

# Cameroon



## 2016 Country Review

**COUNTRY WATCH**

<http://www.countrywatch.com>

# Table of Contents

Chapter 1	1
Country Overview	1
Country Overview	2
Key Data	3
Cameroon	4
Africa	5
Chapter 2	7
Political Overview	7
History	8
Political Conditions	10
Political Risk Index	25
Political Stability	40
Freedom Rankings	55
Human Rights	67
Government Functions	70
Government Structure	71
Principal Government Officials	75
Leader Biography	76
Leader Biography	76
Foreign Relations	77
National Security	85
Defense Forces	87
Chapter 3	90
Economic Overview	90
Economic Overview	91
Nominal GDP and Components	93
Population and GDP Per Capita	95
Real GDP and Inflation	96
Government Spending and Taxation	97
Money Supply, Interest Rates and Unemployment	98
Foreign Trade and the Exchange Rate	99
Data in US Dollars	100
Energy Consumption and Production Standard Units	101

Energy Consumption and Production QUADS	102
World Energy Price Summary	103
CO2 Emissions	104
Agriculture Consumption and Production	105
World Agriculture Pricing Summary	108
Metals Consumption and Production	109
World Metals Pricing Summary	111
Economic Performance Index	112
Chapter 4	124
Investment Overview	124
Foreign Investment Climate	125
Foreign Investment Index	126
Corruption Perceptions Index	140
Competitiveness Ranking	151
Taxation	160
Stock Market	161
Partner Links	161
Chapter 5	162
Social Overview	162
People	163
Human Development Index	166
Life Satisfaction Index	170
Happy Planet Index	181
Status of Women	190
Global Gender Gap Index	193
Culture and Arts	202
Etiquette	203
Travel Information	203
Diseases/Health Data	212
Chapter 6	218
Environmental Overview	218
Environmental Issues	219
Environmental Policy	220
Greenhouse Gas Ranking	221
Global Environmental Snapshot	232
Global Environmental Concepts	244

International Environmental Agreements and Associations	258
Appendices	282
Bibliography	283

# **Chapter 1**

## **Country Overview**

---

## Country Overview

### CAMEROON

During World War I, British and French troops occupied Cameroon. After the war, as part of the Versailles treaty agreement, the League of Nations partitioned the Colony of Cameroon between Britain and France in 1919. In 1961 the modern state of Cameroon was created by the unification of the British and French colonies. The 1972 constitution (amended in 1996 and 2008) provides for a strong central government dominated by the president. Armed with modest oil resources and favorable agricultural conditions, Cameroon has one of the most well-endowed primary commodity economies in sub-Saharan Africa. Its major export commodities include crude oil and petroleum products, timber, cocoa beans, bananas, coffee, and cotton.

## Key Data

Key Data	
<b>Region:</b>	Africa
<b>Population:</b>	21387300
<b>Climate:</b>	Varies with terrain, from tropical along coast to semi-arid and hot in the north
<b>Languages:</b>	24 major African language groups including Bamileke, Bamoun, Ewondo and Fulfulde Arabic English (official) French (official)
<b>Currency:</b>	1 CFAF = 100 centimes
<b>Holiday:</b>	National Day is 20 May (1972), Independence Day is 1 January (1960)
<b>Area Total:</b>	475440
<b>Area Land:</b>	469400
<b>Coast Line:</b>	402

## Cameroon

### Country Map





## Africa

### Regional Map



© MAGELLAN Geographix® Santa Barbara, CA (800) 929-4MAP



# **Chapter 2**

## **Political Overview**

---

## History

Cameroon is a crossroads of environment and culture, where the desert meets the rainforest and nomadic pastoralists meet sedentary hunter-gatherers.

The earliest inhabitants of Cameroon were probably the Pygmies who still inhabit the dense forests of the South and East provinces.

Bantu speakers from equatorial Africa moved in by about 200 to 100 B.C.E. and were among the first groups to invade the region, displacing the loose confederation of Pygmies and expanding further into the forests. These Bantu tribes were comprised of many ethnic groups and chiefdoms. Some are still in existence today. The Bantu tribes eventually settled in the east and the south of the country, along the central Bamileke plateau, setting up independent chiefdoms. It is not unusual to find these chiefdoms and their extended families separated and spread across the French-English divisions set up during the colonialist era.

In the north of the country there is a mixture of Hamitic, Negroid and Arab-related groups living quite independently of one another. Islamic people had been moving through Cameroon's northern regions for millennia. They firmly entrenched themselves there long before the first Portuguese explorer ever stepped on the beaches of this Central African country.

During the late 1770s and early 1800s, the Fulani, a pastoral Islamic people of the western Sahel, conquered most of what is now northern Cameroon, subjugating or displacing its largely non-Muslim inhabitants. Historically, the Fulani controlled the slave commerce out of Cameroon. Members of defeated tribes were often sold in large numbers to Europeans or Arab merchants, and transported out of Cameroon. The Fulani groups maintain ties with Nigerian sultanates and control most of the beef production in Cameroon.

The Portuguese were the first recorded Europeans to sail down the coast of Cameroon. They arrived on Cameroon's coast in the early 1500s. Malaria discouraged significant European settlement and conquest of the interior until the late 1870s, when large supplies of the malaria suppressant quinine first became available.

The European presence in Cameroon during the earlier years of contact was primarily devoted to

coastal trade and the acquisition of slaves. The northern part of Cameroon was an important part of the Muslim slave trade network. The British largely suppressed the burgeoning international slave trade by the mid-19<sup>th</sup> century. Christian missions established a presence in the late 19<sup>th</sup> century and continue to play a role in Cameroonian daily life to the present day.

From the late 1880s, all of present-day Cameroon and parts of several of its neighbors became the German colony of Kamerun, with their capital, Buea, at the base of Mount Cameroon. Later the capital moved inland to Yaounde. The German colonial masters used Cameroon as a port of access to the interior of this region of Africa for research and exploitation. They developed a substantial industry in the production and export of palm oil and other raw goods. The early German colonists were ruthless in their endeavors, subjugating many African groups. They did manage to penetrate into some remote areas, constructing a durable infrastructure that remains to date. Throughout the country, it is easy to find German-built structures still in use after 70 years.

After World War I, as part of the Versailles treaty agreement, the League of Nations partitioned the Colony of Cameroon between Britain and France on June 28, 1919. France gained the larger share, transferring outlying regions to the neighboring French colonies and ruled the rest from Yaounde. Britain's territory, a strip bordering Nigeria from the sea to Lake Chad, was ruled from Lagos.

After World War II, the French and British colonial governments, under prompting from the United Nations, started to usher independence for their African colonies. It was evident that indigenous Cameroonians also desired independence. In 1955 the outlawed Union of Cameroonian Peoples, based largely between the Bamileke and Bassa ethnic groups, began an armed struggle for independence in French Cameroon. In 1957 French Cameroon became autonomous; it won official independence in 1960. However, the rebellion continued with diminishing intensity even after independence. Estimates of death from this conflict vary from tens of thousands to hundreds of thousands.

The French installed Ahmadou Ahidjo as the first president of Cameroon. The British administrated northern half of Cameroon, largely Muslim in composition, was annexed to Nigeria when that country gained its independence. The largely Christian southern half of the British territory voted to stay with newly formed Cameroon. This region joined the rest of Cameroon in 1961. Cameroon then became the Federal Republic of Cameroon. French and English have been the official languages ever since. The formerly French and British regions each maintained substantial autonomy.

*Note on History: In certain entries, open source content from the State Department Background Notes and Country Guides have been used. A full listing of sources is available in the*

*Bibliography.*

---

## Political Conditions

### Political Chronology --

Ahmadou Ahidjo, a French-educated Fulani, was chosen as the first president of the federation in 1961. Ahidjo, relying on a pervasive internal security apparatus, was able to outlaw all political parties but his own in 1966. He also successfully suppressed the UPC rebellion, capturing the last important rebel leader in 1970.

In 1972, a new constitution replaced the federation with a unitary state. Cameroon changed its name to the United Republic of Cameroon, but in 1984 the "United" was dropped.

After winning another term in 1980, Ahidjo mysteriously resigned as president in 1982 and was constitutionally succeeded by Prime Minister Paul Biya, a career official from the Bulu-Beti ethnic group. Ahidjo later regretted his choice of successors and orchestrated a coup d'etat, but his supporters failed to overthrow Biya in the 1984 coup. Biya won single-candidate elections in 1984 and 1983 and flawed multiparty elections in 1992 and 1997. His Cameroon People's Democratic Movement Party (RDPC/CPDM) holds a sizable majority in the legislature.

Cameroon became a member of the British Commonwealth of Nations in 1995. Commonwealth membership obligates countries to demonstrate a dedication to the principles of democracy and human rights. The path to democracy and the formation of a multiparty polling system in Cameroon have been tainted with corruption from the start. Systemic flaws, demonstrated by the repercussion of multiparty elections and official desire to control the results, brought a country virtually to the brink of civil war.

Although the Cameroonian government's human rights record has been improving over the years, it still remains endemically flawed. Reported abuses include beatings of detainees and arbitrary arrests of nationals and foreigners, European, American and African alike. Illegal searches and seizures are commonplace throughout the country. The judiciary at all levels is frequently corrupt, inefficient and subject to political and private influence.

Cameroon's transition to democracy began relatively late in the 1980s, when only single-party elections were held, although the ruling Cameroon People's Democratic Movement fielded multiple candidates. Initially uncertain and weak politically, Prime Minister Paul Biya strengthened his

position by abandoning the traditional consensual approach to government. The previous Ahidjo administration had made accommodations to the northern and southern political forces to secure a more partisan and personally loyal support base. This system promoted patronage, while undermining efficiency in government and effectively marginalizing a number of important political constituencies, especially the minority Anglophone communities in western Cameroon.

At this time there were no oppositional parties in the 1987 municipal elections and the national legislative poll in 1988. In the 1988 presidential election, President Biya was the only candidate; he won 98.7 percent of the vote. Opposition parties were at that time still illegal in Cameroon.

Declining living standards in the late 1980s, combined with popular demands for greater political and electoral transparency, fueled rising social unrest. By 1990, this unrest united oppositional factions into a popular mass movement demanding Paul Biya's resignation.

In this environment of increasing unrest, the united opposition called for general strikes in all major cities, under the rallying cry "Ville Mort" (dead, i.e., shut-down city). These strikes, which took place from April to May 1991, resulted in the most serious civil disruption in Cameroon since independence. The strikes brought the Cameroonian economy to a virtual standstill, but failed to persuade the government to relinquish power. Biya opted instead for a path of cautious political reform, and as a compromise, he conceded to the legalization of opposition parties.

Reflecting broad-based political changes in Sub-Saharan Africa in the early 1990s, opposition alliances started to emerge and to stage pro-democracy demonstrations. The government soon announced that it would usher in a number of democratic reforms that essentially legalized these opposition groups and paved the way for multi-party elections.

In December 1990, Cameroon's legislative body passed new reforms, termed the "Liberty Laws," that legalized opposition parties. The reforms legislation included the Law on Freedom of Social Communication, the Law on Freedom of Association, the Law on the Maintenance on Order, and the Law on Public Meeting and Demonstrations. However, the government retained pre-publication censorship.

In early 1991, the first two opposition parties, the Union of Cameroonian Peoples (UDC) and Integral Democracy for Cameroon, were sanctioned. Soon to follow were the Social Democratic Front (SDF), the National Union for Democracy and Progress (UNDP), and 13 other, smaller parties.

In August 1991, Maigari Bello Bouba, a Muslim and the prime minister under former President Ahmadou Ahidjo, returned from exile; he assumed leadership of the UNDP in January 1992. It was clear that opposition party support followed along regional and sometimes ethnic boundaries. The SDF was based in the West province, and drew its support mainly from the Bamilééké and

Anglophone north-westerners. The UNDP is strong mainly in the three Muslim-dominated northern provinces, and the RDPC/CPDM traditionally has been strong in the Center and South provinces, where the Beti ethnic groups are based.

The initial period of adjustment to multiparty politics was fraught with civil unrest and protests, general strikes and human rights violations. Journalists and opposition party officials who spoke out were routinely arrested or detained. At one point, 12 oppositional leaders were arrested all at once, and released after a few weeks of detention. Pro-democracy demonstrations swept all the major cities in the country: Yaounde, Douala, Bamenda and Bafousam.

As a result of civil unrest, in late April 1991, President Biya granted amnesty to all political exiles and political prisoners, including those whose convictions stemmed from the failed 1984 attempted coup d'etat against his presidency. By December, the National Assembly passed a new electoral code that would enable Cameroon's first multiparty legislative elections to be held.

Cameroon conducted its first multiparty legislative elections in March 1992, with 48 political parties officially registered. Of the major opposition parties, the UPC and the UNDP contested the election, while the SDF, refused to participate, asserting that the vote would not be free and fair. International observers, such as the Washington-based National Democratic Institute for International Affairs and the International Foundation for Election Systems, noted some irregularities on polling day. The irregularities were not deemed to have had a serious impact on the final results.

As some major opposition parties (mainly the SDF) boycotted the March 1992 legislative elections, the ruling "Rassemblement Démocratique du Peuple Camerounais" (Cameroon People's Democratic Movement or RDPC/CPDM) succeeded in winning 88 of 180 seats in the National Assembly. The UNDP won 68 seats, and the UPC won 18 seats.

The ruling party entered into a coalition with a minority opposition group called the Defense of the Republic, also known as the MDR. The MDR had won six seats in the parliament. The RDPC/CPDM needed to establish a narrow majority in the parliament to fend off the possibility of a coalition uniting the remaining opposition parties. Inclusion of the MDR gave the president's supporters an untouchable majority of 94 parliamentary seats.

In a period characterized by electoral and opposition party disarray, right after an election that raised some concerns about its fairness, President Biya moved up the date of the presidential election, which had been set for April 1993. The president called for it to take place, instead, on Oct. 11, 1992. He won with 40 percent of the votes cast. Certain events, and policies implemented by the office of the president for this election, raised substantial concerns about its fairness.

Notably, less than a month before the poll, the National Assembly passed a new presidential

---



electoral code that introduced single-round voting, and also instituted a residency requirement that eliminated one candidate. Moreover, the assembly retained the role of Ministry of Territorial Administration in organizing the elections and barred electoral alliances.

Although there were international observers present, Election Day was reportedly marred by widespread irregularities. There were reported human rights abuses by government forces, and violence in villages and in the streets of Douala and Yaounde. The National Democratic Institute for Democratic Affairs condemned the presidential poll as fraudulent and noted that "the Cameroonian government...took unusually extreme and illegitimate actions to ensure the president's victory." The Bamiléké, an ethnic group based in the West province who have traditionally supported the opposition SDF, were physically attacked in many parts of the South and Center provinces by armed RDPC/CPDM supporters during the days following the polling.

On Oct. 20, 1992, John Fru Ndi, leader of the SDF, claimed that he had actually won the election with 38 percent of the vote. Ndi was subsequently placed under house arrest for the rest of the year, and the government also cracked down further on the fledgling independent press.

Following Ndi's arrest, and in light of widespread dissent over the election results, the National Vote Counting Commission announced that President Paul Biya had actually won with 39.9 percent of the votes, compared with 35.9 percent for the SDF, and 19.5 percent for the UNDP candidate. The Cameroonian Supreme Court endorsed these official election results. The election results instantly incited a series of demonstrations, particularly in major towns of the Anglophone North-West province, where SDF is based. These protests led to destruction of property and attacks on RDPC/CPDM members. The Cameroonian Government declared a state of emergency in Northwest province. Dozens of oppositional supporters and leaders were either beaten or killed by security forces loyal to Biya. Hundreds of opposition supporters and leaders were arrested or detained for several months. Critics of the government, fearing for their personal safety, were forced to go into hiding or flee the country abruptly. John Fru Ndi, leader of the SDF opposition group, remained in custody for three months.

Thus, the aftermath of Cameroon's first multiparty legislative and presidential elections was tumultuous and hardly a ringing affirmation of the country's success in implementing democratic political processes.

On the international level, in 1994, the United Nations Human Rights Committee condemned widespread violations of the International Covenant on Civil and Political Rights that Cameroon had signed and ratified. The committee demanded stronger guarantees for basic human rights to ensure an effective move towards a genuinely democratic political system.

While Biya's government attempted to implement changes to the political system, the many opposition parties rallied and joined forces to make their voices heard. To contest the impending municipal elections, six opposition forces formed the "Front Démocratique et Patriotique." As a

follow-up to their gathering in March 1993, the Cameroon Anglophone Movement held a conference, despite being banned by the government, to demand the organization of a federal system that represented the full spectrum of Cameroonians, and not just the French-speaking population.

In September 1993, 16 opposition parties formed the "Front des Alliés pour le Changement," or FAC, previously named "L'Union pour le Changement." The FAC criticized the government for the delayed implementation of privatization and human rights protections. Constitutional revisions were supposed to resume after the establishment of the Consultative Constitutional Review Committee in November, but most opposition groups boycotted the discussions.

Following government pledges to reform the 1972 constitution, the National Assembly adopted a number of revisions in December 1995. Among the major features were the establishment of a second legislative body, the Senate, and the creation of regional councils. The new constitution also limited the president to two terms in office, while extending the mandate from five to seven years. Whether implementation of the revised constitution will satisfy calls for government decentralization, independence of the judiciary, or greater balance among the branches of government remains to be seen.

Another round of legislative and presidential elections were held in 1997. Opposition parties won a total of 104 of the 336 available seats in 1996. International and national observers reported that the municipal poll was "generally free and fair," despite complaints by opposition parties that the local authorities impeded voter registration and arbitrarily disqualified opposition party candidates. The opposition parties submitted a total of 96 appeals alleging impropriety to the Cameroonian Supreme Court.. The Supreme Court annulled 18 election results and announced that it was unable to rule in most of the cases. To date, the government has failed to hold new elections in these areas, though this lapse constitutes a breach of parliamentary law.

Due to organizational problems, the 1997 legislative elections were postponed. President Biya, however, failed to extend the mandate of the National Assembly members to cover the gap between the end of their terms and the new elections. When the mandated legislative term expired in late March 1997 (when the elections were originally to take place), armed groups attacked government buildings in several towns. Elections were then scheduled for May 1997.

The Commonwealth electoral commission that observed these elections, held May 17, criticized the proceedings and protested the results, as did the opposition parties. The Supreme Court nullified the results in three constituencies (a total of seven seats), and scheduled these races to be re-contested in August 1997. At that point, all seven seats went to the ruling party, the RDPC/CPDM. With the addition of those seven seats, the ruling party held 116 seats in the "Assemblée Nationale"; the opposition Social-Democratic Front held 43. The rest of the legislative body consisted of the "Union Nationale pour la Démocratie et le Progrès," or the National Union

for Democracy and Progress or UNDP with 13 seats, and the "Union Démocratique du Cameroun" (Democratic Union of Cameroon or UDC) with five. The "Union des Populations du Cameroun" (Union of the Peoples of Cameroon or UPC-K), the "Mouvement pour la Défense de la République", also called the movement for the Defense of the Republic or MDR, and the Liberty Movement of Cameroon Youth each held one seat.

The government also refused to consider opposition demands for an independent election commission. Indicating the depth of popular hostility toward Biya's government, as well as cynicism about prospects for change under the current regime, the three major opposition parties - the SDF, the UNDP and the UDC - boycotted the Oct. 12, 1997 presidential election. President Biya then easily won with 92.9 percent of the vote. The election was widely criticized by international observers. The leader of one of the opposition parties, Bello Bouba Maigari of the UNDP, subsequently joined the government, and ultimately a coalition government was formed with the cooperation of both the UNDP and the UPC. The SDF, the largest opposition group, refused to join.

Cameroonian morale was down. The elections further divided Cameroonians' perspectives on unity for the country. The foremost unifying factor that Cameroons generally had was their appreciation and national obsession for football. If politics divided the country, football brought the people together. On the streets of the capital cities, the social fabric seemed to be ripping apart at the seams. Violence and criminal behavior were on the rise, and local administrators overlooked much of it. Government security activity mainly focused on enforcing arbitrary laws for extra income, especially in areas bordering Anglophone communities. The Biya government was very successful in creating divisions among assorted ethnic and political groups. Yet amid this semi-engineered animosity, Cameroonians on the street were growing angry together. They were finally beginning to voice their concerns in the local media and to each other.

In December 1999, English-speaking secessionists captured the national radio station office in the Anglophone town of Limbe, the country's second-largest port, located in Southwest province. The rebel group declared the independence of English-speaking Cameroon. Their major demands were greater regional autonomy and the building of roads and infrastructure. A list of demands was read over the air and the secessionists then fled. Ironically there was no government security guarding the radio station that particular day, and there was no violence during the ordeal. No official opposition group or political entity took responsibility for the action of temporarily commandeering the radio station. Other Anglophone political groups denied participation or endorsement, and called for national unity. As no one was apprehended during this action, the Cameroonian government had no clear culprit. Regardless, this reinvigorated the Cameroonian government's efforts in restricting travel, conducting arbitrary searches and seizures, detaining nationals and resident foreigners, and engaging in other human rights abuses.

In March 2000, President Paul Biya, under international pressure, reshuffled his administration. He

replaced all ministers linked to security issues amid a current crime wave. The ministers of interior and defense were among those replaced, and a new police chief was also named. The move was a response to increasing security problems in Cameroon, notably in the capital, Yaounde, and the main business center, Douala. Several foreign diplomats had been attacked, including United States Ambassador to Cameroon John Yates, who escaped an armed carjacking. The incident was not considered political, and no shots were fired at the U.S. diplomat. The event was part of a recent rise in random violence, burglaries and car theft, a crime wave that has not spared international organizations and researchers.

The alarming increase in crime aside, since early 2000 Cameroon has seen a marked increase in its economy. Also notable is the government's creation of a Cameroon Investment Code in 1995 that gave foreign investors the most competitive incentives in all of Sub-Saharan Africa. Cameroon also embarked on the privatization of its parastatal firms. Several, but far from all, of these firms have been profitable. These firms generally exploited remote areas where they built and maintained infrastructure such as roads, ports, hospitals, schools and housing. After privatization, some ex-parastatals have been unable or unwilling to devote resources to development and upkeep at the level adhered to under the former system of government association. Conversely, some successfully privatized parastatals are playing integral roles in the development of communities and towns through employment and services that the government cannot provide.

Cameroon's greatest political problems include overcoming the stranglehold the political elite maintained for the past thirty years. Elite domination in Cameroon has led to an unprecedented rise in corruption. Some scholars have even associated this with a Machiavellian approach to manipulate power by politically dividing Cameroon into its ethnic factions and ruling through the exploitation of the chasm that remains. The group, Transparency International, has agreed with this perception of state corruption, and as a result, it has consistently ranked Cameroon as one of the most corrupt countries in the world. The disease of corruption has infected all levels of the administration from the awarding of government contracts to collecting mail from the post office. Corruption in Cameroon is part of daily life.

Parliamentary elections were held in 2002. Election results showed that the ruling RDPC/CPDM won 149 of the 180 seats. The SDF for 22 seats; the UDC won five seats; the UPC won three seats and the UNDP won one seat.

In June 2004, the Coalition for National Reconciliation and Reconstruction called for weekly protests demanding computerized polling in upcoming elections. A ban on the group was enacted in August.

In July 2004, the federal government enacted several laws to promote decentralization of authority. According to the government, the laws gave more authority to local and regional governments by allowing them be run by elected officials with a hierarchy of oversight. Local officials would be

overseen by regional officials and regional officials by federal officials. Representatives of the Social Democratic Front and the Cameroon Democratic Union opposed the laws saying they kept officials under the control of the ruling party and provisions in the laws allowed the president to create regions.

The next presidential elections were scheduled for the fall of 2004. In the lead-up to the election, 20 Paris-based opposition parties and several other groups formed a coalition to field a presidential candidate and unseat the ruling party. Called the "Front of Cameroonian Forces for Change," the group included the Progressive Movement of Cameroon, the Cameroon Popular Union, and the National Alliance for Development and Progress. It was formed in March 2003 and its constitutive meeting was deemed illegal by the government. Twelve members of the group, including founder Jean-Jacques Ekindi, were arrested for demonstrating in January 2004. As of mid-September, President Biya had not announced whether or not he would seek reelection, however, he did, indeed, eventually decide to contest the election a month later.

On October 11, 2004, Paul Biya was re-elected with an overwhelming majority of votes numbering about 70.8 percent. Ni John Fro Ndi garnered 17.4 percent of the votes cast. Adamou Ndam Njoya took 4.4 percent of the remaining votes cast and Garga Haman Adji had 3.7 percent. It was a clear victory for Biya's RDPC/CPDM at the executive level.

Meanwhile, Cameroon has had ongoing border disputes with Nigeria, with sovereignty over the oil-rich Bakassi peninsula serving as the main bone of contention. In February 2002, the Cameroon-Nigerian border dispute was again heard at the International Court of Justice (ICJ), although there was speculation that the ruling of the Court might not resolve the tensions between the two countries over this issue. Indeed, a subsequent ruling in October 2002, by the ICJ gave sovereignty of the Bakassi peninsula to Cameroon. The ruling was made in the basis of a 1913 treaty between the former colonial powers, the United Kingdom and Germany. Nigeria, however, refused to accept the ruling.

By August 2003, the International Court of Justice ruled that some of the villages near Lake Chad and the Bakassi Peninsula belonged to Cameroon. Nigeria was to withdraw its troops later that month. Then, in October 2003, Nigeria agreed to cede 33 villages to Cameroon by the end of the year and Nigeria was to receive \$30 billion for its concessions.

But in June 2004, it looked as though there would be obstacles to the process of turning over the Bakassi Peninsula when Nigeria requested some leeway in the turnover date. There had been demonstrations among Nigerians on the peninsula calling for a referendum on the turnover. In early September 2004, Nigeria said its withdrawal from the Bakassi Peninsula would be complete by September 15. Nigeria did not, however, meet its deadline pertaining to the handover of Bakassi peninsula.

On August 14, 2006, Nigeria was finally scheduled to handover control of Bakassi to Cameroon. The development came following the aforementioned 2002 decision by the International Court of Justice to grant sovereignty of Bakassi to Cameroon, thus mandating the withdrawal of Nigerian troops.

Following mediation by the United Nations, Nigerian President Olusegun Obasanjo had said in June 2006 that his country would abide with the ruling that would affect the predominantly Nigerian peoples of the contested Bakassi area. But tribal chiefs in Bakassi were against Nigerian assent on the issue and were trying to seek a court injunction to stop the move.

Only days before the official ruling was set to go into effect in August 2006, the Bakassi Movement for Self Determination -- a group of several hundred residents -- declared their independence. Tony Ene, the interim head of the movement, asserted: "The people have declared their own republic, known as the Democratic Republic of Bakassi. We will no longer have anything to do with Nigeria, since Nigeria does not want anything to do with us."

July 2007 saw parliamentary elections take place in Cameroon amidst low voter turnout and accusations by the opposition of widespread fraud. In a country where President Paul Biya has held power for more than two decades, the opposition was not viewed as a significant threat. Even outside the executive branch in parliament, the president's Democratic Rally of the Cameroonian People (RDPC) party held 149 of the 180 seats in the outgoing parliament and it was not expected that the ruling party would lose power in the elections. Indeed, the weak opposition, in conjunction with the spirit of inevitability, may have been the main contributing factors to the low degree of voter participation.

Still, serious issues were at stake for the country going forward. At issue was a proposal to change the constitution to allow President Biya to run for another term in office. Under existing constitutional provision, he was expected to step down in 2011. However, with his party dominating the parliament, it would be possible to pass through legislative changes that would authorize an extension of his rule.

John Fru Ndi, the head of the main opposition party, responded to the election as well as the possible moves to extend Biya's time in office saying, "There are all indications that the election is being rigged already. We have learnt that Biya wants to modify the constitution to run for a third term. This we cannot allow to happen." But in the face of criticism that Biya has had a repressive hold on power, the president's supporters have pointed to his clear margins of victory (accusations of fraud notwithstanding), as well as the country's stability under his leadership.

In August 2007, official results were released showing that the ruling RDPC had won the most seats in parliament. With control over 140 of the 180 seats in parliament, the RDPC had a clear majority, albeit with slightly less representation than before. The opposition Social Democratic



Front (SDF) won 14 seats, while the National Union for Democracy and Progress (UNDP) and the Cameroonian Democratic Union (UDC) secured four seats respectively, and the Progressive Movement garnered one seat. Legislative representation was not completely determined as polls were to be re-scheduled in five districts after the courts nullified their results as a result of irregularities.

In November 2007, the territorial dispute with Nigeria over the Bakassi Peninsula took a violent turn when 21 militants -- believed to be Nigerian -- killed 21 of Cameroon's soldiers in the area. The attack took place more than a year after the hand-over of control of the Bakassi Peninsula to Cameroon (as discussed above). However, the Nigerian Senate, like many other interests in Nigeria, have registered their rejection of the bilateral agreement and international court findings that led to the handover.

The prospect of constitutional changes allowing the president to extend his tenure in office came to the fore at the start of 2008 when President Biya intimated as such during his New Year's message to the country. The political opposition decried the possibility of such an amendment. Nevertheless, by April 2008, the parliament, which was dominated by parliamentarians from the president's party, amended the constitution making it possible for the President Biya to run for a third term in office. As would be expected, the political opposition railed against this development, characterizing it as "a constitutional coup."

Meanwhile, the capital city of Yaounde was paralyzed by a transport strike in February 2008 that was aimed at protesting the high price of fuel. That strike grew into a wider anti-government demonstration that became violent and left close to 20 people dead.

In April 2008, the parliament of Cameroon amended the constitution, effectively paving the way for President Biya to run for a potential third term in office. That election was expected to take place in 2011. The move was condemned by the opposition, which dubbed it to be "a constitutional coup."

Later in the year, the dispute with Nigeria over the oil-rich Bakassi peninsula came to a close with it being finally handed over into Cameroon's jurisdiction. In a move that showed the two countries were on improved bilateral footing, Nigeria and Cameroon agreed in 2009 to work together to fight the threat posed by pirates on its coastlines. Still, the threat of piracy came into full relief in November 2010 when five people died in an apparent pirate attack on a marine vessel enroute to an oil rig in a part of the Gulf of Guinea under Cameroon's jurisdiction.

Meanwhile, earlier in September of 2010 on the home front, President Biya was dealing with dissent within his own ruling coterie. As rumors abounded regarding plans for a coup d'etate, the president fired his security chiefs. Among those sacked were his police chief, Emmanuel Edou, and the head of internal security, Jean Marie Obelabout. It should be noted that the fired head of

internal security, Obelabout, was in the public purview having been accused of ordering the arrest and torture of a Cameroon journalist, Bibi Ngota, who subsequently died in a Yaounde prison in April 2010. Edou and Obelabout were replaced by Martin Mbarga Nguele and Eko Eko Leopold Maxine respectively.

#### Recent Developments --

A presidential election was set to take place in Cameroon in October 2011. Incumbent President Biya signed a presidential decree scheduling the country's next presidential election on Oct. 9, 2011. The president himself -- the candidate of the ruling Cameroon People's Democratic Movement (CPDM) -- was seeking re-election and renewed seven-year mandate. President Biya came to power in 1982, and was previously re-elected in 1997 and 2004. Due to constitutional changes, the presidential term was extended in 1996 from five years to seven years, and a 2008 amendment meant that President Biya was now able to contest the elections undeterred by term limits. The president in Cameroon is elected by universal suffrage.

Ahead of the vote, attention was on the updating the voting rolls in the country to reflect the inclusion of registration for Cameroonians abroad, as well as the matter of election day monitoring. Also commanding the attention was the matter of who might be contesting the presidential vote against President Biya. To that end, as many as 40 Cameroonians -- including three women -- announced their intentions to contest the presidency. Some of the main contenders included Ni John Fru Ndi, the chairman of the major opposition party, Social Democratic Front (SDF); Adamou Ndam Njoya of the Cameroon Democratic Union (UDC); Garga Haman Adjii of the Alliance for Democracy and Development (ADD); Augustin Frederic Kodock of the Union of populations of Cameroon (UPC); Bernard Acho Muna of the Alliance of progressive forces (AFP); and Anicet Ekane, of the African Movement for new Independence and Democracy (MANIDEM).

Election day was marked by low voter turnout, the deaths of two policemen and an opposition official, as well as complaints by the opposition about manifold electoral irregularities. That being said, incumbent President Paul Biya appeared poised to extend his time in power. Official results were expected within two weeks of the election date.

To that end, by Oct. 23, 2011, Cameroon's constitutional council, the Supreme Court, declared Paul Biya to be the winner of the 2011 presidential election, with an overwhelming 78 percent of the votes cast. Far behind in second place was Ni John Fru Ndi with close to 11 percent of the vote share. Garga Hanam Adjii was in third place with only 3.2 percent of the vote, while Adamou Ndam Njoya had a paltry 1.7 percent and a fourth place finish. Perhaps not surprisingly, Biya's opponents rejected his landslide victory and accused the authorities of carrying out a massive fraud on the people of Cameroon.

Nevertheless, on Nov. 3, 2011, Cameroon's President Paul Biya was sworn into office for another



seven year term. In his inaugural address, President Biya recapitulated his commitment to fight corruption and fraud, saying "Too often, self-interest takes precedence over the general interest. This state of mind is the cause of social drifts that are fraud, corruption or crime. Even if unemployment, poverty and inequality may partially explain the decline in public morality, we will respond even more firmly against corruption." President Biya also pledged improved access to health care, lower costs for treating childhood diseases and pandemics, and improved living conditions for the people of Cameroon.

Parliamentary elections were scheduled to be held in Cameroon in 2013. These 2013 parliamentary elections were initially slated to take place in July 2012 but were postponed in order to the prepare biometric identification ahead of the impending vote. As well, ahead of the election, the president convened the Senate, effectively actualizing the upper chamber, which was designated in 1996 by constitutional amendment but had not been functional until this time. The parliamentary elections of 2013 would thus decide the composition of the 100-seat Senate as well as the 180-seat National Assembly in the country's bicameral legislature.

The elections to the Senate were held on April 14, 2013; elections to the National Assembly were held on September 30, 2013. The election results gave victory to the President Biya's Cameroon People's Democratic Movement or CPDM in both chambers.

Note that next parliamentary and presidential elections in Cameroon would be held in 2018.

#### Security Alert:

In the last week of July 2014, four Cameroonian soldiers were killed by Islamic extremist militants from the Nigeria-based terror group, Boko Haram.

Days later on July 27, 2014, the wife of a top-ranking politician in Cameroon was abducted by Boko Haram Islamist terrorists. In an audacious attack, the Boko Haram militants raided the home of Vice Prime Minister Amadou Ali in the northern Cameroon town of Kolofata. At least three people were killed in what Information Minister Issa Tchiroma called a "savage attack," while Mrs. Ali and her maid were kidnapped by the terrorists. Vice Prime Minister Ali was able to escape the attack and was soon transferred to another town for obvious security reasons. In a separate attack by Boko Haram in the same town of Kolofata, the home of the mayor, who also serves as the local religious leader, was also raided. That incident ended with the kidnapping of Mayor Seini Boukar Lamine.

Authorities in Cameroon confirmed the attacks, along with the two high-profile abductions, and warned that Boko Haram militants remained in the region.

It was not known if these attacks were linked with the recent jailing of several Boko Haram

militants in Cameroon on charges of illegal possession of fire arms and plotting an insurrection. It was possible that the Islamic extremist groups hoped to gain leverage over the Cameroonian authorities, and thus facilitate a prisoner swap. However, it was also possible that Boko Haram was being motivated by grander ambitions. While Boko Haram has concentrated its efforts to terrorize the public in Nigeria, these attacks across the border in Cameroon suggested more of a regional or Jihadist orientation, which was sure to give regional leaders pause.

The year 2014 was marked by a series of attacks and abductions at the hands of Boko Haram. It was apparent that Boko Haram was taking advantage of the porous border between Nigeria and Cameroon, essentially making Boko Haram a regional threat to security.

### Special Note on regional threat posed by Boko Haram

The extremist militant Islamist group, Boko Haram, launched an uprising in 2009 and was responsible for much of the sectarian bloodshed plaguing the country of Nigeria in recent times. Indeed, Boko Haram has a record of attacking security and political personnel manifested by its litany of targets. In more recent times, Boko Haram has expanded its target list as it has attacked Christian churches and killed worshipers, often during church services. For its part, Boko Haram is a militant Jihadist entity, which seeks to establish an Islamic government and Shari'a law across the whole of Nigeria.

While "Boko Haram" is the popular name of the extremist Islamist entity, its official name title is "Jama'atu Ahlis Sunna Lidda'awati wal-Jihad," which in Arabic means "People Committed to the Propagation of the Prophet's Teachings and Jihad." The term, Boko Haram, means "Western education is sacrilege" or "Western education is a sin" in the Hausa language; however, the group is not only against Western education but also against Western culture, modern science, the wearing of regular Western clothing such as shirts and pants, as well as the act of voting in elections.

There have been signs that Boko Haram poses a threat not only to Nigerians -- many of whom are fellow Muslims -- but also to the wider community, and anyone deemed to be of a pro-Western persuasion. Of note was the fact that in 2011, a Boko Haram suicide attack on a United Nations building in Abuja killed at least two dozen people. More recently -- in 2014 -- there was a series of attacks and abductions of high-profile figures in neighboring Cameroon. It was apparent that Boko Haram was taking advantage of the porous border between Nigeria and Cameroon, essentially making Boko Haram a regional threat to security.

Indeed, by January 2015, Boko Haram's effects were being felt regionally and not just in Nigeria. A massacre in the town of Baga resulted in thousands of people fled across Lake Chad seeking refuge in the country of Chad. But the country of Cameroon was suffering directly as a result of Boko Haram's barbarism when the militant Islamist movement violated that country's borders,

carrying out raids into Cameroon territory, attacking villages, and kidnapping and killing scores of people. It should be noted that some of the individuals who were kidnapped in Cameroon were reported to have been freed. Cameroon's defense ministry said the hostages were liberated when their armed forces "pursued the attackers who were heading back to Nigeria." Nevertheless, Chad announced that it would deploy soldiers to Cameroon to assist that country in repelling the threat posed by Boko Haram.

In February 2015, military troops from Cameroon and Chad killed more than 250 Boko Haram terrorists amidst successive days of fighting. In the town of Fotokol, a massacre ensued when Boko Haram terrorists raided the town, burning buildings to the ground, and brutally murdering as many as 100 residents in mosques and in their homes. The bodies of many victims were found with their throats slit. As many as 50 Boko Haram terrorists were killed as a result of the defensive operation by Cameroonian forces. Around the same period, the government of Chad said it had targeted and eliminated Boko Haram bases in the towns of Gambaru and Ngala in northern Nigeria on Tuesday, killing more than 200 Boko Haram terrorists; nine Chadian soldiers also died in the offensive. Chad, with its well-developed military, was also carrying out air strikes on other Boko Haram positions.

The geopolitical aspect extended to Niger in the first week of February 2015 when Boko Haram terrorists attacked the town of Bosso in Niger, leading to a defensive operation by Niger's troops and Chad's fighter jets. It should be noted that Chad has been the most active regional power, deploying as many as 2,500 soldiers to the region to protect its own territory and people, but also to assist Cameroon and Niger.

For its part, the government of Niger was considering deploying some of its own troops to fight Boko Haram. In the second week of February 2015 -- just hours before the parliament of that country was set to vote on a regional offensive force to fight the Islamist terror group -- Boko Haram stretched its abusive reach into Niger. At issue was an assault on the town of Diffa, leading thousands of people to flee the area. The army of Niger was able to successfully repel the assault; however, the attack was emblematic of the fact that Boko Haram was no longer a Nigerian problem, but in fact, a regional threat.

Feb. 13, 2015 saw Boko Haram launch another cross-border attack -- this time on the fishing village of Ngouboua in Chad along the shores of Lake Chad. As has become the norm for Boko Haram, the Islamist terrorists set homes on fire, often with residents inside, and slit the throats of other villagers in a rampage of horror. Included in the dead were a local chief, a police officer, three civilians, and two Boko Haram fighters. But the Chadian military was showing itself to be more effective than that of Nigeria and was ultimately able to repel the Boko Haram fighters. The rate of cross-border attacks in Chad, Cameroon, and Niger, however, suggested (1) the possibility of Boko Haram sleeper cells in these countries; and (2) a burgeoning imperative of Boko Haram to consolidate territory regionally rather than within one country, perhaps with an eye on establishing

an African variant to the caliphate claimed by Islamic State in the Middle Eastern cross-border territory of Iraq and Syria.

On Feb. 16, 2015, Boko Haram was still managing to carry out cross-border attacks -- this time launching a raid on a military camp in northern Cameroon. Several troops were injured in that incident, although Cameroonian authorities said their troops were able to quickly retaliate.

Two weeks later at the start of March 2015, the regional effort was afoot to target Boko Haram -- despite Nigeria's overt attempts to subvert international assistance. Chadian forces -- some of the most sophisticated and capable in the region -- were reportedly ready to carry out an assault on Boko Haram but were prevented from doing so by Nigerian authorities. In fact, Cameroon and Niger in addition to Chad have respectively complained about Nigeria's unwillingness to cooperate in the effort to establish a regional taskforce aimed at vanquishing Boko Haram.

The rationale for Nigeria's obstinacy -- especially in the face of its own ineffectual approach to dealing with Boko Haram -- raised questions about the Nigerian government's commitment to fighting the terror group.

Nevertheless, in March 2015, regardless of the possible embarrassment to President Goodluck Jonathan, who was seeking re-election, military forces from Chad and Niger launched a joint air and ground offensive against Boko Haram, with the restive Borno state in Nigeria at the top of the target list.

Note that ahead of the 2015 election in Nigeria, President Goodluck Jonathan's main rival in the 2015 presidential contest, former military strongman, Muhammadu Buhari, blasted the incumbent leader for his ineffectual defense of Nigeria from Boko Haram. He added that it was a "disgrace" that neighboring countries were doing more to fight Boko Haram, and were seeing more success than the Nigerian military. In an interview with Reuters News, Buhari said, "It's a big disgrace for Nigeria. It is now Cameroon and Chad fighting the insurgency more than Nigeria." He promised that under his presidency, more would be done to vanquish Boko Haram.

Ultimately, Buhari defeated Jonathan for the presidency. In mid-2015, the newly-elected Nigerian President Buhari indicated that the effort against Boko Haram was about to go into high gear. Via the social media outlet, Twitter, he wrote that the "efforts to strengthen security cooperation with our neighbours and adjust our own response to Boko Haram will yield results very soon."

It was to be seen if the election of Buhari in Nigeria would affect the fight against Boko Haram, and certainly the participation of Chad in that effort.

In June 2015, videotaped footage by Boko Haram surfaced with the messenger delivering a defiant message on behalf of the Islamist terror group. The messenger asserted that claims by Nigeria,

Niger, Chad, and Cameroon of military success against Boko Haram were exaggerated and that the Islamist terrorists were not being pressured into retreat. Amidst gruesome footage of corpses, the anonymous speaker declared: "Most of our territory is still under control. Whoever believes that the Nigerian army has defeated us.... know that we have battled against them and killed them." It seemed that in the immediate aftermath of President Buhari's inauguration, he would have to immediately confront the Boko Haram problem.

