the creation of a new legal treaty that would replace the Kyoto Protocol. This new treaty, which could go into force in 2012, would focus largely on the reduction of greenhouse gas emissions by 2020. But <u>Australia</u> went even further in saying that the successor treaty to the Kyoto Protocol, should be one with provisions covering all countries. Such a move would be a departure from the structure of the Kyoto Protocol, which contained emissions targets for industrialized countries due to the prevailing view that developed countries had a particular historic responsibility to be accountable for climate change. More recently, it has become apparent that substantial reductions in greenhouse gas emissions demanded by scientists would only come to pass with the participation also of significant developing nation states, such as <u>China</u> and <u>India</u>. Indeed, one of the most pressing critiques of the Kyoto Protocol was that it was a "paper tiger" that failed to address the impact of the actions of emerging economies like <u>China</u> and <u>India</u>, with its focus on the developed economies.

Djibouti Review 2016 Page 256 of 289 pages

Now, in 2009, <u>China</u> -- as the world's biggest greenhouse gas emitter -- was responding this dubious distinction by vocalizing its criticism of the current scenario and foregrounding its new commitments. Ahead of the Copenhagen summit, <u>China</u> had announced it would reduce the intensity of its carbon emissions per unit of its GDP in 2020 by 40 to 45 percent against 2005 levels. With that new commitment at hand, <u>China</u> was now accusing the <u>United States</u> and the European Union of shirking their own responsibilities by setting weak targets for greenhouse gas emissions cuts. Senior Chinese negotiator, Su Wei, characterized the goals of the world's second largest greenhouse gas emitter -- the <u>United States</u> -- as "not notable," and the European Union's target as "not enough." Su Wei also took issue with <u>Japan</u> for setting implausible preconditions.

On Dec. 11, 2009, China demanded that developed and wealthy countries in Copenhagen should help deliver a real agreement on climate change by delivering on their promises to reduce carbon emissions and provide financial support for developing countries to adapt to global warming. In so doing, China's Vice Foreign Minister He Yafei said his country was hoping that a "balanced outcome" would emerge from the discussions at the summit. Echoing the position of the Australian government, He Yafei spoke of a draft agreement as follows: "The final document we're going to adopt needs to be taking into account the needs and aspirations of all countries, particularly the most vulnerable ones."

China's Vice Foreign Minister emphasized the fact that climate change was "a matter of survival" for developing countries, and accordingly, such countries need wealthier and more developed countries to accentuate not only their pledges of emissions reduction targets, but also their financial commitments under the aforementioned United Nations Framework Convention on Climate Change. To that end, scientists and leaders of small island states in the Indian Ocean, the Pacific Ocean and the Caribbean Sea, have highlighted the existential threat posed by global warming and the concomitant rise in sea level.

China aside, attention was also on India -- another major player in the developing world and a country with an industrializing economy that was impacting the environment. At issue was the Indian government's decision to set a carbon intensity target, which would slow emissions growth by up to 25 percent by the 2020 deadline. This strong position was resisted by some elements in India, who argued that their country should not be taking such a strong position when developed wealthy countries were yet to show accountability for their previous commitments to reduce greenhouse gas emissions. The matter grew so heated that the members of the opposition stormed out of the parliament in protest as Indian Environment Minister Jairam Ramesh defended the policy. But the political pressure at home in India was leaving the Indian delegation in Copenhagen in a state of chaos as well. In fact, India's top environmental negotiator refused to travel to Copenhagen in protest of the government's newly-announced stance.

China and <u>India</u> were joined by <u>Brazil</u> and <u>South Africa</u> in the crafting of a draft document calling for a new global climate treaty to be completed by June 2010. Of concern has been the realization

Djibouti Review 2016 Page 257 of 289 pages

that there was insufficient time to find concurrence on a full legal treaty, which would leave countries only with a politically-binding text by the time the summit at Copenhagen closed. But Guyana's leader, President Bharrat Jagdeo, warned that the summit in <u>Denmark</u> would be classified as a failure unless a binding document was agreed upon instead of just political consensus. He urged his cohorts to act with purpose saying, "Never before have science, economics, geo-strategic self-interest and politics intersected in such a way on an issue that impacts everyone on the planet."

Likewise, <u>Tuvalu</u> demanded that legally binding agreements emerge from Copenhagen. Its proposal was supported by many of the vulnerable countries, from small island states and sub-Saharan Africa, all of whom warned of the catastrophic impact of climate change on their citizens. <u>Tuvalu</u> also called for more aggressive action, such as an amendment to the 1992 agreement, which would focus on sharp greenhouse gas emissions and the accepted rise in temperatures, due to the impact the rise in seas. The delegation from <u>Kiribati</u> joined the call by drawing attention to the fact that one village had to be abandoned due to waist-high water, and more such effects were likely to follow. Kiribati's Foreign Secretary, Tessie Lambourne, warned that the people of <u>Kiribati</u> could well be faced with no homeland in the future saying, "Nobody in this room would want to leave their homeland." But despite such impassioned pleas and irrespective of warnings from the Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change that the rise in sea level from melting polar ice caps would deleteriously affect low-lying atolls such as such as <u>Tuvalu</u> and <u>Kiribati</u> in the Pacific, and the <u>Maldives</u> in the Indian Ocean, the oil-giant <u>Saudi Arabia</u> was able to block this move.

Meanwhile, within the developed countries, yet another power struggle was brewing. The European Union warned it would only agree to raise its target of 20 percent greenhouse gas emissions reductions to 30 percent if the <u>United States</u> demonstrated that it would do more to reduce its own emissions. It was unknown if such pressure would yield results. <u>United States</u> President Barack Obama offered a "provisional" 2020 target of 17 percent reductions, noting that he could not offer greater concessions at Copenhagen due to resistance within the <u>United States</u> Congress, which was already trying to pass a highly controversial "cap and trade" emissions legislation. However, should that emissions trading bill fail in the Senate, the <u>United States</u> Environment Protection Agency's declaration that greenhouse gases pose a danger to human health and the environment was expected to facilitate further regulations and limits on power plants and factories at the national level. These moves could potentially strengthen the Obama administration's offering at Copenhagen. As well, President Obama also signaled that he would be willing to consider the inclusion of international forestry credits.

Such moves indicated willingness by the Obama administration to play a more constructive role on the international environmental scene than its predecessor, the Bush administration. Indeed, ahead of his arrival at the Copenhagen summit, President Barack Obama's top environmental advisors promised to work on a substantial climate change agreement. To that end, <u>United States</u>

Djibouti Review 2016 Page 258 of 289 pages

Environmental Protection Agency Administrator Lisa Jackson said at a press conference, "We are seeking robust engagement with all of our partners around the world." But would this proengagement assertion yield actual results?

By Dec. 12, 2009, details related to a draft document prepared by Michael Zammit Cutajar, the head of the Ad-hoc Working Group on Long-Term Cooperative Action, were released at the Copenhagen climate conference. Included in the document were calls for countries to make major reductions in carbon emissions over the course of the next decade. According to the Washington Post, industrialized countries were called on to make cuts of between 25 percent and 40 percent below 1990 levels -- reductions that were far more draconian than the <u>United States</u> was likely to accept. As discussed above, President Obama had offered a provisional reduction target of 17 percent. The wide gap between the released draft and the United States' actual stated position suggested there was much more negotiating in the offing if a binding agreement could be forged, despite the Obama administration's claims that it was seeking greater engagement on this issue.