Later in June 2015, Boko Haram carried out attacks in Chad and Niger -- two countries participating in a regional multinational fight against the Islamist terror group. In one case, Chad was struck by a suicide attack and responded with air strikes on Boko Haram positions. In another case, Boko Haram carried out bloody assaults on villages in Niger, killing around 40 people including women and children. The attacks made clear that Boko Haram was still fully equipped to be a threat to the region, irrespective of the efforts of multiple governments to repel the Nigeria-based Islamist terror group.

In August 2015, President Idriss Deby of Chad insisted that while Boko Haram might still be carrying out attacks, the regional effort against the terror group had been successful and would end "by the end of the year." President Deby's bold declaration was as follows: "Boko Haram is decapitated. There are little groups (composed of Boko Haram militants) scattered throughout east Nigeria, on the border with Cameroon. It is within our power to definitively overcome Boko Haram." Speaking of the establishment of a multilateral regional force and its planned offensive against the remnants of Boko Haram, President Deby said, "The war will be short, with the setting up of the regional force, it will be over by the end of the year." He also added that the notorious leader of Boko Haram, Abubakar Shekau, was no longer in control of the Islamist terror group and had been replaced by an individual known as Mahamat Daoud.

Note: The claim by President Deby that there was new leadership at the helm of Boko Haram was challenged by the release of an audio message by Shekau himself denying that he had been replaced. In the message, Shekau cast Deby's claim as "blatant lies" and declared, "I am alive. I will only die when the time appointed by Allah comes."

-- August 2015

Written by Dr. Denise Youngblood Coleman, Editor in Chief, CountryWatch.com. See Bibliography for research sources.

## Political Risk Index

The **Political Risk Index** is a proprietary index measuring the level of risk posed to governments, corporations, and investors, based on a myriad of political and economic factors. The [Political Risk Index](#) is calculated using an established methodology by CountryWatch's Editor-in-Chief and is based on varied criteria\* including the following consideration: political stability, political representation, democratic accountability, freedom of expression, security and crime, risk of conflict, human development, jurisprudence and regulatory transparency, economic risk, foreign investment considerations, possibility of sovereign default, and corruption. Scores are assigned from 0-10 using the aforementioned criteria. A score of 0 marks the highest political risk, while a score of 10 marks the lowest political risk. Stated differently, countries with the lowest scores pose the greatest political risk. A score of 0 marks the most dire level of political risk and an ultimate nadir, while a score of 10 marks the lowest possible level of political risk, according to this proprietary index. Rarely will there be scores of 0 or 10 due to the reality that countries contain complex landscapes; as such, the index offers a range of possibilities ranging from lesser to greater risk.

Country	Assessment
Afghanistan	2
Albania	4
Algeria	6
Andorra	9
Angola	4
Antigua	8
Argentina	4

Armenia	4-5
Australia	9.5
Austria	9.5
Azerbaijan	4
Bahamas	8.5
Bahrain	6
Bangladesh	3.5
Barbados	8.5-9
Belarus	3
Belgium	9
Belize	8
Benin	5
Bhutan	5
Bolivia	5
Bosnia-Herzegovina	4
Botswana	7
Brazil	7
Brunei	7

Bulgaria	6
Burkina Faso	4
Burma (Myanmar)	4.5
Burundi	3
Cambodia	4
Cameroon	5
Canada	9.5
Cape Verde	6
Central African Republic	3
Chad	4
Chile	9
China	7
China: Hong Kong	8
China: Taiwan	8
Colombia	7
Comoros	5
Congo DRC	3
Congo RC	4



Costa Rica	8
Cote d'Ivoire	4.5
Croatia	7
Cuba	4-4.5
Cyprus	5
Czech Republic	8
Denmark	9.5
Djibouti	4.5
Dominica	7
Dominican Republic	6
East Timor	5
Ecuador	6
Egypt	5
El Salvador	7
Equatorial Guinea	4
Eritrea	3
Estonia	8
Ethiopia	4

Fiji	5
Finland	9
Fr.YugoslavRep.Macedonia	5
France	9
Gabon	5
Gambia	4
Georgia	5
Germany	9.5
Ghana	6
Greece	4.5-5
Grenada	8
Guatemala	6
Guinea	3.5
Guinea-Bissau	3.5
Guyana	4.5
Haiti	3.5
Holy See (Vatican)	9
Honduras	4.5-5

Hungary	7
Iceland	8.5-9
India	7.5-8
Indonesia	6
Iran	3.5-4
Iraq	2.5-3
Ireland	8-8.5
Israel	8
Italy	7.5
Jamaica	6.5-7
Japan	9
Jordan	6.5
Kazakhstan	6
Kenya	5
Kiribati	7
Korea, North	1
Korea, South	8
Kosovo	4

Kuwait	7
Kyrgyzstan	4.5
Laos	4.5
Latvia	7
Lebanon	5.5
Lesotho	6
Liberia	3.5
Libya	2
Liechtenstein	9
Lithuania	7.5
Luxembourg	9
Madagascar	4
Malawi	4
Malaysia	8
Maldives	4.5
Mali	4
Malta	8
Marshall Islands	6

Mauritania	4.5-5
Mauritius	7
Mexico	6.5
Micronesia	7
Moldova	5
Monaco	9
Mongolia	5
Montenegro	6
Morocco	6.5
Mozambique	4.5-5
Namibia	6.5-7
Nauru	6
Nepal	4
Netherlands	9.5
New Zealand	9.5
Nicaragua	5
Niger	4
Nigeria	4.5

Norway	9.5
Oman	7
Pakistan	3.5
Palau	7
Panama	7.5
Papua New Guinea	5
Paraguay	6.5-7
Peru	7
Philippines	6
Poland	8
Portugal	7.5
Qatar	7.5
Romania	5.5
Russia	5.5
Rwanda	5
Saint Kitts and Nevis	8
Saint Lucia	8
Saint Vincent and Grenadines	8

Samoa	7
San Marino	9
Sao Tome and Principe	5.5
Saudi Arabia	6
Senegal	6
Serbia	5
Seychelles	7
Sierra Leone	4.5
Singapore	9
Slovak Republic (Slovakia)	8
Slovenia	8
Solomon Islands	6
Somalia	2
South Africa	7
Spain	7.5
Sri Lanka	5
Sudan	3.5
Suriname	5

Swaziland	5
Sweden	9.5
Switzerland	9.5
Syria	2
Tajikistan	4.5
Tanzania	6
Thailand	6.5
Togo	4.5
Tonga	7
Trinidad and Tobago	8
Tunisia	6
Turkey	7
Turkmenistan	4.5
Tuvalu	7
Uganda	6
Ukraine	3.5-4
United Arab Emirates	7
United Kingdom	9



United States	9.5
Uruguay	8
Uzbekistan	4
Vanuatu	7
Venezuela	4
Vietnam	5
Yemen	3
Zambia	4.5
Zimbabwe	3

#### \*Methodology

The [Political Risk Index](#) is calculated by CountryWatch's Editor-in-Chief and is based on the combined scoring of varied criteria as follows --

1. political stability (record of peaceful transitions of power, ability of government to stay in office and carry out policies as a result of productive executive-legislative relationship, perhaps with popular support vis a vis risk of government collapse)
2. political representation (right of suffrage, free and fair elections, multi-party participation, and influence of foreign powers)
3. democratic accountability (record of respect for political rights, human rights, and civil liberties, backed by constitutional protections)
4. freedom of expression (media freedom and freedom of expression, right to dissent or express political opposition, backed by constitutional protections)
5. security and crime (the degree to which a country has security mechanisms that ensures safety

of citizens and ensures law and order, without resorting to extra-judicial measures)

6. risk of conflict (the presence of conflict; record of coups or civil disturbances; threat of war; threats posed by internal or external tensions; threat or record of terrorism or insurgencies)

7. human development (quality of life; access to education; socio-economic conditions; systemic concern for the status of women and children)

8. jurisprudence and regulatory transparency (the impartiality of the legal system, the degree of transparency within the regulatory system of a country and the durability of that structure)

9. economic conditions (economic stability, investment climate, degree of nationalization of industries, property rights, labor force development)

10. corruption ( the degree of corruption in a country and/or efforts by the government to address graft and other irregularities)

#### Editor's Note:

As of 2015, the current climate of upheaval internationally -- both politically and economically -- has affected the ratings for several countries across the world.

North Korea, [Afghanistan](#), [Somalia](#), and [Zimbabwe](#) -- retain their low rankings.

Several Middle Eastern and North African countries, such as [Tunisia](#), [Egypt](#), [Libya](#), [Syria](#), [Iraq](#) and [Yemen](#) were downgraded in recent years due to political instability occurring in the "season of unrest" sweeping the region since 2011 and continuing today. The worst downgrades affected [Syria](#) where civil war is at play, along with the rampage of terror being carried out by Islamist terrorists who have also seized control over part of Syrian territory. [Iraq](#) has been further downgraded due to the rampage of Islamist terrorists and their takeover of wide swaths of Iraqi territory. [Libya](#) has also been downgraded further due to its slippage into failed state status; at issue in [Libya](#) have been an ongoing power struggle between rival militias. [Yemen](#) continues to hold steady with a poor ranking due to continued unrest at the hands of Houthi rebels, secessionists, al-Qaida in the Arabian Peninsula, and Islamic State. Its landscape has been further complicated by the fact that it is now the site of a proxy war between [Iran](#) and [Saudi Arabia](#). Conversely, [Tunisia](#) and [Egypt](#) have seen slight upgrades as these countries stabilize.

In Africa, [Zimbabwe](#) continues to be one of the bleak spots of the world with the Mugabe regime effectively destroying the country's once vibrant economy, and miring [Zimbabwe](#) with an

exceedingly high rate of inflation, debilitating unemployment, devolving public services, and critical food shortages; rampant crime and political oppression round out the landscape. [Somalia](#) also sports a poor ranking due to the continuing influence of the terror group, al-Shabab, which was not operating across the border in [Kenya](#). On the upside, [Nigeria](#), which was ineffectively dealing with the threat posed by the terror group, Boko Haram, was making some strides on the national security front with its new president at the helm. [Mali](#) was slightly upgraded due to its efforts to return to constitutional order following the 2012 coup and to neutralize the threat of separatists and Islamists. But the [Central African Republic](#) was downgraded due to the takeover of the government by Muslim Seleka rebels and a continued state of lawlessness in that country. South [Sudan](#) -- the world's newest nation state -- has not been officially included in this assessment; however, it can be unofficially assessed to be in the vicinity of "3" due to its manifold political and economic challenges. [Burkina Faso](#), [Burundi](#) and [Guinea](#) have been downgraded due to political unrest, with [Guinea](#) also having to deal with the burgeoning Ebola crisis.

In Europe, [Ukraine](#) was downgraded due to the unrest facing that country following its Maidan revolution that triggered a pro-Russian uprising in the eastern part of the country. [Russia](#) was also implicated in the Ukrainian crisis due to its intervention on behalf of pro-Russian separatists, as well as its annexation of the Ukrainian territory of Crimea. Strains on the infrastructure of southern and eastern European countries, such as [Serbia](#), [Croatia](#), and [Hungary](#), due to an influx of refugees was expected to pose social and economic challenges, and slight downgrades were made accordingly. So too, a corruption crisis for the Romanian prime minister has affected the ranking of that country. Meanwhile, the rankings for [Spain](#), [Portugal](#), [Ireland](#), and [Italy](#) were maintained due to debt woes and the concomitant effect on the euro zone. [Greece](#), another euro zone nation, was earlier downgraded due to its sovereign debt crisis; however, no further downgrade was added since the country was able to successfully forge a bailout rescue deal with creditor institutions. Cyprus' exposure to Greek banks yielded a downgrade in its case.

In Asia, [Nepal](#) was downgraded in response to continuous political instability and a constitutional crisis that prevails well after landmark elections were held. Both [India](#) and China retain their rankings; [India](#) holds a slightly higher ranking than [China](#) due to its record of democratic representation and accountability. Increasing violence and political instability in [Pakistan](#) resulted in a downgrade for this country's already low rating. Meanwhile, [Singapore](#) retained its strong rankings due to its continued effective stewardship of the economy and political stability.

In the Americas, ongoing political and economic woes, as well as crime and corruption have affected the rankings for [Mexico](#), [Guatemala](#), and [Brazil](#). [Argentina](#) was downgraded due to its default on debt following the failure of talks with bond holders. [Venezuela](#) was downgraded due to its mix of market unfriendly policies and political oppression. For the moment, the [United States](#) maintains a strong ranking along with [Canada](#), and most of the English-speaking countries of the Caribbean; however, a renewed debt ceiling crisis could cause the [United States](#) to be downgraded in a future edition. Finally, a small but significant upgrade was attributed to [Cuba](#) due to its recent

pro-business reforms and its normalization of ties with the United States.

Source:

Dr. Denise Youngblood Coleman, Editor in Chief, CountryWatch Inc. [www.countrywatch.com](http://www.countrywatch.com)

Updated:

2015

---

## Political Stability

### Political Stability

The **Political Stability Index** is a proprietary index measuring a country's level of stability, standard of good governance, record of constitutional order, respect for human rights, and overall strength of democracy. The [Political Stability](#) Index is calculated using an established methodology\* by CountryWatch's Editor-in-Chief and is based on a given country's record of peaceful transitions of power, ability of a government to stay in office and carry out its policies vis a vis risk credible risks of government collapse. Threats include coups, domestic violence and instability, terrorism, etc. This index measures the dynamic between the quality of a country's government and the threats that can compromise and undermine stability. Scores are assigned from 0-10 using the aforementioned criteria. A score of 0 marks the lowest level of political stability and an ultimate nadir, while a score of 10 marks the highest level of political stability possible, according to this proprietary index. Rarely will there be scores of 0 or 10 due to the reality that countries contain complex landscapes; as such, the index offers a range of possibilities ranging from lesser to greater stability.

Country	Assessment
Afghanistan	2

Albania	4.5-5
Algeria	5
Andorra	9.5
Angola	4.5-5
Antigua	8.5-9
Argentina	7
Armenia	5.5
Australia	9.5
Austria	9.5
Azerbaijan	5
Bahamas	9
Bahrain	6
Bangladesh	4.5
Barbados	9
Belarus	4
Belgium	9
Belize	8
Benin	5

Bhutan	5
Bolivia	6
Bosnia-Herzegovina	5
Botswana	8.5
Brazil	7
Brunei	8
Bulgaria	7.5
Burkina Faso	4
Burma (Myanmar)	4.5
Burundi	4
Cambodia	4.5-5
Cameroon	6
Canada	9.5
Cape Verde	6
Central African Republic	3
Chad	4.5
Chile	9
China	7

China: Hong Kong	8
China: Taiwan	8
Colombia	7.5
Comoros	5
Congo DRC	3
Congo RC	5
Costa Rica	9.5
Cote d'Ivoire	3.5
Croatia	7.5
Cuba	4.5
Cyprus	8
Czech Republic	8.5
Denmark	9.5
Djibouti	5
Dominica	8.5
Dominican Republic	7
East Timor	5
Ecuador	7

Egypt	4.5-5
El Salvador	7.5-8
Equatorial Guinea	4.5
Eritrea	4
Estonia	9
Ethiopia	4.5
Fiji	5
Finland	9
Fr.YugoslavRep.Macedonia	6.5
France	9
Gabon	5
Gambia	4.5
Georgia	5
Germany	9.5
Ghana	7
Greece	6
Grenada	8.5
Guatemala	7



Guinea	3.5-4
Guinea-Bissau	4
Guyana	6
Haiti	3.5-4
Holy See (Vatican)	9.5
Honduras	6
Hungary	7.5
Iceland	9
India	8
Indonesia	7
Iran	3.5
Iraq	2.5
Ireland	9.5
Israel	8
Italy	8.5-9
Jamaica	8
Japan	9
Jordan	6

Kazakhstan	6
Kenya	5
Kiribati	8
Korea, North	2
Korea, South	8.5
Kosovo	5.5
Kuwait	7
Kyrgyzstan	5
Laos	5
Latvia	8.5
Lebanon	5.5
Lesotho	5
Liberia	3.5-4
Libya	2
Liechtenstein	9
Lithuania	9
Luxembourg	9.5
Madagascar	4

Malawi	5
Malaysia	8
Maldives	4.5-5
Mali	4.5-5
Malta	9
Marshall Islands	8
Mauritania	6
Mauritius	8
Mexico	6.5-7
Micronesia	8
Moldova	5.5
Monaco	9.5
Mongolia	6.5-7
Montenegro	8
Morocco	7
Mozambique	5
Namibia	8.5
Nauru	8

Nepal	4.5
Netherlands	9.5
New Zealand	9.5
Nicaragua	6
Niger	4.5
Nigeria	4.5
Norway	9.5
Oman	7
Pakistan	3
Palau	8
Panama	8.5
Papua New Guinea	6
Paraguay	8
Peru	7.5
Philippines	6
Poland	9
Portugal	9
Qatar	7

Romania	7
Russia	6
Rwanda	5
Saint Kitts and Nevis	9
Saint Lucia	9
Saint Vincent and Grenadines	9
Samoa	8
San Marino	9.5
Sao Tome and Principe	7
Saudi Arabia	6
Senegal	7.5
Serbia	6.5
Seychelles	8
Sierra Leone	4.5
Singapore	9.5
Slovak Republic (Slovakia)	8.5
Slovenia	9
Solomon Islands	6.5-7

Somalia	2
South Africa	7.5
Spain	9
Sri Lanka	5
Sudan	3
Suriname	5
Swaziland	5
Sweden	9.5
Switzerland	9.5
Syria	2
Tajikistan	4.5
Tanzania	6
Thailand	6
Togo	5
Tonga	7
Trinidad and Tobago	8
Tunisia	5
Turkey	7.5

Turkmenistan	5
Tuvalu	8.5
Uganda	6
Ukraine	3.5-4
United Arab Emirates	7
United Kingdom	9
United States	9
Uruguay	8.5
Uzbekistan	4
Vanuatu	8.5
Venezuela	4.5-5
Vietnam	4.5
Yemen	2.5
Zambia	5
Zimbabwe	3

**\*Methodology**

The Political Stability Index is calculated by CountryWatch's Editor-in-Chief and is based on the combined scoring of varied criteria as follows --

1. record of peaceful transitions of power ( free and fair elections; adherence to political accords)
2. record of democratic representation, presence of instruments of democracy; systemic accountability
3. respect for human rights; respect for civil rights
4. strength of the system of jurisprudence, adherence to constitutional order, and good governance
5. ability of a government to stay in office and carry out its policies vis a vis risk credible risks of government collapse (i.e. government stability versus a country being deemed "ungovernable")
6. threat of coups, insurgencies, and insurrection
7. level of unchecked crime and corruption
8. risk of terrorism and other threats to national security
9. relationship with regional powers and international community; record of bilateral or multilateral cooperation
10. degree of economic strife (i.e. economic and financial challenges)

Editor's Note:

As of 2015, the current climate of upheaval internationally -- both politically and economically -- has affected the ratings for several countries across the world. The usual suspects -- North Korea, [Afghanistan](#), and [Somalia](#) -- retain their low rankings. The reclusive and ultra-dictatorial North Korean regime, which has terrified the world with its nuclear threats, has exhibited internal instability. Of note was a cut-throat purge of hundreds of high ranking officials deemed to be a threat to Kim Jung-un. Despite their attempts to recover from years of lawlessness, war, and warlordism, both [Afghanistan](#) and [Somalia](#) continue to be beset by terrorism and turmoil. In [Afghanistan](#), while international forces have seen success in the effort against the terror group, al-Qaida, the other Islamist extremist group, the Taliban, continues to carry out a vicious insurgency using terrorism. In [Somalia](#), while the government attempts to do the nation's business, the terror group, al-Shabab continues to make its presence known not only in [Somalia](#), but across the border into [Kenya](#) with devastating results/ Also in this category is [Iraq](#), which continues to be rocked by horrific violence and terrorism at the hands of Islamic State, which has taken over wide swaths of Iraqi territory.



Syria, [Libya](#), and [Yemen](#) have been added to this unfortunate echelon of the world's most politically unstable countries. [Syria](#) has been mired by the twin hazards of 1. a civil war as rebels oppose the Assad regime; and 2. the rampage of terror being carried out by Islamic State, which also seized control over vast portions of Syrian territory. Meanwhile, the post-Qaddhafi landscape of [Libya](#) has devolved into chaos as rival militias battle for control -- the elected government of the country notwithstanding. Rounding out this grim triad is [Yemen](#), which was dealing with a Houthi rebellion, secessionists in the south, as well as the threat of terrorism from al-Qaida in the Arabian Peninsula as well as Islamic State, while also being the site of a proxy war between Shi'a [Iran](#) and Sunni [Saudi Arabia](#).

Meanwhile, several Middle Eastern and North African countries, such as [Tunisia](#), [Egypt](#), and [Bahrain](#) were downgraded in recent years due to political instability occurring in the "season of unrest" sweeping the region since 2011 and continuing today. All three of these countries have stabilized in recent years and have been upgraded accordingly. In [Bahrain](#), the landscape had calmed. In [Egypt](#), the secular military-backed government has generated criticism for its crackdown on the Muslim Brotherhood; however, the country had ratified the presidency via democratic elections and were on track to hold parliamentary elections as the country moved along the path of democratization. Perhaps the most impressive story was coming out of [Tunisia](#) -- the country whose Jasmine Revolution sparked the entire Arab Spring -- and where after a few years of strife, a new progressive constitution was passed into law and a secular government had been elected to power. [Tunisia](#), [Egypt](#), and [Bahrain](#) have seen slight upgrades as these countries stabilize.

In Africa, the [Central African Republic](#) was downgraded the previous year due to the takeover of the government by Muslim Seleka rebels. Although the country has been trying to emerge from this crisis, the fact of the matter was that it was difficult to halt the precipitous decline into lawlessness in that country. [Zimbabwe](#) has maintained its consistently poor ranking due to the dictatorial regime of Mugabe, who continues to hold a tight grip on power, intimidates the opposition, squashes dissent, and oppresses the white farmer population of the country. Moving in a slightly improved direction is [Nigeria](#), which has sported abysmal ratings due to the government's fecklessness in dealing with the threat posed by the Islamist terror group, Boko Haram. Under its newly-elected government, there appears to be more of a concerted effort to make national security a priority action item. [Mali](#) was also slightly upgraded due to its efforts to return to constitutional order following the 2012 coup and to neutralize the threat of separatists and Islamists. Political instability has visited [Burkina Faso](#) and [Burundi](#) as the leaders of those countries attempted to side-step constitutional limits to hold onto power. In [Burundi](#), an attempted coup ensued but quelled, and the president won a (questionable) new term in office; unrest has since punctuated the landscape. In [Burkina Faso](#), the political climate has turned stormy as a result of a successful coup that ended the rule of the president, and then a putsch against the transitional government. These two African countries have been downgraded as a result.

It should be noted that the African country of South [Sudan](#) -- the world's newest nation state -- has not been officially included in this assessment; however, it can be unofficially assessed to be in the vicinity of "3" due to its manifold political and economic challenges. [Guinea](#) has endured poor rankings throughout, but was slightly downgraded further over fears of social unrest and the Ebola health crisis.

In Europe, [Ukraine](#) was downgraded due to the unrest facing that country following its Maidan revolution that triggered a pro-Russian uprising in the eastern part of the country. [Russia](#) was also implicated in the Ukrainian crisis due to its intervention on behalf of pro-Russian separatists, as well as its annexation of the Ukrainian territory of Crimea. [Serbia](#) and [Albania](#) were slightly downgraded due to eruptions of unrest, while [Romania](#) was slightly downgraded on the basis of corruption charges against the prime minister. [Spain](#), [Portugal](#), [Ireland](#), and [Italy](#) were downgraded due to debt woes and the concomitant effect on the euro zone. [Greece](#), another euro zone nation, was downgraded the previous year due to its sovereign debt crisis; however, the country successfully forged a rescue deal with international creditors and stayed within the Euro zone. Greek voters rewarded the hitherto unknown upstart party at the polls for these efforts. As a result, [Greece](#) was actually upgraded slightly as it proved to the world that it could endure the political and economic storms. Meanwhile, [Germany](#), [France](#), [Switzerland](#), the [United Kingdom](#), the [Netherlands](#), and the Scandinavian countries continue to post impressive ranking consistent with these countries' strong records of democracy, freedom, and peaceful transfers of power.

In Asia, [Nepal](#) was downgraded in response to continuous political instability well after landmark elections that prevails today. [Cambodia](#) was very slightly downgraded due to post-election instability that has resulted in occasional flares of violence. Despite the "trifecta of tragedy" in [Japan](#) in 2011 -- the earthquake, the ensuing tsunami, and the resulting nuclear crisis -- and the appreciable destabilization of the economic and political terrain therein, this country has only slightly been downgraded. Japan's challenges have been assessed to be transient, the government remains accountable, and there is little risk of default. Both [India](#) and China retain their rankings; [India](#) holds a slightly higher ranking than [China](#) due to its record of democratic representation and accountability. Increasing violence and political instability in [Pakistan](#) resulted in a downgrade for this country's already low rating.

In the Americas, [Haiti](#) retained its downgraded status due to ongoing political and economic woes. [Mexico](#) was downgraded due to its alarming rate of crime. [Guatemala](#) was downgraded due to charges of corruption, the arrest of the president, and uncertainty over the outcome of elections. [Brazil](#) was downgraded due to the corruption charges erupting on the political landscape, the stalling of the economy, and the increasingly loud calls for the impeachment of President Rousseff. [Argentina](#) was downgraded due to its default on debt following the failure of talks with bond holders. [Venezuela](#) was downgraded due to the fact that the country's post-Chavez government is every bit as autocratic and nationalistic, but even more inclined to oppress its political opponents. [Colombia](#) was upgraded slightly due to efforts aimed at securing a peace deal

with the FARC insurgents. A small but significant upgrade was attributed to [Cuba](#) due to its recent pro-business reforms and its normalization of ties with the United States. Meanwhile, the [United States](#), [Canada](#), [Costa Rica](#), [Panama](#), and most of the English-speaking countries of the Caribbean retain their strong rankings due to their records of stability and peaceful transfers of power.

In the Pacific, [Fiji](#) was upgraded due to its return to constitutional order and democracy with the holding of the first elections in eight years.

In Oceania, [Maldives](#) has been slightly downgraded due to the government's continued and rather relentless persecution of the country's former pro-democracy leader - former President Nasheed.

Source:

Dr. Denise Youngblood Coleman, Editor in Chief, CountryWatch Inc. [www.countrywatch.com](http://www.countrywatch.com)

Updated:

2015

---

## **Freedom Rankings**

### Freedom Rankings

#### **Freedom in the World**

Editor's Note: This ranking by Freedom House quantifies political freedom and civil liberties into a single combined index on each sovereign country's level of freedom and liberty. The initials "PR" and "CL" stand for Political Rights and Civil Liberties, respectively. The number 1 represents the most free countries and the number 7 represents the least free. Several countries fall in the continuum in between. The freedom ratings reflect an overall judgment based on survey results.

Country	PR	CL	Freedom Status	Trend Arrow
Afghanistan	6 ?	6	Not Free	
Albania*	3	3	Partly Free	
Algeria	6	5	Not Free	
Andorra*	1	1	Free	
Angola	6	5	Not Free	
Antigua and Barbuda*	3 ?	2	Free	
Argentina*	2	2	Free	
Armenia	6	4	Partly Free	
Australia*	1	1	Free	
Austria*	1	1	Free	
Azerbaijan	6	5	Not Free	
Bahamas*	1	1	Free	
Bahrain	6 ?	5	Not Free ?	
Bangladesh*	3 ?	4	Partly Free	
Barbados*	1	1	Free	
Belarus	7	6	Not Free	
Belgium*	1	1	Free	

Belize*	1	2	Free	
Benin*	2	2	Free	
Bhutan	4	5	Partly Free	
Bolivia*	3	3	Partly Free	
Bosnia-Herzegovina*	4	3	Partly Free	
Botswana*	3 ?	2	Free	
Brazil*	2	2	Free	
Brunei	6	5	Not Free	
Bulgaria*	2	2	Free	
Burkina Faso	5	3	Partly Free	
Burma	7	7	Not Free	
Burundi*	4	5	Partly Free	↑
Cambodia	6	5	Not Free	↓
Cameroon	6	6	Not Free	
Canada*	1	1	Free	
Cape Verde*	1	1	Free	
Central African Republic	5	5	Partly Free	
Chad	7	6	Not Free	

Chile*	1	1	Free	
China	7	6	Not Free	
Colombia*	3	4	Partly Free	
Comoros*	3	4	Partly Free	
Congo (Brazzaville )	6	5	Not Free	↓
Congo (Kinshasa)	6	6	Not Free	↓
Costa Rica*	1	1	Free	
Cote d'Ivoire	6	5	Not Free	
Croatia*	1 ?	2	Free	
Cuba	7	6	Not Free	
Cyprus*	1	1	Free	
Czech Republic*	1	1	Free	
Denmark*	1	1	Free	
Djibouti	5	5	Partly Free	
Dominica*	1	1	Free	
Dominican Republic*	2	2	Free	↓
East Timor*	3	4	Partly Free	
Ecuador*	3	3	Partly Free	

Egypt	6	5	Not Free	
El Salvador*	2	3	Free	
Equatorial Guinea	7	7	Not Free	
Eritrea	7	7 ?	Not Free	
Estonia*	1	1	Free	
Ethiopia	5	5	Partly Free	↓
Fiji	6	4	Partly Free	
Finland*	1	1	Free	
France*	1	1	Free	
Gabon	6	5 ?	Not Free ?	
The Gambia	5	5 ?	Partly Free	
Georgia	4	4	Partly Free	
Germany*	1	1	Free	
Ghana*	1	2	Free	
Greece*	1	2	Free	
Grenada*	1	2	Free	
Guatemala*	4 ?	4	Partly Free	
Guinea	7	6 ?	Not Free	

Guinea-Bissau*	4	4	Partly Free	
Guyana*	2	3	Free	
Haiti*	4	5	Partly Free	
Honduras	4 ?	4 ?	Partly Free	
Hungary*	1	1	Free	
Iceland*	1	1	Free	
India*	2	3	Free	
Indonesia*	2	3	Free	
Iran	6	6	Not Free	↓
Iraq	5 ?	6	Not Free	
Ireland*	1	1	Free	
Israel*	1	2	Free	
Italy*	1	2	Free	
Jamaica*	2	3	Free	
Japan*	1	2	Free	
Jordan	6 ?	5	Not Free ?	
Kazakhstan	6	5	Not Free	↓
Kenya	4	4 ?	Partly Free	



Kiribati*	1	1	Free	
Kosovo	5 ?	4 ?	Partly Free ?	
Kuwait	4	4	Partly Free	
Kyrgyzstan	6 ?	5 ?	Not Free ?	
Laos	7	6	Not Free	
Latvia*	2	1	Free	
Lebanon	5	3 ?	Partly Free	
Lesotho*	3 ?	3	Partly Free ?	
Liberia*	3	4	Partly Free	
Libya	7	7	Not Free	
Liechtenstein*	1	1	Free	
Lithuania*	1	1	Free	
Luxembourg*	1	1	Free	
Macedonia*	3	3	Partly Free	↑
Madagascar	6 ?	4 ?	Partly Free	
Malawi*	3 ?	4	Partly Free	
Malaysia	4	4	Partly Free	
Maldives*	3 ?	4	Partly Free	

Mali*	2	3	Free	
Malta*	1	1	Free	↓
Marshall Islands*	1	1	Free	
Mauritania	6	5	Not Free	
Mauritius*	1	2	Free	
Mexico*	2	3	Free	
Micronesia*	1	1	Free	
Moldova*	3 ?	4	Partly Free	
Monaco*	2	1	Free	
Mongolia*	2	2	Free	↑
Montenegro*	3	2 ?	Free ?	
Morocco	5	4	Partly Free	↓
Mozambique	4 ?	3	Partly Free	
Namibia*	2	2	Free	
Nauru*	1	1	Free	
Nepal	4	4	Partly Free	
Netherlands*	1	1	Free	
New Zealand*	1	1	Free	

Nicaragua*	4	4 ?	Partly Free	
Niger	5 ?	4	Partly Free	
Nigeria	5	4	Partly Free	↓
North Korea	7	7	Not Free	↓
Norway*	1	1	Free	
Oman	6	5	Not Free	
Pakistan	4	5	Partly Free	
Palau*	1	1	Free	
Panama*	1	2	Free	
Papua New Guinea*	4	3	Partly Free	
Paraguay*	3	3	Partly Free	
Peru*	2	3	Free	
Philippines	4	3	Partly Free	↓
Poland*	1	1	Free	
Portugal*	1	1	Free	
Qatar	6	5	Not Free	
Romania*	2	2	Free	
Russia	6	5	Not Free	↓

Rwanda	6	5	Not Free	
Saint Kitts and Nevis*	1	1	Free	
Saint Lucia*	1	1	Free	
Saint Vincent and Grenadines*	2	1	Free	
Samoa*	2	2	Free	
San Marino*	1	1	Free	
Sao Tome and Principe*	2	2	Free	
Saudi Arabia	7	6	Not Free	
Senegal*	3	3	Partly Free	
Serbia*	2 ?	2	Free	
Seychelles*	3	3	Partly Free	
Sierra Leone*	3	3	Partly Free	
Singapore	5	4	Partly Free	
Slovakia*	1	1	Free	↓
Slovenia*	1	1	Free	
Solomon Islands	4	3	Partly Free	
Somalia	7	7	Not Free	
South Africa*	2	2	Free	

South Korea*	1	2	Free	
Spain*	1	1	Free	
Sri Lanka*	4	4	Partly Free	
Sudan	7	7	Not Free	
Suriname*	2	2	Free	
Swaziland	7	5	Not Free	
Sweden*	1	1	Free	
Switzerland*	1	1	Free	↓
Syria	7	6	Not Free	
Taiwan*	1 ?	2 ?	Free	
Tajikistan	6	5	Not Free	
Tanzania	4	3	Partly Free	
Thailand	5	4	Partly Free	
Togo	5	4 ?	Partly Free	
Tonga	5	3	Partly Free	
Trinidad and Tobago*	2	2	Free	
Tunisia	7	5	Not Free	
Turkey*	3	3	Partly Free	↓

Turkmenistan	7	7	Not Free	
Tuvalu*	1	1	Free	
Uganda	5	4	Partly Free	
Ukraine*	3	2	Free	
United Arab Emirates	6	5	Not Free	
United Kingdom*	1	1	Free	
United States*	1	1	Free	
Uruguay*	1	1	Free	
Uzbekistan	7	7	Not Free	
Vanuatu*	2	2	Free	
Venezuela	5 ?	4	Partly Free	
Vietnam	7	5	Not Free	↓
Yemen	6 ?	5	Not Free ?	
Zambia*	3	4 ?	Partly Free	
Zimbabwe	6 ?	6	Not Free	

#### Methodology:

PR and CL stand for political rights and civil liberties, respectively; 1 represents the most free and 7 the least free rating. The ratings reflect an overall judgment based on survey results.

? ? up or down indicates a change in political rights, civil liberties, or status since the last survey.

↑ ↓ up or down indicates a trend of positive or negative changes that took place but that were not sufficient to result in a change in political rights or civil liberties ratings of 1-7.

\* indicates a country's status as an electoral democracy.

Source:

This data is derived from the latest edition of Freedom House's Freedom in the World 2010 edition.

Available at URL: <http://www.freedomhouse.org>

Updated:

Reviewed in 2015

---

## Human Rights

### Overview of Human Rights in Cameroon

Cameroon's leader, Paul Biya, has been head of state since 1982, and was re-elected in October 2004 amid allegations of vote-rigging, harassment of voters, and other means of law breaking. Despite these actions, international observers believe the intention of voters was reflected in the final result. Cameroon's human rights record under Biya remains poor. The government itself is responsible for many human rights violations.

The security forces, with the consent of the government, commit numerous unlawful actions. Torture, beatings and other abuses are committed against detainees and prisoners. Arbitrary arrest and detention is the result of activists advocating for human rights or secession. There have also been credible reports of unlawful killings done at the hands of the security forces.

The government infringes on citizens' right to privacy, as well as imposed limits on the freedoms of speech, press, association, assembly and movement. Opposition politicians and journalists who speak out against the regime are constantly harassed and abused and often held in detention, sometimes incommunicado.

Societal discrimination and violence against homosexuals, indigenous Pygmies and ethnic minorities continues unchecked. Slavery and forced child labor are known to occur in some parts of the

nation. There are also reports of trafficking of persons, especially children, for forced sexual labor.

**Human Development Index (HDI) Rank:**

See full listing of the Human Development Index located in the Social Overview of this report for this country's current rank.

**Human Poverty Index Rank:**

67th out of 103

**Gini Index:**

44.6

**Life Expectancy at Birth (years):**

53 years

**Unemployment Rate:**

30%

**Population living on \$1 a day (%):**

17.1%

**Population living on \$2 a day (%):**

50.6%

**Population living beneath the Poverty Line (%):**

48%

**Internally Displaced People:**

N/A

Note- Some 66,000 refugees from Chad, Nigeria, and Cote d'Ivoire are currently seeking asylum in Cameroon



**Total Crime Rate (%):**

N/A

**Health Expenditure (% of GDP):**

Public: 1.2%

**% of GDP Spent on Education:**

3.8%

**Human Rights Conventions Party to:**

- International Convention on the Elimination of All Forms of Racial Discrimination
- International Covenant on Civil and Political Rights
- International Covenant on Economic, Social and Cultural Rights
- Convention on the Elimination of All Forms of Discrimination against Women
- Convention against Torture and Other Cruel, Inhuman or Degrading Treatment or Punishment
- Conventions on the Rights of the Child
- Convention relating to the Status of Refugees
- Rome Statute of the International Criminal Court

\*Human Development Index (HDI) is a composite index that measures the level of well-being in 177 nations in the world. It uses factors such as poverty, literacy, life-expectancy, education, gross domestic product, and purchasing power parity to assess the average achievements in each nation. It has been used in the United Nation's Human Development Report since 1993.

\*Human Poverty Index Ranking is based on certain indicators used to calculate the Human Poverty Index. Probability at birth of not surviving to age 40, adult literacy rate, population without sustainable access to an improved water source, and population below income poverty line are the indicators assessed in this measure.

\*The Gini Index measures inequality based on the distribution of family income or consumption. A value of 0 represents perfect equality (income being distributed equally), and a value of 100 perfect inequality (income all going to one individual).

\*The calculation of the total crime rate is the % of the total population which has been effected by property crime, robbery, sexual assault, assault, or bribery (corruption) related occurrences.

---

## Government Functions

### Constitution

The 1972 constitution provides for a strong central government dominated by the executive. While the prime minister is head of government, Cameroon has a strong presidential system. (Note that the constitution was revised in 1996 and 2008.)

### Executive Authority

The president has the authority to name and dismiss the prime minister, cabinet members, judges, generals, provincial governors, prefects, sub-prefects and the heads of Cameroon's parastatal (state-controlled) firms without consulting the legislature. The president is also empowered to budget and disburse expenditures, propose legislation, approve or veto regulations to implement newly enacted laws, declare states of emergency, and appropriate the profits of parastatal firms. Following from Articles 5 and 6 of the constitution, the president is charged with acting as a symbol of national unity, defining national policy, ensuring respect for the constitution, ensuring the proper functioning of public authorities, and guaranteeing the independence of the nation and the permanence of the state.

The president is elected by universal suffrage. Due to constitutional changes, the presidential term was extended in 1996 from five years to seven years, and a 2008 amendment meant that President Biya was now able to contest the elections undeterred by term limits.

Article 6.4 of the constitution states that if the office of President of the Republic becomes vacant as a result of death, resignation or permanent incapacity duly ascertained by the Constitutional Council, the polls for the election of the new President of the Republic must be held not less than 20 days and not, more than 40 days after the office becomes vacant. The president of the Senate acts as interim senior executive during the interim period.

### Legislative Authority

The legislature is the sole body endowed with the right to create laws. It is officially bicameral, consisting of an upper house or Senate (100 seats; 70 indirectly elected by municipal councils, 30

appointed by the President) and a National Assembly or Assemblée Nationale (180 seats; members are elected by direct popular vote to serve five-year terms). The senate was initially designated in 1996 by constitutional amendment but was only convened following a presidential decree in 2013. Note that the president can either lengthen or shorten the term of the legislature.

### Judicial Authority

The judiciary is subordinate to the executive branch's Ministry of Justice. The Supreme Court may review the constitutionality of a law only at the president's request. There is also a High Court of Justice, which consists of nine judges and six substitute judges, who are elected by the National Assembly.

While the president, the minister of justice and the president's judicial advisers (the Supreme Court) top the judicial hierarchy, traditional rulers, courts and councils also exercise judicial authority. Traditional courts still play a major role in domestic, property and probate law. Customary laws are honored in the formal court system when not in conflict with national law.

### Note:

The government adopted legislation in 1990 to authorize the formation of multiple political parties and ease restrictions on forming civil associations and private newspapers. However, this same legislation formalized press censorship as well.

---

## Government Structure

### **Names:**

*conventional long form:*

Republic of Cameroon

*conventional short form:*

Cameroon

*local long form:*

Republique du Cameroon

*local short form:*

Cameroon

*former:*

United Republic of Cameroon

**Type:**

Unitary republic; multiparty presidential regime (opposition parties legalized in 1990)

**Executive Branch:**

Chief of state:

President Paul BIYA (since Nov. 6, 1982; re-elected 1997, 2004; and most recently in 2011); elected for a seven-year term by universal suffrage.

Primer on 2011 Presidential Elections

A presidential election was set to take place in Cameroon in October 2011. Incumbent President Biya signed a presidential decree scheduling the country's next presidential election on Oct. 9, 2011. The president himself -- the candidate of the ruling Cameroon People's Democratic Movement (CPDM) -- was seeking re-election and renewed seven-year mandate. President Biya came to power in 1982, and was previously re-elected in 1997 and 2004. Due to constitutional changes, the presidential term was extended in 1996 from five years to seven years, and a 2008 amendment meant that President Biya was now able to contest the elections undeterred by term limits. The president in Cameroon is elected by universal suffrage.

Ahead of the vote, attention was on the updating the voting rolls in the country to reflect the inclusion of registration for Cameroonians abroad, as well as the matter of election day monitoring. Also commanding the attention was the matter of who might be contesting the presidential vote against President Biya. To that end, as many as 40 Cameroonians -- including three women -- announced their intentions to contest the presidency. Some of the main contenders included Ni John Fru Ndi, the chairman of the major opposition party, Social Democratic Front (SDF); Adamou Ndam Njoya of the Cameroon Democratic Union (UDC); Garga Haman Adji of the Alliance for Democracy and Development (ADD); Augustin Frederic Kodock of the Union of populations of Cameroon (UPC); Bernard Acho Muna of the Alliance of progressive forces (AFP); and Anicet Ekane, of the African Movement for new Independence and Democracy (MANIDEM).