In other developments, the aforementioned call for financial support of developing countries to deal with the perils of climate change was partly answered by the European Union on Dec. 11, 2009. The European bloc pledged an amount of 2.4 billion euros (US\$3.5 billion) annually from 2010 to 2012. Environment Minister Andreas Carlgren of Sweden -- the country that holds the rotating presidency of the European Union at the time of the summit -- put his weight behind the notion of a "legally binding deal." Meanwhile, Yvo de Boer, a top United Nations climate change official, focused less on the essence of the agreement and more on tangible action and effects saying, "Copenhagen will only be a success if it delivers significant and immediate action that begins the day the conference ends."

The division between developed and developing countries in Copenhagen reached new heights on Dec. 14, 2009, when some of the poor and less developed countries launched a boycott at the summit. The move, which was spurred by African countries but backed by China and India, appeared to be geared toward redirecting attention and primary responsibility to the wealthier and more industrialized countries. The impasse was resolved after the wealthier and more industrialized countries offered assurances that they did not intend on shirking from their commitments to reducing greenhouse gases. As a result, the participating countries ceased the boycott.

Outside the actual summit, thousands of protestors had gathered to demand crucial global warming, leading to clashes between police and demonstrators elsewhere in the Danish capital city. There were reports of scattered violence across Copenhagen and more than 1,000 people were arrested.

Nevertheless, by the second week of the climate change summit, hopes of forging a strong deal were eroding as developed and developing nations remained deadlocked on sharing cuts in greenhouse gases, and particularly on the matters of financing and temperature goals. In a bid to

Djibouti Review 2016 Page 259 of 289 pages

shore up support for a new climate change, <u>United States</u> President Barack Obama joined other world leaders in Copenhagen. On Dec. 14, 2009, there was a standoff brewing between the <u>United States</u> and <u>China</u>. At issue was China's refusal to accept international monitoring of its expressed targets for reducing greenhouse gas emissions. The <u>United States</u> argued that China's opposition to verification could be a deal-breaker.

By the close of the summit, the difficult process eventually resulted in some consensus being cultivated. A draft text called for \$100 billion a year by 2020 to assist poor nations cope with climate change, while aiming to limit global warming to two degrees Celsius compared with preindustrial levels. The deal also included specific targets for developed countries to reduce greenhouse gas emissions, and called for reductions by developing countries as a share of their economies. Also included in the agreement was a mechanism to verify compliance. The details of the agreement were supported by President Barack Obama, Chinese Premier Wen Jiabao, Indian Prime Minister Manmohan Singh and Brazilian President Luiz Inacio Lula da Silva.

This draft would stand as an interim agreement, with a legally-binding international pact unlikely to materialize until 2010. In this way, the summit in Copenhagen failed to achieve its central objective, which was to negotiate a successor to the Kyoto Protocol on greenhouse gas emissions.

Editor's Note

In the background of these developments was the growing global consciousness related to global warming and climate change. Indeed, as the Copenhagen summit was ongoing, it was clear there was enormous concurrence on the significance of the stakes with an editorial on the matter of climate change being published in 56 newspapers in 45 countries. That editorial warned that without global action, climate change would "ravage our planet." Meanwhile, a global survey taken by Globescan showed that concern over global warming had exponentially increased from 1998 -- when only 20 percent of respondents believed it to be a serious problem -- to 64 percent in 2009. Such survey data, however, was generated ahead of the accusations by climate change skeptics that some climate scientists may have overstated the case for global warming, based on emails derived in an illicit manner from a British University.

Special Entry: Climate change talks in Doha in *Qatar* extend life of Kyoto Protocol (2012)

December 2012 saw climate talks ensue in the Qatari city of Doha as representatives from countries across the world gathered to discuss the fate of the Kyoto Protocol, which seeks to minimize greenhouse gas emissions. The summit yielded results with decisions made (1) to extend the Kyoto Protocol until 2020, and (2) for wealthier countries to compensate poorer countries for the losses and damage incurred as a result of climate change.

Djibouti Review 2016 Page 260 of 289 pages

In regards to the second matter, Malia Talakai of Nauru, a leading negotiator for the Alliance of Small Island States, explained the necessity of the compensation package as follows: "We are trying to say that if you pollute you must help us."

This measure was being dubbed the "Loss and Damage" mechanism, and was being linked with <u>United States</u> President Barack Obama's request for \$60 billion from Congress to deal with the devastation caused by Hurricane Sandy months before. The sight of a hurricane bearing down on the northern Atlantic seaboard, along with the reality of the scope of reconstruction, appeared to have illustrated the economic costs of climate change -- not so much as a distant environmental issue -- but as a danger to the quotidian lives of people. Still, there was blame to be placed on the <u>United States</u> and European countries -- some of world's largest emitters -- for failing to do more to reduce emissions.

To that latter end, there was in fact little progress made on the central issue of reducing greenhouse gas emissions. Had those emissions been reduced, there would have been less of a need to financially deal with the devastation caused by climate change. One interpretation was that the global community was accepting the fact that industrialization was contributing to global warming, which had deleterious effects on the polar ice caps and concomitantly on the rise of sea level, with devastating effects for small island nations. Thus, wealthier countries were willing to pay around \$10 billion a year through 2020, effectively in "damages," to the poor countries that could be viewed as the "collateral damage" of industrial progress. But damages today could potentially be destruction tomorrow, leaving in place the existential challenges and burdens to be born by some of the world's smallest and least wealthy island countries.

Perhaps not surprisingly, the representative for the small island nation states at the Doha summit responded with ire, characterizing the lack of progress on reducing emissions as follows: "We see the package before us as deeply deficient in mitigation (carbon cuts) and finance. It's likely to lock us on the trajectory to a 3,4,5C rise in global temperatures, even though we agreed to keep the global average temperature rise of 1.5C to ensure survival of all islands. There is no new finance (for adapting to climate change and getting clean energy) -- only promises that something might materialize in the future. Those who are obstructive need to talk not about how their people will live, but whether our people will live."

Indeed, in most small island countries not just in the Pacific, but also the Caribbean and Indian Ocean, ecological concerns and the climate crisis have been dominant themes with dire life and death consequences looming in the background for their people. Small island nations in these region are already at risk from the rise of sea-level, tropical cyclones, floods. But their very livelihoods of fishing and subsistence farming were also at risk as a result of ecological and environmental changes. Increasingly high storm surges can wipe out entire villages and contaminate water supplies. Accordingly, the very existence of island nations, such as <u>Kiribati</u> and <u>Tuvalu</u>, are at severe risk of being obliterated from the map. Yet even with the existential threat of being wiped

Djibouti Review 2016 Page 261 of 289 pages

off the map in the offing, the international community has been either slow or restrictive in its efforts to deal with global warming, climate change, economic and ecological damage, as well as the emerging global challenge of environmental refugees.