Election day was marked by low voter turnout, the deaths of two policemen and an opposition official, as well as complaints by the opposition about manifold electoral irregularities. That being said, incumbent President Paul Biya appeared poised to extend his time in power. Official results were expected within two weeks of the election date.

To that end, by Oct. 23, 2011, Cameroon's constitutional council, the Supreme Court, declared Paul Biya to be the winner of the 2011 presidential election, with an overwhelming 78 percent of the votes cast. Far behind in second place was Ni John Fru Ndi with close to 11 percent of the vote share. Garga Hanam Adjii was in third place with only 3.2 percent of the vote, while Adamou Ndam Njoya had a paltry 1.7 percent and a fourth place finish. Perhaps not surprisingly, Biya's opponents rejected his landslide victory and accused the authorities of carrying out a massive fraud on the people of Cameroon.

Nevertheless, on Nov. 3, 2011, Cameroon's President Paul Biya was sworn into office for another seven year term. In his inaugural address, President Biya recapitulated his commitment to fight corruption and fraud, saying "Too often, self-interest takes precedence over the general interest. This state of mind is the cause of social drifts that are fraud, corruption or crime. Even if unemployment, poverty and inequality may partially explain the decline in public morality, we will respond even more firmly against corruption." President Biya also pledged improved access to health care, lower costs for treating childhood diseases and pandemics, and improved living conditions for the people of Cameroon.

Head of government:

Prime Minister Prime Min. Philemon YANG (since 2009); appointed by the president

Cabinet:

Cabinet appointed by the president from proposals submitted by the prime minister

**Legislative Branch:**

Bicameral legislature --

Consists of an upper house or Senate (100 seats; 70 indirectly elected by municipal councils, 30 appointed by the President) and a National Assembly or Assemblée Nationale (180 seats; members are elected by direct popular vote to serve five-year terms)

Note on Senate:

The senate was initially designated in 1996 by constitutional amendment but was only convened following a presidential decree in 2013

Note on Legislative Terms:

The president can either lengthen or shorten the term of the legislature.

Elections of 2013:

The elections to the Senate were held on April 14, 2013; elections to the National Assembly were held on September 30, 2013. The next elections will be held in 2018. The election results are as follows --

Senate --

seats by party - CPDM 56, SDF 14

National Assembly --

seats by party - CPDM 148, SDF 18, UNDP 5, UDC 4, UPC 3, other 2

**Judicial Branch:**

Supreme Court (judges are appointed by the president); High Court of Justice (consists of nine judges and six substitute judges, elected by the National Assembly)

**Constitution:**

May 20, 1972; revised January 1996; amended April 2008

**Legal System:**

Based on French civil law system, with common law influence; does not accept compulsory ICJ jurisdiction

**Administrative Divisions:**

10 provinces: Adamaoua, Centre, Est, Extreme-Nord, Littoral, Nord, Nord-Ouest, Ouest, Sud, Sud-Ouest

**Political Parties and Leaders:**

Cameroon People's Democratic Movement or CPDM [Paul BIYA]

Cameroon People's Party or CPP [Edith Kah WALLA]

Cameroonian Democratic Union or UDC [Adamou Ndam NJOYA]

Movement for the Defense of the Republic or MDR [Dakole DAISSALA]

Movement for the Liberation and Development of Cameroon or MLDC [Marcel YONDO]

National Union for Democracy and Progress or UNDP [Maigari BELLO BOUBA]

Progressive Movement or MP [Jean-Jacques EKINDI]

Social Democratic Front or SDF [John FRU NDI]

Union of Peoples of Cameroon or UPC [Provisionary Management Bureau]

**Suffrage:**

20 years of age; universal

---

## Principal Government Officials

### Government of Cameroon

Pres. Paul BIYA  
Prime Min. Philemon YANG  
Dep. Prime Min. Amadou ALI  
Dep. Prime Min. Jean NKUETE  
Sec. Gen. of the Presidency Ferdinand N'GOH N'GOH  
Min.-Delegate at the Presidency in Charge of Defense Edgar Alain Mebe NGO'O  
Min. in Charge of Special Duties at the Presidency Paul ATANGA NJI  
Min. in Charge of Special Duties at the Presidency Victor MENGOT  
Min. in Charge of Special Duties at the Presidency Hamadou MUSTAPHA  
Min. in Charge of Special Duties at the Presidency Rene Emmanuel SADI  
Min. of Agriculture & Rural Development Menya Lazare ESSIMI  
Min. of Basic Education Alim YUDDOUF  
Min. of Civil Service & Admin. Reform Michel Ange ANGOUIN  
Min. of Commerce Luc Magloire MBARGA ATANGANA  
Min. of Communication Issa Tchiroma BAKARY  
Min. of Culture Ama Tutu MUNA  
Min. of Economy, Planning, & Regional Development Emmanuel Nganou DJOUMESSI  
Min. of Employment & Professional Training Zacharie PEREVET  
Min. of Environment & Nature Protection Pierre HELE  
Min. of Finance Ousmane Mey ALAMINE  
Min. of Foreign Affairs Pierre Moukoko MBONJO  
Min. of Forestry & Wildlife Philip Ngwese NGOLE  
Min. of Higher Education Jacques Fame NDONGO  
Min. of Industry, Mines, & Technological Development Emmanuel BONDE  
Min. of Justice & Keeper of the Seals Laurent ESSO  
Min. of Labor & Social Insurance Gregoire OWONA  
Min. of Land Tenure & State Property Jacqueline Koung A BISSIKE  
Min. of Livestock & Fisheries Aboubakary SARKI  
Min. of National Education Alim YOUSSEUF nee Adidja

Min. of Post & Telecommunications   Jean-Pierre Biyiti Bi ESSAM  
Min. of Public Health   Andre Mama FOUDA  
Min. of Public Service & Admin. Reform   Emmanuel BONDE  
Min. of Public Works   Patrice Amba SALLA  
Min. of Scientific Research & Innovation   Madeleine TCHUENTE  
Min. of Secondary Education   Louis BAPES BAPES  
Min. of Social Affairs   Catherine Bakang MBOCK  
Min. of Sports & Physical Education   Michel ZOA  
Min. of Territorial Admin. & Decentralization   Hamidou Yaya MARAFA  
Min. of Tourism   Baba HAMADOU  
Min. of Transport   Robert NKILI  
Min. of Urban Development & Housing   Colbert TCHATAT  
Min. of Water & Energy   Basile Atangana KOUNA  
Min. of Women & Family Protection   Marie Theresa Abena ONDOA  
Min. of Youth   Adoum GAROUA  
Governor, Central Bank   Philibert ANDZEMBE  
Ambassador to the US   Joseph FOE-ATANGANA  
Permanent Representative to the UN, New York   Michel Tommo MONTHE

-- as of 2015

---

## Leader Biography

### Leader Biography

#### President of Cameroon

Name: Paul Biya

Date of Birth: February 13, 1933



Place of Birth: Mvomeka'a

Civil Status: Married to Chantal Biya

Children: Three

Education: Ndem Mission School, Edea; Akono Seminaries; Lycée Leclerc, Yaoundé; University of Paris; Institute d'Etudes Politiques; Institute of Hautes Etudes d'Outre-Mer, Paris

Note: Paul Biya has been involved in public service and governmental affairs since 1962. He was Prime Minister from 1975 to 1982. He has been President from 1982 to the present.

Presidential elections last took place in 2011; see Political Conditions for details.

---

## Foreign Relations

### General Relations

Cameroon is a member of the United Nations and participates in the activities of United Nations agencies. In November 2001, Cameroon was elected as a member of the International Law Commission. Also, in January 2002, Cameroon began its two-year term as a non-permanent member of the United Nations Security Council.

Cameroon is also a member of the following: the Customs Cooperation Council, the Food and Agriculture Organization, the Group of 19 and the Group of 77, the International Bank for Reconstruction and Development, the International Monetary Fund, and the Non-aligned Movement.

In addition, Cameroon was granted membership in the British Commonwealth in November 1995

and has been a member of the World Trade Organization since Dec. 13, 1995. Cameroon enjoys close ties with most Western countries as well as Taiwan, Libya and Cuba.

### Regional Relations

Cameroon is a member of: the African Development Bank; the African, Caribbean, and Pacific Countries; "Agence de Cooperation Culturelle et Technique" (formally the Agency for Cultural and Technical Cooperation, now the Agency for the French-speaking Community or ACCT); "Banque de Developpement des Etats de l'Afrique Centrale" (Central African States Development Bank or BDEAC); "Communaute Economique des Etats de l'Afrique Centrale" (Economic Community of Central African States or CEEAC); "Union Douaniere et Economique de l'Afrique Centrale" (Central African Customs and Economic Union or UDEAC); the Economic Commission for Africa; and the Franc Zone. Cameroon is also a member of the Islamic Development Bank, the Organization of the Islamic Conference, and the Organization for African Unity.

The greatest source of regional conflict for Cameroon is border disputes. In March 2001 a border conflict began to brew between Cameroon and the Central African Republic. Troops from the CAR began moving towards the border in December 2000. On March 1-6 the CAR made incursions into a designated buffer zone on the Cameroon side of the border. The CAR hoisted its flag, on the border and dismantled a Cameroonian border customs post. CAR nationals also allegedly provoked Cameroonian district officers. While in mid-2001 tensions remained high, the conflict did not escalate.

Meanwhile, a long-standing border dispute between Cameroon and Nigeria resurfaced in 1981, but relations were eased following a visit to Nigeria by the president of Cameroon in early 1982. That said, the sovereignty over the oil-rich Bakassi peninsula has served as the main bone of contention between the two countries.

In February 1996, tensions in the oil-rich Bakassi Peninsula resulted in fighting and a tenuous peace. Meanwhile, the International Court of Justice (ICJ) considers the border dispute. Tensions increased in the disputed peninsula in September 1998 and both sides sent troops to the region. However, both sides released 200 prisoners that had been detained since the dispute began.

The ICJ awarded the peninsula to Cameroon in late 2002. The ruling was made in the basis of a 1913 treaty between the former colonial powers, the United Kingdom and Germany. Nigeria initially rejected the ruling but later backed away from that statement.

In 2003, Nigeria said that it would take three years to cede control of the Bakassi peninsula to Cameroon. Nigeria began the very slow process by giving Cameroon control over 30 villages near Lake Chad.

In September 2004, the Nigerian Assembly asked for a referendum on the issue in the Bakassi Peninsula.

On August 14, 2006, Nigeria was scheduled to handover control of the oil-rich Bakassi peninsula to Cameroon. The development came following a 2002 decision by the International Court of Justice to grant sovereignty of Bakassi to Cameroon, thus mandating the withdrawal of Nigerian troops.

Following mediation by the United Nations, Nigerian President Olusegun Obasanjo said in June 2006 that his country would abide with the ruling that would affect the predominantly Nigerian peoples of the contested Bakassi area.

Tribal chiefs in Bakassi were against Nigerian assent on the issue and were trying to seek a court injunction to stop the move. Then, only days before the official ruling was set to go into effect, the Bakassi Movement for Self Determination -- a group of several hundred residents -- declared their independence. Tony Ene, the interim head of the movement, asserted: "The people have declared their own republic, known as the Democratic Republic of Bakassi. We will no longer have anything to do with Nigeria, since Nigeria does not want anything to do with us."

More than a year after the handover, militants -- believed to be Nigerian -- attacked and killed several of Cameroon's soldiers in Bakassi.

In 2008, the dispute with Nigeria over the oil-rich Bakassi peninsula came to a close with it being finally handed over into Cameroon's jurisdiction. In a move that showed the two countries were on improved bilateral footing, Nigeria and Cameroon agreed to work together to fight the threat posed by pirates on its coastlines.

Meanwhile, Cameroon ratified the Africa Union Constitutive Act on July 6, 2001. At its July 2-11, 2001, meeting in Lusaka, Zambia, the Organization of African Unity officially approved the transformation of the Organization of African Unity into the new African Union. Former foreign minister of Cote d'Ivoire, Amara Essy, was elected the first Secretary-General of the Africa Union. United Nations Secretary General Kofi Annan reacted with the statement that "This historic effort will require leadership, courage and willingness to depart from the ways of the past, if it is to do for Africa what the European Union has done for Europe." The goal of the Africa Union is to be a continental body that will pave the way to a better life for all Africans. Where the OAU was criticized for its lack of action on economic and social fronts, the Africa Union is charged with development as its primary task.

Following a model based on the European Union, the Africa Union is intended to bridge the economic gaps between African countries and thrust the continent onto the world economic stage

as a single entity. The Africa Union Bill was based on a convergence of South African president Thabo Mbeki's African development plan, and the Plan Omega proposed by Senegalese president Abdoulaye Wade. It therefore adds to its economic mandate the political mandate that all of Africa should seek democratic consolidation.

There are significant criticisms to the new African Union, though. Some fear that too much faith is being placed in a document that makes more sense in theory than in practice. The strongest criticism, however, is that the Africa Union's largest force has been Libyan President Moammar al-Qaddafi. The U.S. has made its objections to the Union clear no doubt due to a lack of trust in Qaddafi's motives. Many African leaders backed the Union even though they also demonstrated a lack of trust in Qaddafi's motives. Even at the opening of the meeting of the OAU leaders noted that Qaddafi failed to mention Africa's founding fathers although it was Ghana's Kwame Nkrumah who was the founder of pan-Africanism some forty years ago. Indeed, Qaddafi has backed groups that are markedly anti-pan-Africanist in his own country. Other comments challenged the controversial role Libyan nationals often play in sub-Saharan African countries. Yet the most controversial position of Qaddafi no doubt was his statement: "We cannot be neutral here. We are here for the Africans, not the Europeans. We are here for the blacks, not for the whites." With Louis Farrakhan, leader of the U.S.-based Nation of Islam movement, standing behind him, this statement was taken as an affront to increased western relations as opposed to the Union's goal of increasing economic ties with wealthier countries.

### Special Note

#### *Regional threat posed by Boko Haram*

In the last week of July 2014, four Cameroonian soldiers were killed by Islamic extremist militants from the Nigeria-based terror group, Boko Haram.

Days later on July 27, 2014, the wife of a top-ranking politician in Cameroon was abducted by Boko Haram Islamist terrorists. In an audacious attack, the Boko Haram militants raided the home of Vice Prime Minister Amadou Ali in the northern Cameroon town of Kolofata. At least three people were killed in what Information Minister Issa Tchiroma called a "savage attack," while Mrs. Ali and her maid were kidnapped by the terrorists. Vice Prime Minister Ali was able to escape the attack and was soon transferred to another town for obvious security reasons. In a separate attack by Boko Haram in the same town of Kolofata, the home of the mayor, who also serves as the local religious leader, was also raided. That incident ended with the kidnapping of Mayor Seini Boukar Lamine.

Authorities in Cameroon confirmed the attacks, along with the two high-profile abductions, and warned that Boko Haram militants remained in the region.

It was not known if these attacks were linked with the recent jailing of several Boko Haram militants in Cameroon on charges of illegal possession of fire arms and plotting an insurrection. It was possible that the Islamic extremist groups hoped to gain leverage over the Cameroonian authorities, and thus facilitate a prisoner swap. However, it was also possible that Boko Haram was being motivated by grander ambitions. While Boko Haram has concentrated its efforts to terrorize the public in Nigeria, these attacks across the border in Cameroon suggested more of a regional or Jihadist orientation, which was sure to give regional leaders pause.

Indeed, 2014 was marked by a series of attacks and abductions at the hands of Boko Haram. It was apparent that Boko Haram was taking advantage of the porous border between Nigeria and Cameroon, essentially making Boko Haram a regional threat to security.

By January 2015, Boko Haram's effects were being felt regionally. A massacre in the town of Baga resulted in thousands of people fled across Lake Chad seeking refuge in the country of Chad. But the country of Cameroon was suffering directly as a result of Boko Haram's barbarism when the militant Islamist movement violated that country's borders, carrying out raids into Cameroon territory, attacking villages, and kidnapping and killing scores of people. It should be noted that some of the individuals who were kidnapped in Cameroon were reported to have been freed. Cameroon's defense ministry said the hostages were liberated when their armed forces "pursued the attackers who were heading back to Nigeria." Nevertheless, Chad announced that it would deploy soldiers to Cameroon to assist that country in repelling the threat posed by Boko Haram.

In February 2015, military troops from Cameroon and Chad killed more than 250 Boko Haram terrorists amidst successive days of fighting. In the town of Fotokol, a massacre ensued when Boko Haram terrorists raided the town, burning buildings to the ground, and brutally murdering as many as 100 residents in mosques and in their homes. The bodies of many victims were found with their throats slit. As many as 50 Boko Haram terrorists were killed as a result of the defensive operation by Cameroonian forces. Around the same period, the government of Chad said it had targeted and eliminated Boko Haram bases in the towns of Gambaru and Ngala in northern Nigeria on Tuesday, killing more than 200 Boko Haram terrorists; nine Chadian soldiers also died in the offensive. Chad, with its well-developed military, was also carrying out air strikes on other Boko Haram positions.

The geopolitical aspect extended to Niger in the first week of February 2015 when Boko Haram terrorists attacked the town of Bosso in Niger, leading to a defensive operation by Niger's troops and Chad's fighter jets. It should be noted that Chad has been the most active regional power, deploying as many as 2,500 soldiers to the region to protect its own territory and people, but also to assist Cameroon and Niger.

For its part, the government of Niger was considering deploying some of its own troops to fight

Boko Haram. In the second week of February 2015 -- just hours before the parliament of that country was set to vote on a regional offensive force to fight the Islamist terror group -- Boko Haram stretched its abusive reach into Niger. At issue was an assault on the town of Diffa, leading thousands of people to flee the area. The army of Niger was able to successfully repel the assault; however, the attack was emblematic of the fact that Boko Haram was no longer a Nigerian problem, but in fact, a regional threat.

Feb. 13, 2015 saw Boko Haram launch another cross-border attack -- this time on the fishing village of Ngouboua in Chad along the shores of Lake Chad. As has become the norm for Boko Haram, the Islamist terrorists set homes on fire, often with residents inside, and slit the throats of other villagers in a rampage of horror. Included in the dead were a local chief, a police officer, three civilians, and two Boko Haram fighters. But the Chadian military was showing itself to be more effective than that of Nigeria and was ultimately able to repel the Boko Haram fighters. The rate of cross-border attacks in Chad, Cameroon, and Niger, however, suggested (1) the possibility of Boko Haram sleeper cells in these countries; and (2) a burgeoning imperative of Boko Haram to consolidate territory regionally rather than within one country, perhaps with an eye on establishing an African variant to the caliphate claimed by Islamic State in the Middle Eastern cross-border territory of Iraq and Syria.

On Feb. 16, 2015, Boko Haram was still managing to carry out cross-border attacks -- this time launching a raid on a military camp in northern Cameroon. Several troops were injured in that incident, although Cameroonian authorities said their troops were able to quickly retaliate.

Two weeks later at the start of March 2015, the regional effort was afoot to target Boko Haram -- despite Nigeria's overt attempts to subvert international assistance. Chadian forces -- some of the most sophisticated and capable in the region -- were reportedly ready to carry out an assault on Boko Haram but were prevented from doing so by Nigerian authorities. In fact, Cameroon and Niger in addition to Chad have respectively complained about Nigeria's unwillingness to cooperate in the effort to establish a regional taskforce aimed at vanquishing Boko Haram.

The rationale for Nigeria's obstinacy -- especially in the face of its own ineffectual approach to dealing with Boko Haram -- raised questions about the Nigerian government's commitment to fighting the terror group.

Nevertheless, in March 2015, regardless of the possible embarrassment to President Goodluck Jonathan, who was seeking re-election, military forces from Chad and Niger launched a joint air and ground offensive against Boko Haram, with the restive Borno state in Nigeria at the top of the target list.

Note that ahead of the 2015 election in Nigeria, President Goodluck Jonathan's main rival in the 2015 presidential contest, former military strongman, Muhammadu Buhari, blasted the incumbent



leader for his ineffectual defense of Nigeria from Boko Haram. He added that it was a "disgrace" that neighboring countries were doing more to fight Boko Haram, and were seeing more success than the Nigerian military. In an interview with Reuters News, Buhari said, "It's a big disgrace for Nigeria. It is now Cameroon and Chad fighting the insurgency more than Nigeria." He promised that under his presidency, more would be done to vanquish Boko Haram.

Ultimately, Buhari defeated Jonathan for the presidency. In mid-2015, the newly-elected Nigerian President Buhari indicated that the effort against Boko Haram was about to go into high gear. Via the social media outlet, Twitter, he wrote that the "efforts to strengthen security cooperation with our neighbours and adjust our own response to Boko Haram will yield results very soon."

It was to be seen if the election of Buhari in Nigeria would affect the fight against Boko Haram, and certainly the participation of Chad in that effort.

In June 2015, videotaped footage by Boko Haram surfaced with the messenger delivering a defiant message on behalf of the Islamist terror group. The messenger asserted that claims by Nigeria, Niger, Chad, and Cameroon of military success against Boko Haram were exaggerated and that the Islamist terrorists were not being pressured into retreat. Amidst gruesome footage of corpses, the anonymous speaker declared: "Most of our territory is still under control. Whoever believes that the Nigerian army has defeated us.... know that we have battled against them and killed them." It seemed that in the immediate aftermath of President Buhari's inauguration, he would have to immediately confront the Boko Haram problem.

Later in June 2015, Boko Haram carried out attacks in Chad and Niger -- two countries participating in a regional multinational fight against the Islamist terror group. In one case, Chad was struck by a suicide attack and responded with air strikes on Boko Haram positions. In another case, Boko Haram carried out bloody assaults on villages in Niger, killing around 40 people including women and children. The attacks made clear that Boko Haram was still fully equipped to be a threat to the region, irrespective of the efforts of multiple governments to repel the Nigeria-based Islamist terror group.

In August 2015, President Idriss Deby of Chad insisted that while Boko Haram might still be carrying out attacks, the regional effort against the terror group had been successful and would end "by the end of the year." President Deby's bold declaration was as follows: "Boko Haram is decapitated. There are little groups (composed of Boko Haram militants) scattered throughout east Nigeria, on the border with Cameroon. It is within our power to definitively overcome Boko Haram." Speaking of the establishment of a multilateral regional force and its planned offensive against the remnants of Boko Haram, President Deby said, "The war will be short, with the setting up of the regional force, it will be over by the end of the year." He also added that the notorious leader of Boko Haram, Abubakar Shekau, was no longer in control of the Islamist terror group and had been replaced by an individual known as Mahamat Daoud.

Note: The claim by President Deby that there was new leadership at the helm of Boko Haram was challenged by the release of an audio message by Shekau himself denying that he had been replaced. In the message, Shekau cast Deby's claim as "blatant lies" and declared, "I am alive. I will only die when the time appointed by Allah comes."

Editor's Note:

The extremist militant Islamist group, Boko Haram, launched an uprising in mid-2009 and was responsible for much of the sectarian bloodshed plaguing the country of Nigeria in recent times. Indeed, Boko Haram has a record of attacking security and political personnel manifested by its litany of targets. In more recent times, Boko Haram has expanded its target list as it has attacked Christian churches and killed worshippers, often during church services. For its part, Boko Haram is a militant Jihadist entity, which seeks to establish an Islamic government and Shari'a law across the whole of Nigeria.

The government of Nigeria has throughout claimed that it was committed to dealing with the national security threat posed by Boko Haram. In truth, however, members of the military have been linked with the terrorist group, while the Nigerian authorities have proved themselves to be largely feckless and ineffectually in the fight against Boko Haram. In fact, the impotence of the Nigerian government in fighting Boko Haram was displayed fully in August 2014 when Boko Haram declared dominion over an Islamic state in northeastern Nigeria, and as it has continued to dominate the Nigerian military as of the start of 2015.

While "Boko Haram" is the popular name of the extremist Islamist entity, its official name title is "Jama'atu Ahlis Sunna Lidda'awati wal-Jihad," which in Arabic means "People Committed to the Propagation of the Prophet's Teachings and Jihad." The term, Boko Haram, means "Western education is sacrilege" or "Western education is a sin" in the Hausa language; however, the group is not only against Western education but also against Western culture, modern science, the wearing of regular Western clothing such as shirts and pants, as well as the act of voting in elections.

There have been signs that Boko Haram poses a threat not only to Nigerians -- many of whom are fellow Muslims -- but also to the wider community, and anyone deemed to be of a pro-Western persuasion. Of note was the fact that in 2011, a Boko Haram suicide attack on a United Nations building in Abuja killed at least two dozen people. More recently -- in 2014 -- there was a series of attacks and abductions of high-profile figures in neighboring Cameroon. It was apparent that Boko Haram was taking advantage of the porous border between Nigeria and Cameroon, essentially making Boko Haram a regional threat to security.

The United States has designated Boko Haram as a terror organization and placed a \$7 million bounty on the leader's (Abubakar Shekau's) head. Although there has been no direct national interest for the United States in being further involved in the Boko Haram threat in Nigeria, recent



statements by Shekau threatening to confront the United States and the West suggest that Boko Haram's regional agenda may be expanding to a wider Jihadist orientation.

Indeed, the head of the United States Africa Command, General Carter Ham, has said that evidence points to a relationship of some sort between Boko Haram and al-Qaida in the Islamic Maghreb, which operates in northwest Africa, as well as al-Shabab in Somalia, which is itself aligned with the notorious terror enclave, al-Qaida. But as of 2015, Boko Haram had explicitly declared its allegiance to the notorious terror group, Islamic State. Following the mode of that terrorist entity, Boko Haram was carrying out cross-border attacks into Niger, Chad, and Cameroon, seemingly with an eye on establishing its own African equivalent of the Middle Eastern extremist Islamist caliphate. It was clear that Boko Haram was now a regional menace.

### Other Significant Relations

#### *Relations with the United States (U.S.)*

Cameroonian-U.S. relations have been affected by concerns over human rights abuses, corruption and the slow pace of political and economic liberalization, as well as U.S. budget realities. There is no longer a bilateral USAID program in Cameroon. Peace Corps volunteers, however, have worked in agro-forestry, community development, education and health. The Peace Corps has shifted its main thrust of volunteer placement out of the Anglophone southwest and put more volunteers in the Francophone west and north.

The United States Information Agency has organized and funded cultural, educational and information exchanges. The U.S. government has provided funding for international financial institutions such as the World Bank, the IMF and the African Development Bank, which provide financial and other assistance to Cameroon. The U.S. government has also provided funding for research in biodiversity and infrastructure for biodiversity protection through research organizations and the Department of Defense.

Written by Dr. Denise Youngblood Coleman, Editor in Chief, [www.countrywatch.com](http://www.countrywatch.com); see Bibliography for list of research sources.

---

## **National Security**

## **External Threats**

Cameroon does not face any immediate threats from foreign nations. It is involved in a longstanding territorial dispute with neighboring Nigeria over the oil-rich Bakassi peninsula, but has demonstrated a commitment to resolving the matter through peaceful means. It recently submitted its case to the International Court of Justice and the verdict ran in its favor. While the handover to Cameroon took place, as dictated by law, more than a year later, Nigerian militants attacked and killed several of Cameroon's soldiers in Bakassi.

Another territorial dispute over an island at the mouth of the Ntem River is impeding relations between Cameroon and Equatorial Guinea. The region around Lake Chad, which transcends the borders of Cameroon, Chad, Niger and Nigeria, plays host to frequent armed clashes involving the local inhabitants and militias operating in the region.

## **Crime**

Cameroon's crime rate is high when compared to other nations. Robbery, banditry, and carjacking are all common occurrences in the country. The State Department declares that it is best not to go out after dark in order to avoid such actions.

## **Insurgencies**

Cameroon's particularly strong central government is not currently contending with any insurgent movements that pose a direct threat to its authority. Moreover, its spotty human rights record is improving, diminishing a plausible incentive for opposition.

## **Terrorism**

Traditionally, Cameroon has not been a target of terrorist violence. Nor has it been a base of operations for global terrorist organizations. However, as of 2014, with the abductions of high profile Cameroonian figures, the Nigeria-based Islamic terrorist group, Boko Haram\*, has to be regarded as an emerging threat to national security in Cameroon. See "Editor's Note" below.

### **\*Editor's Note on Boko Haram:**

The extremist militant Islamist group, Boko Haram, launched an uprising in mid-2009 and was responsible for much of the sectarian bloodshed plaguing the country of Nigeria in recent times. Indeed, Boko Haram has a record of attacking security and political personnel manifested by its

litany of targets. In more recent times, Boko Haram has expanded its target list as it has attacked Christian churches and killed worshipers, often during church services. For its part, Boko Haram is a militant Jihadist entity, which seeks to establish an Islamic government and Shari'a law across the whole of Nigeria.

While "Boko Haram" is the popular name of the extremist Islamist entity, its official name title is "Jama'atu Ahlis Sunna Lidda'awati wal-Jihad," which in Arabic means "People Committed to the Propagation of the Prophet's Teachings and Jihad." The term, Boko Haram, means "Western education is sacrilege" or "Western education is a sin" in the Hausa language; however, the group is not only against Western education but also against Western culture, modern science, the wearing of regular Western clothing such as shirts and pants, as well as the act of voting in elections.

There have been signs that Boko Haram poses a threat not only to Nigerians -- many of whom are fellow Muslims -- but also to the wider community, and anyone deemed to be of a pro-Western persuasion. Of note was the fact that in 2011, a Boko Haram suicide attack on a United Nations building in Abuja killed at least two dozen people. More recently in 2014 has been the attacks and abductions of high-profile figures in neighboring Cameroon. For some time, Boko Haram has taken advantage of the porous border between Nigeria and Cameroon, essentially making Boko Haram a regional threat to security.

The United States has designated Boko Haram as a terror organization and placed a \$7 million bounty on the leader's (Abubakar Shekau's) head. Although there has been no direct national interest for the United States in being further involved in the Boko Haram threat in Nigeria, recent statements by Shekau threatening to confront the United States and the West suggest that Boko Haram's regional agenda may be expanding to a wider Jihadist orientation.

Indeed, the head of the United States Africa Command, General Carter Ham, has said that evidence points to a relationship of some sort between Boko Haram and al-Qaida in the Islamic Maghreb, which operates in northwest Africa, as well as al-Shabab in Somalia, which is itself aligned with the notorious terror enclave, al-Qaida.

---

## Defense Forces

## **Military Data**

### **Military Branches:**

Cameroon Armed Forces (Forces Armees Camerounaises, FAC), Army (L'Armee de Terre), Navy (Marine Nationale Republique (MNR), includes naval infantry), Air Force (Armee de l'Air du Cameroun, AAC), Rapid Intervention Brigade (Brigade d'Intervention Rapide, BIR, main counterterrorism force, consists of three battalions), Fire Fighter Corps, Gendarmerie

### **Eligible age to enter service:**

18

### **Mandatory Service Terms:**

No conscription

### **Manpower in general population-fit for military service:**

males age 16-49: 2,794,998

female age 16-49: 2,718,110

### **Manpower reaching eligible age annually:**

Males: 215,248

Females: 211,636

### **Military Expenditures-Percent of GDP:**

1.42%



# **Chapter 3**

## **Economic Overview**

---

## Economic Overview

### *Overview*

Armed with modest oil resources and favorable agricultural conditions, Cameroon has one of the most well-endowed primary commodity economies in sub-Saharan Africa. Its major export commodities include crude oil and petroleum products, timber, cocoa beans, bananas, coffee, and cotton.

Since 1996, with support from the International Monetary Fund and the World Bank, Cameroon has committed itself to correcting years of economic mismanagement by implementing reforms in forestry, transportation, the banking system, and privatization of public utilities. In recent years, the country has made progress in restoring conditions for macroeconomic stability and strengthening governance, which has contributed to an improved economic performance. This allowed Cameroon to reach the completion point under the IMF/World Bank enhanced Heavily Indebted Poor Countries (HIPC) Initiative in May 2006, and to receive additional debt relief under the Multilateral Debt Relief Initiative (MDRI). Still, there has been almost no growth in per capita income since, despite a relatively diversified productive base.

In recent years, Cameroon's economic growth has been below expectations because of weak infrastructure, poor business environment, limited financial sector development, and barriers to international trade and regional integration. Recently, the global economic crisis affected Cameroon severely, mainly through declining commodity prices, weaker external demand for some of the country's main export commodities (cotton, timber and rubber), and lower remittances. As a result, in 2009, economic growth slowed and both fiscal and external positions weakened. Nevertheless, the government's prudent management of oil windfalls allowed it to accumulate savings that could be used to mitigate the impact of the global economic crisis. Weak prices for oil led to a further significant slowdown in growth in 2010 but the economy rebounded and saw modest growth. U.S. agricultural firm Cargill Inc. in late July 2010 announced plans to train about 500 cocoa farmers in Cameroon over a four-year period in an effort to help them boost production and quality. Cameroon plans to double cocoa production, one of its main foreign-exchange earners, by 2015. As of 2011, the government was under pressure to reduce its budget deficit, but the presidential election in 2011 was expected to hinder fiscal austerity. Overall, the economy grew stronger in 2011 despite a decline in oil output. Still, the government's fiscal situation remained difficult and conditions in the banking system remained worrisome with several of the country's commercial banks in financial distress. In late June 2012, Cameroon and China's Eximbank agreed to a US\$467 million loan for the construction of an expressway road linking the capital Yaounde to

Cameroon's economic hub and main Douala. The six-lane highway would be designed to shorten the time it would take to travel between the two cities by about 50 kilometers. It was also hoped that it would benefit trade for the entire Central African sub-region. Overall, Cameroon's economy bounced back in 2012, stimulated by the recovery in the oil sector and strong domestic demand, which was in turn driven by investments in infrastructure. However, Cameroon's economic growth was projected to slow in 2013, according to the International Monetary Fund, which urged the country to address structural problems if it wants to meet its long-term targets.

In May 2013, Fitch Ratings affirmed Cameroon's long-term foreign and local currency Issuer Default Ratings (IDRs) at 'B' with a stable outlook. It noted that the pick-up in oil production in 2012 boosted growth. It also noted the stabilization of the current account deficit and an increase in international reserves to 4.2 months of current external payments cover. Overall, the pace of economic growth accelerated in 2013, while inflation remained subdued because food prices remained stable and fuel price controlled. Growth was particularly buoyant in the services and construction sectors, the latter supported by strong public investment. The higher demand for capital goods led to an increase in imports, which offset the improving export performance of the oil sector.

Unfortunately, though, Cameroon's robust economic performance since 2007 does not appear to have dented poverty significantly. Recent estimates show a slight decrease from 39.9 percent of the population in 2007 to 38.7 percent in 2011, while growth incidence curves indicate that inequality may have increased.

Meanwhile, the fiscal situation deteriorated in 2013. Oil revenue, which represented more than a quarter of all revenue, was lower than expected, because oil production and prices remained stable, but production costs increased. Despite a difficult global context marked by lower commodity prices and deteriorating terms of trade, domestic economic activity has remained solid, according to the African Economic Outlook.

In July 2014, the Cameroonian government announced it would end subsidies on petrol, diesel and cooking gas, pushing up prices of all three. As a result, transport workers threatened to go on strike in protest. A week later, the government raised the base salary for government workers and the military and entered into negotiations with transport workers to quell potential social unrest. The IMF - which projects Cameroon's economy to grow by 4.8 percent in 2014 and 5.1 percent in 2015 - has for years called for subsidies to be cut. But Cameroon has repeatedly delayed the move.

In March 2015, Reuters reported that statistics from Kribi's city council showed that visits by tourists to the region in 2014 dropped by more than 60 percent compared to the year before.

According to Eric Serge Epoune, a spokesman for Cameroon's Ministry of Tourism, the loss of income from just one coastal town was having a "catastrophic" impact when combined with other



pressures on the nation's economy.

“At a time when the Boko Haram scare has ground to a halt tourism in Cameroon's far north, a harsh climate is preventing our second most popular tourist zone from pulling in visitors,” he said. “Tourism and crafts are at a dead end, and let's not even talk about the hotel business — it is virtually nonexistent.”

The heavy erratic rains were also causing major delays to the start of construction on a new urban-development master plan, due to be completed by 2025.

### ***Economic Performance***

In the past decade, Cameroon's economy has grown steadily -- aided by the devaluation of the CFA franc in 1994, and the accompanying macroeconomic and structural reforms. Following an annual average growth rate of over 3 percent from 2006 to 2008, however, real GDP slowed substantially in 2009, reflecting falling external demand and world commodity prices as a result of the global economic crisis. It picked up slightly in 2010.

According to CountryWatch estimated calculations for 2014:

Real GDP growth rate was: 5.0 percent

Inflation was measured at: 1.4 percent

The fiscal deficit/surplus as percent of GDP (%) was: -1.6 percent

*Updated in 2015*

*\*Please note that the figures in our Economic Performance section are estimates or forecasts based on IMF-based data that are formulated using CountryWatch models of analysis.*

*Supplementary Sources: Reuters, African Development Bank and International Monetary Fund*

### **Nominal GDP and Components**

Nominal GDP and Components					
	2011	2012	2013	2014	2015
Nominal GDP (LCU billions)	12,545.65	13,514.70	14,607.53	16,092.51	16,817.03

	<b>2011</b>	<b>2012</b>	<b>2013</b>	<b>2014</b>	<b>2015</b>
Nominal GDP Growth Rate (%)	7.231	7.724	8.086	10.249	4.423
Consumption (LCU billions)	9,519.15	10,403.55	11,273.19	11,981.63	12,316.41
Government Expenditure (LCU billions)	1,457.21	1,548.18	1,690.60	1,812.99	1,863.64
Gross Capital Formation (LCU billions)	2,583.61	2,618.80	2,849.16	3,271.77	3,809.73
Exports of Goods & Services (LCU billions)	2,306.80	2,540.11	3,017.53	3,468.74	3,866.12
Imports of Goods & Services (LCU billions)	3,321.12	3,595.94	4,222.95	4,430.48	5,038.88

## Population and GDP Per Capita

Population and GDP Per Capita					
	2011	2012	2013	2014	2015
Population, total (million)	20.934	21.458	21.994	22.544	23.108
Population growth (%)	2.497	2.503	2.498	2.501	2.502
Nominal GDP per Capita (LCU 1000s)	599,295.45	629,821.14	664,159.77	713,826.82	727,758.05

## Real GDP and Inflation

Real GDP and Inflation					
	2011	2012	2013	2014	2015
Real Gross Domestic Product (LCU billions 2005 base)	9,535.78	9,974.32	10,531.52	11,415.56	11,722.37
Real GDP Growth Rate (%)	4.140	4.599	5.586	8.394	2.688
GDP Deflator (2005=100.0)	131.564	135.495	138.703	140.970	143.461
Inflation, GDP Deflator (%)	2.968	2.988	2.368	1.634	1.767

## Government Spending and Taxation

Government Spending and Taxation					
	2011	2012	2013	2014	2015
Government Fiscal Budget (billions)	2,576.09	2,640.73	3,199.76	3,687.52	3,771.11
Fiscal Budget Growth Rate (percentage)	24.633	2.509	21.169	15.244	2.267
National Tax Rate Net of Transfers (%)	17.936	17.949	17.954	17.837	17.324
Government Revenues Net of Transfers (LCU billions)	2,250.16	2,425.81	2,622.61	2,870.34	2,913.42
Government Surplus(-) Deficit(+) (LCU billions)	-325.9380	-214.9200	-577.1490	-817.1750	-857.6880
Government Surplus(+) Deficit(-) (%GDP)	-2.5980	-1.5903	-3.9510	-5.0780	-5.1001

## Money Supply, Interest Rates and Unemployment

Money Supply, Interest Rates and Unemployment					
	2011	2012	2013	2014	2015
Money and Quasi-Money (M2) (LCU billions)	2,745.21	2,743.60	3,057.60	3,462.53	3,618.42
Money Supply Growth Rate (%)	10.131	-0.0585	11.445	13.244	4.502
Lending Interest Rate (%)	3.000	3.000	3.000	3.000	14.101
Unemployment Rate (%)	3.542	3.813	3.800	3.780	3.451

## Foreign Trade and the Exchange Rate

Foreign Trade and the Exchange Rate					
	2011	2012	2013	2014	2015
Official Exchange Rate (LCU/\$US)	471.428	510.239	493.898	506.420	589.575
Trade Balance NIPA (\$US billions)	-2.1516	-2.0693	-2.4406	-1.8991	-1.9891
Trade Balance % of GDP	-8.0851	-7.8125	-8.2520	-5.9763	-6.9736
Total Foreign Exchange Reserves (\$US billions)	3.199	3.381	3.472	3.168	4.454

---

**Data in US Dollars**

Data in US Dollars					
	2011	2012	2013	2014	2015
Nominal GDP (\$US billions)	26.612	26.487	29.576	31.777	28.524
Exports (\$US billions)	4.893	4.978	6.110	6.850	6.557
Imports (\$US billions)	7.045	7.048	8.550	8.749	8.547



## Energy Consumption and Production Standard Units

Energy Consumption and Production Standard Units					
	2011	2012	2013	2014	2015
Petroleum Consumption (TBPD)	37.346	38.691	38.000	39.097	39.843
Petroleum Production (TBPD)	62.189	63.084	63.066	79.524	83.469
Petroleum Net Exports (TBPD)	24.843	24.393	25.066	40.427	43.625
Natural Gas Consumption (bcf)	5.297	12.219	12.219	11.781	12.577
Natural Gas Production (bcf)	5.302	12.259	12.244	11.993	13.120
Natural Gas Net Exports (bcf)	0.0052	0.0398	0.0251	0.2124	0.5432
Coal Consumption (1000s st)	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000
Coal Production (1000s st)	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000
Coal Net Exports (1000s st)	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000
Nuclear Production (bil kwh)	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000
Hydroelectric Production (bil kwh)	4.353	4.494	4.696	4.893	5.088
Renewables Production (bil kwh)	0.0590	0.0640	0.0799	0.0996	0.1096

## Energy Consumption and Production QUADS

Energy Consumption and Production QUADS					
	2011	2012	2013	2014	2015
Petroleum Consumption (Quads)	0.0797	0.0826	0.0811	0.0835	0.0851
Petroleum Production (Quads)	0.1328	0.1356	0.1351	0.1737	0.1425
Petroleum Net Exports (Quads)	0.0530	0.0530	0.0540	0.0902	0.0574
Natural Gas Consumption (Quads)	0.0054	0.0125	0.0125	0.0120	0.0128
Natural Gas Production (Quads)	0.0054	0.0125	0.0125	0.0124	0.0115
Natural Gas Net Exports (Quads)	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0004	-0.0014
Coal Consumption (Quads)	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000
Coal Production (Quads)	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000
Coal Net Exports (Quads)	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000
Nuclear Production (Quads)	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000
Hydroelectric Production (Quads)	0.0435	0.0449	0.0470	0.0489	0.0509
Renewables Production (Quads)	0.0006	0.0006	0.0008	0.0010	0.0011