A 2012 report from the United Nations Environment Program (UNEP) and the Pacific Regional Environment Program underlined the concerns of small island nations and their people as it concluded that the livelihoods of approximately 10 million people in Pacific island communities were increasingly vulnerable to climate change. In fact, low-lying islands in that region would likely confront losses of up to 18 percent of gross domestic product due to climate change, according to the report. The report covers 21 countries and territories, including Fiji, Kiribati, Samoa and Tonga, and recommended environmental legislation intended to deal with the climate crisis facing the small island countries particularly. As noted by David Sheppard, the director general of the Pacific Regional Environment Program that co-sponsored this study: "The findings... emphasize the need more than ever to raise the bar through collective actions that address the region's environmental needs at all levels."

Regardless of the failures of the summit in <u>Qatar</u> (discussed above), the meeting did facilitate a process starting in 2015, which would bind both wealthy and poor countries together in the mission of forging a new binding treaty that would replace the Kyoto Protocol and tackle the central causes of climate change.

For more information on the threats faced in small island nations by climate change and the measures being undertaken to lobby for international action, please see the Alliance for Small Island States available online at the URL: http://aosis.org/

Special Report

COP 21 summit in Paris ends with historic agreement to tackle climate change; rare international consensus formed on environmental crisis facing the planet (2015) --

In mid-December 2015, the highly-anticipated United Nations climate conference of parties (COP) in Paris, <u>France</u>, ended with a historic agreement. In fact, it would very likely be understood as the most significant international agreement signed by all the recognized countries of the world since the Cold War. Accordingly, the Paris Agreement was being distinguished as the first multilateral pact that would compel all countries across the world to cut its carbon emissions -- one of the major causes of increasing greenhouse gas emissions, which contribute to global warming, and its deleterious effects ranging from the dangerous rise in sea level to catastrophic climate change.

The accord, which was dubbed to be the "Paris Agreement," was the work of rigorous diplomacy

Djibouti Review 2016 Page 262 of 289 pages

and fervent environmental advocacy, and it aimed to address the climate change crisis facing the planet. As many as 195 countries were represented in the negotiations that led to the landmark climate deal. Indeed, it was only after weeks of passionate debate that international concurrence was reached in addressing the environmental challenges confronting the world, with particular attention to moving beyond fossil fuels and reducing greenhouse gas emissions.

The success of the COP 21 summit in Paris and the emergence of the landmark Paris Agreement was, to some extent, attributed to the efforts of France's Foreign Minister Laurent Fabius who presided over the negotiations. The French foreign minister's experience and credentials as a seasoned diplomat and respected statesman paid dividends. He skillfully guided the delegates from almost 200 countries and interest groups along the negotiations process, with ostensibly productive results and a reasonably robust deal to show for it.

On Dec. 12, 2015, French Foreign Minister Fabius officially adopted the agreement, declaring: "I now invite the COP to adopt the decision entitled Paris Agreement outlined in the document. Looking out to the room I see that the reaction is positive, I see no objections. The Paris agreement is adopted." Once Foreign Minister Fabius' gavel was struck, symbolically inaugurating the Paris Agreement into force, the COP delegate rushed to their feet with loud and bouyant cheers as well as thunderous applause.

In general, the Paris Agreement was being hailed as a victory for environmental activists and a triumph for international diplomats, while at the same time being understood as simply an initial -- and imperfect -- move in the direction of a sustainable future. China's chief negotiator, Xie Zhenhua, issued this message, saying that while the accord was not ideal, it should "not prevent us from marching historical steps forward."

United States President Barack Obama lauded the deal as both "ambitious" and "historic," and the work of strenuous multilateral negotiations as he declared, "Together, we've shown what's possible when the world stands as one." The <u>United States</u> leader acknowledged that the accord was not "perfect," but he reminded the critics that it was "the best chance to save the one planet we have."

Former <u>United States</u> Vice President Al Gore, one of the world's most well known environmental advocates, issued a lengthy statement on the accompishments ensconced in the Paris Agreement. He highlighted the fact that the Paris Agreement was a first step towards a future with a reduced carbon footprint on Planet Earth as he said, "The components of this agreement -- including a strong review mechanism to enhance existing commitments and a long-term goal to eliminate global-warming pollution this century -- are essential to unlocking the necessary investments in our future. No agreement is perfect, and this one must be strengthened over time, but groups across every sector of society will now begin to reduce dangerous carbon pollution through the framework of this agreement."

Djibouti Review 2016 Page 263 of 289 pages

The central provisions of the Paris Agreement included the following items:

- Greenhouse gas emissions should peak as quickly as possible, with a move towards balancing energy sources, and ultimately the decrease of greenhouse gases in the second half of this century
- Global temperature increase would be limited to 1.5 degrees Centigrade above pre-industrial levels and would be held "well below" the two degrees Centigrade threshold
- Progress on these goals would be reviewed every five years beginning in 2020 with new greenhouse gas reduction targets issued every five years
- \$100 billion would be expended each year in climate finance for developing countries to move forward with green technologies, with further climate financing to be advanced in the years beyond

It should be noted that there both legally binding and voluntary elements contained within the Paris Agreement. Specifically, the submission of an emissions reduction target and the regular review of that goal would be legally mandatory for all countries. Stated differently, there would be a system in place by which experts would be able to track the carbon-cutting progress of each country. At the same time, the specific targets to be set by countries would be determined at the discretion of the countries, and would not be binding. While there was some criticism over this non-binding element, the fact of the matter was that the imposition of emissions targets was believed to be a major factor in the failure of climate change talks in Copenhagen, Denmark, in 2009.

In 2015, the talks faced challenges as several countries, such as China and India, objected to conditions that would stymie economic and development. In order to avoid that kind of landmine, a system Intended Nationally Determined Contributions (INDCs) was developed and formed the basis of the accord. As such, the Paris Agreement would, in fact, facilitate economic growth and development, as well as technological progress, but with the goal of long-term ecological sustainability based on low carbon sources. In fact, the agreement heralded as "the beginning of the end of the fossil fuel era." As noted by Nick Mabey, the head of the climate diplomacy organization E3G, said, "Paris means governments will go further and faster to tackle climate change than ever before. The transition to a low carbon economy is now unstoppable, ensuring the end of the fossil fuel age."

A particular sticking point in the agreement was the \$100 billion earmarked for climate financing for developing countries to transition from traditional fossil fuels to green energy technologies and a low carbon future. In 2014, a report by the International Energy Agency indicated that the cost of that transition would actually be around \$44 trillion by the mid-century -- an amount that would render the \$100 billion being promised to be a drop in the proverbial bucket. However, the general expectation was that the Republican-controlled Senate in the <u>United States</u>, which would have to ratify the deal in that country, was not interested in contributing significant funds for the cause of climate change.