---



---

## World Energy Price Summary

World Energy Price Summary					
	2011	2012	2013	2014	2015
Petroleum-WTI (\$/bbl)	95.054	94.159	97.943	93.112	48.709
Natural Gas-Henry Hub (\$/mmbtu)	3.999	2.752	3.729	4.369	2.614
Coal Thermal-Australian (\$/mt)	121.448	96.364	84.562	70.130	57.511

## CO2 Emissions

CO2 Emissions					
	2011	2012	2013	2014	2015
Petroleum Based (mm mt C)	1.782	1.846	1.813	1.865	1.901
Natural Gas Based (mm mt C)	0.0859	0.1982	0.1982	0.1911	0.2041
Coal Based (mm mt C)	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000
Total CO2 Emissions (mm mt C)	1.868	2.044	2.011	2.056	2.105

## Agriculture Consumption and Production

Agriculture Consumption and Production					
	2011	2012	2013	2014	2015
Corn Total Consumption (1000 metric tons)	1,578.13	1,767.25	1,660.34	1,612.86	1,481.67
Corn Production (1000 metric tons)	1,569.15	1,744.65	1,640.33	1,624.48	1,514.02
Corn Net Exports (1000 metric tons)	-8.9739	-22.5970	-20.0185	11.616	32.352
Soybeans Total Consumption (1000 metric tons)	13.081	11.705	14.247	10.815	9.676
Soybeans Production (1000 metric tons)	13.094	11.721	14.155	10.625	9.459
Soybeans Net Exports (1000 metric tons)	0.0131	0.0157	-0.0924	-0.1902	-0.2169
Rice Total					

	<b>2011</b>	<b>2012</b>	<b>2013</b>	<b>2014</b>	<b>2015</b>
Consumption (1000 metric tons)	174.089	181.822	194.094	202.617	181.300
Rice Production (1000 metric tons)	174.206	181.815	194.009	202.920	199.865
Rice Net Exports (1000 metric tons)	0.1172	-0.0069	-0.0851	0.3036	18.565
Coffee Total Consumption (metric tons)	14,106.25	14,106.25	15,210.00	13,963.09	13,145.67
Coffee Production (metric tons)	25,469.08	36,858.77	40,933.38	35,437.68	33,971.34
Coffee Net Exports (metric tons)	11,362.83	22,752.51	25,723.38	21,474.59	20,825.67
Cocoa Beans Total Consumption (metric tons)	49,786.00	95,147.00	95,067.00	103,230.17	107,830.11
Cocoa Beans Production (metric tons)	242,664.92	279,160.16	291,738.78	290,731.59	294,573.32
Cocoa Beans Net Exports (metric tons)	192,878.92	184,013.16	196,671.78	187,501.43	186,743.21
Wheat Total					

	<b>2011</b>	<b>2012</b>	<b>2013</b>	<b>2014</b>	<b>2015</b>
Consumption (1000 metric tons)	417.875	509.575	495.630	542.372	456.772
Wheat Production (1000 metric tons)	0.9479	0.8042	0.8487	0.9030	0.7663
Wheat Net Exports (1000 metric tons)	-416.9271	-508.7708	-494.7813	-541.4689	-456.0053

## World Agriculture Pricing Summary

World Agriculture Pricing Summary					
	2011	2012	2013	2014	2015
Corn Pricing Summary (\$/metric ton)	291.684	298.417	259.389	192.881	169.750
Soybeans Pricing Summary (\$/metric ton)	540.667	591.417	538.417	491.771	390.417
Rice Pricing Summary (\$/metric ton)	458.558	525.071	473.989	425.148	386.033
Coffee Pricing Summary (\$/kilogram)	5.976	4.111	3.076	4.424	3.526
Cocoa Beans Pricing Summary (\$/kilogram)	2.980	2.392	2.439	3.062	3.135
Wheat Pricing Summary (\$/metric ton)	316.264	313.242	312.248	284.895	203.177



## Metals Consumption and Production

Metals Consumption and Production					
	2011	2012	2013	2014	2015
Copper Consumption (1000 mt)	0.2130	4.250	2.764	1.277	1.115
Copper Production (1000 mt)	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000
Copper Net Exports (1000 mt)	-0.2130	-4.2500	-2.7635	-1.2770	-1.1151
Zinc Consumption (1000 mt)	4.443	4.443	4.443	4.666	4.209
Zinc Production (1000 mt)	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000
Zinc Exports (1000 mt)	-4.4427	-4.4427	-4.4427	-4.6660	-4.2093
Lead Consumption (1000 mt)	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000
Lead Production (1000 mt)	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000
Lead Exports (1000 mt)	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000
Tin Consumption (1000 mt)	0.7700	0.0950	0.3087	0.5224	0.4860
Tin Production (1000 mt)	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000

	<b>2011</b>	<b>2012</b>	<b>2013</b>	<b>2014</b>	<b>2015</b>
Tin Exports (1000 mt)	-0.7700	-0.0950	-0.3087	-0.5224	-0.4860
Nickel Consumption (1000 mt)	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000
Nickel Production (1000 mt)	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000
Nickel Exports (1000 mt)	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000
Gold Consumption (kg)	2,026.00	1,327.00	1,800.00	1,794.08	1,584.45
Gold Production (kg)	1,662.34	1,562.84	1,869.61	1,951.68	1,959.85
Gold Exports (kg)	-363.6624	235.842	69.611	157.608	375.404
Silver Consumption (mt)	10.000	11.000	11.000	12.000	9.934
Silver Production (mt)	0.1710	0.1794	0.1823	0.1886	0.1734
Silver Exports (mt)	-9.8290	-10.8206	-10.8177	-11.8114	-9.7608

---

**World Metals Pricing Summary**

World Metals Pricing Summary					
	2011	2012	2013	2014	2015
Copper (\$/mt)	8,828.19	7,962.35	7,332.10	6,863.40	5,510.46
Zinc (\$/mt)	2,193.90	1,950.41	1,910.26	2,160.97	1,931.68
Tin (\$/mt)	26,053.68	21,125.99	22,282.80	21,898.87	16,066.63
Lead (\$/mt)	2,400.81	2,064.64	2,139.79	2,095.46	1,787.82
Nickel (\$/mt)	22,910.36	17,547.55	15,031.80	16,893.38	11,862.64
Gold (\$/oz)	1,569.21	1,669.52	1,411.46	1,265.58	1,160.66
Silver (\$/oz)	35.224	31.137	23.850	19.071	15.721

## Economic Performance Index

### Economic Performance Index

The Economic Performance rankings are calculated by CountryWatch's editorial team, and are based on criteria including sustained economic growth, monetary stability, current account deficits, budget surplus, unemployment and structural imbalances. Scores are assessed from 0 to 100 using this aforementioned criteria as well as CountryWatch's proprietary economic research data and models.

	Bank stability risk	Monetary/ Currency stability	Government Finances	Empl./ Unempl.	Econ.GNP growth or decline/ forecast
	0 - 100	0 - 100	0 - 100	0 - 100	%
<b>North Americas</b>					
Canada	92	69	35	38	3.14%
United States	94	76	4	29	3.01%
<b>Western Europe</b>					
Austria	90	27	30	63	1.33%
Belgium	88	27	19	23	1.15%
Cyprus	81	91	16	80	-0.69%
Denmark	97	70	45	78	1.20%
Finland	89	27	41	33	1.25%

France	87	27	18	27	1.52%
Germany	86	27	22	21	1.25%
Greece	79	27	5	24	-2.00%
Iceland	90	17	2	34	-3.04%
Italy	85	27	37	24	0.84%
Ireland	92	27	11	10	-1.55%
Luxembourg	99	27	28	66	2.08%
Malta	77	27	41	51	0.54%
Netherlands	91	27	26	74	1.30%
Norway	98	44	10	76	1.08%
Portugal	77	27	13	20	0.29%
Spain	83	27	9	3	-0.41%
Sweden	94	72	54	32	1.23%
Switzerland	97	86	55	77	1.53%
United Kingdom	85	12	9	37	1.34%
<b>Central and Eastern Europe</b>					
Albania	44	60	33	6	2.30%
Armenia	45	59	49	30	1.80%

Azerbaijan	56	4	84	99	2.68%
Belarus	59	21	83	98	2.41%
Bosnia and Herzegovina	34	68	69	N/A	0.50%
Bulgaria	58	75	88	49	0.20%
Croatia	69	68	94	9	0.18%
Czech Republic	80	89	29	70	1.67%
Estonia	72	90	66	92	0.80%
Georgia	36	60	53	56	2.00%
Hungary	70	66	26	54	-0.16%
Latvia	67	100	65	44	-3.97%
Lithuania	65	91	87	79	-1.65%
Macedonia (FYR)	53	69	56	2	2.03%
Moldova	23	36	81	67	2.50%
Poland	74	74	38	12	2.72%
Romania	62	56	70	62	0.75%
Russia	73	18	90	8	4.00%
Serbia	48	49	52	5	1.97%

Montenegro	39	27	73	1	-1.70%
Slovak Republic	80	62	30	14	4.06%
Slovenia	81	27	36	65	1.12%
Ukraine	41	11	57	N/A	3.68%
Africa					
Algeria	57	18	96	7	4.55%
Angola	49	1	97	N/A	7.05%
Benin	19	91	20	N/A	3.22%
Botswana	68	58	76	N/A	6.33%
Burkina Faso	16	91	13	N/A	4.41%
Burundi	2	91	6	N/A	3.85%
Cameroon	26	91	91	N/A	2.58%
Cape Verde	52	87	4	N/A	4.96%
Central African Republic	9	91	32	N/A	3.18%
Chad	22	91	89	N/A	4.42%
Congo	52	87	87	N/A	12.13%
Côte d'Ivoire	25	91	82	28	2.98%
Dem. Republic					

Congo	4	91	47	N/A	5.44%
Djibouti	31	76	50	N/A	4.47%
Egypt	37	20	24	69	5.01%
Equatorial Guinea	82	91	85	N/A	0.94%
Eritrea	1	3	1	18	1.81%
Ethiopia	6	45	8	N/A	6.96%
Gabon	64	91	96	N/A	5.36%
Gambia	8	48	86	N/A	4.82%
Ghana	9	11	69	N/A	4.50%
Guinea	10	7	91	N/A	3.03%
Guinea-Bissau	5	91	46	N/A	3.47%
Kenya	20	41	59	N/A	4.11%
Lesotho	13	40	12	N/A	2.98%
Liberia	12	73	74	N/A	5.92%
Libya	73	2	94	N/A	5.22%
Madagascar	4	22	24	N/A	-1.02%
Malawi	7	25	55	N/A	5.96%
Mali	20	91	82	N/A	5.12%



Mauritania	15	13	93	N/A	4.58%
Mauritius	65	52	56	55	4.10%
Morocco	37	72	48	26	3.23%
Mozambique	12	23	71	N/A	6.45%
Namibia	40	39	62	N/A	1.70%
Niger	10	91	21	N/A	4.41%
Nigeria	30	6	61	N/A	6.98%
Rwanda	21	40	68	N/A	5.39%
Sao Tome & Principe	1	61	100	N/A	3.40%
Senegal	24	91	63	N/A	3.44%
Seychelles	60	67	97	N/A	4.01%
Sierra Leone	5	10	39	N/A	4.77%
Somalia	2	38	59	N/A	3.19%
South Africa	61	37	70	N/A	2.59%
Sudan	16	5	73	N/A	5.52%
Swaziland	32	44	79	N/A	1.09%
Tanzania	15	45	32	N/A	6.17%
Togo	8	91	92	N/A	2.56%

Tunisia	50	61	44	39	4.00%
Uganda	11	17	54	N/A	5.59%
Zambia	29	20	49	N/A	5.84%
Zimbabwe	0	8	16	N/A	2.24%
<b>South and Central America</b>					
Argentina	66	3	80	36	3.50%
Belize	47	76	80	N/A	1.00%
Bolivia	32	51	61	81	3.99%
Brazil	71	47	78	11	5.50%
Chile	78	25	92	73	4.72%
Columbia	47	52	34	47	2.25%
Costa Rica	60	42	39	57	3.45%
Ecuador	43	76	75	64	2.51%
El Salvador	35	76	67	N/A	1.04%
Guatemala	46	59	58	N/A	2.52%
Honduras	27	47	58	N/A	2.00%
Mexico	69	42	52	61	4.07%
Nicaragua	23	49	42	N/A	1.75%

Panama	66	76	72	45	5.00%
Paraguay	35	46	66	16	5.27%
Peru	59	66	75	22	6.33%
Suriname	58	26	81	59	4.02%
Uruguay	70	26	27	N/A	5.71%
Venezuela	55	1	28	13	-2.63%
<b>Caribbean</b>					
Antigua & Barbuda	72	76	15	N/A	-2.01%
Bahamas	74	76	45	87	-0.50%
Barbados	67	76	33	15	-0.50%
Bermuda	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Cuba	45	76	18	95	0.25%
Dominica	53	76	65	N/A	1.40%
Dominican Republic	54	39	43	4	3.50%
Grenada	63	76	48	N/A	0.80%
Guyana	28	56	17	N/A	4.36%
Haiti	11	27	89	N/A	-8.50%
Jamaica	42	9	85	19	-0.28%

St Lucia	55	76	67	N/A	1.14%
St Vincent & Grenadines	49	76	95	N/A	0.50%
Trinidad & Tobago	82	37	77	72	2.13%
<b>Middle East</b>					
Bahrain	84	76	62	91	3.48%
Iran	51	19	40	58	3.01%
Iraq	48	9	8	N/A	7.27%
Israel	87	62	12	48	3.20%
Jordan	41	51	3	N/A	4.10%
Kuwait	96	4	99	N/A	3.10%
Lebanon	63	54	2	N/A	6.00%
Oman	76	16	88	N/A	4.71%
Qatar	99	16	83	N/A	18.54%
Saudi Arabia	76	8	98	N/A	3.70%
Syria	61	24	40	N/A	5.00%
Turkey	75	23	27	60	5.20%
United Arab Emirates	96	24	98	94	1.29%

Yemen	28	2	78	N/A	7.78%
<b>Asia</b>					
Afghanistan	17	70	74	N/A	8.64%
Bangladesh	13	43	25	N/A	5.38%
Bhutan	24	55	5	N/A	6.85%
Brunei	78	19	99	75	0.48%
Cambodia	18	67	42	N/A	4.77%
China	54	90	19	68	11.03%
Hong Kong	89	76	14	82	5.02%
India	31	38	34	35	8.78%
Indonesia	42	46	37	31	6.00%
Japan	88	89	6	71	1.90%
Kazakhstan	62	13	76	42	2.40%
Korea North	18	65	23	N/A	1.50%
Korea South	83	63	22	85	4.44%
Kyrgyz Republic	24	15	84	88	4.61%
Laos	17	54	7	N/A	7.22%
Macao	91	76	14	82	3.00%

Malaysia	68	65	44	90	4.72%
Maldives	44	55	17	N/A	3.45%
Mongolia	33	5	77	93	7.22%
Myanmar	3	41	72	N/A	5.26%
Nepal	3	14	25	N/A	2.97%
Pakistan	19	15	31	41	3.00%
Papua New Guinea	75	50	11	N/A	7.96%
Philippines	30	48	53	43	3.63%
Singapore	93	75	63	40	5.68%
Sri Lanka	38	22	10	N/A	5.50%
Taiwan	84	88	35	89	6.50%
Tajikistan	6	6	60	97	4.00%
Thailand	56	64	90	96	5.46%
Turkmenistan	51	53	68	N/A	12.00%
Uzbekistan	40	10	60	100	8.00%
Vietnam	25	12	20	N/A	6.04%
<b>Pacific</b>					
Australia	96	63	31	46	2.96%

Fiji	46	53	3	N/A	2.06%
Marshall Islands	27	76	46	N/A	1.08%
Micronesia (Fed. States)	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
New Caledonia	96	73	51	52	2.00%
New Zealand	98	73	51	52	2.00%
Samoa	34	88	64	N/A	-2.77%
Solomon Islands	14	71	1	N/A	3.36%
Tonga	26	57	38	N/A	0.60%
Vanuatu	33	58	47	N/A	3.80%

Source:

CountryWatch Inc. [www.countrywatch.com](http://www.countrywatch.com)

Updated:

This material was produced in 2010; it is subject to updating in 2012.

div style='margin-top:40%;padding-top:40%>

# **Chapter 4**

## **Investment Overview**



---

## Foreign Investment Climate

### Background

Because of its modest oil resources and favorable agricultural conditions, Cameroon has one of the best-endowed primary commodity economies in sub-Saharan Africa. Still, it faces many of the serious problems facing other underdeveloped countries, such as stagnating per capita income, a relatively inequitable distribution of income, a top-heavy civil service, and a generally unfavorable climate for business enterprise. International oil and cocoa prices have a significant impact on the economy. Since 1990, the government has embarked on various IMF and World Bank programs designed to spur business investment, increase efficiency in agriculture, improve trade, and recapitalize the nation's banks. The IMF is pressing for more reforms, including increased budget transparency, privatization, and poverty reduction programs.

### Foreign Investment Assessment

The government of Cameroon has taken measures to attract foreign investors, but the overall business climate remains generally unfavorable. Rich in natural resources, Cameroon does offer rewards for the would-be foreign investor willing to assume some risk.

### Industries

Petroleum production and refining, food processing, light consumer goods, textiles, lumber

### Import Commodities

Machinery, electrical equipment, transport equipment, fuel, food

### Import Partners

France 21.9%, Nigeria 9.5%, Japan 6.8%, US 5.7%, China 4.9%, Germany 4.3% (2003)

### Export Commodities

Crude oil and petroleum products, lumber, cocoa beans, aluminum, coffee, cotton

### Export Partners

Spain 21.9%, Italy 13.4%, France 10.8%, Netherlands 10.6%, US 7.5%, China 4.4% (2003)

### **Ports and Harbors**

Bonaberi, Douala, Garoua, Kribi, Tiko

### **Telephone System**

Generally available only to business and government

### **Internet Use**

60,000

### **Labor Force**

6.49 million; agriculture 70%, industry and commerce 13%, other 17%

### **Judicial System**

Cameroon's legal system is based on French civil law system, with common law influence. It is highly ineffective and foreign investors may find it challenging to navigate.

### **Corruption Perception Ranking**

See Corruption Perception index reported by Transparency International elsewhere in this report, from least to most corrupt countries.

### **Cultural Considerations**

The traditional dinner involves men eating first, women next and then children. Dinner guests are expected to stay after dinner and converse with the host and other guests of a few hours.

### **Country Website**

[www.prc.cm](http://www.prc.cm)

## Foreign Investment Index

### Foreign Investment Index

**The Foreign Investment Index** is a proprietary index measuring attractiveness to international investment flows. The Foreign Investment Index is calculated using an established methodology by CountryWatch's Editor-in-Chief and is based on a given country's economic stability (sustained economic growth, monetary stability, current account deficits, budget surplus), economic risk (risk of non-servicing of payments for goods or services, loans and trade-related finance, risk of sovereign default), business and investment climate (property rights, labor force and laws, regulatory transparency, openness to foreign investment, market conditions, and stability of government). Scores are assigned from 0-10 using the aforementioned criteria. A score of 0 marks the lowest level of foreign investment viability, while a score of 10 marks the highest level of foreign investment viability, according to this proprietary index.

Country	Assessment
Afghanistan	2
Albania	4.5
Algeria	6
Andorra	9
Angola	4.5-5
Antigua	8.5
Argentina	5
Armenia	5
Australia	9.5

Austria	9-9.5
Azerbaijan	5
Bahamas	9
Bahrain	7.5
Bangladesh	4.5
Barbados	9
Belarus	4
Belgium	9
Belize	7.5
Benin	5.5
Bhutan	4.5
Bolivia	4.5
Bosnia-Herzegovina	5
Botswana	7.5-8
Brazil	8
Brunei	7
Bulgaria	5.5
Burkina Faso	4

Burma (Myanmar)	4.5
Burundi	4
Cambodia	4.5
Cameroon	5
Canada	9.5
Cape Verde	6
Central African Republic	3
Chad	4
Chile	9
China	7.5
China: Hong Kong	8.5
China: Taiwan	8.5
Colombia	7
Comoros	4
Congo DRC	4
Congo RC	5
Costa Rica	8
Cote d'Ivoire	4.5

Croatia	7
Cuba	4.5
Cyprus	7
Czech Republic	8.5
Denmark	9.5
Djibouti	4.5
Dominica	6
Dominican Republic	6.5
East Timor	4.5
Ecuador	5.5
Egypt	4.5-5
El Salvador	6
Equatorial Guinea	4.5
Eritrea	3.5
Estonia	8
Ethiopia	4.5
Fiji	5
Finland	9

Former Yugoslav Rep. of Macedonia	5
France	9-9.5
Gabon	5.5
Gambia	5
Georgia	5
Germany	9-9.5
Ghana	5.5
Greece	5
Grenada	7.5
Guatemala	5.5
Guinea	3.5
Guinea-Bissau	3.5
Guyana	4.5
Haiti	4
Holy See (Vatican)	n/a
Hong Kong (China)	8.5
Honduras	5.5
Hungary	8

Iceland	8-8.5
India	8
Indonesia	5.5
Iran	4
Iraq	3
Ireland	8
Israel	8.5
Italy	8
Jamaica	5.5
Japan	9.5
Jordan	6
Kazakhstan	6
Kenya	5
Kiribati	5.5
Korea, North	1
Korea, South	9
Kosovo	4.5
Kuwait	8.5



Kyrgyzstan	4.5
Laos	4
Latvia	7
Lebanon	5
Lesotho	5.5
Liberia	3.5
Libya	3
Liechtenstein	9
Lithuania	7.5
Luxembourg	9-9.5
Madagascar	4.5
Malawi	4.5
Malaysia	8.5
Maldives	6.5
Mali	5
Malta	9
Marshall Islands	5
Mauritania	4.5

Mauritius	7.5-8
Mexico	6.5-7
Micronesia	5
Moldova	4.5-5
Monaco	9
Mongolia	5
Montenegro	5.5
Morocco	7.5
Mozambique	5
Namibia	7.5
Nauru	4.5
Nepal	4
Netherlands	9-9.5
New Zealand	9.5
Nicaragua	5
Niger	4.5
Nigeria	4.5
Norway	9-9.5

Oman	8
Pakistan	4
Palau	4.5-5
Panama	7
Papua New Guinea	5
Paraguay	6
Peru	6
Philippines	6
Poland	8
Portugal	7.5-8
Qatar	9
Romania	6-6.5
Russia	6
Rwanda	4
Saint Kitts and Nevis	8
Saint Lucia	8
Saint Vincent and Grenadines	7
Samoa	7

San Marino	8.5
Sao Tome and Principe	4.5-5
Saudi Arabia	7
Senegal	6
Serbia	6
Seychelles	5
Sierra Leone	4
Singapore	9.5
Slovak Republic (Slovakia)	8.5
Slovenia	8.5-9
Solomon Islands	5
Somalia	2
South Africa	8
Spain	7.5-8
Sri Lanka	5.5
Sudan	4
Suriname	5
Swaziland	4.5

Sweden	9.5
Switzerland	9.5
Syria	2.5
Tajikistan	4
Taiwan (China)	8.5
Tanzania	5
Thailand	7.5-8
Togo	4.5-5
Tonga	5.5-6
Trinidad and Tobago	8-8.5
Tunisia	6
Turkey	6.5-7
Turkmenistan	4
Tuvalu	7
Uganda	5
Ukraine	4.5-5
United Arab Emirates	8.5
United Kingdom	9

United States	9
Uruguay	6.5-7
Uzbekistan	4
Vanuatu	6
Venezuela	5
Vietnam	5.5
Yemen	3
Zambia	4.5-5
Zimbabwe	3.5

#### Editor's Note:

As of 2015, the global economic crisis (emerging in 2008) had affected many countries across the world, resulting in changes to their rankings. Among those countries affected were top tier economies, such as the [United Kingdom](#), [Iceland](#), [Switzerland](#) and [Austria](#). However, in all these cases, their rankings have moved back upward in the last couple of years as anxieties have eased. Other top tier countries, such as [Spain](#), [Portugal](#), [Ireland](#), and [Italy](#), suffered some effects due to debt woes and the concomitant effect on the euro zone. Greece, another euro zone nation, was also downgraded due to its sovereign debt crisis; however, Greece's position on the precipice of default incurred a sharper downgrade than the other four euro zone countries mentioned above. Cyprus' exposure to Greek bank yielded a downgrade in its case. Slovenia and [Latvia](#) have been slightly downgraded due to a mix of economic and political concerns but could easily be upgraded in a future assessment, should these concerns abate. Meanwhile, the crisis in eastern [Ukraine](#) fueled downgrades in that country and neighboring [Russia](#).

Despite the "trifecta of tragedy" in [Japan](#) in 2011 -- the earthquake, the ensuing tsunami, and the resulting nuclear crisis -- and the appreciable destabilization of the economic and political terrain therein, this country has only slightly been downgraded. Japan's challenges have been assessed to

be transient, the government remains accountable, and there is little risk of default. Both [India](#) and [China](#) retain their rankings; [India](#) holds a slightly higher ranking than [China](#) due to its record of democratic representation and accountability.

There were shifts in opposite directions for [Mali](#) and [Nigeria](#) versus the [Central African Republic](#), [Burkina Faso](#), and [Burundi](#). [Mali](#) was slightly upgraded due to its efforts to return to constitutional order following the 2012 coup and to neutralize the threat of separatists and Islamists. Likewise, a new government in [Nigeria](#) generated a slight upgrade as the country attempts to confront corruption, crime, and terrorism. But the [Central African Republic](#) was downgraded due to the takeover of the government by Seleka rebels and the continued decline into lawlessness in that country. Likewise, the attempts by the leaders of [Burundi](#) and [Burkina Faso](#) to hold onto power by by-passing the constitution raised eyebrows and resulted in downgrades.

Political unrest in [Libya](#) and [Algeria](#) have contributed to a decision to marginally downgrade these countries as well. [Syria](#) incurred a sharper downgrade due to the devolution into de facto civil war and the dire security threat posed by Islamist terrorists. [Iraq](#) saw a similar downgrade as a result of the takeover of wide swaths of territory and the threat of genocide at the hands of Islamist terrorists. [Yemen](#), likewise, has been downgraded due to political instability at the hands of secessionists, terrorists, Houthi rebels, and the intervention of external parties. Conversely, [Egypt](#) and [Tunisia](#) saw slight upgrades as their political environments stabilize.

At the low end of the spectrum, devolving security conditions and/or economic crisis have resulted in countries like [Pakistan](#), [Afghanistan](#), [Somalia](#), and [Zimbabwe](#) maintaining their low ratings.

The [United States](#) continues to retain its previous slight downgrade due to the enduring threat of default surrounding the debt ceiling in that country, matched by a conflict-ridden political climate. In the case of [Mexico](#), there is limited concern about default, but increasing alarm over the security situation in that country and the government's ability to contain it. In [Argentina](#), a default to bond holders resulted in a downgrade to that country. Finally, a small but significant upgrade was attributed to [Cuba](#) due to its recent pro-business reforms and its normalization of ties with the United States.

Source:

CountryWatch Inc. [www.countrywatch.com](http://www.countrywatch.com)

Updated:

2015

## Corruption Perceptions Index

### Corruption Perceptions Index

Transparency International: [Corruption Perceptions Index](#)

#### Editor's Note:

Transparency International's [Corruption Perceptions Index](#) is a composite index which ranks countries in terms of the degree to which corruption is perceived to exist among public officials. This index indicates the views of national and international business people and analysts about the levels of corruption in each country. The highest (and best) level of transparency is indicated by the number, 10. The lower (and worse) levels of transparency are indicated by lower numbers.

Rank	Country/Territory	CPI 2009 Score	Surveys Used	Confidence Range
1	New Zealand	9.4	6	9.1 - 9.5
2	Denmark	9.3	6	9.1 - 9.5
3	Singapore	9.2	9	9.0 - 9.4
3	Sweden	9.2	6	9.0 - 9.3
5	Switzerland	9.0	6	8.9 - 9.1
6	Finland	8.9	6	8.4 - 9.4
6	Netherlands	8.9	6	8.7 - 9.0
8	Australia	8.7	8	8.3 - 9.0
8	Canada	8.7	6	8.5 - 9.0



8	Iceland	8.7	4	7.5 - 9.4
11	Norway	8.6	6	8.2 - 9.1
12	Hong Kong	8.2	8	7.9 - 8.5
12	Luxembourg	8.2	6	7.6 - 8.8
14	Germany	8.0	6	7.7 - 8.3
14	Ireland	8.0	6	7.8 - 8.4
16	Austria	7.9	6	7.4 - 8.3
17	Japan	7.7	8	7.4 - 8.0
17	United Kingdom	7.7	6	7.3 - 8.2
19	United States	7.5	8	6.9 - 8.0
20	Barbados	7.4	4	6.6 - 8.2
21	Belgium	7.1	6	6.9 - 7.3
22	Qatar	7.0	6	5.8 - 8.1
22	Saint Lucia	7.0	3	6.7 - 7.5
24	France	6.9	6	6.5 - 7.3
25	Chile	6.7	7	6.5 - 6.9
25	Uruguay	6.7	5	6.4 - 7.1
27	Cyprus	6.6	4	6.1 - 7.1

27	Estonia	6.6	8	6.1 - 6.9
27	Slovenia	6.6	8	6.3 - 6.9
30	United Arab Emirates	6.5	5	5.5 - 7.5
31	Saint Vincent and the Grenadines	6.4	3	4.9 - 7.5
32	Israel	6.1	6	5.4 - 6.7
32	Spain	6.1	6	5.5 - 6.6
34	Dominica	5.9	3	4.9 - 6.7
35	Portugal	5.8	6	5.5 - 6.2
35	Puerto Rico	5.8	4	5.2 - 6.3
37	Botswana	5.6	6	5.1 - 6.3
37	Taiwan	5.6	9	5.4 - 5.9
39	Brunei Darussalam	5.5	4	4.7 - 6.4
39	Oman	5.5	5	4.4 - 6.5
39	Korea (South)	5.5	9	5.3 - 5.7
42	Mauritius	5.4	6	5.0 - 5.9
43	Costa Rica	5.3	5	4.7 - 5.9
43	Macau	5.3	3	3.3 - 6.9
45	Malta	5.2	4	4.0 - 6.2

46	Bahrain	5.1	5	4.2 - 5.8
46	Cape Verde	5.1	3	3.3 - 7.0
46	Hungary	5.1	8	4.6 - 5.7
49	Bhutan	5.0	4	4.3 - 5.6
49	Jordan	5.0	7	3.9 - 6.1
49	Poland	5.0	8	4.5 - 5.5
52	Czech Republic	4.9	8	4.3 - 5.6
52	Lithuania	4.9	8	4.4 - 5.4
54	Seychelles	4.8	3	3.0 - 6.7
55	South Africa	4.7	8	4.3 - 4.9
56	Latvia	4.5	6	4.1 - 4.9
56	Malaysia	4.5	9	4.0 - 5.1
56	Namibia	4.5	6	3.9 - 5.1
56	Samoa	4.5	3	3.3 - 5.3
56	Slovakia	4.5	8	4.1 - 4.9
61	Cuba	4.4	3	3.5 - 5.1
61	Turkey	4.4	7	3.9 - 4.9
63	Italy	4.3	6	3.8 - 4.9

63	Saudi Arabia	4.3	5	3.1 - 5.3
65	Tunisia	4.2	6	3.0 - 5.5
66	Croatia	4.1	8	3.7 - 4.5
66	Georgia	4.1	7	3.4 - 4.7
66	Kuwait	4.1	5	3.2 - 5.1
69	Ghana	3.9	7	3.2 - 4.6
69	Montenegro	3.9	5	3.5 - 4.4
71	Bulgaria	3.8	8	3.2 - 4.5
71	FYR Macedonia	3.8	6	3.4 - 4.2
71	Greece	3.8	6	3.2 - 4.3
71	Romania	3.8	8	3.2 - 4.3
75	Brazil	3.7	7	3.3 - 4.3
75	Colombia	3.7	7	3.1 - 4.3
75	Peru	3.7	7	3.4 - 4.1
75	Suriname	3.7	3	3.0 - 4.7
79	Burkina Faso	3.6	7	2.8 - 4.4
79	China	3.6	9	3.0 - 4.2
79	Swaziland	3.6	3	3.0 - 4.7

79	Trinidad and Tobago	3.6	4	3.0 - 4.3
83	Serbia	3.5	6	3.3 - 3.9
84	El Salvador	3.4	5	3.0 - 3.8
84	Guatemala	3.4	5	3.0 - 3.9
84	India	3.4	10	3.2 - 3.6
84	Panama	3.4	5	3.1 - 3.7
84	Thailand	3.4	9	3.0 - 3.8
89	Lesotho	3.3	6	2.8 - 3.8
89	Malawi	3.3	7	2.7 - 3.9
89	Mexico	3.3	7	3.2 - 3.5
89	Moldova	3.3	6	2.7 - 4.0
89	Morocco	3.3	6	2.8 - 3.9
89	Rwanda	3.3	4	2.9 - 3.7
95	Albania	3.2	6	3.0 - 3.3
95	Vanuatu	3.2	3	2.3 - 4.7
97	Liberia	3.1	3	1.9 - 3.8
97	Sri Lanka	3.1	7	2.8 - 3.4
99	Bosnia and Herzegovina	3.0	7	2.6 - 3.4

99	Dominican Republic	3.0	5	2.9 - 3.2
99	Jamaica	3.0	5	2.8 - 3.3
99	Madagascar	3.0	7	2.8 - 3.2
99	Senegal	3.0	7	2.5 - 3.6
99	Tonga	3.0	3	2.6 - 3.3
99	Zambia	3.0	7	2.8 - 3.2
106	Argentina	2.9	7	2.6 - 3.1
106	Benin	2.9	6	2.3 - 3.4
106	Gabon	2.9	3	2.6 - 3.1
106	Gambia	2.9	5	1.6 - 4.0
106	Niger	2.9	5	2.7 - 3.0
111	Algeria	2.8	6	2.5 - 3.1
111	Djibouti	2.8	4	2.3 - 3.2
111	Egypt	2.8	6	2.6 - 3.1
111	Indonesia	2.8	9	2.4 - 3.2
111	Kiribati	2.8	3	2.3 - 3.3
111	Mali	2.8	6	2.4 - 3.2
111	Sao Tome and Principe	2.8	3	2.4 - 3.3

111	Solomon Islands	2.8	3	2.3 - 3.3
111	Togo	2.8	5	1.9 - 3.9
120	Armenia	2.7	7	2.6 - 2.8
120	Bolivia	2.7	6	2.4 - 3.1
120	Ethiopia	2.7	7	2.4 - 2.9
120	Kazakhstan	2.7	7	2.1 - 3.3
120	Mongolia	2.7	7	2.4 - 3.0
120	Vietnam	2.7	9	2.4 - 3.1
126	Eritrea	2.6	4	1.6 - 3.8
126	Guyana	2.6	4	2.5 - 2.7
126	Syria	2.6	5	2.2 - 2.9
126	Tanzania	2.6	7	2.4 - 2.9
130	Honduras	2.5	6	2.2 - 2.8
130	Lebanon	2.5	3	1.9 - 3.1
130	Libya	2.5	6	2.2 - 2.8
130	Maldives	2.5	4	1.8 - 3.2
130	Mauritania	2.5	7	2.0 - 3.3
130	Mozambique	2.5	7	2.3 - 2.8

130	Nicaragua	2.5	6	2.3 - 2.7
130	Nigeria	2.5	7	2.2 - 2.7
130	Uganda	2.5	7	2.1 - 2.8
139	Bangladesh	2.4	7	2.0 - 2.8
139	Belarus	2.4	4	2.0 - 2.8
139	Pakistan	2.4	7	2.1 - 2.7
139	Philippines	2.4	9	2.1 - 2.7
143	Azerbaijan	2.3	7	2.0 - 2.6
143	Comoros	2.3	3	1.6 - 3.3
143	Nepal	2.3	6	2.0 - 2.6
146	Cameroon	2.2	7	1.9 - 2.6
146	Ecuador	2.2	5	2.0 - 2.5
146	Kenya	2.2	7	1.9 - 2.5
146	Russia	2.2	8	1.9 - 2.4
146	Sierra Leone	2.2	5	1.9 - 2.4
146	Timor-Leste	2.2	5	1.8 - 2.6
146	Ukraine	2.2	8	2.0 - 2.6
146	Zimbabwe	2.2	7	1.7 - 2.8



154	Côte d'Ivoire	2.1	7	1.8 - 2.4
154	Papua New Guinea	2.1	5	1.7 - 2.5
154	Paraguay	2.1	5	1.7 - 2.5
154	Yemen	2.1	4	1.6 - 2.5
158	Cambodia	2.0	8	1.8 - 2.2
158	Central African Republic	2.0	4	1.9 - 2.2
158	Laos	2.0	4	1.6 - 2.6
158	Tajikistan	2.0	8	1.6 - 2.5
162	Angola	1.9	5	1.8 - 1.9
162	Congo Brazzaville	1.9	5	1.6 - 2.1
162	Democratic Republic of Congo	1.9	5	1.7 - 2.1
162	Guinea-Bissau	1.9	3	1.8 - 2.0
162	Kyrgyzstan	1.9	7	1.8 - 2.1
162	Venezuela	1.9	7	1.8 - 2.0
168	Burundi	1.8	6	1.6 - 2.0
168	Equatorial Guinea	1.8	3	1.6 - 1.9
168	Guinea	1.8	5	1.7 - 1.8
168	Haiti	1.8	3	1.4 - 2.3

168	Iran	1.8	3	1.7 - 1.9
168	Turkmenistan	1.8	4	1.7 - 1.9
174	Uzbekistan	1.7	6	1.5 - 1.8
175	Chad	1.6	6	1.5 - 1.7
176	Iraq	1.5	3	1.2 - 1.8
176	Sudan	1.5	5	1.4 - 1.7
178	Myanmar	1.4	3	0.9 - 1.8
179	Afghanistan	1.3	4	1.0 - 1.5
180	Somalia	1.1	3	0.9 - 1.4

### Methodology:

As noted above, the highest (and best) level of transparency with the least perceived corruption is indicated by the number, 10. The lower (and worse) levels of transparency are indicated by lower numbers.

According to Transparency International, the [Corruption Perceptions Index](#) (CPI) table shows a country's ranking and score, the number of surveys used to determine the score, and the confidence range of the scoring.

The rank shows how one country compares to others included in the index. The CPI score indicates the perceived level of public-sector corruption in a country/territory.

The CPI is based on 13 independent surveys. However, not all surveys include all countries. The surveys used column indicates how many surveys were relied upon to determine the score for that country.

The confidence range indicates the reliability of the CPI scores and tells us that allowing for a margin of error, we can be 90% confident that the true score for this country lies within this range.

Note:

Kosovo, which separated from the Yugoslav successor state of [Serbia](#), is not listed above. No calculation is available for [Kosovo](#) at this time, however, a future corruption index by Transparency International may include the world's newest country in its tally. Taiwan has been listed above despite its contested status; while Taiwan claims sovereign status, [China](#) claims ultimate jurisdiction over Taiwan. Hong Kong, which is also under the rubric of Chinese sovereignty, is listed above. Note as well that Puerto Rico, which is a [United States](#) domain, is also included in the list above. These inclusions likely have to do with the size and fairly autonomous status of their economies.

Source:

Transparency International's Corruption Perception Index; available at URL: <http://www.transparency.org>

Updated:

Uploaded in 2011 using most recent ranking available; reviewed in 2015.

---

## Competitiveness Ranking

### Competitiveness Ranking

Editor's Note:

The Global Competitiveness Report's competitiveness ranking is based on the Global Competitiveness Index (GCI), which was developed for the World Economic Forum. The GCI is based on a number of competitiveness considerations, and provides a comprehensive picture of the competitiveness landscape in countries around the world. The competitiveness considerations are: institutions, infrastructure, macroeconomic environment, health and primary education, higher education and training, goods market efficiency, labour market efficiency, financial market development, technological readiness, market size, business sophistication, and innovation. The rankings are calculated from both publicly available data and the Executive Opinion Survey.

--	--	--	--	--

Country/Economy	GCI 2010 Rank	GCI 2010 Score	GCI 2009 Rank	Change 2009-2010
Switzerland	1	5.63	1	0
Sweden	2	5.56	4	2
Singapore	3	5.48	3	0
United States	4	5.43	2	-2
Germany	5	5.39	7	2
Japan	6	5.37	8	2
Finland	7	5.37	6	-1
Netherlands	8	5.33	10	2
Denmark	9	5.32	5	-4
Canada	10	5.30	9	-1
Hong Kong SAR	11	5.30	11	0
United Kingdom	12	5.25	13	1
Taiwan, China	13	5.21	12	-1
Norway	14	5.14	14	0
France	15	5.13	16	1
Australia	16	5.11	15	-1
Qatar	17	5.10	22	5

Austria	18	5.09	17	-1
Belgium	19	5.07	18	-1
Luxembourg	20	5.05	21	1
Saudi Arabia	21	4.95	28	7
Korea, Rep.	22	4.93	19	-3
New Zealand	23	4.92	20	-3
Israel	24	4.91	27	3
United Arab Emirates	25	4.89	23	-2
Malaysia	26	4.88	24	-2
China	27	4.84	29	2
Brunei Darussalam	28	4.75	32	4
Ireland	29	4.74	25	-4
Chile	30	4.69	30	0
Iceland	31	4.68	26	-5
Tunisia	32	4.65	40	8
Estonia	33	4.61	35	2
Oman	34	4.61	41	7
Kuwait	35	4.59	39	4

Czech Republic	36	4.57	31	-5
Bahrain	37	4.54	38	1
Thailand	38	4.51	36	-2
Poland	39	4.51	46	7
Cyprus	40	4.50	34	-6
Puerto Rico	41	4.49	42	1
Spain	42	4.49	33	-9
Barbados	43	4.45	44	1
Indonesia	44	4.43	54	10
Slovenia	45	4.42	37	-8
Portugal	46	4.38	43	-3
Lithuania	47	4.38	53	6
Italy	48	4.37	48	0
Montenegro	49	4.36	62	13
Malta	50	4.34	52	2
India	51	4.33	49	-2
Hungary	52	4.33	58	6
Panama	53	4.33	59	6

South Africa	54	4.32	45	-9
Mauritius	55	4.32	57	2
Costa Rica	56	4.31	55	-1
Azerbaijan	57	4.29	51	-6
Brazil	58	4.28	56	-2
Vietnam	59	4.27	75	16
Slovak Republic	60	4.25	47	-13
Turkey	61	4.25	61	0
Sri Lanka	62	4.25	79	17
Russian Federation	63	4.24	63	0
Uruguay	64	4.23	65	1
Jordan	65	4.21	50	-15
Mexico	66	4.19	60	-6
Romania	67	4.16	64	-3
Colombia	68	4.14	69	1
Iran	69	4.14	n/a	n/a
Latvia	70	4.14	68	-2
Bulgaria	71	4.13	76	5

Kazakhstan	72	4.12	67	-5
Peru	73	4.11	78	5
Namibia	74	4.09	74	0
Morocco	75	4.08	73	-2
Botswana	76	4.05	66	-10
Croatia	77	4.04	72	-5
Guatemala	78	4.04	80	2
Macedonia, FYR	79	4.02	84	5
Rwanda	80	4.00	n/a	n/a
Egypt	81	4.00	70	-11
El Salvador	82	3.99	77	-5
Greece	83	3.99	71	-12
Trinidad and Tobago	84	3.97	86	2
Philippines	85	3.96	87	2
Algeria	86	3.96	83	-3
Argentina	87	3.95	85	-2
Albania	88	3.94	96	8
Ukraine	89	3.90	82	-7



Gambia, The	90	3.90	81	-9
Honduras	91	3.89	89	-2
Lebanon	92	3.89	n/a	n/a
Georgia	93	3.86	90	-3
Moldova	94	3.86	n/a	n/a
Jamaica	95	3.85	91	-4
Serbia	96	3.84	93	-3
Syria	97	3.79	94	-3
Armenia	98	3.76	97	-1
Mongolia	99	3.75	117	18
Libya	100	3.74	88	-12
Dominican Republic	101	3.72	95	-6
Bosnia and Herzegovina	102	3.70	109	7
Benin	103	3.69	103	0
Senegal	104	3.67	92	-12
Ecuador	105	3.65	105	0
Kenya	106	3.65	98	-8
Bangladesh	107	3.64	106	-1

Bolivia	108	3.64	120	12
Cambodia	109	3.63	110	1
Guyana	110	3.62	104	-6
Cameroon	111	3.58	111	0
Nicaragua	112	3.57	115	3
Tanzania	113	3.56	100	-13
Ghana	114	3.56	114	0
Zambia	115	3.55	112	-3
Tajikistan	116	3.53	122	6
Cape Verde	117	3.51	n/a	n/a
Uganda	118	3.51	108	-10
Ethiopia	119	3.51	118	-1
Paraguay	120	3.49	124	4
Kyrgyz Republic	121	3.49	123	2
Venezuela	122	3.48	113	-9
Pakistan	123	3.48	101	-22
Madagascar	124	3.46	121	-3
Malawi	125	3.45	119	-6

Swaziland	126	3.40	n/a	n/a
Nigeria	127	3.38	99	-28
Lesotho	128	3.36	107	-21
Côte d'Ivoire	129	3.35	116	-13
Nepal	130	3.34	125	-5
Mozambique	131	3.32	129	-2
Mali	132	3.28	130	-2
Timor-Leste	133	3.23	126	-7
Burkina Faso	134	3.20	128	-6
Mauritania	135	3.14	127	-8
Zimbabwe	136	3.03	132	-4
Burundi	137	2.96	133	-4
Angola	138	2.93	n/a	n/a
Chad	139	2.73	131	-8

### Methodology:

The competitiveness rankings are calculated from both publicly available data and the Executive Opinion Survey, a comprehensive annual survey conducted by the World Economic Forum together with its network of Partner Institutes (leading research institutes and business organizations) in the countries covered by the Report.