Djibouti Review 2016 Page 264 of 289 pages

A key strength of the Paris Agreement was the ubiquitous application of measures to all countries. Of note was the frequently utilized concept of "flexibility" with regard to the Paris Agreement. Specifically, the varying capacities of the various countries in meeting their obligations would be anticipated and accorded flexibility. This aspect presented something of a departure from the 1997 Kyoto Protocol, which drew a sharp distinction between developed and developing countries, and mandated a different set of obligations for those categories of countries. Thus, under Kyoto, China and India were not held to the same standards as the United States and European countries. In the Paris Agreement, there would be commitments from all countries across the globe.

Another notable strength of the Paris Agreement was the fact that the countries of the world were finally able to reach consensus on the vital necessity to limit global temperature increases to 1.5 degrees Centrigrade. Ahead of the global consensus on the deal, and as controversy continued to surface over the targeted global temperature limits, the leaders of island countries were sounding the alarm about the melting of the Polar ice caps and the associated rise in seal level. Prime Minister Enele Sopoaga of <u>Tuvalu</u> issued this dismal reminder: "Tuvalu's future ... is already bleak and any further temperature increase will spell the total demise of <u>Tuvalu</u>. No leader in this room carries such a level of worry and responsibility. Just imagine you are in my shoes, what would you do?" It was thus something of a victory for environmental advocates that the countries of the world could find ensensus on the lower number -- 1.5 degrees rather than 2 degrees.

A significant weak point with regard to the Paris deal was a "loss and damage" provision, which anticipates that even with all the new undertakings intended to reduce greenhouse gas emissions and move to a low carbon future, there would nonetheless be unavoidable climate change consequences. Those consequences ranged from the loss of arable land for farmers as well as soil erosion and contamination of potable water by sea water, to the decimation of territory in coastal zones and on small islands, due to the rise in sea level, with entire small island countries being rendered entirely uninhabitable. The reality was that peoples' homes across the world would be destroyed along with their way of life.

With that latter catastrophic effect being a clear and present danger for small island countries, the Association of Small Island States (AOSIS) demanded that the developed world acknowledge its responsibility for this irreversible damage. Despite the fact that greenhouse gas emissions and the ensuing plague of global warming was, indeed, the consequence of development in the West (the United States and Europe) and the large power house countries, such as Russia, China and India, there was no appetite by those countries to sign on to unlimited liability. Under the Paris Agreement, there was a call for research on insurance mechanisms that would address loss and damage issues, with recommendations to come in the future.

The call for research was being regarded as an evasion of sorts and constituted the weakest aspect of the Paris Agreement. Not surprisingly, a coalition of small island nations demanded a "Marshall

Djibouti Review 2016 Page 265 of 289 pages

Plan" for the Pacific. Borrowing the term "Marshall Plan" from the post-World War II reconstruction effort, the coalition of Pacific island nation, which included Kiribati, Tuvalu, Fiji, and the Marshall Islands, called for an initiative that would include investment in renewable energy and shoreline protection, cultural preservation, economic assistance for economies in transition, and a plan for migration and resettlement for these countries as they confront the catastrophic effects of the melting of the Polar ice caps and the concomitant rise in sea level. The precise contours of the initiative remained unknown, unspecified, and a mere exercise in theory at the time of writing. Yet such an initiative would, at some point, have to be addressed, given the realities of climate change and the slow motion calamity unfolding each day for low-lying island nations across the world.

As noted by Vice President Greg Stone of Conservation International, who also functions as an adviser to the government of Kiribati, "Imagine living in a place where you know it's going to go away someday, but you don't know what day that wave's going to come over and wash your home away." He added, "It's a disaster we know is going to happen." Meanwhile, the intervening years promised to be filled with hardship for small island nations, such as Kiribati. Stone explained, "For every inch of sea-level rise, these islands lose 10 feet of their freshwater table to saltwater intrusion," Stone explained. "So it's not just about the day the water finally goes over the island; it's also about the day that there's just not enough water left and everyone has to move off the island." Presaging the future for island nations that could face submersion, Stone said, "If you look ahead 50 years, a country like Kiribati could become the first aqueous nation. possibility of migration. That is, they own this big patch of ocean, and they administer it from elsewhere."

Foreign Minister Minister Tony Debrum of the Marshall Islands emerged as the champion advocating on behalf of small island nation states and a loose coalition of concerned countries from the Pacific to the Caribbean, but with support from the United States. He addressed the comprehensive concerns of small island nations regarding the weaknesses of the deal, while simultaneously making clear that the Paris Agreement signified hope for the countries most at risk. In a formal statement, Debrum declared: "We have made history today. Emissions targets are still way off track, but this agreement has the tools to ramp up ambition, and brings a spirit of hope that we can rise to this challenge. I can go back home to my people and say we now have a pathway to survival." Debrum highlighted the imperatives of Pacific island nations, saying, "Our High Ambition Coalition was the lightning rod we needed to lift our sights and expectations for a strong agreement here in Paris. We were joined by countries representing more than half the world. We said loud and clear that a bare-bones, minimalist agreement would not fly. We instead demanded an agreement to mark a turning point in history, and the beginning of our journey to the post-carbon era."

Debrum of the <u>Marshall Islands</u> espoused the quintessential synopsis of the accord and its effects for those most likely to be affected by climate change as he noted, "Climate change won't stop

Djibouti Review 2016 Page 266 of 289 pages

overnight, and my country is not out of the firing line just yet, but today we all feel a little safer."

Editor's Entry on **Environmental Policy**:

The low-lying Pacific island nations of the world, including <u>Kiribati</u>, <u>Tuvalu</u>, the <u>Marshall Islands</u>, <u>Fiji</u>, among others, are vulnerable to the threats posed by global warming and cimate change, derived from carbon emissions, and resulting in the rise in sea level. Other island nations in the Caribbean, as well as poor countries with coastal zones, were also at particular risk of suffering the deleterious effects of climate change.

Political policy in these countries are often connected to ecological issues, which have over time morphed into an existential crisis of sorts. Indeed, ecological concerns and the climate crisis have also been dominant themes with life and death consequences for the people of island nations in the Pacific. Indeed, the very livelihoods of fishing and subsistence farming remain at risk as a result of ecological and environmental changes. Yet even so, these countries are threatened by increasingly high storm surges, which could wipe out entire villages and contaminate water supplies. Moreover, because these are low lying island nations, the sustained rise in sea level can potentially lead to the terrain of these countries being unihabitable at best, and submerged at worst. Stated in plain terms, these countries are at severe risk of being obliterated from the map and their plight illuminates the emerging global challenge of environmental refugees. In these manifold senses, climate change is the existential crisis of the contemporary era.

Since the time of the 1997 Kyoto Protocol, there have been efforts aimed at extending the life of that agreement, with an eye on minimizing greenhouse gas emissions, and thus minimizing the effects of climate change. Those endeavors have largely ended in failure, as exemplified by the unsuccessful Copenhagen talks in 2009 and the fruitless Doha talks in 2012 respectively. The success of the COP 21 talks in <u>France</u>, with the adoption of the landmark Paris Agreement in 2015, was regarded as the first glimmer of hope. Not only did the Paris Agreement signify the triumph of international diplomacy and global consensus, but it also marked the start of the end of the fossil fuel era, with the path forward toward a low carbon future reliant on greener technologies. Most crucially, the Paris Agreement stood as the first significant response in recent times to the central challenge of climate change and its quotidian effects on the lives of real human beings across the world.

1. Major International Environmental Accords:

General Environmental Concerns

Convention on Environmental Impact Assessment in a Transboundary Context, Espoo, 1991.

Djibouti Review 2016 Page 267 of 289 pages

Accords Regarding Atmosphere

Annex 16, vol. II (Environmental Protection: Aircraft Engine Emissions) to the 1044 Chicago Convention on International Civil Aviation, Montreal, 1981

Convention on Long-Range Transboundary Air Pollution (LRTAP), Geneva, 1079

United Nations Framework Convention on Climate Change (UNFCCC), New York, 1002

Vienna Convention for the Protection of the Ozone Layer, Vienna, 1985 including the Montreal Protocol on Substances that Depleted the Ozone Layer, Montreal, 1987

Accords Regarding Hazardous Substances

Convention on the Ban of the Import into Africa and the Control of Transboundary Movements and Management of Hazardous Wastes within Africa, Bamako, 1991

Convention on Civil Liability for Damage Caused during Carriage of Dangerous Goods by Road, Rail and Inland Navigation Vessels (CRTD), Geneva, 1989

Convention on the Control of Transboundary Movements of Hazardous Wastes and their Disposal (Basel Convention), Basel, 1989

Convention on the Transboundary Effects of Industrial Accidents, Helsinki, 1992

Convention to Ban the Importation into Forum Island Countries of Hazardous and Radioactive Wastes and to Control the Transboundary Movement and Management of Hazardous Wastes within the South Pacific Region (Waigani Convention), Waigani, 1995

European Agreement Concerning the International Carriage of Dangerous Goods by Road (ADR), Geneva 1957

FAO International Code of Conduct on the Distribution and Use of Pesticides, Rome, 1985

2. Major International Marine Accords:

Global Conventions

Djibouti Review 2016 Page 268 of 289 pages

Convention on the Prevention of Marine Pollution by Dumping of Wastes and Other Matter (London Convention 1972), London, 1972

International Convention for the Prevention of Pollution from Ships, 1973, as modified by Protocol of 1978 relation thereto (MARPOL 73/78), London, 1973 and 1978

International Convention on Civil Liability for Oil Pollution Damage 1969 (1969 CLC), Brussels, 1969, 1976, and 1984

International Convention on the Establishment of an International Fund for Compensation for Oil Pollution Damage 1971 (1971 Fund Convention), Brussels, 1971

Convention on Liability and Compensation for Damage in Connection with the Carriage of Hazardous and Noxious Substances by Sea (HNS), London 1996

International Convention on Oil Pollution Preparedness, Response, and Co-operation (OPRC), London, 1990

International Convention Relation to Intervention on the High Seas in Cases of Oil Pollution Casualties (Intervention Convention), Brussels, 1969

United Nations Convention on the Law of the Sea (UNCLOS), Montego Bay, 1982

Regional Conventions

Convention for the Prevention of Marine Pollution by Dumping from Ships and Aircraft (Oslo Convention), Oslo, 1972

Convention for the Prevention of Marine Pollution from Land-based Sources (Paris Convention), Paris, 1974

Convention for the Protection of the Marine Environment of the North East Atlantic (OSPAR Convention), Paris, 1992

Convention for the Protection of the Marine Environment of the Baltic Sea Area (1974 Helsinki Convention), Helsinki 1974

Convention for the Protection of the Marine Environment of the Baltic Sea Area (1992 Helsinki Convention), Helsinki 1992

Djibouti Review 2016 Page 269 of 289 pages

Conventions within the UNEP Regional Seas Programme

Convention on the Protection of the Black Sea against Pollution, Bucharest, 1992

Convention for the Protection and Development of the Marine Environment of the Wider Caribbean Region, Cartagena de Indias, 1983

Convention for the Protection, Management, and Development of the Marine and Coastal Environment of the Eastern African Region, Nairobi, 1985

Kuwait Regional Convention for Co-operation on the Protection of the Marine Environment from Pollution, <u>Kuwait</u>, 1978

Convention for the Protection and Development of the Marine Environment and Coastal Region of the Mediterranean Sea (Barcelona Convention), Barcelona, 1976

Regional Convention for the Conservation of the Red Sea and Gulf of Aden Environment, Jeddah, 1982

Convention for the Protection of the Natural Resources and Environment of the South Pacific Region, Noumea, 1986

Convention for the Protection of the Marine Environment and Coastal Area of the South-East Pacific, Lima, 1981

Convention for Co-operation in the Protection and Development of the Marine and Coastal Environment of the West and Central African Region, Abidjan, 1981

3. Major Conventions Regarding Living Resources:

Marine Living Resources

Convention on the Conservation of Antarctic Marine Living Resources (CCAMLR), Canberra, 1980

International Convention for the Conservation of Atlantic Tunas (ICCAT), Rio de Janeiro, 1966

International Convention for the Regulation of Whaling (ICRW), Washington, 1946

Djibouti Review 2016 Page 270 of 289 pages

Nature Conservation and Terrestrial Living Resources

Antarctic Treaty, Washington, D.C., 1959

Convention Concerning the Protection of the World Cultural and Natural Heritage (World Heritage Convention), Paris, 1972

Convention on Biological Diversity (CBD), Nairobi, 1992

Convention on the Conservation of Migratory Species of Wild Animals (CMS), Bonn, 1979

Convention on International Trade in Endangered Species of Wild Fauna and Flora (CITES), Washington, D.C., 1973

Convention on Wetlands of International Importance especially as Waterfowl Habitat (Ramsar Convention), Ramsar, 1971

Convention to Combat Desertification (CCD), Paris 1994

FAO International Undertaking on Plant Genetic Resources, Rome, 1983

International Tropical Timber Agreement, 1994 (ITTA, 1994), Geneva, 1994

Freshwater Resources

Convention on the Protection and Use of Transboundary Watercourses and International Lakes, Helsinki, 1992

4. Major Conventions Regarding Nuclear Safety:

Convention on Assistance in the Case of a Nuclear Accident or Radiological Emergency (Assistance Convention), Vienna, 1986

Convention on Early Notification of a Nuclear Accident (Notification Convention), Vienna, 1986

Convention on Nuclear Safety, Vienna, 1994

Vienna Convention on Civil Liability for Nuclear Damage, Vienna, 1963

Djibouti Review 2016 Page 271 of 289 pages

5. Major Intergovernmental Organizations

Commission on Sustainable Development (CSD)

European Union (EU): Environment

Food and Agriculture Organization (FAO)

Global Environment Facility (GEF)

International Atomic Energy Agency (IAEA)

International Council for the Exploration of the Sea (ICES)

International Fund for Agricultural Development (IFAD)

International Labour Organization (ILO)

International Maritime Organization (IMO)

International Monetary Fund (IMF)

International Oil Pollution Compensation Funds (IOPC Funds)

Organization for Economic Co-operation and Development (OECD), Environment Policy Committee (EPOC)

United Nations Children's Fund (UNICEF)

United Nations Development Programme (UNDP)