Highlights according to WEF --

- The [United States](#) falls two places to fourth position, overtaken by [Sweden](#) and [Singapore](#) in the rankings of the World Economic Forum's Global Competitiveness Report 2010-2011
- The People's Republic of [China](#) continues to move up the rankings, with marked improvements in several other Asian countries
- [Germany](#) moves up two places to fifth place, leading the Eurozone countries
- [Switzerland](#) tops the rankings

Source:

World Economic Forum; available at URL: <http://www.weforum.org>

Updated:

2011 using most recent ranking available; reviewed in 2015.

---

## **Taxation**

### Corporate tax

The corporate income tax is applied at a rate of 38.5 percent on profits or 1.1 percent on turnover, depending on whichever is higher taxable income.

### Indirect tax

There is a value added tax (VAT), which is applied to most goods and services at a rate of 19.25 percent/

### Other contributions

- Social security contributions 13.7 percent on gross salaries
- National housing contribution 1.5 percent on gross salaries

- National employment one percent on gross salaries

---

## Stock Market

Cameroon has no liquid securities or bond market; however, ongoing discussions between the six member states of UDEAC/CEMAC indicate a desire to create a regional market. Banque Nationale de Paris has been mandated to draw up a model for a small screen-based securities market for the Central African franc zone.

---

## Partner Links

Partner Links

# **Chapter 5**

## **Social Overview**

---

## People

### Population

With about 20 million inhabitants, Cameroon is one of the most populous African nation state. The overall population density is 33 persons per sq km (87 per sq mi). The urban population has grown at a rate of 4.9 percent annually and now represents 44.7 percent of the total population. The remainder of the population lives in rural environments. More than three-fifths of Cameroonians live in the south where the capital, Yaounde, and the leading commercial city, Douala, are. Although Yaounde is Cameroon's capital, Douala is the largest city, main seaport, and main industrial as well as commercial center.

### Ethnicity

There are an estimated 250 ethnic groups in Cameroon from five large regional-cultural groups. The largest of these broad groups are the Western Highlanders (or Grassfielders), who include the Bamileke, the Bamoun and many other smaller groups in the northwest, whose total is estimated at 38 percent of the population.

The coastal tropical forest groups, including the Bassa, Douala and their smaller subdivisions in the southwest, are estimated to comprise 12 percent of the population.

The southern tropical forest groups, including the Beti, Bulu, Fang (subgroups of the Beti) and the Pygmies (officially called the Bakas), together make up 18 percent of the population.

The other two main groupings are in the northern part of the country. The predominately Islamic people of the northern semi-arid region (the Sahel) and the central highlands, including the Fulani, also known as the Peule, make up 14 percent of the population. Finally, people of the northern desert and the central highlands (a non-Islamic group, the Kirdi and recently turned Islamic groups) represent 18 percent of the population.

Of all Cameroon's ethnic groups, the largest is the Bamileke in the west, then the Fulani/Kirdi in the north, and the Beti, also known as the Pahouin, in the south. The population of Cameroon is

generally divided along these boundaries into these ethnic groups. The Bamileke form a loose agglomeration of Bantu-speaking tribal groups that dominate the cultural and economic life of western Cameroon.

In the north and extreme north are the Fulani Muslim sultanates. They are similar in structure to those sultanates of Northern Nigeria. These Fulani are the descendants of Sudanese Muslims who conquered the region in the 19<sup>th</sup> century. While the Fulani only represent about 14 percent of the Cameroonian population, they nonetheless dominate their non-Muslim neighbors, the Kirdi who number around 18 percent the total population.

The Kirdi are mountain dwellers living in the Mandara range. The term Kirdi, in Fulfulde (the language of the Fulani) means pagan and is used to collectively describe non-Muslim native peoples driven into the highlands by Fulani expansion.

The oldest indigenous people of Cameroon are the so-called Pygmies, the Baka, who still depend on hunting and fishing in the remote southwest forests.

About 14,000 non-Africans, including more than 6,000 French and 1,000 American citizens, reside in Cameroon

## **Religion**

Over 40 percent of the population of Cameroon observes one or more indigenous religions that can be described as a combination of local practices and some teachings of Christianity. Christian movements (40 percent) of various denominations, including independent Christian sects, are common in the southwest of Cameroon and along the coast. This is mainly due to the intensive influence of missionaries in the early 20<sup>th</sup> century. Muslims (20 percent) maintain a significant minority share of the population.

Christian groups are common in the south and the west. The south and east are mainly populated by traditional African religions. Muslims and the indigenous-religion or recently Islamized Kirdi are concentrated in the north. Roman Catholicism is the chief religion around the French-speaking political capital city of Yaounde and the commercial capital Douala. Protestant groups predominate toward the west and the north. Among the Christian denominations in Cameroon are Catholic, Anglican, Protestant, Presbyterians, and Jehovah's Witness. Greek Orthodox, Lebanese and Jewish communities reside in both Yaounde and Douala.

## **Language**



Although there are at least 250 distinct languages and dialects spoken in Cameroon, English and French are the two official languages. This makes Cameroon unique in Africa. Cameroon's bilingualism stems from its colonial past, when both the French and the British ruled separate regions of what is now Cameroon. Both regions were joined right after independence.

While the government supports both languages, French is more dominant, used by approximately 80 percent of the population. It is the recommended language for use in Yaounde and Douala. There are few Anglophones in positions of power in Cameroon. Often, the minority Anglophone community complains of being treated as second-class citizens by the Francophones. Cameroon's English speaking minority is mainly concentrated in the west, near the Nigerian border.

Fang, Bamileke, Ewondo (Beti dialect), Duala and dialects of Duala and other indigenous languages are the primary languages spoken in the south and southwest. Sudanic languages such as Ffulde, Hausa and Arabic and Afroasiatic languages are widely spoken in the north. However, Pidgin English, a Creole that developed over time as a trade language along the littoral from the Slave Coast to the Gold Coast - names dating from the early colonial period - is the most widely spoken tongue in the southwest and the west. Pidgin seems to transcend Anglophone-Francophone boundaries and can be heard in the markets of Douala and Yaounde. Although the government, through the department of education, has sought to curb it in the elementary schools, Pidgin still seems to permeate crossroads communities as a method of communication between the different groups living in Cameroon.

## **Education, Health, and Welfare**

An estimated 68 percent of the population, ages 15 and older, are literate, according to recent estimates. However, this average rate obfuscates gender differences. The male literacy rate is 77 percent while the female rate is 59 percent. Cameroonians have an average life expectancy at birth of 53 years of age (52 years for males, 54 years for females). The infant mortality rate is 64.57 deaths per 1,000 live births. Note that 3.7 percent of GDP is spent on educational expenditures in this country.

The prevalence of HIV/AIDs in Cameroon is high; indeed, the prevalence of HIV/AIDs among the adult population is 5.3 percent. The risk of other infectious diseases in this country is very high. Food or waterborne diseases include bacterial and protozoal diarrhea, hepatitis A and E, and typhoid fever; vectorborne diseases include malaria and yellow fever; water contact diseases include schistosomiasis; respiratory diseases include meningococcal meningitis; animal contact diseases include rabies. Note that 5.6 percent of GDP is spent on health expenditures in this country.

# Human Development

One notable indicator used to measure a country's quality of life is the Human Development Index (HDI), which is compiled annually since 1990 by the United Nations Development Programme (UNDP). The HDI is a composite of several indicators, which measure a country's achievements in three main arenas of human development: longevity, knowledge and education, as well as economic standard of living. In a recent ranking of 169 countries and territories, the HDI placed Cameroon in the medium category, at 131st place.

Note: Although the concept of human development is complicated and cannot be properly captured by values and indices, the HDI, which is calculated and updated annually, offers a wide-ranging assessment of human development in certain countries, not based solely upon traditional economic and financial indicators.

Written by Dr. Denise Youngblood Coleman, Editor in Chief, [www.countrywatch.com](http://www.countrywatch.com); see Bibliography for list of research sources.

---

## Human Development Index

### Human Development Index

#### Human Development Index (Ranked Numerically)

The [Human Development Index](#) (HDI) is used to measure quality of life in countries across the world. The HDI has been compiled since 1990 by the United Nations Development Programme (UNDP) on a regular basis. The HDI is a composite of several indicators, which measure a country's achievements in three main arenas of human development: longevity, education, and economic standard of living. Although the concept of human development is complicated and cannot be properly captured by values and indices, the HDI offers a wide-ranging assessment of human development in certain countries, not based solely upon traditional economic and financial indicators. For more information about the methodology used to calculate the HDI, please see the "Source Materials" in the appendices of this review.

<b>Very High Human</b>	<b>High Human</b>	<b>Medium Human</b>	<b>Low Human</b>
------------------------	-------------------	---------------------	------------------

Development	Development	Development	Development
1. Norway	43. Bahamas	86. Fiji	128. Kenya
2. Australia	44. Lithuania	87. Turkmenistan	129. Bangladesh
3. New Zealand	45. Chile	88. Dominican Republic	130. Ghana
4. United States	46. Argentina	89. China	131. Cameroon
5. Ireland	47. Kuwait	90. El Salvador	132. Myanmar (Burma)
6. Liechtenstein	48. Latvia	91. Sri Lanka	133. Yemen
7. Netherlands	49. Montenegro	92. Thailand	134. Benin
8. Canada	50. Romania	93. Gabon	135. Madagascar
9. Sweden	51. Croatia	94. Suriname	136. Mauritania
10. Germany	52. Uruguay	95. Bolivia	137. Papua New Guinea
11. Japan	53. Libya	96. Paraguay	138. Nepal
12. South Korea	54. Panama	97. Philippines	139. Togo
13. Switzerland	55. Saudi Arabia	98. Botswana	140. Comoros
14. France	56. Mexico	99. Moldova	141. Lesotho
15. Israel	57. Malaysia	100. Mongolia	142. Nigeria
16. Finland	58. Bulgaria	101. Egypt	143. Uganda

17. Iceland	59. Trinidad and Tobago	102. Uzbekistan	144. Senegal
18. Belgium	60. Serbia	103. Micronesia	145. Haiti
19. Denmark	61. Belarus	104. Guyana	146. Angola
20. Spain	62. Costa Rica	105. Namibia	147. Djibouti
21. Hong King	63. Peru	106. Honduras	148. Tanzania
22. Greece	64. Albania	107. Maldives	149. Cote d'Ivoire
23. Italy	65. Russian Federation	108. Indonesia	150. Zambia
24. Luxembourg	66. Kazakhstan	109. Kyrgyzstan	151. Gambia
25. Austria	67. Azerbaijan	110. South Africa	152. Rwanda
26. United Kingdom	68. Bosnia and Herzegovina	111. Syria	153. Malawi
27. Singapore	69. Ukraine	112. Tajikistan	154. Sudan
28. Czech Republic	70. Iran	113. Vietnam	155. Afghanistan
29. Slovenia	71. The former Yugoslav Republic of Macedonia	114. Morocco	156. Guinea
30. Andorra	72. Mauritius	115. Nicaragua	157. Ethiopia
31. Slovakia	73. Brazil	116. Guatemala	158. Sierra Leone
			159. Central

32. United Arab Emirates	74. Georgia	117. Equatorial Guinea	African Republic
33. Malta	75. Venezuela	118. Cape Verde	160. Mali
34. Estonia	76. Armenia	119. India	161. Burkina Faso
35. Cyprus	77. Ecuador	120. East Timor	162. Liberia
36. Hungary	78. Belize	121. Swaziland	163. Chad
37. Brunei	79. Colombia	122. Laos	164. Guinea-Bissau
38. Qatar	80. Jamaica	123. Solomon Islands	165. Mozambique
39. Bahrain	81. Tunisia	124. Cambodia	166. Burundi
40. Portugal	82. Jordan	125. Pakistan	167. Niger
41. Poland	83. Turkey	126. Congo RC	168. Congo DRC
42. Barbados	84. Algeria	127. Sao Tome and Principe	169. Zimbabwe
	85. Tonga		

### Methodology:

For more information about the methodology used to calculate the HDI, please see the "Source Materials" in the appendices of this Country Review.

Reference:

As published in United Nations Development Programme's Human Development Report 2010.

Source:

United Nations Development Programme's [Human Development Index](http://hdr.undp.org/en/statistics/) available at URL: <http://hdr.undp.org/en/statistics/>

Updated:

Uploaded in 2011 using ranking available; reviewed in 2015

---

## Life Satisfaction Index

### Life Satisfaction Index

#### Life Satisfaction Index

Created by Adrian G. White, an Analytic Social Psychologist at the University of Leicester, the "Satisfaction with Life Index" measures subjective life satisfaction across various countries. The data was taken from a metastudy (see below for source) and associates the notion of subjective happiness or life satisfaction with qualitative parameters such as health, wealth, and access to basic education. This assessment serves as an alternative to other measures of happiness that tend to rely on traditional and quantitative measures of policy on quality of life, such as GNP and GDP. The methodology involved the responses of 80,000 people across the globe.

Rank	Country	Score
1	Denmark	273.4
2	Switzerland	273.33
3	Austria	260

4	Iceland	260
5	The Bahamas	256.67
6	Finland	256.67
7	Sweden	256.67
8	Iran	253.33
9	Brunei	253.33
10	Canada	253.33
11	Ireland	253.33
12	Luxembourg	253.33
13	Costa Rica	250
14	Malta	250
15	Netherlands	250
16	Antiguaand Barbuda	246.67
17	Malaysia	246.67
18	New Zealand	246.67
19	Norway	246.67
20	Seychelles	246.67
21	Saint Kitts and Nevis	246.67

22	United Arab Emirates	246.67
23	United States	246.67
24	Vanuatu	246.67
25	Venezuela	246.67
26	Australia	243.33
27	Barbados	243.33
28	Belgium	243.33
29	Dominica	243.33
30	Oman	243.33
31	Saudi Arabia	243.33
32	Suriname	243.33
33	Bahrain	240
34	Colombia	240
35	Germany	240
36	Guyana	240
37	Honduras	240
38	Kuwait	240
39	Panama	240



40	Saint Vincent and the Grenadines	240
41	United Kingdom	236.67
42	Dominican Republic	233.33
43	Guatemala	233.33
44	Jamaica	233.33
45	Qatar	233.33
46	Spain	233.33
47	Saint Lucia	233.33
48	Belize	230
49	Cyprus	230
50	Italy	230
51	Mexico	230
52	Samoa	230
53	Singapore	230
54	Solomon Islands	230
55	Trinidad and Tobago	230
56	Argentina	226.67
57	Fiji	223.33

58	Israel	223.33
59	Mongolia	223.33
60	São Tomé and Príncipe	223.33
61	El Salvador	220
62	France	220
63	Hong Kong	220
64	Indonesia	220
65	Kyrgyzstan	220
66	Maldives	220
67	Slovenia	220
68	Taiwan	220
69	East Timor	220
70	Tonga	220
71	Chile	216.67
72	Grenada	216.67
73	Mauritius	216.67
74	Namibia	216.67
75	Paraguay	216.67

76	Thailand	216.67
77	Czech Republic	213.33
78	Philippines	213.33
79	Tunisia	213.33
80	Uzbekistan	213.33
81	Brazil	210
82	China	210
83	Cuba	210
84	Greece	210
85	Nicaragua	210
86	Papua New Guinea	210
87	Uruguay	210
88	Gabon	206.67
89	Ghana	206.67
90	Japan	206.67
91	Yemen	206.67
92	Portugal	203.33
93	Sri Lanka	203.33

94	Tajikistan	203.33
95	Vietnam	203.33
96	Bhutan	200
97	Comoros	196.67
98	Croatia	196.67
99	Poland	196.67
100	Cape Verde	193.33
101	Kazakhstan	193.33
102	South Korea	193.33
103	Madagascar	193.33
104	Bangladesh	190
105	Republic of the Congo	190
106	The Gambia	190
107	Hungary	190
108	Libya	190
109	South Africa	190
110	Cambodia	186.67
111	Ecuador	186.67

112	Kenya	186.67
113	Lebanon	186.67
114	Morocco	186.67
115	Peru	186.67
116	Senegal	186.67
117	Bolivia	183.33
118	Haiti	183.33
119	Nepal	183.33
120	Nigeria	183.33
121	Tanzania	183.33
122	Benin	180
123	Botswana	180
124	Guinea-Bissau	180
125	India	180
126	Laos	180
127	Mozambique	180
128	Palestinian Authority	180
129	Slovakia	180

130	Myanmar	176.67
131	Mali	176.67
132	Mauritania	176.67
133	Turkey	176.67
134	Algeria	173.33
135	Equatorial Guinea	173.33
136	Romania	173.33
137	Bosnia and Herzegovina	170
138	Cameroon	170
139	Estonia	170
140	Guinea	170
141	Jordan	170
142	Syria	170
143	Sierra Leone	166.67
144	Azerbaijan	163.33
145	Central African Republic	163.33
146	Republic of Macedonia	163.33
147	Togo	163.33

148	Zambia	163.33
149	Angola	160
150	Djibouti	160
151	Egypt	160
152	Burkina Faso	156.67
153	Ethiopia	156.67
154	Latvia	156.67
155	Lithuania	156.67
156	Uganda	156.67
157	Albania	153.33
158	Malawi	153.33
159	Chad	150
160	Côte d'Ivoire	150
161	Niger	150
162	Eritrea	146.67
163	Rwanda	146.67
164	Bulgaria	143.33
165	Lesotho	143.33

166	Pakistan	143.33
167	Russia	143.33
168	Swaziland	140
169	Georgia	136.67
170	Belarus	133.33
171	Turkmenistan	133.33
172	Armenia	123.33
173	Sudan	120
174	Ukraine	120
175	Moldova	116.67
176	Democratic Republic of the Congo	110
177	Zimbabwe	110
178	Burundi	100

### Commentary:

European countries, such as [Denmark](#), [Iceland](#), [Finland](#), [Sweden](#), [Switzerland](#), [Austria](#) resided at the top of the ranking with highest levels of self-reported life satisfaction. Conversely, European countries such as [Latvia](#), [Lithuania](#), [Moldova](#), [Belarus](#) and [Ukraine](#) ranked low on the index. African countries such as Democratic Republic of Congo, [Zimbabwe](#) and [Burundi](#) found themselves at the very bottom of the ranking, and indeed, very few African countries could be found in the top 100. [Japan](#) was at the mid-way point in the ranking, however, other Asian countries such as [Brunei](#) and [Malaysia](#) were in the top tier, while [Pakistan](#) was close to the bottom



with a low level of self-identified life satisfaction. As a region, the Middle East presented a mixed bag with Saudi Arabians reporting healthy levels of life satisfaction and Egyptians near the bottom of the ranking. As a region, Caribbean countries were ranked highly, consistently demonstrating high levels of life satisfaction. The findings showed that health was the most crucial determining factor in life satisfaction, followed by prosperity and education.

Source:

White, A. (2007). A Global Projection of Subjective Well-being: A Challenge To Positive Psychology? *Psychiatry* 56, 17-20. The data was extracted from a meta-analysis by Marks, Abdallah, Simms & Thompson (2006).

Uploaded:

Based on study noted above in "Source" ; reviewed in 2015

---

## Happy Planet Index

### Happy Planet Index

The Happy Planet Index (HPI) is used to measure human well-being in conjunction with environmental impact. The HPI has been compiled since 2006 by the New Economics Foundation. The index is a composite of several indicators including subjective life satisfaction, life expectancy at birth, and ecological footprint per capita.

As noted by NEFA, the HPI "reveals the ecological efficiency with which human well-being is delivered." Indeed, the index combines environmental impact with human well-being to measure the environmental efficiency with which, country by country, people live long and happy lives. The countries ranked highest by the HPI are not necessarily the ones with the happiest people overall, but the ones that allow their citizens to live long and fulfilling lives, without negatively impacting this opportunity for either future generations or citizens of other countries. Accordingly, a country like the [United States](#) will rank low on this list due to its large per capital ecological footprint, which uses more than its fair share of resources, and will likely cause planetary damage.

It should be noted that the HPI was designed to be a counterpoint to other well-established indices of countries' development, such as Gross Domestic Product (GDP), which measures overall

national wealth and economic development, but often obfuscates the realities of countries with stark variances between the rich and the poor. Moreover, the objective of most of the world's people is not to be wealthy but to be happy. The HPI also differs from the [Human Development Index](#) (HDI), which measures quality of life but not ecology, since it [HPI] also includes sustainability as a key indicator.

Rank	Country	HPI
1	Costa Rica	76.1
2	Dominican Republic	71.8
3	Jamaica	70.1
4	Guatemala	68.4
5	Vietnam	66.5
6	Colombia	66.1
7	Cuba	65.7
8	El Salvador	61.5
9	Brazil	61.0
10	Honduras	61.0
11	Nicaragua	60.5
12	Egypt	60.3
13	Saudi Arabia	59.7

14	Philippines	59.0
15	Argentina	59.0
16	Indonesia	58.9
17	Bhutan	58.5
18	Panama	57.4
19	Laos	57.3
20	China	57.1
21	Morocco	56.8
22	Sri Lanka	56.5
23	Mexico	55.6
24	Pakistan	55.6
25	Ecuador	55.5
26	Jordan	54.6
27	Belize	54.5
28	Peru	54.4
29	Tunisia	54.3
30	Trinidad and Tobago	54.2
31	Bangladesh	54.1

32	Moldova	54.1
33	Malaysia	54.0
34	Tajikistan	53.5
35	India	53.0
36	Venezuela	52.5
37	Nepal	51.9
38	Syria	51.3
39	Burma	51.2
40	Algeria	51.2
41	Thailand	50.9
42	Haiti	50.8
43	Netherlands	50.6
44	Malta	50.4
45	Uzbekistan	50.1
46	Chile	49.7
47	Bolivia	49.3
48	Armenia	48.3
49	Singapore	48.2

50	Yemen	48.1
51	Germany	48.1
52	Switzerland	48.1
53	Sweden	48.0
54	Albania	47.9
55	Paraguay	47.8
56	Palestinian Authority	47.7
57	Austria	47.7
58	Serbia	47.6
59	Finland	47.2
60	Croatia	47.2
61	Kyrgyzstan	47.1
62	Cyprus	46.2
63	Guyana	45.6
64	Belgium	45.4
65	Bosnia and Herzegovina	45.0
66	Slovenia	44.5
67	Israel	44.5

68	South Korea	44.4
69	Italy	44.0
70	Romania	43.9
71	France	43.9
72	Georgia	43.6
73	Slovakia	43.5
74	United Kingdom	43.3
75	Japan	43.3
76	Spain	43.2
77	Poland	42.8
78	Ireland	42.6
79	Iraq	42.6
80	Cambodia	42.3
81	Iran	42.1
82	Bulgaria	42.0
83	Turkey	41.7
84	Hong Kong	41.6
85	Azerbaijan	41.2

86	Lithuania	40.9
87	Djibouti	40.4
88	Norway	40.4
89	Canada	39.4
90	Hungary	38.9
91	Kazakhstan	38.5
92	Czech Republic	38.3
93	Mauritania	38.2
94	Iceland	38.1
95	Ukraine	38.1
96	Senegal	38.0
97	Greece	37.6
98	Portugal	37.5
99	Uruguay	37.2
100	Ghana	37.1
101	Latvia	36.7
102	Australia	36.6
103	New Zealand	36.2

104	Belarus	35.7
105	Denmark	35.5
106	Mongolia	35.0
107	Malawi	34.5
108	Russia	34.5
109	Chad	34.3
110	Lebanon	33.6
111	Macedonia	32.7
112	Republic of the Congo	32.4
113	Madagascar	31.5
114	United States	30.7
115	Nigeria	30.3
116	Guinea	30.3
117	Uganda	30.2
118	South Africa	29.7
119	Rwanda	29.6
120	Democratic Republic of the Congo	29.0
121	Sudan	28.5



122	Luxembourg	28.5
123	United Arab Emirates	28.2
124	Ethiopia	28.1
125	Kenya	27.8
126	Cameroon	27.2
127	Zambia	27.2
128	Kuwait	27.0
129	Niger	26.9
130	Angola	26.8
131	Estonia	26.4
132	Mali	25.8
133	Mozambique	24.6
134	Benin	24.6
135	Togo	23.3
136	Sierra Leone	23.1
137	Central African Republic	22.9
138	Burkina Faso	22.4
139	Burundi	21.8

140	Namibia	21.1
141	Botswana	20.9
142	Tanzania	17.8
143	Zimbabwe	16.6

**Source:** This material is derived from the Happy Planet Index issued by the New Economics Foundation (NEF).

**Methodology:** The methodology for the calculations can be found at URL: <http://www.happyplanetindex.org/>

---

## Status of Women

### Gender Related Development Index (GDI) Rank:

113th out of 140

### Gender Empowerment Measure (GEM) Rank:

Not Ranked

### Female Population:

8.3 million

### Female Life Expectancy at birth:

54 years

**Total Fertility Rate:**

5.0

**Maternal Mortality Ratio (2000):**

730

**Total Number of Women Living with HIV/AIDS:**

260,000-310,000

**Ever Married Women, Ages 15-19 (%):**

36%

**Mean Age at Time of Marriage:**

20

**Contraceptive Use Among Married Women, Any Method (%):**

26%

**Female Adult Literacy Rate:**

59%

**Combined Female Gross enrollment ratio for Primary, Secondary and Tertiary schools:**

50%

**Female-Headed Households (%):**

22%

**Economically Active Females (%):**

49.7%

**Female Contributing Family Workers (%):**

N/A

**Female Estimated Earned Income:**

\$1,310

**Seats in Parliament held by women (%):**

*Lower or Single House:* 8.9%

*Upper House or Senate:* N/A

**Year Women Received the Right to Vote:**

1946

**Year Women Received the Right to Stand for Election:**

1946

\*The Gender Development Index (GDI) is a composite index which measures the average achievement in a country. While very similar to the Human Development Index in its use of the same variables, the GDI adjusts the average achievement of each country in terms of life expectancy, enrollment in schools, income, and literacy in accordance to the disparities between males and females.

\*The Gender Empowerment Measure (GEM) is a composite index measuring gender inequality in three of the basic dimensions of empowerment; economic participation and decision-making, political participation and decision-making, and power over economic resources.

\*Total Fertility Rate (TFR) is defined as the average number of babies born to women during their reproductive years. A TFR of 2.1 is considered the replacement rate; once a TFR of a population reaches 2.1 the population will remain stable assuming no immigration or emigration takes place. When the TFR is greater than 2.1 a population will increase and when it is less than 2.1 a population will eventually decrease, although due to the age structure of a population it will take years before a low TFR is translated into lower population.

\*Maternal Mortality Rate is the number of deaths to women per 100,000 live births that resulted from conditions related to pregnancy and or delivery related complications.

\*Economically Active Females are the share of the female population, ages 15 and above, whom supply, or are able to supply, labor for the production of goods and services.

\*Female Contributing Family Workers are those females who work without pay in an economic enterprise operated by a relative living in the same household.

\*Estimated Earned Income is measured according to Purchasing Power Parity (PPP) in US dollars.

---

## Global Gender Gap Index

### Global Gender Gap Index

#### Editor's Note:

The Global Gender Gap Index by the World Economic Forum ranks most of the world's countries in terms of the division of resources and opportunities among males and females. Specifically, the ranking assesses the gender inequality gap in these four arenas:

1. Economic participation and opportunity (salaries and high skilled employment participation levels)
2. Educational attainment (access to basic and higher level education)
3. Political empowerment (representation in decision-making structures)
4. Health and survival (life expectancy and sex ratio)

	2010 rank	2010 score	2010 rank among 2009 countries	2009 rank	2009 score	2008 rank	2008 score	2007 rank
Country								
Iceland	1	0.8496	1	1	0.8276	4	0.7999	4
Norway	2	0.8404	2	3	0.8227	1	0.8239	2

Finland	3	0.8260	3	2	0.8252	2	0.8195	3
Sweden	4	0.8024	4	4	0.8139	3	0.8139	1
New Zealand	5	0.7808	5	5	0.7880	5	0.7859	5
Ireland	6	0.7773	6	8	0.7597	8	0.7518	9
Denmark	7	0.7719	7	7	0.7628	7	0.7538	8
Lesotho	8	0.7678	8	10	0.7495	16	0.7320	26
Philippines	9	0.7654	9	9	0.7579	6	0.7568	6
Switzerland	10	0.7562	10	13	0.7426	14	0.7360	40
Spain	11	0.7554	11	17	0.7345	17	0.7281	10
South Africa	12	0.7535	12	6	0.7709	22	0.7232	20
Germany	13	0.7530	13	12	0.7449	11	0.7394	7
Belgium	14	0.7509	14	33	0.7165	28	0.7163	19
United Kingdom	15	0.7460	15	15	0.7402	13	0.7366	11
Sri Lanka	16	0.7458	16	16	0.7402	12	0.7371	15
Netherlands	17	0.7444	17	11	0.7490	9	0.7399	12
Latvia	18	0.7429	18	14	0.7416	10	0.7397	13
United States	19	0.7411	19	31	0.7173	27	0.7179	31

Canada	20	0.7372	20	25	0.7196	31	0.7136	18
Trinidad and Tobago	21	0.7353	21	19	0.7298	19	0.7245	46
Mozambique	22	0.7329	22	26	0.7195	18	0.7266	43
Australia	23	0.7271	23	20	0.7282	21	0.7241	17
Cuba	24	0.7253	24	29	0.7176	25	0.7195	22
Namibia	25	0.7238	25	32	0.7167	30	0.7141	29
Luxembourg	26	0.7231	26	63	0.6889	66	0.6802	58
Mongolia	27	0.7194	27	22	0.7221	40	0.7049	62
Costa Rica	28	0.7194	28	27	0.7180	32	0.7111	28
Argentina	29	0.7187	29	24	0.7211	24	0.7209	33
Nicaragua	30	0.7176	30	49	0.7002	71	0.6747	90
Barbados	31	0.7176	31	21	0.7236	26	0.7188	n/a
Portugal	32	0.7171	32	46	0.7013	39	0.7051	37
Uganda	33	0.7169	33	40	0.7067	43	0.6981	50
Moldova	34	0.7160	34	36	0.7104	20	0.7244	21
Lithuania	35	0.7132	35	30	0.7175	23	0.7222	14
Bahamas	36	0.7128	36	28	0.7179	n/a	n/a	n/a
Austria	37	0.7091	37	42	0.7031	29	0.7153	27

Guyana	38	0.7090	38	35	0.7108	n/a	n/a	n/a
Panama	39	0.7072	39	43	0.7024	34	0.7095	38
Ecuador	40	0.7072	40	23	0.7220	35	0.7091	44
Kazakhstan	41	0.7055	41	47	0.7013	45	0.6976	32
Slovenia	42	0.7047	42	52	0.6982	51	0.6937	49
Poland	43	0.7037	43	50	0.6998	49	0.6951	60
Jamaica	44	0.7037	44	48	0.7013	44	0.6980	39
Russian Federation	45	0.7036	45	51	0.6987	42	0.6994	45
France	46	0.7025	46	18	0.7331	15	0.7341	51
Estonia	47	0.7018	47	37	0.7094	37	0.7076	30
Chile	48	0.7013	48	64	0.6884	65	0.6818	86
Macedonia, FYR	49	0.6996	49	53	0.6950	53	0.6914	35
Bulgaria	50	0.6983	50	38	0.7072	36	0.7077	25
Kyrgyz Republic	51	0.6973	51	41	0.7058	41	0.7045	70
Israel	52	0.6957	52	45	0.7019	56	0.6900	36
Croatia	53	0.6939	53	54	0.6944	46	0.6967	16
Honduras	54	0.6927	54	62	0.6893	47	0.6960	68



Colombia	55	0.6927	55	56	0.6939	50	0.6944	24
Singapore	56	0.6914	56	84	0.6664	84	0.6625	77
Thailand	57	0.6910	57	59	0.6907	52	0.6917	52
Greece	58	0.6908	58	85	0.6662	75	0.6727	72
Uruguay	59	0.6897	59	57	0.6936	54	0.6907	78
Peru	60	0.6895	60	44	0.7024	48	0.6959	75
China	61	0.6881	61	60	0.6907	57	0.6878	73
Botswana	62	0.6876	62	39	0.7071	63	0.6839	53
Ukraine	63	0.6869	63	61	0.6896	62	0.6856	57
Venezuela	64	0.6863	64	69	0.6839	59	0.6875	55
Czech Republic	65	0.6850	65	74	0.6789	69	0.6770	64
Tanzania	66	0.6829	66	73	0.6797	38	0.7068	34
Romania	67	0.6826	67	70	0.6805	70	0.6763	47
Malawi	68	0.6824	68	76	0.6738	81	0.6664	87
Paraguay	69	0.6804	69	66	0.6868	100	0.6379	69
Ghana	70	0.6782	70	80	0.6704	77	0.6679	63
Slovak Republic	71	0.6778	71	68	0.6845	64	0.6824	54

Vietnam	72	0.6776	72	71	0.6802	68	0.6778	42
Dominican Republic	73	0.6774	73	67	0.6859	72	0.6744	65
Italy	74	0.6765	74	72	0.6798	67	0.6788	84
Gambia, The	75	0.6762	75	75	0.6752	85	0.6622	95
Bolivia	76	0.6751	76	82	0.6693	80	0.6667	80
Brueni Darussalem	77	0.6748	77	94	0.6524	99	0.6392	n/a
Albania	78	0.6726	78	91	0.6601	87	0.6591	66
Hungary	79	0.6720	79	65	0.6879	60	0.6867	61
Madagascar	80	0.6713	80	77	0.6732	74	0.6736	89
Angola	81	0.6712	81	106	0.6353	114	0.6032	110
Bangladesh	82	0.6702	82	93	0.6526	90	0.6531	100
Malta	83	0.6695	83	88	0.6635	83	0.6634	76
Armenia	84	0.6669	84	90	0.6619	78	0.6677	71
Brazil	85	0.6655	85	81	0.6695	73	0.6737	74
Cyprus	86	0.6642	86	79	0.6706	76	0.6694	82
Indonesia	87	0.6615	87	92	0.6580	93	0.6473	81
Georgia	88	0.6598	88	83	0.6680	82	0.6654	67

Tajikistan	89	0.6598	89	86	0.6661	89	0.6541	79
El Salvador	90	0.6596	90	55	0.6939	58	0.6875	48
Mexico	91	0.6577	91	98	0.6503	97	0.6441	93
Zimbabwe	92	0.6574	92	95	0.6518	92	0.6485	88
Belize	93	0.6536	93	87	0.6636	86	0.6610	94
Japan	94	0.6524	94	101	0.6447	98	0.6434	91
Mauritius	95	0.6520	95	96	0.6513	95	0.6466	85
Kenya	96	0.6499	96	97	0.6512	88	0.6547	83
Cambodia	97	0.6482	97	104	0.6410	94	0.6469	98
Malaysia	98	0.6479	98	100	0.6467	96	0.6442	92
Maldives	99	0.6452	99	99	0.6482	91	0.6501	99
Azerbaijan	100	0.6446	100	89	0.6626	61	0.6856	59
Senegal	101	0.6414	101	102	0.6427	n/a	n/a	n/a
Suriname	102	0.6407	102	78	0.6726	79	0.6674	56
United Arab Emirates	103	0.6397	103	112	0.6198	105	0.6220	105
Korea, Rep.	104	0.6342	104	115	0.6146	108	0.6154	97
Kuwait	105	0.6318	105	105	0.6356	101	0.6358	96
Zambia	106	0.6293	106	107	0.6310	106	0.6205	101

Tunisia	107	0.6266	107	109	0.6233	103	0.6295	102
Fiji	108	0.6256	108	103	0.6414	n/a	n/a	n/a
Guatemala	109	0.6238	109	111	0.6209	112	0.6072	106
Bahrain	110	0.6217	110	116	0.6136	121	0.5927	115
Burkina Faso	111	0.6162	111	120	0.6081	115	0.6029	117
India	112	0.6155	112	114	0.6151	113	0.6060	114
Mauritania	113	0.6152	113	119	0.6103	110	0.6117	111
Cameroon	114	0.6110	114	118	0.6108	117	0.6017	116
Nepal	115	0.6084	115	110	0.6213	120	0.5942	125
Lebanon*	116	0.6084	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a
Qatar	117	0.6059	116	125	0.5907	119	0.5948	109
Nigeria	118	0.6055	117	108	0.6280	102	0.6339	107
Algeria	119	0.6052	118	117	0.6119	111	0.6111	108
Jordan	120	0.6048	119	113	0.6182	104	0.6275	104
Ethiopia	121	0.6019	120	122	0.5948	122	0.5867	113
Oman	122	0.5950	121	123	0.5938	118	<a href="#">0.5960</a>	119
Iran	123	0.5933	122	128	0.5839	116	0.6021	118

Syria	124	0.5926	123	121	0.6072	107	0.6181	103
Egypt	125	0.5899	124	126	0.5862	124	0.5832	120
Turkey	126	0.5876	125	129	0.5828	123	0.5853	121
Morocco	127	0.5767	126	124	0.5926	125	0.5757	122
Benin	128	0.5719	127	131	0.5643	126	0.5582	123
Saudi Arabia	129	0.5713	128	130	0.5651	128	0.5537	124
Côte d'Ivoire*	130	0.5691	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a
Mali	131	0.5680	129	127	0.5860	109	0.6117	112
Pakistan	132	0.5465	130	132	0.5458	127	0.5549	126
Chad	133	0.5330	131	133	0.5417	129	0.5290	127
Yemen	134	0.4603	132	134	0.4609	130	0.4664	128
Belarus	n/a	n/a	n/a	34	0.7141	33	0.7099	23
Uzbekistan	n/a	n/a	n/a	58	0.6913	55	0.6906	41

\*new country 2010

#### Commentary:

According to the report's index, Nordic countries, such as [Iceland](#), [Norway](#), [Finland](#), and [Sweden](#)

have continued to dominate at the top of the ranking for gender equality. Meanwhile, [France](#) has seen a notable decline in the ranking, largely as a result of decreased number of women holding ministerial portfolios in that country. In the Americas, the [United States](#) has risen in the ranking to top the region, predominantly as a result of a decreasing wage gap, as well as higher number of women holding key positions in the current Obama administration. [Canada](#) has continued to remain as one of the top ranking countries of the Americas, followed by the small Caribbean island nation of Trinidad and Tobago, which has the distinction of being among the top three countries of the Americans in the realm of gender equality. [Lesotho](#) and South African ranked highly in the index, leading not only among African countries but also in global context. Despite [Lesotho](#) still lagging in the area of life expectancy, its high ranking was attributed to high levels of female participation in the labor force and female literacy. The [Philippines](#) and [Sri Lanka](#) were the top ranking countries for gender equality for Asia, ranking highly also in global context. The [Philippines](#) has continued to show strong performance in all strong performance on all four dimensions (detailed above) of the index. Finally, in the Arab world, the [United Arab Emirates](#) held the highest-rank within that region of the world; however, its placement near the bottom of the global list highlights the fact that Arab countries are generally poor performers when it comes to the matter of gender equality in global scope.

Source:

This data is derived from the latest edition of The Global Gender Gap Report by the World Economic Forum.

Available at URL:

<http://www.weforum.org/en/Communities/Women%20Leaders%20and%20Gender%20Parity/Gende>

Updated:

Based on latest available data as set forth in chart; reviewed in 2014

---

## Culture and Arts

---

## Etiquette

### Cultural Dos and Taboos

1. Both men and women will shake hands when greeting each other. However, if the people are Muslim, women and men may refrain from handshaking.
2. Conversations should always begin with inquiries after both party's family and health. Try not to initiate political discussions.
3. The traditional diner involves men eating first, women next and then children.
4. As it will be very important for the host to be generous and hospitable, so is it important for the guest to show appreciation. When presented with food or drink that you do not want to eat, be delicate in your refusal, so as not to offend. Explain your refusal is determined by medical or cultural reasons. Ask for a substitution. If the host offers you beer and you do not drink alcohol, ask for a soda. Never just flat out refuse something.
5. Dinner guests are expected to stay after dinner and converse with the host and other guests of a few hours.