United Nations Educational, Scientific, and Cultural Organization (UNESCO)

United Nations Environment Programme (UNEP)

United Nations Industrial Development Organization (UNIDO)

United Nations Population Fund (UNFPA)

Djibouti Review 2016 Page 272 of 289 pages

World Bank

World Food Programme (WFP)

World Health Organization (WHO)

World Meteorological Organization (WMO)

World Trade Organization (WTO)

6. Major Non-Governmental Organizations

Atmosphere Action Network East Asia (AANEA)

Climate Action Network (CAN)

Consumers International (CI)

Earth Council

Earthwatch Institute

Environmental Liaison Centre International (ELCI)

European Environmental Bureau (EEB)

Forest Stewardship Council (FSC)

Friends of the Earth International (FoEI)

Greenpeace International

International Chamber of Commerce (ICC)

International Confederation of Free Trade Unions (ICFTU)

International Planned Parenthood Federation (IPPF)

International Solar Energy Society (ISES)

Djibouti Review 2016 Page 273 of 289 pages

IUCN-The World Conservation Union

Pesticide Action Network (PAN)

Sierra Club

Society for International Development (SID)

Third World Network (TWN)

Water Environment Federation (WEF)

Women's Environment and Development Organization (WEDO)

World Business Council for Sustainable Development (WBCSD)

World Federalist Movement (WFM)

World Resources Institute (WRI)

World Wide Fund For Nature (WWF)

7. Other Networking Instruments

Arab Network for Environment and Development (RAED)

Global Legislators for a Balanced Environment (GLOBE)

Regional Environmental Center for Central and Eastern Europe (REC)

United Nations Non-Governmental Liaison Service (UN-NGLS)

Djibouti Review 2016 Page 274 of 289 pages

Djibouti Review 2016 Page 275 of 289 pages

Appendices

Djibouti Review 2016 Page 276 of 289 pages

Bibliography

BIBLIOGRAPHY

Sources: Key Data

Altapedia. URL: http://www.atlapedia.com/online/country index.htm

Ethnologue. URL: http://www.ethnologue.com

Geobase Global Statistics. URL: http://www.geoba.se

Infoplease: URL: http://www.infoplease.com

The Statesman's Year Book 2006. Barry Turner, ed. London: St. Martin's Press.

<u>United States</u> Department of State, Background Notes. URL: http://www.state.gov/www/background notes/index.htm

<u>United States</u> Central Intelligence Agency, World Factbook. Washington, D.C.: Printing and Photography Group. URL: http://www.cia.gov/cia/publications/factbook/index.html

World Bank. URL: http://www.worldbank.org/

World Climate Data Online. URL: http://www.worldclimate.com

Methodology Note for Demographic Data:

The demographic numbers for cities and national populations listed in CountryWatch content are derived from the Geoba.se website, which analyzes data from the World Bank. The current demographic numbers displayed on the Countrywatch website are reflective of the latest available estimates.

The demographic information for language, ethnicity and religion listed in Country Watch content is

Djibouti Review 2016 Page 277 of 289 pages

derived from a mix of sources including the Altapedia, Central Intelligence Agency Factbook, Infoplease, and State Department Background Notes.

Sources: Political Overview

Agence France Presse. URL: http://www.afp.com/en/

BBC International News. URL: http://news.bbc.co.uk/1/hi/world/ (Various editions and dates as cited in particular reviews)

Britannica Book of the Year. 1998-present. David Calhoun, ed. Chicago: Encyclopedia Britannica, Inc.

Britannica Online URL: http://www.eb.com

Britannica Year in Review. URL: http://www.britannica.com/browse/year

Chiefs of State and Cabinet Members of Foreign Governments. URL: http://www.cia.gov/cia/publications/chiefs/index.html

Christian Science Monitor. URL: http://www.csmonitor.com/ (Various editions and dates as cited in particular reviews)

CNN International News. URL: http://www.cnn.com/WORLD/ (Various editions and dates as cited in particular reviews)

Current Leaders of Nations. 1997. Jennifer Mossman, ed. Detroit: Gale Research

The Economist Magazine. (Various editions and dates as cited in particular reviews)

The Economist Country Briefings. URL: http://www.economist.com/countries/

Eldis Country Profiles. URL: http://www.eldis.org/country/index.htm

Elections Around the World. URL: http://www.electionworld.org/

Election Resources. URL: http://electionresources.org/

Europa World Yearbook 1999. Vols. I & II. 1999. London: Europa Publications Ltd.

Djibouti Review 2016 Page 278 of 289 pages

Europe World Online. URL: http://www.europaworld.com/pub/

Financial Times. URL: http://www.financialtimes.com

Foreign Government Resources. URL: http://www.lib.umich.edu/govdocs/foreign.html

Human Rights Watch. URL: http://www.hrw.org

IFES Election Guide. URL: http://www.electionguide.org

International Institute for Democracy and Electoral Assistance. URL: http://www.idea.int/

International Who's Who 1997-1998, 61st Edition. 1997. London: Europa Publications Ltd.

Leadership Views, Chiefs of State Online. URL: http://www.cia.gov/cia/publications/chiefs/index.html

Library of Congress Country Studies. URL: http://lcweb2.loc.gov/frd/cs/cshome.html

New Encyclopedia Britannica. 1998. Chicago: Encyclopedia Britannica Inc.

New York Times. URL: http://www.nytimes.com (Various editions and dates as cited in particular reviews)

Patterns of Global Terrorism. n.d. <u>United States</u> Department of State. Washington D.C.: <u>United States</u> Department of State Publications.

Political Handbook of the World. n.d. Arthur S. Banks, Thomas C. Muller, ed. Binghamton, New York: CSA Publications.

Political Reference Almanac Online. URL: http://www.polisci.com/almanac/nations.htm

Reuters News. URL: http://www.reuters.com/

Rulers. URL: http://rulers.org/

The Guardian Online. URL: http://www.guardian.co.uk/ (Various editions and dates as cited in particular reviews)

The Statesman's Year-Book 2006. Barry Turner, ed. London: St. Martin's Press.

Djibouti Review 2016 Page 279 of 289 pages

United Nations Development Programme. URL: http://hdr.undp.org

United Nations Refugee Agency. URL: http://www.unhcr.org

<u>United States</u> Central Intelligence Agency, World Factbook. Washington, D.C.: Printing and Photography Group. URL: http://www.cia.gov/cia/publications/factbook/index.html

<u>United States</u> Department of State, World Military Expenditures and Arms Transfers (WMEAT) URL: http://www.state.gov/www/global/arms/bureau ac/reports ac.html

United States Department of State, Country Reports on <u>Human Rights</u> Practices. URL: http://www.state.gov/g/drl/rls/hrrpt/2002/18245.htm

<u>United States</u> Department of State, Background Notes. URL: http://www.state.gov/www/background_notes/index.html

Virtual Library: International Relations Resources. URL: http://www.etown.edu/vl/countgen.html

World Bank: Governance Indicators. URL: http://info.worldbank.org/governance

-- See also list of News Wires services below, which are also used for research purposes. --

Note on Edition Dates:

The earlier edition dates are noted above because they were used to formulate the original Country Reviews and serve as the baseline for some of the information covered. Later editions have been used in some cases, and are cited as such, while other more recent online resources (cited above) contain recent and ever-updated data sets used for research.