---

## Travel Information

**Please Note: This is a generalized travel guide and it is intended to coalesce several resources, which a traveler might find useful, regardless of a particular destination. As such, it does not include travel warnings for specific "hot spot" destinations.**

**For travel alerts and warnings, please see the United States Department of State's listings available at URL:**

**<http://travel.state.gov/content/passports/english/alertswarnings.html>**

**Please note that travel to the following countries, based on these warnings, is ill-advised, or should be undertaken with the utmost precaution:**

**Afghanistan, Algeria, Burundi, Cameroon, Central African Republic, Chad, Colombia, Democratic Republic of Congo, Djibouti, El Salvador, Eritrea, Ethiopia, Guinea, Honduras, Iraq, Iran, Lebanon, Liberia, Libya, Mali, Mauritania, Mexico, Nepal, Niger, Nigeria, North Korea, Pakistan, Palestinian Territories of West Bank and Gaza, Philippines areas of Sulu Archipelago, Mindanao, and southern Sulu Sea, Saudi Arabia, Sierra Leone, Somalia, South Sudan, Sudan, Syria, Ukraine, Venezuela, and Yemen.**

## **International Travel Guide**

### **Checklist for Travelers**

1. Take out travel insurance to cover hospital treatment or medical evacuation. Overseas medical costs are expensive to most international travelers, where one's domestic, nationalized or even private health insurance plans will not provide coverage outside one's home country. Learn about "reciprocal insurance plans" that some international health care companies might offer.
2. Make sure that one's travel insurance is appropriate. If one intends to indulge in adventurous activities, such as parasailing, one should be sure that one is fully insured in such cases. Many traditional insurance policies do not provide coverage in cases of extreme circumstances.
3. Take time to learn about one's destination country and culture. Read and learn about the place one is traveling. Also check political, economic and socio-cultural developments at the destination by reading country-specific travel reports and fact sheets noted below.
4. Get the necessary visas for the country (or countries) one intends to visit - but be aware that a visa does not guarantee entry. A number of useful sites regarding visa and other entry requirements are noted below.
5. Keep in regular contact with friends and relatives back at home by phone or email, and be sure to leave a travel itinerary.
6. Protect one's personal information by making copies of one's passport details, insurance policy, travelers checks and credit card numbers. Taking copies of such documents with you, while leaving another collection copies with someone at home is also good practice for travelers. Taking copies of one's passport photograph is also recommended.
7. Stay healthy by taking all possible precautions against illness. Also, be sure to take extra supplies of prescription drugs along for the trip, while also taking time to pack general pharmaceutical supplies, such as aspirin and other such painkillers, bandages, stomach ailment medication, anti-inflammatory medication and anti-bacterial medication.
8. Do not carry illicit drugs. Understand that the punishment for possession or use of illegal drugs in some countries may be capital punishment. Make sure your prescription drugs are legal in the countries you plan to visit.
9. Know the laws of one's destination country and culture; be sure to understand the repercussions of breaking those laws and regulations. Often the transparency and freedoms of the juridical



system at home is not consistent with that of one's destination country. Become aware of these complexities and subtleties before you travel.

**10.** For longer stays in a country, or where the security situation is volatile, one should register one's self and traveling companions at the local embassy or consulate of one's country of citizenship.

**11.** Women should take care to be prepared both culturally and practically for traveling in a different country and culture. One should be sure to take sufficient supplies of personal feminine products and prescription drugs. One should also learn about local cultural standards for women, including norms of dressing. Be aware that it is simply inappropriate and unsafe for women to travel alone in some countries, and take the necessary precautions to avoid risk-filled situations.

**12.** If one is traveling with small children, one should pack extra supplies, make arrangements with the travel carrier for proper seating that would adequately accommodate children, infants or toddlers. Note also that whether one is male or female, traveling with children means that one's hands are thus not free to carry luggage and bags. Be especially aware that this makes one vulnerable to pickpockets, thieves and other sorts of crime.

**13.** Make proper arrangements for accommodations, well in advance of one's arrival at a destination. Some countries have limited accommodation, while others may have culturally distinctive facilities. Learning about these practicalities before one travels will greatly aid the enjoyment of one's trip.

**14.** Travel with different forms of currency and money (cash, traveler's checks and credit cards) in anticipation that venues may not accept one or another form of money. Also, ensuring that one's financial resources are not contained in one location, or by one person (if one is traveling with others) can be a useful measure, in the event that one loses a wallet or purse.

**15.** Find out about transportation in the destination country. In some places, it might be advisable to hire a local driver or taxi guide for safety reasons, while in other countries, enjoying one's travel experience may well be enhanced by renting a vehicle and seeing the local sights and culture independently. Costs may also be prohibitive for either of these choices, so again, prior planning is suggested.

### **Tips for Travelers**

- Always check with your embassy, consulate or other appropriate government institution that provides travel information before traveling.
- Ensure you have adequate medical and travel insurance.
- You must have a valid visa for entry into the country.
- You must have a valid vaccination certificate against Yellow Fever.

- Seek medical advice on the best way to reduce the risk of catching Malaria.
- Leave a copy of your passport and travel plans with someone reliable in your home country.
- Enter next of kin details into the back of your passport.
- Land borders with some neighboring countries can be closed to non-residents.
- Do not travel outside the main towns during the hours of darkness.
- Do not carry drugs; prison conditions are harsh.

Note: This information is directly quoted from the United Kingdom Foreign and Commonwealth Office.

Sources: *United Kingdom Foreign and Commonwealth Office*

### **Business Culture: Information for Business Travelers**

Although Cameroon has the largest private sector in French-speaking Central Africa, its business community does not have a fixed western pattern of behavior. Cameroonians appreciate an opportunity to "get to know" a potential partner before beginning concrete discussions. It is helpful in Cameroon to supplement written communications with as many face-to-face encounters as possible. Adherence to western standards of punctuality is not the norm; patience and persistence are needed to do business in Cameroon. Mitigation of culture and language barriers may be addressed by a visit to your embassy or consulate.

Sources: *United States Department of State Commercial Guides*

### **Online Resources Regarding Entry Requirements and Visas**

Online Resources Regarding Entry Requirements and Visas

Foreign Entry Requirements for Americans from the United States Department of State  
[http://travel.state.gov/travel/cis\\_pa\\_tw/cis/cis\\_1765.html](http://travel.state.gov/travel/cis_pa_tw/cis/cis_1765.html)

Visa Services for Non-Americans from the United States Department of State

[http://travel.state.gov/visa/visa\\_1750.html](http://travel.state.gov/visa/visa_1750.html)

Visa Bulletins from the United States Department of State

[http://travel.state.gov/visa/frvi/bulletin/bulletin\\_1360.html](http://travel.state.gov/visa/frvi/bulletin/bulletin_1360.html)

Visa Waivers from the United States Department of State

[http://travel.state.gov/visa/temp/without/without\\_1990.html](http://travel.state.gov/visa/temp/without/without_1990.html) - new

Passport and Visa Information from the Government of the United Kingdom

<http://www.bia.homeoffice.gov.uk/>

Visa Information from the Government of Australia

<http://www.dfat.gov.au/visas/index.html>

Passport Information from the Government of Australia

<https://www.passports.gov.au/Web/index.aspx>

Passport Information from the Government of Canada

[http://www.voyage.gc.ca/preparation\\_information/passport\\_passeport-eng.asp](http://www.voyage.gc.ca/preparation_information/passport_passeport-eng.asp)

Visa Information from the Government of Canada

[http://www.voyage.gc.ca/preparation\\_information/visas-eng.asp](http://www.voyage.gc.ca/preparation_information/visas-eng.asp)

Online Visa Processing by Immigration Experts by VisaPro

<http://www.visapro.com>

Sources: United States Department of State, United Kingdom Foreign and Commonwealth Office, Government of Australia: Department of Foreign Affairs and Trade, Government of Canada Department of Foreign Affairs and International Trade

#### Useful Online Resources for Travelers

Country-Specific Travel Information from United States

[http://travel.state.gov/travel/cis\\_pa\\_tw/cis/cis\\_1765.html](http://travel.state.gov/travel/cis_pa_tw/cis/cis_1765.html)

Travel Advice by Country from Government of United Kingdom

<http://www.fco.gov.uk/en/travelling-and-living-overseas/travel-advice-by-country/>

General Travel Advice from Government of Australia

<http://www.smartraveller.gov.au/zw-cgi/view/Advice/General>

Travel Bulletins from the Government of Australia

<http://www.smartraveller.gov.au/zw-cgi/view/TravelBulletins/>

Travel Tips from Government of Australia

<http://www.smartraveller.gov.au/tips/index.html>

Travel Checklist by Government of Canada

[http://www.voyage.gc.ca/preparation\\_information/checklist\\_sommaire-eng.asp](http://www.voyage.gc.ca/preparation_information/checklist_sommaire-eng.asp)

Travel Checklist from Government of United Kingdom

<http://www.fco.gov.uk/en/travelling-and-living-overseas/staying-safe/checklist>

Your trip abroad from United States Department of State

[http://travel.state.gov/travel/tips/brochures/brochures\\_1225.html](http://travel.state.gov/travel/tips/brochures/brochures_1225.html)

A safe trip abroad from United States Department of State

[http://travel.state.gov/travel/tips/safety/safety\\_1747.html](http://travel.state.gov/travel/tips/safety/safety_1747.html)

Tips for expatriates abroad from United States Department of State

[http://travel.state.gov/travel/living/residing/residing\\_1235.html](http://travel.state.gov/travel/living/residing/residing_1235.html)

Tips for students from United States Department of State

[http://travel.state.gov/travel/living/studying/studying\\_1238.html](http://travel.state.gov/travel/living/studying/studying_1238.html) <http://travel.state.gov/travel/tips/broc>

Medical information for travelers from United States Department of State

[http://travel.state.gov/travel/tips/health/health\\_1185.html](http://travel.state.gov/travel/tips/health/health_1185.html)

US Customs Travel information

<http://www.customs.gov/xp/cgov/travel/>

Sources: United States Department of State; United States Customs Department, United Kingdom Foreign and Commonwealth Office, Foreign and Commonwealth Office, Government of Australia; Government of Canada: Department of Foreign Affairs and International Trade

Other Practical Online Resources for Travelers

Foreign Language Phrases for Travelers

<http://www.travlang.com/languages/>

<http://www.omniglot.com/language/phrases/index.htm>

#### World Weather Forecasts

<http://www.intellicast.com/>

<http://www.wunderground.com/>

<http://www.worldweather.org/>

#### Worldwide Time Zones, Map, World Clock

<http://www.timeanddate.com/>

<http://www.worldtimezone.com/>

#### International Airport Codes

<http://www.world-airport-codes.com/>

#### International Dialing Codes

<http://www.kropla.com/dialcode.htm>

<http://www.countrycallingcodes.com/>

#### International Phone Guide

<http://www.kropla.com/phones.htm>

#### International Mobile Phone Guide

<http://www.kropla.com/mobilephones.htm>

#### International Internet Café Search Engine

<http://cybercaptive.com/>

#### Global Internet Roaming

<http://www.kropla.com/roaming.htm>

#### World Electric Power Guide

<http://www.kropla.com/electric.htm>

<http://www.kropla.com/electric2.htm>

#### World Television Standards and Codes

<http://www.kropla.com/tv.htm>

#### International Currency Exchange Rates

<http://www.xe.com/ucc/>

#### Banking and Financial Institutions Across the World

<http://www.123world.com/banks/index.html>

International Credit Card or Automated Teller Machine (ATM) Locator

<http://visa.via.infonow.net/locator/global/>

<http://www.mastercard.com/us/personal/en/cardholderservices/atmlocations/index.html>

International Chambers of Commerce

<http://www.123world.com/chambers/index.html>

World Tourism Websites

<http://123world.com/tourism/>

Diplomatic and Consular Information

United States Diplomatic Posts Around the World

<http://www.usembassy.gov/>

United Kingdom Diplomatic Posts Around the World

<http://www.fco.gov.uk/en/about-the-fco/embassies-and-posts/find-an-embassy-overseas/>

Australia's Diplomatic Posts Around the World

<http://www.dfat.gov.au/missions/>

<http://www.dfat.gov.au/embassies.html>

Canada's Embassies and High Commissions

<http://www.international.gc.ca/ciw-cdm/embassies-ambassades.aspx>

Resources for Finding Embassies and other Diplomatic Posts Across the World

<http://www.escapeartist.com/embassy1/embassy1.htm>

Safety and Security

Travel Warnings by Country from Government of Australia

<http://www.smarttraveller.gov.au/zw-cgi/view/Advice/>

Travel Warnings and Alerts from United States Department of State

[http://travel.state.gov/travel/cis\\_pa\\_tw/tw/tw\\_1764.html](http://travel.state.gov/travel/cis_pa_tw/tw/tw_1764.html)

[http://travel.state.gov/travel/cis\\_pa\\_tw/pa/pa\\_1766.html](http://travel.state.gov/travel/cis_pa_tw/pa/pa_1766.html)

Travel Reports and Warnings by Government of Canada

[http://www.voyage.gc.ca/countries\\_pays/menu-eng.asp](http://www.voyage.gc.ca/countries_pays/menu-eng.asp)

[http://www.voyage.gc.ca/countries\\_pays/updates\\_mise-a-jour-eng.asp](http://www.voyage.gc.ca/countries_pays/updates_mise-a-jour-eng.asp)

Travel Warnings from Government of United Kingdom

<http://www.fco.gov.uk/en/travelling-and-living-overseas/travel-advice-by-country/>

<http://www.fco.gov.uk/en/travelling-and-living-overseas/travel-advice-by-country/?action=noTravelAll#noTravelAll>

Sources: United Kingdom Foreign and Commonwealth Office, the United States Department of State, the Government of Canada: Department of Foreign Affairs and International Trade, Government of Australia: Department of Foreign Affairs and Trade

Other Safety and Security Online Resources for Travelers

United States Department of State Information on Terrorism

<http://www.state.gov/s/ct/>

Government of the United Kingdom Resource on the Risk of Terrorism

<http://www.fco.gov.uk/servlet/Front?pagename=OpenMarket/Xcelerate/ShowPage&c=Page&cid=1044011304926>

Government of Canada Terrorism Guide

<http://www.international.gc.ca/crime/terrorism-terrorisme.aspx?lang=eng>

Information on Terrorism by Government of Australia

<http://www.dfat.gov.au/icat/index.html>

FAA Resource on Aviation Safety

<http://www.faasafety.gov/>

In-Flight Safety Information for Air Travel (by British Airways crew trainer, Anna Warman)

<http://www.warman.demon.co.uk/anna/inflight.html>

Hot Spots: Travel Safety and Risk Information

<http://www.airsecurity.com/hotspots/HotSpots.asp>

Information on Human Rights

<http://www.state.gov/g/drl/hr/>

Sources: The United States Department of State, the United States Customs Department, the Government of Canada, the Government of United Kingdom, the Government of Australia, the Federal Aviation Authority, Anna Warman's In-flight Website, Hot Spots Travel and Risk

## Information

---

## Diseases/Health Data

**Please Note: Most of the entry below constitutes a generalized health advisory, which a traveler might find useful, regardless of a particular destination.**

**As a supplement, however, reader will also find below a list of countries flagged with current health notices and alerts issued by the Centers for Disease Control and Prevention (CDC).**

**Please note that travel to the following countries, based on these 3 levels of warnings, is ill-advised, or should be undertaken with the utmost precaution:**

**Level 3 (highest level of concern; avoid non-essential travel) --**

**Guinea - Ebola**

**Liberia - Ebola**

**Nepal - Earthquake zone**

**Sierra Leone - Ebola**

**Level 2 (intermediate level of concern; use utmost caution during travel) --**

**Cameroon - Polio**

**Somalia - Polio**

**Vanuatu - Tropical Cyclone zone**

**Throughout Middle East and Arabia Peninsula - MERS ((Middle East Respiratory Syndrome)**

**Level 1 (standard level of concern; use practical caution during travel) -**



**Australia - Ross River disease**  
**Bosnia-Herzegovina - Measles**  
**Brazil - Dengue Fever**  
**Brazil - Malaria**  
**Brazil - Zika**  
**China - H7N9 Avian flu**  
**Cuba - Cholera**  
**Egypt - H5N1 Bird flu**  
**Ethiopia - Measles**  
**Germany - Measles**  
**Japan - Hand, foot, and mouth disease (HFMD)**  
**Kyrgyzstan - Measles**  
**Malaysia -Dengue Fever**  
**Mexico - Chikungunya**  
**Mexico - Hepatitis A**  
**Nigeria - Meningitis**  
**Philippines - Measles**  
**Scotland - Mumps**  
**Singapore - Hand, foot, and mouth disease (HFMD)**  
**South Korea - MERS ((Middle East Respiratory Syndrome)**  
**Throughout Caribbean - Chikungunya**  
**Throughout Central America - Chikungunya**  
**Throughout South America - Chikungunya**  
**Throughout Pacific Islands - Chikungunya**

**For specific information related to these health notices and alerts please see the CDC's listing available at URL:**  
**<http://wwwnc.cdc.gov/travel/notices>**

### **Health Information for Travelers to Cameroon**

An outbreak of meningitis recently occurred in several central, east, and west African countries, including Ethiopia, Chad, Cameroon, Burkino Faso, and Benin. For more information on this outbreak and recommendations, see the following sites:

Travelers' Health Information on Meningococcal Disease  
(<http://www.cdc.gov/travel/diseases/menin.htm>)

World Health Organization Disease Outbreak News  
(<http://www.who.int/disease-outbreak-news/>)

Also check the Outbreaks (<http://www.cdc.gov/travel/outbreaks.htm>) section for important updates on this region, including information on Meningococcal Meningitis in the Sudan (<http://www.cdc.gov/travel/sudan.htm>) and on Poliomyelitis in Angola([http://www.cdc.gov/travel/polio\\_A.htm](http://www.cdc.gov/travel/polio_A.htm)).

Food and waterborne diseases are the number one cause of illness in travelers. Travelers' diarrhea can be caused by viruses, bacteria, or parasites, which are found throughout Central Africa and can contaminate food or water. Infections may cause diarrhea and vomiting (*E. coli*, *Salmonella*, cholera, and parasites), fever (typhoid fever and toxoplasmosis), or liver damage (hepatitis). Make sure your food and drinking water are safe. (See below.)

Malaria is a preventable infection that can be fatal if left untreated. Prevent infection by taking prescription antimalarial drugs and protecting yourself against mosquito bites (see below). Most travelers to Central Africa should take mefloquine to prevent malaria. A high risk for malaria exists all year in all parts of these countries, including the cities. For more detailed information about the risk in specific locations, see Malaria in Central Africa (<http://www.cdc.gov/travel/regionalmalaria/cafrica.htm>).

A certificate of yellow fever vaccination may be required for entry into certain of these countries. For detailed information, see Comprehensive Yellow Fever Vaccination Requirements (<http://www.cdc.gov/travel/yelfever.htm>).

Dengue, filariasis, leishmaniasis, and onchocerciasis are other diseases carried by insects that also occur in this region. Protecting yourself against insect bites (see below) will help to prevent these diseases.

An outbreak of sleeping sickness (trypanosomiasis) has been reported in southern Sudan. (See below for suggestions to protect yourself against insect bites.)

Schistosomiasis, a parasitic infection, is found in fresh water in this region. Do not swim in fresh water (except in well-chlorinated swimming pools) in Central African countries. (For more information, please see the Swimming Precautions on the Making Travel Safe page at URL <http://www.cdc.gov/travel/safety.htm>.)

Because motor vehicle crashes are a leading cause of injury among travelers, walk and drive defensively. Avoid nighttime travel if possible and always use seat belts.

### **CDC Recommends the Following Vaccines (as Appropriate for Age):**

See your doctor at least 4-6 weeks before your trip to allow time for shots to take effect.

- Hepatitis A or immune globulin (IG).
- Hepatitis B, if you might be exposed to blood (for example, health-care workers), have sexual contact with the local population, stay longer than 6 months, or be exposed through medical treatment.
- Meningococcal (meningitis), if you plan to visit Central African Republic, Chad, and Sudan (see meningitis map at URL <http://www.cdc.gov/travel/meninmap.htm>), from December through June.
- Yellow fever, if you plan to travel anywhere outside cities.
- Rabies, if you might be exposed to wild or domestic animals through your work or recreation.
- Typhoid, particularly if you are visiting developing countries in this region.
- As needed, booster doses for tetanus-diphtheria, measles, and a one-time dose of polio vaccine for adults. Hepatitis B vaccine is now recommended for all infants and for children ages 11-12 years who did not receive the series as infants.

### **To Stay Healthy, Do:**

- Wash hands often with soap and water.
- Drink only bottled or boiled water, or carbonated (bubbly) drinks in cans or bottles. Avoid tap water, fountain drinks, and ice cubes. If this is not possible, make water safer by BOTH filtering through an "absolute 1-micron or less" filter AND adding iodine tablets to the filtered water. "Absolute 1-micron filters" are found in camping/outdoor supply stores.
- Eat only thoroughly cooked food or fruits and vegetables you have peeled yourself. Remember: boil it, cook it, peel it, or forget it.
- Take your malaria prevention medication before, during, and after travel, as directed. (See your doctor for a prescription.)
- Protect yourself from insects by remaining in well-screened areas, using repellents (applied sparingly at 4-hour intervals) and permethrin-impregnated mosquito nets, and wearing long-sleeved shirts and long pants from dusk through dawn.
- To prevent fungal and parasitic infections, keep feet clean and dry, and do not go barefoot.
- Always use latex condoms to reduce the risk of HIV and other sexually transmitted diseases.

### **To Avoid Getting Sick:**

- Don't eat food purchased from street vendors.
- Don't drink beverages with ice.
- Don't eat dairy products unless you know they have been pasteurized.
- Don't share needles with anyone.
- Don't handle animals (especially monkeys, dogs, and cats), to avoid bites and serious diseases (including rabies and plague). (For more information, please see the Animal-Associated Hazards on the Making Travel Safe page.)
- Don't swim in fresh water. Salt water is usually safer. (For more information, please see the Swimming Precautions on the Making Travel Safe page.)

**What You Need To Bring with You:**

- Long-sleeved shirt and long pants to wear whenever possible while outside, to prevent illnesses carried by insects (e.g., malaria, dengue, filariasis, leishmaniasis, and onchocerciasis).
- Insect repellent containing DEET (diethylmethylnolamide), in 30%-35% strength for adults and 6%-10% for children. Unless you are staying in air-conditioned or well-screened housing, purchase a bed net impregnated with the insecticide permethrin. (Bed nets can be purchased in camping or military supply stores.)
- Over-the-counter antidiarrheal medicine to take if you have diarrhea.
- Iodine tablets and portable water filters to purify water if bottled water is not available. See Do's above for more detailed information about water filters.
- Sunblock, sunglasses, hat.
- Prescription medications: make sure you have enough to last during your trip, as well as a copy of the prescription(s).

**After You Return Home:**

If you have visited an area where there is risk for malaria, continue taking your malaria medication weekly for 4 weeks after you leave the area.

If you become ill after your trip-even as long as a year after you return-tell your doctor where you have traveled.

**For More Information:**

Ask your doctor or check CDC web sites for more information about how to protect yourself against diseases that occur in Central Africa, such as:

**For information about diseases-**

Carried by Insects

Dengue, Malaria, Yellow Fever

Carried in Food or Water

Cholera, *Escherichia coli*, diarrhea, Hepatitis A, Schistosomiasis, Typhoid Fever

Person-to-Person Contact

Hepatitis B, HIV/AIDS

For more information about these and other diseases, please check the Diseases

---

(<http://www.cdc.gov/travel/diseases.htm>) section and the Health Topics A - Z (<http://www.cdc.gov/health/diseases.htm>).

*Note:*

*Cameroon is located in the Central Africa health region.*

*Sources:*

*The Center for Disease Control Destinations Website:*

<http://www.cdc.gov/travel/indianrg.htm>

# **Chapter 6**

## **Environmental Overview**

---

## Environmental Issues

### General Overview:

Because of its geographic location and topography, Cameroon 's ecology is very diverse. In accordance with this kind of landscape, Cameroon 's environmental problems are also varied. Drought and its concomitant effects present a host of environmental challenges in the semi-arid regions to the north. The retraction of forest landscape, specifically forest destruction and range degradation, as well as related diminution of the biodiversity within, are the prevalent challenges throughout the rest of the country.

### Current Issues:

- Deforestation
- Overgrazing
- Desertification
- Poaching
- Over-fishing
- Tsetse fly infestation
- Threats to wildlife
- Prevalent waterborne diseases

### Total Greenhouse Gas Emissions (Mtc):

7.2

### Country Rank (GHG output):

91st

### **Natural Hazards:**

-earthquakes as a result of volcanic activity, and direct damage from volcanic flows  
-the "killer lake" phenomenon (In this unusual event, a sudden change in the stratification of lake water causes the instant release of massive amounts of carbon dioxide gas (CO<sub>2</sub>). The CO<sub>2</sub>, while not inherently toxic, displaces all breathable oxygen in the area and thus suffocates all people and animals present. The cause of the phenomenon is poorly understood. It may relate to seismic or volcanic activity, or it may just be the natural behavior of lakes with a certain, critical configuration. A 1984 release from Lake Monoun killed 37 people. In August 1986 a massive CO<sub>2</sub> discharge from Lake Nyos was fatal to about 1,700 Cameroonians.)

---

## **Environmental Policy**

### **Regulation and Jurisdiction:**

The regulation and protection of the environment in Cameroon is under the jurisdiction of the following:

- Ministry of the Environment and Forests
- The Ministry of Agriculture

### **Major Non-Governmental Organizations:**

N/A

### **International Environmental Accords:**

*Party to:*

- Biodiversity
- Climate Change
- Climate Change-Kyoto Protocol
- Desertification
- Endangered Species



- Hazardous Wastes
- Law of the Sea
- Ozone Layer Protection
- Tropical Timber 83
- Tropical Timber 94

*Signed but not ratified:*

- None

*Kyoto Protocol Status (year ratified):*

2002

---

## Greenhouse Gas Ranking

Greenhouse Gas Ranking

### GHG Emissions Rankings

Country Rank	Country
1	United States
2	China

4	Russia
5	Japan
6	India
7	Germany
8	United Kingdom
9	Canada
10	Korea, South
11	Italy
12	Mexico
13	France
14	South Africa
15	Iran
16	Indonesia
17	Australia
18	Spain
19	Brazil
20	Saudi Arabia
21	Ukraine

22	Poland
23	Taiwan
24	Turkey
25	Thailand
26	Netherlands
27	Kazakhstan
28	Malaysia
29	Egypt
30	Venezuela
31	Argentina
32	Uzbekistan
33	Czech Republic
34	Belgium
35	Pakistan
36	Romania
37	Greece
38	United Arab Emirates
39	Algeria

40	Nigeria
41	Austria
42	Iraq
43	Finland
44	Philippines
45	Vietnam
46	Korea, North
47	Israel
48	Portugal
49	Colombia
50	Belarus
51	Kuwait
52	Hungary
53	Chile
54	Denmark
55	Serbia & Montenegro
56	Sweden
57	Syria

58	Libya
59	Bulgaria
60	Singapore
61	Switzerland
62	Ireland
63	Turkmenistan
64	Slovakia
65	Bangladesh
66	Morocco
67	New Zealand
68	Oman
69	Qatar
70	Azerbaijan
71	Norway
72	Peru
73	Cuba
74	Ecuador
75	Trinidad & Tobago

76	Croatia
77	Tunisia
78	Dominican Republic
79	Lebanon
80	Estonia
81	Yemen
82	Jordan
83	Slovenia
84	Bahrain
85	Angola
86	Bosnia & Herzegovina
87	Lithuania
88	Sri Lanka
89	Zimbabwe
90	Bolivia
91	Jamaica
92	Guatemala
93	Luxembourg

94	Myanmar
95	Sudan
96	Kenya
97	Macedonia
98	Mongolia
99	Ghana
100	Cyprus
101	Moldova
102	Latvia
103	El Salvador
104	Brunei
105	Honduras
106	Cameroon
107	Panama
108	Costa Rica
109	Cote d'Ivoire
110	Kyrgyzstan
111	Tajikistan

112	Ethiopia
113	Senegal
114	Uruguay
115	Gabon
116	Albania
117	Nicaragua
118	Botswana
119	Paraguay
120	Tanzania
121	Georgia
122	Armenia
123	Congo, RC
124	Mauritius
125	Nepal
126	Mauritius
127	Nepal
128	Mauritania
129	Malta



130	Papua New Guinea
131	Zambia
132	Suriname
133	Iceland
134	Togo
135	Benin
136	Uganda
137	Bahamas
138	Haiti
139	Congo, DRC
140	Guyana
141	Mozambique
142	Guinea
143	Equatorial Guinea
144	Laos
145	Barbados
146	Niger
147	Fiji

148	Burkina Faso
149	Malawi
150	Swaziland
151	Belize
152	Afghanistan
153	Sierra Leone
154	Eritrea
155	Rwanda
156	Mali
157	Seychelles
158	Cambodia
159	Liberia
160	Bhutan
161	Maldives
162	Antigua & Barbuda
163	Djibouti
164	Saint Lucia
165	Gambia

166	Guinea-Bissau
167	Central African Republic
168	Palau
169	Burundi
170	Grenada
171	Lesotho
172	Saint Vincent & the Grenadines
173	Solomon Islands
174	Samoa
175	Cape Verde
176	Nauru
177	Dominica
178	Saint Kitts & Nevis
179	Chad
180	Tonga
181	Sao Tome & Principe
182	Comoros
183	Vanuatu

185	Kiribati
Not Ranked	Andorra
Not Ranked	East Timor
Not Ranked	Holy See
Not Ranked	Hong Kong
Not Ranked	Liechtenstein
Not Ranked	Marshall Islands
Not Ranked	Micronesia
Not Ranked	Monaco
Not Ranked	San Marino
Not Ranked	Somalia
Not Ranked	Tuvalu

\* European Union is ranked 3rd

Cook Islands are ranked 184th

Niue is ranked 186th

---

## Global Environmental Snapshot

### Introduction

The countries of the world face many environmental challenges in common. Nevertheless, the

nature and intensity of problem vary from region to region, as do various countries' respective capacities, in terms of affluence and infrastructure, to remediate threats to environmental quality.

Consciousness of perils affecting the global environment came to the fore in the last third or so of the 20<sup>th</sup> century has continued to intensify well into the new millennium. According to the United Nations Environment Programme, considerable environmental progress has been made at the level of institutional developments, international cooperation accords, and public participation. Approximately two-dozen international environmental protection accords with global implications have been promulgated since the late 1970s under auspices of the United Nations and other international organizations, together with many additional regional agreements. Attempts to address and rectify environmental problems take the form of legal frameworks, economic instruments, environmentally sound technologies and cleaner production processes as well as conservation efforts. Environmental impact assessments have increasingly been applied across the globe.

Environmental degradation affects the quality, or aesthetics, of human life, but it also displays potential to undermine conditions necessary for the sustainability of human life. Attitudes toward the importance of environmental protection measures reflect ambivalence derived from this bifurcation. On one hand, steps such as cleaning up pollution, dedicating parkland, and suchlike, are seen as embellishments undertaken by wealthy societies already assured they can successfully perform those functions deemed, ostensibly, more essential-for instance, public health and education, employment and economic development. On the other hand, in poorer countries, activities causing environmental damage-for instance the land degradation effects of unregulated logging, slash-and-burn agriculture, overgrazing, and mining-can seem justified insofar as such activities provide incomes and livelihoods.

Rapid rates of resource depletion are associated with poverty and high population growth, themselves correlated, whereas consumption per capita is much higher in the most developed countries, despite these nations' recent progress in energy efficiency and conservation. It is impossible to sequester the global environmental challenge from related economic, social and political challenges.

First-tier industrialized countries have recently achieved measurable decreases in environmental pollution and the rate of resource depletion, a success not matched in middle income and developing countries. It is believed that the discrepancy is due to the fact that industrialized countries have more developed infrastructures to accommodate changes in environmental policy, to apply environmental technologies, and to invest in public education. The advanced industrialized countries incur relatively lower costs in alleviating environmental problems, in comparison to developing countries, since in the former even extensive environmental programs represent a rather minuscule percentage of total expenditures. Conversely, budget constraints, lagged provision of basic services to the population, and other factors such as debt service and militarization may

preclude institution of minimal environmental protection measures in the poorest countries.

A synopsis for the current situation facing each region of the world follows:

### **Regional Synopsis: Africa**

The African continent, the world's second-largest landmass, encompasses many of the world's least developed countries. By global standards, urbanization is comparatively low but rising at a rapid rate. More heavily industrialized areas at the northern and southern ends of the continent experience the major share of industrial pollution. In other regions the most serious environmental problems typically stem from inefficient subsistence farming methods and other forms of land degradation, which have affected an increasingly extensive area under pressure of a widely impoverished, fast-growing population. Africa's distribution of natural resources is very uneven. It is the continent at greatest risk of desertification, especially in the Sahel region at the edge of the Sahara but also in other dry-range areas. Yet at the same time, Africa also harbors some of the earth's richest and most diverse biological zones.

#### **Key Points:**

Up to half a billion hectares of African land are moderately to severely degraded, an occurrence reflecting short-fallow shifting cultivation and overgrazing as well as a climatic pattern of recurrent droughts.

Soil degradation is severe along the expanse directly south of the Sahara, from the west to the east coasts. Parts of southern Africa, central-eastern Africa, and the neighboring island of Madagascar suffer from serious soil degradation as well.

Africa contains about 17 percent of the world's forest cover, concentrated in the tropical belt of the continent. Many of the forests, however, are severely depleted, with an estimated 70 percent showing some degree of degradation.

Population growth has resulted in continuing loss of arable land, as inefficient subsistence farming techniques affect increasingly extensive areas. Efforts to implement settled, sustainable agriculture have met with some recent success, but much further progress in this direction is needed. Especially in previously uninhabited forestlands, concern over deforestation is intensifying.

By contrast, the African savanna remains the richest grassland in the world, supporting a substantial concentration of animal and plant life. Wildlife parks are sub-Saharan Africa's greatest tourist attraction, and with proper management-giving local people a stake in conservation and controlling the pace of development-could greatly enhance African economies.

Significant numbers of mammal species in parts of northern, southern and eastern Africa are currently threatened, while the biological diversity in Mauritania and Madagascar is even further compromised with over 20 percent of the mammal species in these two countries currently under threat.

With marine catch trends increasing from 500,000 metric tons in the 1950s to over 3,000,000 metric tons by 2000, there was increasing concern about the reduction in fisheries and marine life, should this trend continue unabated.

Water resource vulnerability is a major concern in northeastern Africa, and a moderate concern across the rest of the continent. An exception is central Africa, which has plentiful water supplies.

Many Africans lack adequate access to resources, not just (if at all) because the resources are unevenly distributed geographically, but also through institutional failures such as faulty land tenure systems or political upheaval. The quality of Africa's natural resources, despite their spotty distribution, is in fact extraordinarily rich. The infrastructure needed to protect and benefit from this natural legacy, however, is largely lacking.

### **Regional Synopsis: Asia and the Pacific**

Asia-earth's largest landmass-and the many large and nearly innumerable small islands lying off its Pacific shore display extraordinarily contrasting landscapes, levels of development, and degrees of environmental stress. In the classification used here, the world's smallest continent, Australia, is also included in the Asia-Pacific region.

The Asia-Pacific region is home to 9 of the world's 14 largest urban areas, and as energy use for utilities, industry and transport increases in developing economies, urban centers are subject to worsening air quality. Intense population density in places such as Bangladesh or Hong Kong is the quintessential image many people have of Asia, yet vast desert areas such as the Gobi and the world's highest mountain range, the Himalayas, span the continent as well. Forested areas in Southeast Asia and the islands of Indonesia and the Philippines were historically prized for their tropical hardwood, but in many places this resource is now severely depleted. Low-lying small island states are extremely vulnerable to the effects of global warming, both rising sea levels and an anticipated increase in cyclones.

#### **Key Points:**

Asian timber reserves are forecast to be depleted in the next 40 years. Loss of natural forest is irreversible in some areas, but plantation programs to restore tree cover may ameliorate a portion

of the resulting land degradation.

Increased usage of fossil fuels in China and other parts of southern Asia is projected to result in a marked increase in emissions, especially in regard to carbon dioxide. The increased usage of energy has led to a marked upsurge in air pollution across the region.

Acidification is an emerging problem regionally, with sulfur dioxide emissions expected to triple by 2010 if the current growth rate is sustained. China, Thailand, India, and Korea seem to be suffering from particularly high rates of acid deposition. By contrast, Asia's most highly developed economy, Japan, has effected substantial improvements in its environmental indicators.

Water pollution in the Pacific is an urgent concern since up to 70 percent of the water discharged into the region's waters receives no treatment. Additionally, the disposal of solid wastes, in like manner, poses a major threat in a region with many areas of high population density.

The Asia-Pacific region is the largest expanse of the world's land that is adversely affected by soil degradation.

The region around Australia reportedly suffers the largest degree of ozone depletion.

The microstates of the Pacific suffer land loss due to global warming, and the consequent rise in the levels of ocean waters. A high-emissions scenario and anthropogenic climate impact at the upper end of the currently predicted range would probably force complete evacuation of the lowest-elevation islands sometime in this century.

The species-rich reefs surrounding Southeast Asia are highly vulnerable to the deleterious effects of coastal development, land-based pollution, over-fishing and exploitative fishing methods, as well as marine pollution from oil spills and other activities.

With marine catch trends increasing from 5,000,000 metric tons in the 1950s to over 20,000,000 metric tons by 2000, there was increasing concern about the reduction in fisheries and marine life, should this trend continue unabated.

Significant numbers of mammal species in parts of China and south-east Asia are currently threatened, while the biological diversity in India, Japan, Australia, the Philippines, Indonesia and parts of Malaysia is even further compromised with over 20 percent of the mammal species in these countries currently under threat.

Water resource vulnerability is a serious concern in areas surrounding the Indian subcontinent.



## **Regional Synopsis: Central Asia**

The Central Asian republics, formerly in the Soviet Union, experience a range of environmental problems as the result of poorly executed agricultural, industrial, and nuclear programs during the Soviet era. Relatively low population densities are the norm, especially since upon the breakup of the U.S.S.R. many ethnic Russians migrated back to European Russia. In this largely semi-arid region, drought, water shortages, and soil salinization pose major challenges.

### **Key Points:**

The use of agricultural pesticides, such as DDT and other chemicals, has contributed to the contamination of soil and groundwater throughout the region.

Land and soil degradation, and in particular, increased salinization, is mostly attributable to faulty irrigation practices.

Significant desertification is also a problem in the region.

Air pollution is prevalent, mostly due to use of low octane automobile fuel.

Industrial pollution of the Caspian Sea and the Aral Sea, as a result of industrial effluents as well as mining and metal production, presents a challenge to the countries bordering these bodies of water.

One of the most severe environmental problems in the region is attributable to the several billion tons of hazardous materials stored in landfills across Central Asia.

Uzbekistan's particular problem involves the contraction of the Aral Sea, which has decreased in size by a third, as a consequence of river diversions and poor irrigation practices. The effect has been the near-total biological destruction of that body of water.

Kazakhstan, as a consequence of being the heartland of the former Soviet Union's nuclear program, has incurred a high of cancerous malignancies, biogenetic abnormalities and radioactive contamination.

While part of the Soviet Union, the republics in the region experienced very high levels of greenhouse gas emissions, as a consequence of rapid industrialization using cheap but dirty energy sources, especially coal.

By contrast, however, there have recently been substantial reductions in the level of greenhouse gas emissions, especially those attributable to coal burning, with further decreases anticipated over the next decade. These changes are partially due to the use of cleaner energy technologies, such as

natural gas, augmented by governmental commitment to improving environmental standards.

### **Regional Synopsis: Europe**

Western Europe underwent dramatic transformation of its landscape, virtually eliminating large-scale natural areas, during an era of rapid industrialization, which intensified upon its recovery from World War II. In Eastern Europe and European Russia, intensive land development has been less prevalent, so that some native forests and other natural areas remain. Air and water pollution from use of dirty fuels and industrial effluents, however, are more serious environmental problems in Eastern than in Western Europe, though recent trends show improvement in many indicators. Acid rain has inflicted heavy environmental damage across much of Europe, particularly on forests. Europe and North America are the only regions in which water usage for industry exceeds that for agriculture, although in Mediterranean nations agriculture is the largest water consumer.

#### **Key Points:**

Europe contributes 36 percent of the world's chlorofluorocarbon emissions, 30 percent of carbon dioxide emissions, and 25 percent of sulfur dioxide emissions.

Sulfur and nitrogen oxide emissions are the cause of 30 to 50 percent of Central and Eastern Europe's deforestation.

Acid rain has been an environmental concern for decades and continues to be a challenge in parts of Western Europe.

Overexploitation of up to 60 percent of Europe's groundwater presents a problem in industrial and urban areas.

With marine catch trends increasing from 5,000,000 metric tons in the 1950s to over 20,000,000 metric tons by 2000, there was increasing concern about the reduction in fisheries and marine life, should this trend continue unabated.

Significant numbers of mammal species in parts of western Europe, Eastern Europe and Russia are currently threatened, while the biological diversity on the Iberian Peninsula is even further compromised with over 40 percent of the mammal species in this region currently under threat. As a result, there has been a 10 percent increase in protected areas of Europe.

A major environmental issue for Europe involves the depletion of various already endangered or threatened species, and most significantly, the decline of fish stocks. Some estimates suggest that up to 50 percent of the continent's fish species may be considered endangered species. Coastal

fisheries have been over-harvested, resulting in catch limits or moratoriums on many commercially important fish species.

Fortunately, in the last few years, these policies have started to yield measurable results with decreasing trends in marine fish catch.

Recently, most European countries have adopted cleaner production technologies, and alternative methods of waste disposal, including recycling.

The countries of Eastern Europe have made air quality a major environmental priority. This is exemplified by the Russian Federation's addition to the 1995 "Berlin Mandate" (transnational legislation based on resolutions of the Rio Earth Summit) compelling nations to promote "carbon sinks" to absorb greenhouse gases.

On a relative basis, when compared with the degree of industrial emissions emitted by many Eastern European countries until the late 1980s, there has been some marked increase in air quality in the region, as obsolete plants are closed and a transition to cleaner fuels and more efficient energy use takes place.

### **Regional Synopsis: The Middle and Near East**

Quite possibly, the Middle East will exemplify the adage that, as the 20<sup>th</sup> century was a century fixated on oil, the 21<sup>st</sup> century will be devoted to critical decisions about water. Many (though far from all) nations in the Middle East rank among those countries with the largest oil and gas reserves, but water resources are relatively scarce throughout this predominantly dry region. Effects of global warming may cause moderately high elevation areas that now typically receive winter "snowpack" to experience mainly rain instead, which would further constrain dry-season water availability. The antiquities and religious shrines of the region render it a great magnet for tourism, which entails considerable economic growth potential but also intensifies stresses on the environment.

#### **Key Points:**

Water resource vulnerability is a serious concern across the entire region. The increased usage of, and further demand for water, has exacerbated long-standing water scarcity in the region. For instance, river diversions and industrial salt works have caused the Dead Sea to shrink by one-third from its original surface area, with further declines expected.