Sources: Economic Overview

BP Statistical Review of World Energy. URL: http://www.bp.com/genericsection.do?categoryId=92&contentId=7005893

BP Statistical Review of World Energy, June 1998. 1998 to present. Page 1.C. London: The British Petroleum Company.

International Monetary Fund, Direction of Trade Statistics Yearbook. Washington, D.C.: International Monetary Fund Publication Services.

Djibouti Review 2016 Page 280 of 289 pages

International Monetary Fund, International Financial Statistics. 1998 to present. Washington, D.C.: International Monetary Fund Publication Services.

International Monetary Fund, International Financial Statistics Yearbook. 1999 to present. Washington, D.C.: International Monetary Fund Publication Services.

International Monetary Fund, World Economic Outlook, May 1999. 1999 to present. Washington, D.C.: International Monetary Fund Publication Services.

International Labour Office, World Employment Report, 1998-99. 1998 to present. Geneva: International Labour Office.

United Nations Statistical Division Online. URL: http://unstats.un.org/unsd/default.htm

United Nations Statistics Division, Monthly Bulletin of Statistics (MBS On Line), November 1999 Edition. 1999 to present. New York: United Nations.

United Nations, Statistical Yearbook, 43rd Issue. 1999. 1999 to present New York: United Nations.

United Nations, Food & Agricultural Organization, FAOSTAT Database. URL: http://apps.fao.org/ United Nations, Comtrade Data Base, http://comtrade.un.org/

United States Department of Energy, Country Analysis Briefs. URL:http://www.eia.doe.gov/emeu/cabs/contents.html

United States Department of Labor, Bureau of Labor Statistics Database

United States Geological Service, Mineral Information

United States Department of State, Country Commercial Guides. Washington, D.C. <u>United States</u> of America. URL:http://www.state.gov/www/about_state/business/com_guides/index.html

The World Bank, Global Development Finance, Country Tables. 1999 to present. Washington, D.C.: The World Bank.

The World Bank Group, World Development Indicators. 1999 to present. Washington, D.C.: The World Bank.

Yearbook of Tourism Statistics, World Tourism Organization. 1998 to present. Madrid: The World Tourism Organization.

Djibouti Review 2016 Page 281 of 289 pages

Note on Edition Dates:

The earlier edition dates are noted above because they were used to formulate the original country reviews and serve as the baseline for some of the information covered. Later editions have been used in some cases, and are cited as such, while other more recent online resources (cited above) contain recent and ever-updated data sets used for research.

Methodology Notes for Economic Data:

Estimates by CountryWatch.com of GDP in dollars in most countries are made by converting local currency GDP data from the International Monetary Fund World Economic Outlook to US dollars by market exchange rates estimated from the International Monetary Fund International Financial Statistics and projected out by the CountryWatch Macroeconomic Forecast. Real GDP was estimated by deflating current dollar values by the US GDP Implicit Price Deflator.

Exceptions to this method were used for:

- Bosnia-Herzegovina
- Nauru
- Cuba
- Palau
- Holy See
- San Marino
- Korea, North
- <u>Serbia</u> & Montenegro
- Liberia
- Somalia
- Liechtenstein
- Tonga
- Monaco
- Tuvalu

In these cases, other data and/or estimates by CountryWatch.com were utilized.

Investment Overview

Corruption and Transparency Index. URL: http://www.transparency.org/documents/cpi/2001/cpi2001.html#cpi

Deloitte Tax Guides. URL: http://www.deloittetaxguides.com

Djibouti Review 2016 Page 282 of 289 pages

Trade Policy Reviews by the World Trade Organization . URL: http://www.wto.org/english/tratop_e/tp_e/tp_rep_e.htm#bycountry

United States Department of Energy, Country Analysis Briefs. URL: http://www.eia.doe.gov/emeu/cabs/contents.html

<u>United States</u> Department of State, Background Notes. URL: http://www.state.gov/www/background-notes/index.html

<u>United States</u> Department of State, Country Commercial Guides. 1996-2006. Washington, D.C. <u>United States</u> of America ca. URL: http://www.state.gov/www/about_state/business/com_guides/index.html

World Bank: Doing Business. URL: http://www.doingbusiness.org

World Bank: Governance Indicators. URL: http://info.worldbank.org/governance

Social Overview

Borden, G.A., Conaway, W.A., Morrison, T. 1994. Kiss, Bow, or Shake Hands: How to do Business in Sixty Countries. Holbrook, Massachusetts, 1994.

Center for Disease Control. URL: http://www.cdc.gov

Eldis Country Profiles. URL: http://www.eldis.org/country/index.htm

Ethnologue. URL: http://www.ethnologue.com/

Government of <u>Australia</u> Department of Foreign Affiars and Trade. URL: http://www.dfat.gov.au/geo

Government of <u>Canada</u> Foreign Affairs and International Trade. URL: http://www.voyage.gc.ca/consular_home-e.htm

Library of Congress Country Studies. URL: http://lcweb2.loc.gov/frd/cs/cshome.html

Lonely Planet. URL: http://www.lonelyplanet.com/worldguide/

Steve Kropla's Online Help For World Travelers. URL: http://www.kropla.com/

Djibouti Review 2016 Page 283 of 289 pages

United Kingdom Ministry of Foreign and Commonwealth Office. URL: http://www.fco.gov.uk/

United Nations Human Development Report. URL: http://www.undp.org/hdro

UNICEF Statistical Database Online. URL: http://www.unicef.org/statis/atoz.html

<u>United States</u> Central Intelligence Agency, World Factbook. 2001. Washington, D.C.: Printing and Photography Group. URL: http://www.cia.gov/cia/publications/factbook/index.html

United States Department of State, Background Notes. URL: http://www.state.gov/www/background_notes/index.html

United States Department of State, Commercial and Business Affairs: Travel Tips. URL: http://www.state.gov/www/about_state/business/cba_travel.html

United States Department of State, Bureau of Consular Affairs. URL: http://travel.state.gov/

World Health Organization. URL: http://www.who.int/home-page/

World News Connection, National Technical Information Service. Springfield, Virginia, USA.

Internet News Service, Xinhua News Agency (U.S.) Inc. Woodside, New York. URL: http://www.xinhuanet.com/english/

Note on Edition Dates:

The earlier edition dates are noted above because they were used to formulate the original country reviews and serve as the baseline for some of the information covered. Later editions have been used in some cases, and are cited as such, while other more recent online resources (cited above) contain recent and ever-updated data sets used for research.