The oil industry in the region contributes to water pollution in the Persian Gulf, as a result of oil

spills, which have averaged 1.2 million barrels of oil spilt per year (some sources suggest that this figure is understated). The consequences are severe because even after oil spills have been cleaned up, environmental damage to the food webs and ecosystems of marine life will persist for a prolonged period.

The region's coastal zone is considered one of the most fragile and endangered ecosystems of the world. Land reclamation, shoreline construction, discharge of industrial effluents, and tourism (such as diving in the Red Sea) contribute to widespread coastal damage.

Significant numbers of mammal species in parts of the Middle East are currently threatened.

Since the 1980s, 11 percent of the region's natural forest has been depleted.

### **Regional Synopsis: Latin America and the Caribbean**

The Latin American and Caribbean region is characterized by exceedingly diverse landforms that have generally seen high rates of population growth and economic development in recent decades. The percentage of inhabitants residing in urban areas is quite high at 73.4 percent; the region includes the megacities of Mexico City, Sao Paulo, and Rio de Janeiro. The region also includes the world's second-highest mountain range, the Andes; significant expanses of desert and grassland; the coral reefs of the Caribbean Sea; and the world's largest contiguous tropical forest in the Amazon basin. Threats to the latter from subsistence and commercial farming, mineral exploitation and timbering are well publicized. Nevertheless, of eight countries worldwide that still retain at least 70 percent of their original forest cover, six are in Latin America. The region accounts for nearly half (48.3 percent) of the world's greenhouse gas emissions derived from land clearing, but as yet a comparatively minuscule share (4.3 percent) of such gases from industrial sources.

#### **Key Points:**

Although Latin America is one of the most biologically diverse regions of the world, this biodiversity is highly threatened, as exemplified by the projected extinction of up to 100,000 species in the next few decades. Much of this loss will be concentrated in the Amazon area, although the western coastline of South America will also suffer significant depletion of biological diversity. The inventory of rainforest species with potentially useful commercial or medical applications is incomplete, but presumed to include significant numbers of such species that may become extinct before they are discovered and identified.

Up to 50 percent of the region's grazing land has lost its soil fertility as a result of soil erosion, salinization, alkalinization and overgrazing.

The Caribbean Sea, the Atlantic Ocean, and the Pacific Ocean have all been contaminated by agricultural wastes, which are discharged into streams that flow into these major waters. Water pollution derived from phosphorous, nitrates and pesticides adversely affects fish stocks, contributes to oxygen depletion and fosters overgrowth of aquatic vegetation. Marine life will continue to be severely compromised as a result of these conditions.

Due to industrial development in the region, many beaches of eastern Latin America and the Caribbean suffer from tar deposits.

Most cities in the region lack adequate sewage treatment facilities, and rapid migration of the rural poor into the cities is widening the gap between current infrastructure capacity and the much greater level needed to provide satisfactory basic services.

The rainforest region of the Amazon Basin suffers from dangerously high levels of deforestation, which may be a significant contributory factor to global warming or "the greenhouse effect." In the late 1990s and into the new millennium, the rate of deforestation was around 20 million acres of rainforest being destroyed annually.

Deforestation on the steep rainforest slopes of Caribbean islands contributes to soil erosion and landslides, both of which then result in heavy sedimentation of nearby river systems. When these sedimented rivers drain into the sea and coral reefs, they poison the coral tissues, which are vital to the maintenance of the reef ecosystem. The result is marine degradation and nutrient depletion. Jamaica's coral reefs have never quite recovered from the effects of marine degradation.

The Southern Cone of Latin America (Argentina, Brazil, Chile, Paraguay, and Uruguay) suffers the effects of greatly increased ultraviolet-B radiation, as a consequence of more intense ozone depletion in the southern hemisphere.

Water resource vulnerability is an increasingly major concern in the northwestern portion of South America.

### **Regional Synopsis: North America**

North American nations, in particular the United States and Canada, rank among the world's most highly developed industrial economies—a fact which has generated significant pollution problems, but also financial resources and skills that have enabled many problems to be corrected. Although efforts to promote energy efficiency, recycling, and suchlike have helped ease strains on the environment in a part of the world where per capita consumption levels are high, sprawling land development patterns and recent preferences many households have demonstrated for larger vehicles have offset these advances.

Meanwhile, a large portion of North America's original forest cover has been lost, though in many cases replaced by productive second-growth woodland. In recent years, attitudes toward best use of the region's remaining natural or scenic areas seem to be shifting toward recreation and preservation and away from resource extraction. With increasing attention on the energy scarcity in the United States, however, there is speculation that this shift may be short-lived. Indeed, the energy shortage on the west coast of the United States and associated calls for energy exploration, indicate a possible retrenchment toward resource extraction. At the same time, however, it has also served to highlight the need for energy conservation as well as alternative energy sources.

Despite generally successful anti-pollution efforts, various parts of the region continue to suffer significant air, water and land degradation from industrial, vehicular, and agricultural emissions and runoff. Mexico, as a middle-income country, displays environmental problems characteristic of a developing economy, including forest depletion, pollution from inefficient industrial processes and dirty fuels, and lack of sufficient waste-treatment infrastructure.

Key Points:

Because of significantly greater motor vehicle usage in the United States (U.S.) than in the rest of the world, the U.S. contribution of urban air pollution and greenhouse gas emissions, especially carbon dioxide, is disproportionately high in relation to its population.

Acid rain is an enduring issue of contention in the northeastern part of the United States, on the border with Canada.

Mexico's urban areas suffer extreme air pollution from carbon monoxide, nitrogen oxides, sulfur dioxide, and other toxic air pollutants. Emissions controls on vehicles are in their infancy, compared to analogous regulations in the U.S.

The cities of Mexico, including those on the U.S. border, also discharge large quantities of untreated or poorly treated sewage, though officials are currently planning infrastructure upgrades.

Deforestation is noteworthy in various regions of the U.S., especially along the northwest coastline. Old growth forests have been largely removed, but in the northeastern and upper midwestern sections of the United States, evidence suggests that the current extent of tree cover probably surpasses the figure for the beginning of the 20<sup>th</sup> century.

Extreme weather conditions in the last few years have resulted in a high level of soil erosion along the north coast of California; in addition, the coastline itself has shifted substantially due to soil erosion and concomitant landslides.

Agricultural pollution-including nitrate contamination of well water, nutrient runoff to waterways, and pesticide exposure-is significant in various areas. Noteworthy among affected places are California's Central Valley, extensive stretches of the Midwest, and land in the Chesapeake Bay watershed.

Inland waterways, especially around the Great Lakes, have substantially improved their water quality, due to concentrated efforts at reducing water pollution by governmental, commercial and community representatives. Strict curbs on industrial effluents and near-universal implementation of sewage treatment are the chief factors responsible for this improvement.

A major environmental issue for Canada and the United States involves the depletion of various already endangered or threatened species, and most significantly, the decline of fish stocks. Coastal fisheries have been over-harvested, resulting in catch limits or moratoriums on many commercially important fish species. In the last few years, these policies have started to yield measurable results with decreasing trends in marine fish catch.

Due to the decay of neighboring ecosystems in Central America and the Caribbean, the sea surrounding Florida has become increasingly sedimented, contributing to marine degradation, nutrient depletion of the ecosystem, depletion of fish stocks, and diseases to coral species in particular.

## **Polar Regions**

### **Key Points:**

The significant rise in sea level, amounting 10 to 25 centimeters in the last 100 years, is due to the melting of the Arctic ice sheets, and is attributed to global warming.

The Antarctic suffers from a significant ozone hole, first detected in 1976. By 1985, a British scientific team reported a 40 percent decrease in usual regeneration rates of the ozone. Because a sustained increase in the amount of ultraviolet-B radiation would have adverse consequences upon all planetary life, recent environmental measures have been put into effect, aimed at reversing ozone depletion. These measures are projected to garner significant results by 2050.

Due to air and ocean currents, the Arctic is a sink for toxic releases originally discharged thousands of miles away. Arctic wildlife and Canada's Inuit population have higher bodily levels of contaminants such as PCB and dioxin than those found in people and animals in much of the rest of the world.



---

## Global Environmental Concepts

### 1. Global Warming and Greenhouse Gases

#### **The Greenhouse Effect:**

In the early 19<sup>th</sup> century, the French physicist, Jean Fourier, contended that the earth's atmosphere functions in much the same way as the glass of a greenhouse, thus describing what is now understood as the "greenhouse effect." Put simply, the "greenhouse effect" confines some of the sun's energy to the earth, preserving some of the planet's warmth, rather than allowing it to flow back into space. In so doing, all kinds of life forms can flourish on earth. Thus, the "greenhouse effect" is necessary to sustain and preserve life forms and ecosystems on earth.

In the late 19<sup>th</sup> century, a Swedish chemist, Svante Arrhenius, noticed that human activities, such as the burning of coal and other fossil fuels for heat, and the removal of forested lands for urban development, led to higher concentrations of greenhouse gases, like carbon dioxide and methane, in the atmosphere. This increase in the levels of greenhouse gases was believed to advance the "greenhouse effect" exponentially, and might be related to the trend in global warming.

In the wake of the Industrial Revolution, after industrial development took place on a large scale and the total human population burgeoned simultaneously with industrialization, the resulting increase in greenhouse gas emissions could, many scientists believe, be significant enough to have some bearing on climate. Indeed, many studies in recent years support the idea that there is a linkage between human activities and global warming, although there is less consensus on the extent to which this linkage may be relevant to environmental concerns.

That said, some scientists have argued that temperature fluctuations have existed throughout the evolution of the planet. Indeed, Dr. S. Fred Singer, the president of the Science and Environment Policy Project has noted that 3,000-year-old geological records of ocean sediment reveal changes in the surface temperature of the ocean. Hence, it is possible that climate variability is merely a normal fact of the planet's evolution. Yet even skeptics as to anthropogenic factors concur that any substantial changes in global temperatures would likely have an effect upon the earth's ecosystems, as well as the life forms that inhabit them.

#### **The Relationship Between Global Warming and Greenhouse Gases:**



A large number of climatologists believe that the increase in atmospheric concentrations of "greenhouse gas emissions," mostly a consequence of human activities such as the burning of fossil fuels, are contributing to global warming. The cause notwithstanding, the planet has reportedly warmed 0.3°C to 0.6°C over the last century. Indeed, each year during the 1990s was one of the very warmest in the 20<sup>th</sup> century, with the mean surface temperature for 1999 being the fifth warmest on record since 1880.

In early 2000, a panel of atmospheric scientists for the National Research Council concluded in a report that global warming was, indeed, a reality. While the panel, headed by Chairman John Wallace, a professor of atmospheric sciences at the University of Washington, stated that it remained unclear whether human activities have contributed to the earth's increasing temperatures, it was apparent that global warming exists.

In 2001, following a request for further study by the incoming Bush administration in the [United States](#), the National Academy of Sciences again confirmed that global warming had been in existence for the last 20 years. The study also projected an increase in temperature between 2.5 degrees and 10.4 degrees Fahrenheit by the year 2100. Furthermore, the study found the leading cause of global warming to be emissions of carbon dioxide from the burning of fossil fuels, and it noted that greenhouse gas accumulations in the earth's atmosphere was a result of human activities.

Within the scientific community, the controversy regarding has centered on the difference between surface air and upper air temperatures. Information collected since 1979 suggests that while the earth's surface temperature has increased by about a degree in the past century, the atmospheric temperature five miles above the earth's surface has indicated very little increase. Nevertheless, the panel stated that this discrepancy in temperature between surface and upper air does not invalidate the conclusion that global warming is taking place. Further, the panel noted that natural events, such as volcanic eruptions, can decrease the temperature in the upper atmosphere.

The major consequences of global warming potentially include the melting of the polar ice caps, which, in turn, contribute to the rise in sea levels. Many islands across the globe have already experienced a measurable loss of land as a result. Because global warming may increase the rate of evaporation, increased precipitation, in the form of stronger and more frequent storm systems, is another potential outcome. Other consequences of global warming may include the introduction and proliferation of new infectious diseases, loss of arable land (referred to as "desertification"), destructive changes to existing ecosystems, loss of biodiversity and the isolation of species, and concomitant adverse changes in the quality of human life.

### **International Policy Development in Regard to Global Warming:**

Regardless of what the precise nature of the relationship between greenhouse gas emissions and

global warming may be, it seems that there is some degree of a connection between the phenomena. Any substantial reductions in greenhouse gas emissions and global warming trends will likely involve systematic changes in industrial operations, the use of advanced energy sources and technologies, as well as global cooperation in implementing and regulating these transformations.

In this regard, the United Nations Framework Convention on Climate Change (UNFCCC) stipulated the following objectives:

1. To stabilize "greenhouse gas" concentrations within the atmosphere, in such a manner that would preclude hazardous anthropogenic intervention into the existing biosphere and ecosystems of the world. This stabilization process would facilitate the natural adaptation of ecosystems to changes in climate.
2. To ensure and enable sustainable development and food production on a global scale.

\*\*\* See section on "International Environmental Agreements and Associations" for information related to international policies related to limiting greenhouse gases and controlling climate change emanating from historic summits at Kyoto, Copenhagen, Doha, and Paris. \*\*\*

## **2. Air Pollution**

Long before global warming reared its head as a significant issue, those concerned about the environment and public health noted the deleterious effects of human-initiated combustion upon the atmosphere. Killer smogs from coal burning triggered acute health emergencies in London and other places. At a lower level of intensity motor vehicle, power plant, and industrial emissions impaired long-range visibility and probably had some chronic adverse consequences on the respiratory systems of persons breathing such air.

In time, scientists began associating the sulfur dioxide and nitrogen oxides released from coal burning with significant acid deposition in the atmosphere, eventually falling as "acid rain." This phenomenon has severely degraded forestlands, especially in Europe and a few parts of the [United States](#). It has also impaired some aquatic ecosystems and eaten away the surface of some human artifacts, such as marble monuments. Scrubber technology and conversion to cleaner fuels have enabled the level of industrial production to remain at least constant while significantly reducing acid deposition. Technologies aimed at cleaning the air and curtailing acid rain, soot, and smog may, nonetheless, boomerang as the perils of global warming become increasingly serious. In brief, these particulates act as sort of a sun shade -- comparable to the effect of volcanic eruptions on the upper atmosphere whereby periods of active volcanism correlate with temporarily cooler weather

conditions. Thus, while the carbon dioxide releases that are an inevitable byproduct of combustion continue, by scrubbing the atmosphere of pollutants, an industrial society opens itself to greater insolation (penetration of the sun's rays and consequent heating), and consequently, it is likely to experience a correspondingly greater rise in ambient temperatures.

The health benefits of removing the sources of acid rain and smog are indisputable, and no one would recommend a return to previous conditions. Nevertheless, the problematic climatic effects of continually increasing emissions of carbon dioxide and other greenhouse gases pose a major global environmental challenge, not as yet addressed adequately.

### **3. Ozone Depletion**

The stratospheric ozone layer functions to prevent ultraviolet radiation from reaching the earth. Normally, stratospheric ozone is systematically disintegrated and regenerated through natural photochemical processes. The stratospheric ozone layer, however, has been depleted unnaturally as a result of anthropogenic (man-made) chemicals, most especially chlorine and bromide compounds such as chlorofluorocarbons (CFCs), halons, and various industrial chemicals in the form of solvents, refrigerants, foaming agents, aerosol propellants, fire retardants, and fumigants. Ozone depletion is of concern because it permits a greater degree of ultraviolet-B radiation to reach the earth, which then increases the incidences of cancerous malignancies, cataracts, and human immune deficiencies. In addition, even in small doses, ozone depletion affects the ecosystem by disturbing food chains, agriculture, fisheries and other forms of biological diversity.

Transnational policies enacted to respond to the dangers of ozone depletion include the 1985 Vienna Convention on the Protection of the Ozone Layer and the 1987 Montreal Protocol on Substances that Deplete the Ozone Layer. The Montreal Protocol was subsequently amended in London in 1990, Copenhagen in 1992 and Vienna in 1995. By 1996, 155 countries had ratified the Montreal Protocol, which sets out a time schedule for the reduction (and eventual elimination) of ozone depleting substances (OPS), and bans exports and imports of ODS from and to non-participant countries.

In general, the Protocol stipulates that developed countries must eliminate halon consumption by 1994 and CFC consumption by 1996, while developing countries must eliminate these substances by 2010. Consumption of methyl bromide, which is used as a fumigant, was to be frozen at the 1995 in developed countries, and fully eliminated in 2010, while developing countries are to freeze consumption by 2002, based on average 1995-1998 consumption levels. Methyl chloroform is to be phased out by 2005. Under the Montreal Protocol, most ODS will be completely eliminated from use by 2010.

#### **4. Land Degradation**

In recent decades, land degradation in more arid regions of the world has become a serious concern. The problem, manifest as both "desertification" and "devegetation," is caused primarily by climate variability and human activities, such as "deforestation," excessive cultivation, overgrazing, and other forms of land resource exploitation. It is also exacerbated by inadequate irrigation practices. Although the effects of droughts on drylands have been temporary in the past, today, the productivity and sustainability of these lands have been severely compromised for the long term. Indeed, in every region of the world, land degradation has become an acute issue.

##### **Desertification and Devegetation:**

"Desertification" is a process of land degradation causing the soil to deteriorate, thus losing its nutrients and fertility, and eventually resulting in the loss of vegetation, known as "devegetation." As aforementioned, "desertification" and "devegetation" are caused by human activities, yet human beings are also the greatest casualties. Because these forms of land degradation affect the ability of the soil to produce crops, they concomitantly contribute to poverty. As population increases and demographic concentrations shift, the extent of land subject to stresses by those seeking to wrest subsistence from it has inexorably risen.

In response, the United Nations has formed the Convention to Combat Desertification-aimed at implementing programs to address the underlying causes of desertification, as well as measures to prevent and minimize its effects. Of particular significance is the formulation of policies on transboundary resources, such as areas around lakes and rivers. At a broader level, the Convention has established a Conference of Parties (COP), which includes all ratifying governments, for directing and advancing international action.

To ensure more efficacious use of funding, the Convention intends to reconfigure international aid to utilize a consultative and coordinated approach in the disbursement and expenditure of donor funds. In this way, local communities that are affected by desertification will be active participants in the solution-generation process. In-depth community education projects are envisioned as part of this new international aid program, and private donor financing is encouraged. Meanwhile, as new technologies are developed to deal with the problem of desertification, they need to be distributed for application across the world. Hence, the Convention calls for international cooperation in scientific research in this regard.

Desertification is a problem of sustainable development. It is directly connected to human challenges such as poverty, social and economic well-being and environmental protection as well. Broader environmental issues, such as climate change, biological diversity, and freshwater supplies, are indirectly related, so any effort to resolve this environmental challenge must entail coordinated

research efforts and joint action.

### **Deforestation:**

Deforestation is not a recent phenomenon. For centuries, human beings have cut down trees to clear space for land cultivation, or in order to use the wood for fuel. Over the last 200 years, and most especially after World War II, deforestation increased because the logging industry became a globally profitable endeavor, and so the clearing of forested areas was accelerated for the purposes of industrial development. In the long term, this intensified level of deforestation is considered problematic because the forest is unable to regenerate itself quickly. The deforestation that has occurred in tropical rainforests is seen as an especially serious concern, due to the perceived adverse effects of this process upon the entire global ecosystem.

The most immediate consequence of deforestation is soil degradation. Soil, which is necessary for the growth of vegetation, can be a fragile and vital property. Organically, an extensive evolution process must take place before soil can produce vegetation, yet at the same time, the effects of natural elements, such as wind and rain, can easily and quickly degrade this resource. This phenomenon is known as soil erosion. In addition, natural elements like wind and rain reduce the amount of fertile soil on the ground, making soil scarcity a genuine problem. When fertile topsoil that already exists is removed from the landscape in the process of deforestation, soil scarcity is further exacerbated. Equally significant is the fact that once land has been cleared so that the topsoil can be cultivated for crop production, not only are the nutrient reserves in the soil depleted, thus producing crops of inferior quality, but the soil structure itself becomes stressed and deteriorates further.

Another direct result of deforestation is flooding. When forests are cleared, removing the cover of vegetation, and rainfall occurs, the flow of water increases across the surface of land. When extensive water runoff takes place, the frequency and intensity of flooding increases. Other adverse effects of deforestation include the loss of wildlife and biodiversity within the ecosystem that supports such life forms.

At a broader level, tropical rainforests play a vital role in maintaining the global environmental system. Specifically, destruction of tropical rainforests affects the carbon dioxide cycle. When forests are destroyed by burning (or rotting), carbon dioxide is released into the air, thus contributing to an intensified "greenhouse effect." The increase in greenhouse gas emissions like carbon dioxide is a major contributor to global warming, according to many environmental scientists. Indeed, trees themselves absorb carbon dioxide in the process of photosynthesis, so their loss also reduces the absorption of greenhouse gases.

Tropical rainforest destruction also adversely affects the nitrogen cycle. Nitrogen is a key nutrient

for both plants and animals. Plants derive nitrogen from soil, while animals obtain it via nitrogen-enriched vegetation. This element is essential for the formation of amino acids, and thereby for proteins and biochemicals that all living things need for metabolism and growth. In the nitrogen cycle, vegetation acquires these essential proteins and biochemicals, and then cyclically returns them to the atmosphere and global ecosystem. Accordingly, when tropical rainforest ecosystems are compromised, not only is vegetation removed; the atmosphere is also affected and climates are altered. At a more immediate level, the biodiversity within tropical rainforests, including wildlife and insect species and a wealth of plant varieties, is depleted. Loss of rare plants is of particular concern because certain species as yet unknown and unused could likely yield many practical benefits, for instance as medicines.

As a result of the many challenges associated with deforestation, many environmental groups and agencies have argued for government policies on the sustainable development of forests by governments across the globe. While many countries have instituted national policies and programs aimed at reducing deforestation, and substantial research has been advanced in regard to sustainable and regenerative forestry development, there has been very little progress on an international level. Generally speaking, most tropical rainforests are located in developing and less developed countries, where economic growth is often dependent upon the exploitation of tropical rainforests. Timber resources as well as wildlife hunting tend to be particularly lucrative arenas.

In places such as the Amazon, where deforestation takes place for the construction of energy plants aimed at industrialization and economic development, there is an exacerbated effect on the environment. After forests are cleared in order to construct such projects, massive flooding usually ensues. The remaining trees then rot and decay in the wake of the flooding. As the trees deteriorate, their biochemical makeup becomes more acidic, producing poisonous substances such as hydrogen sulphide and methane gases. Acidified water subsequently corrodes the mechanical equipment and operations of the plants, which are already clogged by rotting wood after the floodwaters rise.

Deforestation generally arises from an economically plausible short-term motivation, but nonetheless poses a serious global concern because the effects go beyond national boundaries. The United Nations has established the World Commission on Forest and Sustainable Development. This body's task is to determine the optimal means of dealing with the issue of deforestation, without unduly affecting normal economic development, while emphasizing the global significance of protecting tropical forest ecosystems.

## **5. Water Resources**

For all terrestrial fauna, including humans, water is the most immediate necessity to sustain life. As the population has increased and altered an ever-greater portion of the landscape from its natural



condition, demand on water resources has intensified, especially with the development of industrialization and large-scale irrigation. The supply of freshwater is inherently limited, and moreover distributed unevenly across the earth's landmasses. Moreover, not just demand for freshwater but activities certain to degrade it are becoming more pervasive. By contrast, the oceans form a sort of "last wilderness," still little explored and in large part not seriously affected by human activity. However, coastal environments - the biologically richest part of the marine ecosystem-are experiencing major depletion due to human encroachment and over-exploitation.

### **Freshwater:**

In various regions, for instance the Colorado River in the western [United States](#), current withdrawals of river water for irrigation, domestic, and industrial use consume the entire streamflow so that almost no water flows into the sea at the river's mouth. Yet development is ongoing in many such places, implying continually rising demand for water. In some areas reliant on groundwater, aquifers are being depleted at a markedly faster rate than they are being replenished. An example is the San Joaquin Valley in California, where decades of high water withdrawals for agriculture have caused land subsidence of ten meters or more in some spots. Naturally, the uncertainty of future water supplies is particularly acute in arid and semi-arid regions. Speculation that the phenomenon of global warming will alter geographic and seasonal rainfall patterns adds further uncertainty.

Water conservation measures have great potential to alleviate supply shortages. Some city water systems are so old and beset with leaking pipes that they lose as much water as they meter. Broad-scale irrigation could be replaced by drip-type irrigation, actually enhancing the sustainability of agriculture. In many areas where heavy irrigation has been used for decades, the result is deposition of salts and other chemicals in the soil such that the land becomes unproductive for farming and must be abandoned.

Farming is a major source of water pollution. Whereas restrictions on industrial effluents and other "point sources" are relatively easy to implement, comparable measures to reform hydraulic practices at farms and other "nonpoint sources" pose a significantly knottier challenge. Farm-caused water pollution takes the following main forms:

- Nitrate pollution found in wells in intensive farming areas as a consequence of heavy fertilizer use is a threat to human health. The most serious danger is to infants, who by ingesting high-nitrate water can contract methemoglobinemia, sometimes called "blue baby syndrome," a potentially fatal condition.
- Fertilizer runoff into rivers and lakes imparts unwanted nutrients that cause algae growth and eventual loss of oxygen in the body of water, degrading its ability to support fish and other

desirable aquatic life.

- Toxic agricultural chemicals - insecticides, herbicides, and fungicides - are detectable in some aquifers and waterways.

In general, it is much easier to get a pollutant into water than to retrieve it out. Gasoline additives, dry cleaning chemicals, other industrial toxins, and in a few areas radionucleides have all been found in water sources intended for human use. The complexity and long time scale of subterranean hydrological movements essentially assures that pollutants already deposited in aquifers will continue to turn up for decades to come. Sophisticated water treatment processes are available, albeit expensive, to reclaim degraded water and render it fit for human consumption. Yet source protection is unquestionably a more desirable alternative.

In much of the developing world, and even some low-income rural enclaves of the developed world, the population lacks ready access to safe water. Surface water and shallow groundwater supplies are susceptible to contamination from untreated wastewater and failing septic tanks, as well as chemical hazards. The occurrence of waterborne disease is almost certainly greatly underreported.

### **Marine Resources:**

Coastal areas have always been desirable places for human habitation, and population pressure on them continues to increase. Many types of water degradation that affect lakes and rivers also affect coastal zones: industrial effluents, untreated or partially treated sewage, nutrient load from agriculture figure prominently in both cases. Prospects for more extreme storms as a result of global warming, as well as the pervasiveness of poorly planned development in many coastal areas, forebode that catastrophic hurricanes and landslides may increase in frequency in the future. Ongoing rise in sea levels will force remedial measures and in some cases abandonment of currently valuable coastal property.

Fisheries over much of the globe have been overharvested, and immediate conservation measures are required to preserve stocks of many species. Many governments subsidized factory-scale fishing fleets in the 1970s and 1980s, and the resultant catch increase evidently surpassed a sustainable level. It is uncertain how much of the current decline in fish stocks stems from overharvesting and how much from environmental pollution. The deep ocean remains relatively unaffected by human activity, but continental shelves near coastlines are frequently seriously polluted, and these close-to-shore areas are the major biological nurseries for food fish and the smaller organisms they feed on.



## **6. Environmental Toxins**

Toxic chemical pollution exploded on the public consciousness with disclosure of spectacularly polluted industrial areas such as Love Canal near Buffalo, New York. There is no question that pollutants such as organophosphates or radionucleides can be highly deleterious to health, but evidence to date suggests that seriously affected areas are a localized rather than universal problem.

While some explore the possibilities for a lifestyle that fully eschews use of modern industrial chemicals, the most prevalent remediative approach is to focus on more judicious use. The most efficient chemical plants are now able to contain nearly all toxic byproducts of their production processes within the premises, minimizing the release of such substances into the environment. Techniques such as Integrated Pest Management (IPM) dictate limited rather than broadcast use of pesticides: application only when needed using the safest available chemical, supplemented as much as possible with nontoxic controls.

While heightened public awareness and growing technical sophistication suggest a hopeful outlook on limiting the damage from manmade environmental toxins, one must grant that previous incidents of their misuse and mishandling have already caused environmental damage that will have to be dealt with for many years to come. In the case of the most hazardous radioactive substances, the time scale for successful remediation actually extends beyond that of the recorded history of civilization. Moreover, in this era of high population density and rapid economic growth, quotidian activities such as the transport of chemicals will occasionally, seemingly inevitably result in accidents with adverse environmental consequences.

## **7. "Islandization" and Biodiversity**

With increased awareness regarding the adverse effects of unregulated hunting and habitat depletion upon wildlife species and other aspects of biodiversity, large-scale efforts across the globe have been initiated to reduce and even reverse this trend.

In every region of the world, many species of wildlife and areas of biodiversity have been saved from extinction. Nationally, many countries have adopted policies aimed at preservation and conservation of species, and one of the most tangible measures has been the proliferation of protected habitats. Such habitats exist in the form of wildlife reserves, marine life reserves, and other such areas where biodiversity can be protected from external encroachment and exploitation.

Despite these advances in wildlife and biodiversity protection, further and perhaps more intractable challenges linger. Designated reserves, while intended to prevent further species decline, exist as closed territories, fragmented from other such enclaves and disconnected from the larger ecosystem. This environmental scenario is referred to as "islandization." Habitat reserves often

serve as oversized zoos or game farms, with landscapes and wildlife that have effectively been "tamed" to suit. Meanwhile, the larger surrounding ecosystem continues to be seriously degraded and transformed, while within the islandized habitat, species that are the focus of conservation efforts may not have sufficient range and may not be able to maintain healthy genetic variability.

As a consequence, many conservationists and preservationists have demanded that substantially larger portions of land be withheld as habitat reserves, and a network of biological corridors to connect continental reserves be established. While such efforts to combat islandization have considerable support in the [United States](#), how precisely such a program would be instituted, especially across national boundaries, remains a matter of debate. International conservationists and preservationists say without a network of reserves a massive loss of biodiversity will result.

The concept of islandization illustrates why conservation and preservation of wildlife and biodiversity must consider and adopt new, broader strategies. In the past, conservation and preservation efforts have been aimed at specific species, such as the spotted owl and grizzly bear in North America, the Bengal tiger in Southeast Asia, the panda in [China](#), elephants in Africa. Instead, the new approach is to simultaneously protect many and varied species that inhabit the same ecosystem. This method, referred to as "bio-regional conservation," may more efficaciously generate longer-term and more far-reaching results precisely because it is aimed at preserving entire ecosystems, and all the living things within.

### **More About Biodiversity Issues:**

This section is directly taken from the United Nations Environmental Program: "[Biodiversity Assessment](#)"

The Global Biodiversity Assessment, completed by 1500 scientists under the auspices of United Nations Environmental Program in 1995, updated what is known (or unknown) about global biological diversity at the ecosystem, species and genetic levels. The assessment was uncertain of the total number of species on Earth within an order of magnitude. Of its working figure of 13 million species, only 13 percent are scientifically described. Ecological community diversity is also poorly known, as is its relationship to biological diversity, and genetic diversity has been studied for only a small number of species. The effects of human activities on biodiversity have increased so greatly that the rate of species extinctions is rising to hundreds or thousands of times the background level. These losses are driven by increasing demands on species and their habitats, and by the failure of current market systems to value biodiversity adequately. The Assessment calls for urgent action to reverse these trends.

There has been a new recognition of the importance of protecting marine and aquatic biodiversity. The first quantitative estimates of species losses due to growing coral reef destruction predict that

almost 200,000 species, or one in five presently contributing to coral reef biodiversity, could die out in the next 40 years if human pressures on reefs continue to increase.

Since Rio, many countries have improved their understanding of the status and importance of their biodiversity, particularly through biodiversity country studies such as those prepared under the auspices of UNEP/GEF. The [United Kingdom](#) identified 1250 species needing monitoring, of which 400 require action plans to ensure their survival. Protective measures for biodiversity, such as legislation to protect species, can prove effective. In the USA, almost 40 percent of the plants and animals protected under the Endangered Species Act are now stable or improving as a direct result of recovery efforts. Some African countries have joined efforts to protect threatened species through the 1994 Lusaka Agreement, and more highly migratory species are being protected by specialized cooperative agreements among range states under the Bonn Agreement.

There is an emerging realization that a major part of conservation of biological diversity must take place outside of protected areas and involve local communities. The extensive agricultural areas occupied by small farmers contain much biodiversity that is important for sustainable food production. Indigenous agricultural practices have been and continue to be important elements in the maintenance of biodiversity, but these are being displaced and lost. There is a new focus on the interrelationship between agrodiversity conservation and sustainable use and development practices in smallholder agriculture, with emphasis on use of farmers' knowledge and skills as a source of information for sustainable farming.

Perhaps even more important than the loss of biodiversity is the transformation of global biogeochemical cycles, the reduction in the total world biomass, and the decrease in the biological productivity of the planet. While quantitative measurements are not available, the eventual economic and social consequences may be so significant that the issue requires further attention.

\*\*\*\*\*

#### Specific sources used for this section:

Bendall, Roger. 1996. "Biodiversity: the follow up to Rio". The Globe 30:4-5, April 1996.

Global Environmental Change: Human and Policy Implications. 1995. Special issue on "People, Land Management and Environmental Change", Vol. 3, No. 4, September 1995.

Golubev, Genady N. (Moscow University) In litt. 29 June 1996.

Heywood, V.H. (ed.). 1995. Global Biodiversity Assessment. United Nations Environment Programme. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge.

Heywood, V.H. 1996. "The Global Biodiversity Assessment". The Globe, 30:2-4, April 1996.

Reaka-Kudla, Marjorie. 1996. Paper presented at American Association for Advancement of Science, February 1996. Quoted in Pain, Stephanie. "Treasures lost in reef madness". New Scientist, 17 February 1996.

Uitto, Juha I., and Akiko Ono (eds). 1996. Population, Land Management and Environmental Change. The United Nations University, Tokyo.

USFWS. 1994. U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service report to Congress, cited in news release 21 July 1994.

Online resources used generally in the Environmental Overview:

Environmental Protection Agency Global Warming Site. URL: <http://www.epa.gov/globalwarming>

Food and Agriculture Organization of United Nations: Forestry. URL: <http://www.fao.org/forestry/site/sofo/en/>

Global Warming Information Page. URL: <http://globalwarming.org>

United Nations Environmental Program. URL: [http://www.unep.org/GEO/GEO\\_Products/Assessment\\_Reports/](http://www.unep.org/GEO/GEO_Products/Assessment_Reports/)

United Nations Global Environmental Outlook. URL: <http://www.unep.org/geo/geo4/media/>

### Note on Edition Dates:

The edition dates for textual resources are noted above because they were used to formulate the original content. We also have used online resources (cited above) to update coverage as needed.

### Information Resources

For more information about environmental concepts, CountryWatch recommends the following resources:

The United Nations Environmental Program Network (with country profiles)

[<http://www.unep.net/>](http://www.unep.net/)

The United Nations Environment Program on Climate Change

[<http://climatechange.unep.net/>](http://climatechange.unep.net/)

The United Nations Environmental Program on Waters and Oceans

[<http://www.unep.ch/earthw/Pdepwat.htm>](http://www.unep.ch/earthw/Pdepwat.htm)

The United Nations Environmental Program on Forestry: "Forests in Flux"

[<http://www.unep-wcmc.org/forest/flux/homepage.htm>](http://www.unep-wcmc.org/forest/flux/homepage.htm)

FAO "State of the World's Forests"

[<http://www.fao.org/forestry/FO/SOFO/SOFO99/sofo99-e.stm>](http://www.fao.org/forestry/FO/SOFO/SOFO99/sofo99-e.stm)

World Resources Institute.

[<http://www.wri.org/>](http://www.wri.org/)

Harvard University Center for Health and the Global Environment

[<http://www.med.harvard.edu/chge/the-review.html>](http://www.med.harvard.edu/chge/the-review.html)

The University of Wisconsin Center for Sustainability and the Global Environment

<http://sage.aos.wisc.edu/>

---

## International Environmental Agreements and Associations

### **International Policy Development in Regard to Global Warming:**

#### **Introduction**

Regardless of what the precise nature of the relationship between greenhouse gas emissions and global warming may be, it seems that there is some degree of a connection between the phenomena. Any substantial reductions in greenhouse gas emissions and global warming trends will likely involve systematic changes in industrial operations, the use of advanced energy sources and technologies, as well as global cooperation in implementing and regulating these transformations.

In this regard, the United Nations Framework Convention on Climate Change (UNFCCC) stipulated the following objectives:

1. To stabilize "greenhouse gas" concentrations within the atmosphere, in such a manner that would preclude hazardous anthropogenic intervention into the existing biosphere and ecosystems of the world. This stabilization process would facilitate the natural adaptation of ecosystems to changes in climate.
2. To ensure and enable sustainable development and food production on a global scale.

Following are two discussions regarding international policies on the environment, followed by listings of international accords.

#### ***Special Entry: The Kyoto Protocol***

The UNFCCC was adopted at the Rio Earth Summit in 1992, and entered into force in 1994. Over 175 parties were official participants.

Meanwhile, however, many of the larger, more industrialized nations failed to reach the emissions' reduction targets, and many UNFCCC members agreed that the voluntary approach to reducing emissions had not been successful. As such, UNFCCC members reached a consensus that legally binding limits were necessitated, and agreed to discuss such a legal paradigm at a meeting in Kyoto, [Japan](#) in 1997. At that meeting, the UNFCCC forged the Kyoto Protocol. This concord is the first legally binding international agreement that places limits on emissions from industrialized countries. The major greenhouse gas emissions addressed in the Kyoto Protocol include carbon dioxide, nitrous oxide, hydrofluorocarbons, perfluorocarbons, sulfur hexafluoride, and methane.

The provisions of the Kyoto Protocol stipulate that economically advanced nations must reduce their combined emissions of greenhouse gases, by approximately five percent from their 1990 levels, before the 2008-2010 deadline. Countries with the highest carbon dioxide emissions, such as the [United States](#) (U.S.), many of the European Union (EU) countries, and [Japan](#), are to reduce emissions by a scale of 6 to 8 percent. All economically advanced nations must show "demonstrable progress" by 2005. In contrast, no binding limits or timetable have been set on developing countries. Presumably, this distinction is due to the fact that most developing countries - - with the obvious exceptions of [India](#) and [China](#) -- simply do not emit as many greenhouse gases as do more industrially advanced countries. Meanwhile, these countries are entrenched in the process of economic development.

Regardless of the aforementioned reasoning, there has been strong opposition against the asymmetrical treatment assigned to emissions limits among developed and developing countries. Although this distinction might be regarded as unfair in principle, associations such as the Alliance of Small Island States have been vocal in expressing how global warming -- a result of greenhouse gas emissions - has contributed to the rise in sea level, and thus deleteriously affected their very existence as island nation states. For this reason, some parties have suggested that economically advanced nations, upon returning to their 1990 levels, should be required to further reduce their greenhouse gas emissions by a deadline of 2005. In response, interested parties have observed that even if such reductions were undertaken by economically advanced nations, they would not be enough to completely control global warming. Indeed, a reduction in the rate of fossil fuel usage by developing nations would also be necessary to have substantial ameliorative effect on global warming. Indeed, a reduction in the rate of fossil fuel usage by developing nations would also be necessary to have substantial ameliorative effect on global warming.

As such, the Protocol established a "Clean Development Mechanism" which permits developed countries to invest in projects aimed at reducing emissions within developing countries in return for credit for the reductions. Ostensibly, the objective of this mechanism is to curtail emissions in developing countries without unduly penalizing them for their economic development. Under this model, the countries with more potential emissions credits could sell them to other signatories of the Kyoto Protocol, whose emissions are forecast to significantly rise in the next few years. Should this trading of emissions credits take place, it is estimated that the Kyoto Protocol's emissions



targets could still be met.

In 1999, the International Energy Outlook projected that Eastern Europe, the former Soviet Union and Newly Independent States, as well as parts of Asia, are all expected to show a marked decrease in their level of energy-related carbon emissions in 2010. Nations with the highest emissions, specifically, the U.S., the EU and [Japan](#), are anticipated to reduce their emissions by up to 8 percent by 2012. By 2000, however, the emissions targets were not on schedule for achievement. Indeed, the U.S. Department of Energy estimates forecast that by 2010, there will be a 34 percent increase in carbon emissions from the 1990 levels, in the absence of major shifts in policy, economic growth, energy prices, and consumer trends. Despite this assessment in the U.S., international support for the Kyoto Protocol remained strong, especially among European countries and island states, who view the pact as one step in the direction away from reliance on fossil fuels and other sources of greenhouse gases.

In 2001, U.S. President, George W. Bush, rejected his country's participation in the Kyoto Protocol, saying that the costs imposed on the global economic system, and especially, on the US, overshadowed the benefits of the Protocol. He also cited the unfair burden on developed nations to reduce emissions, as another primary reasons for withdrawal from the international pact, as well as insufficient evidence regarding the science of global warming. Faced with impassioned international disapproval for his position, the U.S. president stated that his administration remained interested in dealing with the matter of global warming, but would endorse alternative measures to combat the problem, such as voluntary initiatives limiting emissions. Critics of Bush's position, however, have noted that it was the failure of voluntary initiatives to reduce emissions following the Rio Summit that led to the establishment of the Kyoto Protocol in the first place.

In the wake of the Bush administration's decision, many participant countries resigned themselves to the reality that the goals of the Kyoto Protocol might not be achieved without U.S. involvement. Nevertheless, in Bonn, [Germany](#), in July 2001, the remaining participant countries struck a political compromise on some of the key issues and sticking points, and planned to move forward with the Protocol, irrespective of the absence of the U.S. The key compromise points included the provision for countries to offset their targets with carbon sinks (these are areas of forest and farmland which can absorb carbon through the process of photosynthesis). Another compromise point within the broader Bonn Agreement was the reduction of emissions cuts of six gases from over 5 percent to a more achievable 2 percent. A third key change was the provision of funding for less wealthy countries to adopt more progressive technologies.

In late October and early November 2001, the UNFCCC's 7<sup>th</sup> Conference of the Parties met in Marrakesh, [Morocco](#), to finalize the measures needed to make the Kyoto Protocol operational. Although the UNFCCC projected that ratification of the Protocol would make it legally binding within a year, many critics noted that the process had fallen short of implementing significant



changes in policy that would be necessary to actually stop or even slow climate change. They also maintained that the absence of U.S. participation effectively rendered the Protocol into being a political exercise without any substance, either in terms of transnational policy or in terms of environmental concerns.

The adoption of the compromises ensconced within the Bonn Agreement had been intended to make the provisions of the Kyoto Protocol more palatable to the U.S. In this regard, it failed to achieve its objective as the Bush administration continued to eschew participation in the international accord. Still, however, the Bonn Agreement did manage to render a number of other positive outcomes. Specifically, in 2002, key countries, such as [Russia](#), [Japan](#) and [Canada](#) agreed to ratify the protocol, bringing the number of signatories to 178. The decision by key countries to ratify the protocol was regarded as "the kiss of life" by observers.