Methodology Notes for the HDI:

Since 1990, the United Nations Development Programme, in concert with organizations across the globe, has produced the <u>Human Development Index</u> (or HDI). According to the UNDP, the index measures average achievement in basic human development in one simple composite index, and produces from this index a ranking of countries. The HDI is a composite of three basic components of human development: longevity, knowledge and standard of living. Longevity is measured by life expectancy. Knowledge is measured by combination of adult literacy and mean

Djibouti Review 2016 Page 284 of 289 pages

years of schooling. Standard of living is measured by purchasing power, based on real GDP per capita (in constant US\$) adjusted for differences in international living costs (or, purchasing power parity, PPP). While the index uses these social indicators to measure national performance with regard to human welfare and development, not all countries provide the same level of information for each component needed to compute the index; therefore, as in any composite indicator, the final index is predicated on projections, predictions and weighting schemes. The index is a static measure, and thus, an incomplete measure of human welfare. In fact, the UNDP says itself the concept of human development focuses on the ends rather than the means of development and progress, examining in this manner, the average condition of all people in a given country.

Specifically, the index is calculated by determining the maximum and minimum for each of the three components (as listed above) and then measuring where each country stands in relation to these scales-expressed as a value between 0 and 1. For example, the minimum adult literary rate is zero percent, the maximum is 100 percent, and the reading skills component of knowledge in the HDI for a country where the literacy rate is 75 percent would be 0.75. The scores of all indicators are then averaged into the overall index.

For a more extensive examination of human development, as well as the ranking tables for each participating country, please visit: http://www.undp.org

Note on History sections

In some CountryWatch Country Reviews, open source content from the State Department Background Notes and Country Guides have been used.

Environmental Overview

Environmental Profiles: A Global Guide to Projects and People. 1993. Linda Sobel Katz, Sarah Orrick, and Robert Honig. New York: Garland Publishing.

The Environment Encyclopedia and Directory, 2nd Edition. 1998. London: Europa.

Environmental Protection Agency Global Warming Site. URL: http://www.epa.gov/globalwarming

Food and Agriculture Organization of United Nations: Forestry. URL: http://www.fao.org/forestry/site/sofo/en/

Global Warming Information Page. URL: http://globalwarming.org

Introduction to Global Environmental Issues, 2nd Edition. 1997. Kevin Pickering and Lewis Owen.

Djibouti Review 2016 Page 285 of 289 pages

London: Routledge.

Trends: Compendium of Data on Global Change. URL: http://cdiac.esd.ornl.gov/trends/emis/em_cont.htm

United Nations Environmental Program. URL: http://www.unep.org/GEO/GEO/Products/Assessment Reports/

United Nations Global Environmental Outlook. URL: http://www.unep.org/geo/geo4/media/

United States Department of Energy, Country Analysis Briefs. URL: http://www.eia.doe.gov/emeu/cabs/contents.html

World Climate Data Online. URL: http://www.worldclimate.com

World Directory of Country Environmental Studies. 1996. The World Resource Institute.

World Factbook. US Central Intelligence Agency. Washington, D.C.: Printing and Photography Group.

1998-1999 World Resources Guide to the Global Environment by the World Resources Institute. May, 1998.

1998/1999 Yearbook of International Cooperation on Environment and Development. 1998. London: Earthscan Publications.

Note on Edition Dates:

The earlier edition dates are noted above because they were used to formulate the original country reviews and serve as the baseline for some of the information covered. Later editions have been used in some cases, and are cited as such, while other more recent online resources (cited above) contain recent and ever-updated data sets used for research.

Other Sources:

General information has also been used in the compilation of this review, with the courtesy of governmental agencies from this country.

News Services:

Djibouti Review 2016 Page 286 of 289 pages

CANA Daily Bulletin. Caribbean Media Agency Ltd., St. Michael, <u>Barbados</u>.

Central and Eastern Africa Report, United Nations Office for the Coordination of Humanitarian Affairs - Integrated Regional Information Network for Central and Eastern Africa.

Daily News, Panafrican News Agency. Dakar, Senegal.

PACNEWS, Pacific Islands Broadcasting Association. Suva, Fiji.

Radio Free Europe/Radio Liberty. Washington D.C. USA.

Reuters News. Thomson Reuters. New York, New York. USA.

Southern Africa Report, United Nations Office for the Coordination of Humanitarian Affairs - Integrated Regional Information Network for Southern Africa.

Voice of America, English Service. Washington D.C.

West Africa Report, United Nations Office for the Coordination of Humanitarian Affairs - Integrated Regional Information Network for West Africa. 1998-1999

<u>Note:</u> Some or all these news services have been used to research various sections of this Country Review.

USING COUNTRYWATCH.COM AS AN ELECTRONIC SOURCE:

MLA STYLE OF CITATION

Commentary

For items in a "Works Cited" list, Country Watch.com suggests that users follow recommended patterns for indentation given in the *MLA Handbook*, 4th edition.

Individual Works

Basic form, using an Internet protocol:

Djibouti Review 2016 Page 287 of 289 pages

Author/editor. *Title of Print Version of Work*. Edition statement (if given). Publication information (Place of publication: publisher, date), if given. *Title of Electronic Work*. Medium. Available Protocol (if applicable):Site/Path/File. Access date.

Examples:

Youngblood-Coleman, Denise. *Country Review: France*. 2003. Houston, Texas: CountryWatch Publications, 2003. *Country Review: France*. Online. Available URL: http://www.countrywatch.com/cw_country.asp?vCOUNTRY=61 October, 12, 2003.

Note:

This is the citation format used when the print version is not used in the reference.

Parts of Works

Basic form, using an Internet protocol:

Author/editor. "Part title." *Title of Print Version of Work*. Edition statement (if given). Publication information (Place of publication: publisher, date), if given. *Title of Electronic Work*. Medium. AvailableProtocol (if applicable): Site/Path/File. Access date.

Examples:

Youngblood-Coleman, Denise. "People." *CountryWatch.com: France*. 2003. Houston, Texas: CountryWatch Publications, 2003. *CountryWatch.com: France*. Online. Available URL: http://www.countrywatch.com/cw_topic.asp?
vCOUNTRY=61&SECTION=SOCIAL&TOPIC=CLPEO&TYPE=TEXT. October 12, 2003.

Note:

This is the citation format used when the print version is not used in the reference.

For further source citation information, please email: editor@countrywatch.com or education@countrywatch.com.

Djibouti Review 2016 Page 288 of 289 pages

CountryWatch

CountryWatch is an information provider for public and private sector organizations that operate globally. The management of CountryWatch has extensive international experience and has utilized this experience to provide a concise and useful set of political, economic, and business information for its clients in the form of Country Reviews, the Country Wire, CountryWatch Data, Elections Central, CountryWatch Videos and CountryWatch Forecast.

This Country Review is designed to provide accurate and authoritative information on the subject matter covered. It is sold with the understanding that the publication is not intended to provide legal, accounting, investment, or other professional advice.

CountryWatch believes that the information and opinions contained here in are reliable, but does not make any warranties, express or implied, and assumes no liability for reliance on or use of the information or opinions contained herein.

The offices of CountryWatch are located at:

CountryWatch, Inc. 5005 Riverway Suite 220 Houston, Texas 77056 U.S.A.

Tel: 800-879-3885 Fax: 713-355-3770

Web address: http://www.countrywatch.com

Email: support@countrywatch.com

ISBN: 1-60523-662-4 Djibouti Country Review 2016

ISSN: 1-60523-893-5

Printed in the United States of America