By 2005, on the eve of a climate change conference in London, British Prime Minister Tony Blair was hoping to deal with the problems of climate change beyond the provisions set forth in the Kyoto Protocol. Acknowledging that the Kyoto Protocol could not work in its current form, Blair wanted to open the discussion for a new climate change plan.

Blair said that although most of the world had signed on to Kyoto, the protocol could not meet any of its practical goals of cutting greenhouse gas emissions without the participation of the United States, the world's largest polluter. He also noted that any new agreement would have to include India and China -- significant producers of greenhouse gas emissions, but exempt from Kyoto because they have been classified as developing countries. Still, he said that progress on dealing with climate change had been stymied by "a reluctance to face up to reality and the practical action needed to tackle problem."

Blair also touted the "huge opportunities" in technology and pointed toward the possibilities offered by wind, solar and nuclear power, along with fuel cell technology, eco-friendly biofuels, and carbon capture and storage which could generate low carbon power. Blair also asserted that his government was committed to achieving its domestic goal of reducing carbon dioxide emissions by 20 percent by 2010.

In the United States, President George W. Bush has said that global warming remained a debatable issue and despite conclusions reached by his own Environmental Protection Agency, he has not agreed with the conclusion that global warming and climate change are linked with human activities. Bush has also refused to ratify Kyoto on the basis of its economic costs.

Australia, an ally of the United States, has taken a similarly dim view of the Kyoto Protocol. Ahead of the November 2005 climate change meeting in Canada in which new goals for the protocol were to be discussed, Australia's Environment Minister, Ian Campbell, said that negotiating new greenhouse gas emission levels for the Kyoto Protocol would be a waste of time.

Campbell said, "There is a consensus that the caps, targets and timetables approach is flawed. If we spend the next five years arguing about that, we'll be fiddling and negotiating while Rome burns." Campbell, like the Bush administration, has also advocated a system of voluntary action in which industry takes up new technologies rather than as a result of compelling the reduction of emissions. But the Australian Conservation Foundation (ACF) has called on its government to ratify the Kyoto Protocol, to establish a system of emissions trading, and to set binding limits on emissions. Interestingly, although it did not sign on to Kyoto, Australia was expected to meet its emissions target by 2012 (an 8 percent increase in 1990 levels in keeping with the country's reliance on coal). But this success has nothing to do with new technologies and is due to state-based regulations on land clearing.

Note: The Kyoto Protocol calls for developed nations to cut greenhouse emissions by 5.2 percent of 1990 levels by 2012.

### ***Special Entry: Climate Change Summit in Copenhagen (2009) --***

In December 2009, the United Nations Climate Change Summit opened in the Danish capital of Copenhagen. The summit was scheduled to last from Dec. 7-18, 2009. Delegates from more than 190 countries were in attendance, and approximately 100 world leaders, including British Prime Minister Gordon Brown and [United States](#) President Barack Obama, were expected to participate. At issue was the matter of new reductions targets on greenhouse gas emissions by 2020.

Despite earlier fears that little concurrence would come from the conference, effectively pushing significant actions forward to a 2010 conference in [Mexico](#) City, negotiators were now reporting that the talks were productive and several key countries, such as [South Africa](#), had pledged to reduce greenhouse gas emissions. The two main issues that could still lead to cleavages were questions of agreement between the industrialized countries and the developing countries of the world, as well as the overall effectiveness of proposals in seriously addressing the perils of climate change.

On Dec. 9, 2009, four countries -- the [United Kingdom](#), [Australia](#), [Mexico](#) and [Norway](#) -- presented a document outlining ideas for raising and managing billions of dollars, which would be intended to help vulnerable countries dealing with the perils of climate change. Described as a "green fund," the concept could potentially help small island states at risk because of the rise in sea level. [Bangladesh](#) identified itself as a potential recipient of an assistance fund, noting that as a country plagued by devastating floods, it was particularly hard-hit by climate change. The "green fund" would fall under the rubric of the United Nations Framework Convention on Climate Change, for which developed countries have been committed to quantifying their emission reduction targets, and also to providing financial and technical support to developing countries.

The [United Kingdom](#), [Australia](#), [Mexico](#) and [Norway](#) also called for the creation of a new legal

---

treaty that would replace the Kyoto Protocol. This new treaty, which could go into force in 2012, would focus largely on the reduction of greenhouse gas emissions by 2020. But [Australia](#) went even further in saying that the successor treaty to the Kyoto Protocol, should be one with provisions covering all countries. Such a move would be a departure from the structure of the Kyoto Protocol, which contained emissions targets for industrialized countries due to the prevailing view that developed countries had a particular historic responsibility to be accountable for climate change. More recently, it has become apparent that substantial reductions in greenhouse gas emissions demanded by scientists would only come to pass with the participation also of significant developing nation states, such as [China](#) and [India](#). Indeed, one of the most pressing critiques of the Kyoto Protocol was that it was a "paper tiger" that failed to address the impact of the actions of emerging economies like [China](#) and [India](#), with its focus on the developed economies.

Now, in 2009, [China](#) -- as the world's biggest greenhouse gas emitter -- was responding this dubious distinction by vocalizing its criticism of the current scenario and foregrounding its new commitments. Ahead of the Copenhagen summit, [China](#) had announced it would reduce the intensity of its carbon emissions per unit of its GDP in 2020 by 40 to 45 percent against 2005 levels. With that new commitment at hand, [China](#) was now accusing the [United States](#) and the European Union of shirking their own responsibilities by setting weak targets for greenhouse gas emissions cuts. Senior Chinese negotiator, Su Wei, characterized the goals of the world's second largest greenhouse gas emitter -- the [United States](#) -- as "not notable," and the European Union's target as "not enough." Su Wei also took issue with [Japan](#) for setting implausible preconditions.

On Dec. 11, 2009, [China](#) demanded that developed and wealthy countries in Copenhagen should help deliver a real agreement on climate change by delivering on their promises to reduce carbon emissions and provide financial support for developing countries to adapt to global warming. In so doing, China's Vice Foreign Minister He Yafei said his country was hoping that a "balanced outcome" would emerge from the discussions at the summit. Echoing the position of the Australian government, He Yafei spoke of a draft agreement as follows: "The final document we're going to adopt needs to be taking into account the needs and aspirations of all countries, particularly the most vulnerable ones."

China's Vice Foreign Minister emphasized the fact that climate change was "a matter of survival" for developing countries, and accordingly, such countries need wealthier and more developed countries to accentuate not only their pledges of emissions reduction targets, but also their financial commitments under the aforementioned United Nations Framework Convention on Climate Change. To that end, scientists and leaders of small island states in the Indian Ocean, the Pacific Ocean and the Caribbean Sea, have highlighted the existential threat posed by global warming and the concomitant rise in sea level.

China aside, attention was also on [India](#) -- another major player in the developing world and a country with an industrializing economy that was impacting the environment. At issue was the

Indian government's decision to set a carbon intensity target, which would slow emissions growth by up to 25 percent by the 2020 deadline. This strong position was resisted by some elements in [India](#), who argued that their country should not be taking such a strong position when developed wealthy countries were yet to show accountability for their previous commitments to reduce greenhouse gas emissions. The matter grew so heated that the members of the opposition stormed out of the parliament in protest as Indian Environment Minister Jairam Ramesh defended the policy. But the political pressure at home in [India](#) was leaving the Indian delegation in Copenhagen in a state of chaos as well. In fact, India's top environmental negotiator refused to travel to Copenhagen in protest of the government's newly-announced stance.

China and [India](#) were joined by [Brazil](#) and [South Africa](#) in the crafting of a draft document calling for a new global climate treaty to be completed by June 2010. Of concern has been the realization that there was insufficient time to find concurrence on a full legal treaty, which would leave countries only with a politically-binding text by the time the summit at Copenhagen closed. But Guyana's leader, President Bharrat Jagdeo, warned that the summit in [Denmark](#) would be classified as a failure unless a binding document was agreed upon instead of just political consensus. He urged his cohorts to act with purpose saying, "Never before have science, economics, geo-strategic self-interest and politics intersected in such a way on an issue that impacts everyone on the planet."

Likewise, [Tuvalu](#) demanded that legally binding agreements emerge from Copenhagen. Its proposal was supported by many of the vulnerable countries, from small island states and sub-Saharan Africa, all of whom warned of the catastrophic impact of climate change on their citizens. [Tuvalu](#) also called for more aggressive action, such as an amendment to the 1992 agreement, which would focus on sharp greenhouse gas emissions and the accepted rise in temperatures, due to the impact the rise in seas. The delegation from [Kiribati](#) joined the call by drawing attention to the fact that one village had to be abandoned due to waist-high water, and more such effects were likely to follow. Kiribati's Foreign Secretary, Tessie Lambourne, warned that the people of [Kiribati](#) could well be faced with no homeland in the future saying, "Nobody in this room would want to leave their homeland." But despite such impassioned pleas and irrespective of warnings from the Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change that the rise in sea level from melting polar ice caps would deleteriously affect low-lying atolls such as such as [Tuvalu](#) and [Kiribati](#) in the Pacific, and the [Maldives](#) in the Indian Ocean, the oil-giant [Saudi Arabia](#) was able to block this move.

Meanwhile, within the developed countries, yet another power struggle was brewing. The European Union warned it would only agree to raise its target of 20 percent greenhouse gas emissions reductions to 30 percent if the [United States](#) demonstrated that it would do more to reduce its own emissions. It was unknown if such pressure would yield results. [United States](#) President Barack Obama offered a "provisional" 2020 target of 17 percent reductions, noting that he could not offer greater concessions at Copenhagen due to resistance within the [United States](#)

Congress, which was already trying to pass a highly controversial "cap and trade" emissions legislation. However, should that emissions trading bill fail in the Senate, the [United States](#) Environment Protection Agency's declaration that greenhouse gases pose a danger to human health and the environment was expected to facilitate further regulations and limits on power plants and factories at the national level. These moves could potentially strengthen the Obama administration's offering at Copenhagen. As well, President Obama also signaled that he would be willing to consider the inclusion of international forestry credits.

Such moves indicated willingness by the Obama administration to play a more constructive role on the international environmental scene than its predecessor, the Bush administration. Indeed, ahead of his arrival at the Copenhagen summit, President Barack Obama's top environmental advisors promised to work on a substantial climate change agreement. To that end, [United States](#) Environmental Protection Agency Administrator Lisa Jackson said at a press conference, "We are seeking robust engagement with all of our partners around the world." But would this pro-engagement assertion yield actual results?

By Dec. 12, 2009, details related to a draft document prepared by Michael Zammit Cutajar, the head of the Ad-hoc Working Group on Long-Term Cooperative Action, were released at the Copenhagen climate conference. Included in the document were calls for countries to make major reductions in carbon emissions over the course of the next decade. According to the Washington Post, industrialized countries were called on to make cuts of between 25 percent and 40 percent below 1990 levels -- reductions that were far more draconian than the [United States](#) was likely to accept. As discussed above, President Obama had offered a provisional reduction target of 17 percent. The wide gap between the released draft and the United States' actual stated position suggested there was much more negotiating in the offing if a binding agreement could be forged, despite the Obama administration's claims that it was seeking greater engagement on this issue.

In other developments, the aforementioned call for financial support of developing countries to deal with the perils of climate change was partly answered by the European Union on Dec. 11, 2009. The European bloc pledged an amount of 2.4 billion euros (US\$3.5 billion) annually from 2010 to 2012. Environment Minister Andreas Carlgren of [Sweden](#) -- the country that holds the rotating presidency of the European Union at the time of the summit -- put his weight behind the notion of a "legally binding deal." Meanwhile, Yvo de Boer, a top United Nations climate change official, focused less on the essence of the agreement and more on tangible action and effects saying, "Copenhagen will only be a success if it delivers significant and immediate action that begins the day the conference ends."

The division between developed and developing countries in Copenhagen reached new heights on Dec. 14, 2009, when some of the poor and less developed countries launched a boycott at the summit. The move, which was spurred by African countries but backed by [China](#) and [India](#), appeared to be geared toward redirecting attention and primary responsibility to the wealthier and more industrialized countries. The impasse was resolved after the wealthier and more



industrialized countries offered assurances that they did not intend on shirking from their commitments to reducing greenhouse gases. As a result, the participating countries ceased the boycott.

Outside the actual summit, thousands of protestors had gathered to demand crucial global warming, leading to clashes between police and demonstrators elsewhere in the Danish capital city. There were reports of scattered violence across Copenhagen and more than 1,000 people were arrested.

Nevertheless, by the second week of the climate change summit, hopes of forging a strong deal were eroding as developed and developing nations remained deadlocked on sharing cuts in greenhouse gases, and particularly on the matters of financing and temperature goals. In a bid to shore up support for a new climate change, [United States](#) President Barack Obama joined other world leaders in Copenhagen. On Dec. 14, 2009, there was a standoff brewing between the [United States](#) and [China](#). At issue was China's refusal to accept international monitoring of its expressed targets for reducing greenhouse gas emissions. The [United States](#) argued that China's opposition to verification could be a deal-breaker.

By the close of the summit, the difficult process eventually resulted in some consensus being cultivated. A draft text called for \$100 billion a year by 2020 to assist poor nations cope with climate change, while aiming to limit global warming to two degrees Celsius compared with pre-industrial levels. The deal also included specific targets for developed countries to reduce greenhouse gas emissions, and called for reductions by developing countries as a share of their economies. Also included in the agreement was a mechanism to verify compliance. The details of the agreement were supported by President Barack Obama, Chinese Premier Wen Jiabao, Indian Prime Minister Manmohan Singh and Brazilian President Luiz Inacio Lula da Silva.

This draft would stand as an interim agreement, with a legally-binding international pact unlikely to materialize until 2010. In this way, the summit in Copenhagen failed to achieve its central objective, which was to negotiate a successor to the Kyoto Protocol on greenhouse gas emissions.

#### Editor's Note

In the background of these developments was the growing global consciousness related to global warming and climate change. Indeed, as the Copenhagen summit was ongoing, it was clear there was enormous concurrence on the significance of the stakes with an editorial on the matter of climate change being published in 56 newspapers in 45 countries. That editorial warned that without global action, climate change would "ravage our planet." Meanwhile, a global survey taken by Globescan showed that concern over global warming had exponentially increased from 1998 -- when only 20 percent of respondents believed it to be a serious problem -- to 64 percent in 2009. Such survey data, however, was generated ahead of the accusations by climate change skeptics that some climate scientists may have overstated the case for global warming, based on emails

derived in an illicit manner from a British University.

***Special Entry: Climate change talks in Doha in [Qatar](#) extend life of Kyoto Protocol (2012)***

December 2012 saw climate talks ensue in the Qatari city of Doha as representatives from countries across the world gathered to discuss the fate of the Kyoto Protocol, which seeks to minimize greenhouse gas emissions. The summit yielded results with decisions made (1) to extend the Kyoto Protocol until 2020, and (2) for wealthier countries to compensate poorer countries for the losses and damage incurred as a result of climate change.

In regards to the second matter, Malia Talakai of [Nauru](#), a leading negotiator for the Alliance of Small Island States, explained the necessity of the compensation package as follows: “We are trying to say that if you pollute you must help us.”

This measure was being dubbed the "Loss and Damage" mechanism, and was being linked with [United States](#) President Barack Obama's request for \$60 billion from Congress to deal with the devastation caused by Hurricane Sandy months before. The sight of a hurricane bearing down on the northern Atlantic seaboard, along with the reality of the scope of reconstruction, appeared to have illustrated the economic costs of climate change -- not so much as a distant environmental issue -- but as a danger to the quotidian lives of people. Still, there was blame to be placed on the [United States](#) and European countries -- some of world's largest emitters -- for failing to do more to reduce emissions.

To that latter end, there was in fact little progress made on the central issue of reducing greenhouse gas emissions. Had those emissions been reduced, there would have been less of a need to financially deal with the devastation caused by climate change. One interpretation was that the global community was accepting the fact that industrialization was contributing to global warming, which had deleterious effects on the polar ice caps and concomitantly on the rise of sea level, with devastating effects for small island nations. Thus, wealthier countries were willing to pay around \$10 billion a year through 2020, effectively in "damages," to the poor countries that could be viewed as the "collateral damage" of industrial progress. But damages today could potentially be destruction tomorrow, leaving in place the existential challenges and burdens to be born by some of the world's smallest and least wealthy island countries.

Perhaps not surprisingly, the representative for the small island nation states at the Doha summit responded with ire, characterizing the lack of progress on reducing emissions as follows: "We see the package before us as deeply deficient in mitigation (carbon cuts) and finance. It's likely to lock us on the trajectory to a 3,4,5C rise in global temperatures, even though we agreed to keep the global average temperature rise of 1.5C to ensure survival of all islands. There is no new finance (for adapting to climate change and getting clean energy) -- only promises that something might

materialize in the future. Those who are obstructive need to talk not about how their people will live, but whether our people will live."

Indeed, in most small island countries not just in the Pacific, but also the Caribbean and Indian Ocean, ecological concerns and the climate crisis have been dominant themes with dire life and death consequences looming in the background for their people. Small island nations in these region are already at risk from the rise of sea-level, tropical cyclones, floods. But their very livelihoods of fishing and subsistence farming were also at risk as a result of ecological and environmental changes. Increasingly high storm surges can wipe out entire villages and contaminate water supplies. Accordingly, the very existence of island nations, such as [Kiribati](#) and [Tuvalu](#), are at severe risk of being obliterated from the map. Yet even with the existential threat of being wiped off the map in the offing, the international community has been either slow or restrictive in its efforts to deal with global warming, climate change, economic and ecological damage, as well as the emerging global challenge of environmental refugees.

A 2012 report from the United Nations Environment Program (UNEP) and the Pacific Regional Environment Program underlined the concerns of small island nations and their people as it concluded that the livelihoods of approximately 10 million people in Pacific island communities were increasingly vulnerable to climate change. In fact, low-lying islands in that region would likely confront losses of up to 18 percent of gross domestic product due to climate change, according to the report. The report covers 21 countries and territories, including [Fiji](#), [Kiribati](#), [Samoa](#) and [Tonga](#), and recommended environmental legislation intended to deal with the climate crisis facing the small island countries particularly. As noted by David Sheppard, the director general of the Pacific Regional Environment Program that co-sponsored this study: "The findings... emphasize the need more than ever to raise the bar through collective actions that address the region's environmental needs at all levels."

Regardless of the failures of the summit in [Qatar](#) (discussed above), the meeting did facilitate a process starting in 2015, which would bind both wealthy and poor countries together in the mission of forging a new binding treaty that would replace the Kyoto Protocol and tackle the central causes of climate change.

For more information on the threats faced in small island nations by climate change and the measures being undertaken to lobby for international action, please see the Alliance for Small Island States available online at the URL: <http://aosis.org/>

### ***Special Report***

***COP 21 summit in Paris ends with historic agreement to tackle climate change; rare international consensus formed on environmental crisis facing the planet (2015) --***

---



In mid-December 2015, the highly-anticipated United Nations climate conference of parties (COP) in Paris, [France](#), ended with a historic agreement. In fact, it would very likely be understood as the most significant international agreement signed by all the recognized countries of the world since the Cold War. Accordingly, the Paris Agreement was being distinguished as the first multilateral pact that would compel all countries across the world to cut its carbon emissions -- one of the major causes of increasing greenhouse gas emissions, which contribute to global warming, and its deleterious effects ranging from the dangerous rise in sea level to catastrophic climate change.

The accord, which was dubbed to be the "Paris Agreement," was the work of rigorous diplomacy and fervent environmental advocacy, and it aimed to address the climate change crisis facing the planet. As many as 195 countries were represented in the negotiations that led to the landmark climate deal. Indeed, it was only after weeks of passionate debate that international concurrence was reached in addressing the environmental challenges confronting the world, with particular attention to moving beyond fossil fuels and reducing greenhouse gas emissions.

The success of the COP 21 summit in Paris and the emergence of the landmark Paris Agreement was, to some extent, attributed to the efforts of France's Foreign Minister Laurent Fabius who presided over the negotiations. The French foreign minister's experience and credentials as a seasoned diplomat and respected statesman paid dividends. He skillfully guided the delegates from almost 200 countries and interest groups along the negotiations process, with ostensibly productive results and a reasonably robust deal to show for it.

On Dec. 12, 2015, French Foreign Minister Fabius officially adopted the agreement, declaring: "I now invite the COP to adopt the decision entitled Paris Agreement outlined in the document. Looking out to the room I see that the reaction is positive, I see no objections. The Paris agreement is adopted." Once Foreign Minister Fabius' gavel was struck, symbolically inaugurating the Paris Agreement into force, the COP delegate rushed to their feet with loud and bouyant cheers as well as thunderous applause.

In general, the Paris Agreement was being hailed as a victory for enviromental activists and a triumph for international diplomats, while at the same time being understood as simply an initial -- and imperfect -- move in the direction of a sustainable future. China's chief negotiator, Xie Zhenhua, issued this message, saying that while the accord was not ideal, it should "not prevent us from marching historical steps forward."

United States President Barack Obama lauded the deal as both "ambitious" and "historic," and the work of strenuous multilateral negotiations as he declared, "Together, we've shown what's possible when the world stands as one." The [United States](#) leader acknowledged that the accord was not "perfect," but he reminded the critics that it was "the best chance to save the one planet we have."

Former [United States](#) Vice President Al Gore, one of the world's most well known environmental advocates, issued a lengthy statement on the accomplishments ensconced in the Paris Agreement. He highlighted the fact that the Paris Agreement was a first step towards a future with a reduced carbon footprint on Planet Earth as he said, "The components of this agreement -- including a strong review mechanism to enhance existing commitments and a long-term goal to eliminate global-warming pollution this century -- are essential to unlocking the necessary investments in our future. No agreement is perfect, and this one must be strengthened over time, but groups across every sector of society will now begin to reduce dangerous carbon pollution through the framework of this agreement."

The central provisions of the Paris Agreement included the following items:

- Greenhouse gas emissions should peak as quickly as possible, with a move towards balancing energy sources, and ultimately the decrease of greenhouse gases in the second half of this century
- Global temperature increase would be limited to 1.5 degrees Centigrade above pre-industrial levels and would be held "well below" the two degrees Centigrade threshold
- Progress on these goals would be reviewed every five years beginning in 2020 with new greenhouse gas reduction targets issued every five years
- \$100 billion would be expended each year in climate finance for developing countries to move forward with green technologies, with further climate financing to be advanced in the years beyond

It should be noted that there both legally binding and voluntary elements contained within the Paris Agreement. Specifically, the submission of an emissions reduction target and the regular review of that goal would be legally mandatory for all countries. Stated differently, there would be a system in place by which experts would be able to track the carbon-cutting progress of each country. At the same time, the specific targets to be set by countries would be determined at the discretion of the countries, and would not be binding. While there was some criticism over this non-binding element, the fact of the matter was that the imposition of emissions targets was believed to be a major factor in the failure of climate change talks in Copenhagen, [Denmark](#), in 2009.

In 2015, the talks faced challenges as several countries, such as [China](#) and [India](#), objected to conditions that would stymie economic and development. In order to avoid that kind of landmine, a system Intended Nationally Determined Contributions (INDCs) was developed and formed the basis of the accord. As such, the Paris Agreement would, in fact, facilitate economic growth and development, as well as technological progress, but with the goal of long-term ecological sustainability based on low carbon sources. In fact, the agreement heralded as "the beginning of the end of the fossil fuel era." As noted by Nick Mabey, the head of the climate diplomacy organization E3G, said, "Paris means governments will go further and faster to tackle climate change than ever before. The transition to a low carbon economy is now unstoppable, ensuring

the end of the fossil fuel age."

A particular sticking point in the agreement was the \$100 billion earmarked for climate financing for developing countries to transition from traditional fossil fuels to green energy technologies and a low carbon future. In 2014, a report by the International Energy Agency indicated that the cost of that transition would actually be around \$44 trillion by the mid-century -- an amount that would render the \$100 billion being promised to be a drop in the proverbial bucket. However, the general expectation was that the Republican-controlled Senate in the [United States](#), which would have to ratify the deal in that country, was not interested in contributing significant funds for the cause of climate change.

A key strength of the Paris Agreement was the ubiquitous application of measures to all countries. Of note was the frequently utilized concept of "flexibility" with regard to the Paris Agreement. Specifically, the varying capacities of the various countries in meeting their obligations would be anticipated and accorded flexibility. This aspect presented something of a departure from the 1997 Kyoto Protocol, which drew a sharp distinction between developed and developing countries, and mandated a different set of obligations for those categories of countries. Thus, under Kyoto, [China](#) and [India](#) were not held to the same standards as the [United States](#) and European countries. In the Paris Agreement, there would be commitments from all countries across the globe.

Another notable strength of the Paris Agreement was the fact that the countries of the world were finally able to reach consensus on the vital necessity to limit global temperature increases to 1.5 degrees Centigrade. Ahead of the global consensus on the deal, and as controversy continued to surface over the targeted global temperature limits, the leaders of island countries were sounding the alarm about the melting of the Polar ice caps and the associated rise in sea level. Prime Minister Enele Sopoaga of [Tuvalu](#) issued this dismal reminder: "Tuvalu's future ... is already bleak and any further temperature increase will spell the total demise of [Tuvalu](#). No leader in this room carries such a level of worry and responsibility. Just imagine you are in my shoes, what would you do?" It was thus something of a victory for environmental advocates that the countries of the world could find consensus on the lower number -- 1.5 degrees rather than 2 degrees.

A significant weak point with regard to the Paris deal was a "loss and damage" provision, which anticipates that even with all the new undertakings intended to reduce greenhouse gas emissions and move to a low carbon future, there would nonetheless be unavoidable climate change consequences. Those consequences ranged from the loss of arable land for farmers as well as soil erosion and contamination of potable water by sea water, to the decimation of territory in coastal zones and on small islands, due to the rise in sea level, with entire small island countries being rendered entirely uninhabitable. The reality was that peoples' homes across the world would be destroyed along with their way of life.

With that latter catastrophic effect being a clear and present danger for small island countries, the Association of Small Island States (AOSIS) demanded that the developed world acknowledge its responsibility for this irreversible damage.. Despite the fact that greenhouse gas emissions and the ensuing plague of global warming was, indeed, the consequence of development in the West (the [United States](#) and Europe) and the large power house countries, such as [Russia](#), [China](#) and [India](#), there was no appetite by those countries to sign on to unlimited liability. Under the Paris Agreement, there was a call for research on insurance mechanisms that would address loss and damage issues, with recommendations to come in the future.

The call for research was being regarded as an evasion of sorts and constituted the weakest aspect of the Paris Agreement. Not surprisingly, a coalition of small island nations demanded a "Marshall Plan" for the Pacific. Borrowing the term "Marshall Plan" from the post-World War II reconstruction effort, the coalition of Pacific island nation, which included [Kiribati](#), [Tuvalu](#), [Fiji](#), and the [Marshall Islands](#), called for an initiative that would include investment in renewable energy and shoreline protection, cultural preservation, economic assistance for economies in transition, and a plan for migration and resettlement for these countries as they confront the catastrophic effects of the melting of the Polar ice caps and the concomitant rise in sea level. The precise contours of the initiative remained unknown, unspecified, and a mere exercise in theory at the time of writing. Yet such an initiative would, at some point, have to be addressed, given the realities of climate change and the slow motion calamity unfolding each day for low-lying island nations across the world.

As noted by Vice President Greg Stone of Conservation International, who also functions as an adviser to the government of [Kiribati](#), "Imagine living in a place where you know it's going to go away someday, but you don't know what day that wave's going to come over and wash your home away." He added, "It's a disaster we know is going to happen." Meanwhile, the intervening years promised to be filled with hardship for small island nations, such as [Kiribati](#). Stone explained, "For every inch of sea-level rise, these islands lose 10 feet of their freshwater table to saltwater intrusion," Stone explained. "So it's not just about the day the water finally goes over the island; it's also about the day that there's just not enough water left and everyone has to move off the island." Presaging the future for island nations that could face submersion, Stone said, "If you look ahead 50 years, a country like [Kiribati](#) could become the first aqueous nation. possibility of migration. That is, they own this big patch of ocean, and they administer it from elsewhere."

Foreign Minister Minister Tony Debrum of the [Marshall Islands](#) emerged as the champion advocating on behalf of small island nation states and a loose coalition of concerned countries from the Pacific to the Caribbean, but with support from the [United States](#). He addressed the comprehensive concerns of small island nations regarding the weaknesses of the deal, while simultaneously making clear that the Paris Agreement signified hope for the countries most at risk. In a formal statement, Debrum declared: "We have made history today. Emissions targets are still

way off track, but this agreement has the tools to ramp up ambition, and brings a spirit of hope that we can rise to this challenge. I can go back home to my people and say we now have a pathway to survival.” Debrum highlighted the imperatives of Pacific island nations, saying, “Our High Ambition Coalition was the lightning rod we needed to lift our sights and expectations for a strong agreement here in Paris. We were joined by countries representing more than half the world. We said loud and clear that a bare-bones, minimalist agreement would not fly. We instead demanded an agreement to mark a turning point in history, and the beginning of our journey to the post-carbon era.”

Debrum of the [Marshall Islands](#) espoused the quintessential synopsis of the accord and its effects for those most likely to be affected by climate change as he noted, “Climate change won’t stop overnight, and my country is not out of the firing line just yet, but today we all feel a little safer.”

Editor's Entry on [Environmental Policy](#):

The low-lying Pacific island nations of the world, including [Kiribati](#), [Tuvalu](#), the [Marshall Islands](#), [Fiji](#), among others, are vulnerable to the threats posed by global warming and climate change, derived from carbon emissions, and resulting in the rise in sea level. Other island nations in the Caribbean, as well as poor countries with coastal zones, were also at particular risk of suffering the deleterious effects of climate change.

Political policy in these countries are often connected to ecological issues, which have over time morphed into an existential crisis of sorts. Indeed, ecological concerns and the climate crisis have also been dominant themes with life and death consequences for the people of island nations in the Pacific. Indeed, the very livelihoods of fishing and subsistence farming remain at risk as a result of ecological and environmental changes. Yet even so, these countries are threatened by increasingly high storm surges, which could wipe out entire villages and contaminate water supplies. Moreover, because these are low lying island nations, the sustained rise in sea level can potentially lead to the terrain of these countries being uninhabitable at best, and submerged at worst. Stated in plain terms, these countries are at severe risk of being obliterated from the map and their plight illuminates the emerging global challenge of environmental refugees. In these manifold senses, climate change is the existential crisis of the contemporary era.

Since the time of the 1997 Kyoto Protocol, there have been efforts aimed at extending the life of that agreement, with an eye on minimizing greenhouse gas emissions, and thus minimizing the effects of climate change. Those endeavors have largely ended in failure, as exemplified by the unsuccessful Copenhagen talks in 2009 and the fruitless Doha talks in 2012 respectively. The success of the COP 21 talks in [France](#), with the adoption of the landmark Paris Agreement in 2015, was regarded as the first glimmer of hope. Not only did the Paris Agreement signify the triumph of international diplomacy and global consensus, but it also marked the start of the end of the fossil fuel era, with the path forward toward a low carbon future reliant on greener

technologies. Most crucially, the Paris Agreement stood as the first significant response in recent times to the central challenge of climate change and its quotidian effects on the lives of real human beings across the world.

## **1. Major International Environmental Accords:**

### **General Environmental Concerns**

Convention on Environmental Impact Assessment in a Transboundary Context, Espoo, 1991.

### **Accords Regarding Atmosphere**

Annex 16, vol. II (Environmental Protection: Aircraft Engine Emissions) to the 1044 Chicago Convention on International Civil Aviation, Montreal, 1981

Convention on Long-Range Transboundary Air Pollution (LRTAP), Geneva, 1979

United Nations Framework Convention on Climate Change (UNFCCC), New York, 1992

Vienna Convention for the Protection of the Ozone Layer, Vienna, 1985 including the Montreal Protocol on Substances that Depleted the Ozone Layer, Montreal, 1987

### **Accords Regarding Hazardous Substances**

Convention on the Ban of the Import into Africa and the Control of Transboundary Movements and Management of Hazardous Wastes within Africa, Bamako, 1991

Convention on Civil Liability for Damage Caused during Carriage of Dangerous Goods by Road, Rail and Inland Navigation Vessels (CRTD), Geneva, 1989

Convention on the Control of Transboundary Movements of Hazardous Wastes and their Disposal (Basel Convention), Basel, 1989

Convention on the Transboundary Effects of Industrial Accidents, Helsinki, 1992

Convention to Ban the Importation into Forum Island Countries of Hazardous and Radioactive Wastes and to Control the Transboundary Movement and Management of Hazardous Wastes



within the South Pacific Region (Waigani Convention), Waigani, 1995

European Agreement Concerning the International Carriage of Dangerous Goods by Road (ADR), Geneva 1957

FAO International Code of Conduct on the Distribution and Use of Pesticides, Rome, 1985

## **2. Major International Marine Accords:**

### **Global Conventions**

Convention on the Prevention of Marine Pollution by Dumping of Wastes and Other Matter (London Convention 1972), London, 1972

International Convention for the Prevention of Pollution from Ships, 1973, as modified by Protocol of 1978 relation thereto (MARPOL 73/78), London, 1973 and 1978

International Convention on Civil Liability for Oil Pollution Damage 1969 (1969 CLC), Brussels, 1969, 1976, and 1984

International Convention on the Establishment of an International Fund for Compensation for Oil Pollution Damage 1971 (1971 Fund Convention), Brussels, 1971

Convention on Liability and Compensation for Damage in Connection with the Carriage of Hazardous and Noxious Substances by Sea (HNS), London 1996

International Convention on Oil Pollution Preparedness, Response, and Co-operation (OPRC), London, 1990

International Convention Relation to Intervention on the High Seas in Cases of Oil Pollution Casualties (Intervention Convention), Brussels, 1969

United Nations Convention on the Law of the Sea (UNCLOS), Montego Bay, 1982

### **Regional Conventions**

Convention for the Prevention of Marine Pollution by Dumping from Ships and Aircraft (Oslo Convention), Oslo, 1972

Convention for the Prevention of Marine Pollution from Land-based Sources (Paris Convention), Paris, 1974

Convention for the Protection of the Marine Environment of the North East Atlantic (OSPAR Convention), Paris, 1992

Convention for the Protection of the Marine Environment of the Baltic Sea Area (1974 Helsinki Convention), Helsinki 1974

Convention for the Protection of the Marine Environment of the Baltic Sea Area (1992 Helsinki Convention), Helsinki 1992

Conventions within the UNEP Regional Seas Programme

Convention on the Protection of the Black Sea against Pollution, Bucharest, 1992

Convention for the Protection and Development of the Marine Environment of the Wider Caribbean Region, Cartagena de Indias, 1983

Convention for the Protection, Management, and Development of the Marine and Coastal Environment of the Eastern African Region, Nairobi, 1985

Kuwait Regional Convention for Co-operation on the Protection of the Marine Environment from Pollution, [Kuwait](#), 1978

Convention for the Protection and Development of the Marine Environment and Coastal Region of the Mediterranean Sea (Barcelona Convention), Barcelona, 1976

Regional Convention for the Conservation of the Red Sea and Gulf of Aden Environment, Jeddah, 1982

Convention for the Protection of the Natural Resources and Environment of the South Pacific Region, Noumea, 1986

Convention for the Protection of the Marine Environment and Coastal Area of the South-East Pacific, Lima, 1981

Convention for Co-operation in the Protection and Development of the Marine and Coastal Environment of the West and Central African Region, Abidjan, 1981



### **3. Major Conventions Regarding Living Resources:**

#### **Marine Living Resources**

Convention on the Conservation of Antarctic Marine Living Resources (CCAMLR), Canberra, 1980

International Convention for the Conservation of Atlantic Tunas (ICCAT), Rio de Janeiro, 1966

International Convention for the Regulation of Whaling (ICRW), Washington, 1946

#### **Nature Conservation and Terrestrial Living Resources**

Antarctic Treaty, Washington, D.C., 1959

Convention Concerning the Protection of the World Cultural and Natural Heritage (World Heritage Convention), Paris, 1972

Convention on Biological Diversity (CBD), Nairobi, 1992

Convention on the Conservation of Migratory Species of Wild Animals (CMS), Bonn, 1979

Convention on International Trade in Endangered Species of Wild Fauna and Flora (CITES), Washington, D.C., 1973

Convention on Wetlands of International Importance especially as Waterfowl Habitat (Ramsar Convention), Ramsar, 1971

Convention to Combat Desertification (CCD), Paris 1994

FAO International Undertaking on Plant Genetic Resources, Rome, 1983

International Tropical Timber Agreement, 1994 (ITTA, 1994), Geneva, 1994

#### **Freshwater Resources**

Convention on the Protection and Use of Transboundary Watercourses and International Lakes, Helsinki, 1992

#### **4. Major Conventions Regarding Nuclear Safety:**

Convention on Assistance in the Case of a Nuclear Accident or Radiological Emergency (Assistance Convention), Vienna, 1986

Convention on Early Notification of a Nuclear Accident (Notification Convention), Vienna, 1986

Convention on Nuclear Safety, Vienna, 1994

Vienna Convention on Civil Liability for Nuclear Damage, Vienna, 1963

#### **5. Major Intergovernmental Organizations**

Commission on Sustainable Development (CSD)

European Union (EU): Environment

Food and Agriculture Organization (FAO)

Global Environment Facility (GEF)

International Atomic Energy Agency (IAEA)

International Council for the Exploration of the Sea (ICES)

International Fund for Agricultural Development (IFAD)

International Labour Organization (ILO)

International Maritime Organization (IMO)

International Monetary Fund (IMF)

International Oil Pollution Compensation Funds (IOPC Funds)

Organization for Economic Co-operation and Development (OECD), Environment Policy Committee (EPOC)

United Nations Children's Fund (UNICEF)

United Nations Development Programme (UNDP)

United Nations Educational, Scientific, and Cultural Organization (UNESCO)

United Nations Environment Programme (UNEP)

United Nations Industrial Development Organization (UNIDO)

United Nations Population Fund (UNFPA)

World Bank

World Food Programme (WFP)

World Health Organization (WHO)

World Meteorological Organization (WMO)

World Trade Organization (WTO)

## **6. Major Non-Governmental Organizations**

Atmosphere Action Network East Asia (AANE)

Climate Action Network (CAN)

Consumers International (CI)

Earth Council

Earthwatch Institute

Environmental Liaison Centre International (ELCI)

European Environmental Bureau (EEB)

Forest Stewardship Council (FSC)

Friends of the Earth International (FoEI)

Greenpeace International

International Chamber of Commerce (ICC)

International Confederation of Free Trade Unions (ICFTU)

International Planned Parenthood Federation (IPPF)

International Solar Energy Society (ISES)

IUCN-The World Conservation Union

Pesticide Action Network (PAN)

Sierra Club

Society for International Development (SID)

Third World Network (TWN)

Water Environment Federation (WEF)

Women's Environment and Development Organization (WEDO)

World Business Council for Sustainable Development (WBCSD)

World Federalist Movement (WFM)

World Resources Institute (WRI)

World Wide Fund For Nature (WWF)

## **7. Other Networking Instruments**

Arab Network for Environment and Development (RAED)

Global Legislators for a Balanced Environment (GLOBE)

Regional Environmental Center for Central and Eastern Europe (REC)

## United Nations Non-Governmental Liaison Service (UN-NGLS)

# Appendices

---

## Bibliography

### **BIBLIOGRAPHY**

#### **Sources: Key Data**

Altapedia. URL: [http://www.atlapedia.com/online/country\\_index.htm](http://www.atlapedia.com/online/country_index.htm)

Ethnologue. URL: <http://www.ethnologue.com>

Geobase Global Statistics. URL: <http://www.geoba.se>

Infoplease. URL: <http://www.infoplease.com>

The Statesman's Year Book 2006. Barry Turner, ed. London: St. Martin's Press.

[United States](http://www.state.gov/www/background_notes/index.htm) Department of State, Background Notes. URL: [http://www.state.gov/www/background\\_notes/index.htm](http://www.state.gov/www/background_notes/index.htm)

[United States](http://www.cia.gov/cia/publications/factbook/index.html) Central Intelligence Agency, World Factbook. Washington, D.C.: Printing and Photography Group. URL: <http://www.cia.gov/cia/publications/factbook/index.html>

World Bank. URL: <http://www.worldbank.org/>

World Climate Data Online. URL: <http://www.worldclimate.com>

#### **Methodology Note for Demographic Data:**

The demographic numbers for cities and national populations listed in CountryWatch content are derived from the Geoba.se website, which analyzes data from the World Bank. The current demographic numbers displayed on the Countrywatch website are reflective of the latest available estimates.

The demographic information for language, ethnicity and religion listed in CountryWatch content is

derived from a mix of sources including the Altapedia, Central Intelligence Agency Factbook, Infoplease, and State Department Background Notes.

### **Sources: Political Overview**

Agence [France](http://www.afp.com/en/) Presse. URL: <http://www.afp.com/en/>

BBC International News. URL: <http://news.bbc.co.uk/1/hi/world/> (Various editions and dates as cited in particular reviews)

Britannica Book of the Year. 1998-present. David Calhoun, ed. Chicago: Encyclopedia Britannica, Inc.

Britannica Online URL :<http://www.eb.com>

Britannica Year in Review. URL: <http://www.britannica.com/browse/year>

Chiefs of State and Cabinet Members of Foreign Governments. URL: <http://www.cia.gov/cia/publications/chiefs/index.html>

Christian Science Monitor. URL: <http://www.csmonitor.com/> (Various editions and dates as cited in particular reviews)

CNN International News. URL:<http://www.cnn.com/WORLD/> (Various editions and dates as cited in particular reviews)

Current Leaders of Nations. 1997. Jennifer Mossman, ed. Detroit: Gale Research

The Economist Magazine. (Various editions and dates as cited in particular reviews)

The Economist Country Briefings. URL: <http://www.economist.com/countries/>

Eldis Country Profiles. URL: <http://www.eldis.org/country/index.htm>

Elections Around the World. URL: <http://www.electionworld.org/>

Election Resources. URL: <http://electionresources.org/>

Europa World Yearbook 1999. Vols. I & II. 1999. London: Europa Publications Ltd.



Europe World Online. URL: <http://www.europaworld.com/pub/>

Financial Times. URL: <http://www.financialtimes.com>

Foreign Government Resources. URL: <http://www.lib.umich.edu/govdocs/foreign.html>

[Human Rights](#) Watch. URL: <http://www.hrw.org>

IFES Election Guide. URL: <http://www.electionguide.org>

International Institute for Democracy and Electoral Assistance. URL: <http://www.idea.int/>

International Who's Who 1997-1998, 61st Edition. 1997. London: Europa Publications Ltd.

Leadership Views, Chiefs of State Online. URL : <http://www.cia.gov/cia/publications/chiefs/index.html>

Library of Congress Country Studies. URL: <http://lcweb2.loc.gov/frd/cs/cshome.html>

New Encyclopedia Britannica. 1998. Chicago: Encyclopedia Britannica Inc.

New York Times. URL: <http://www.nytimes.com> (Various editions and dates as cited in particular reviews)

Patterns of Global Terrorism. n.d. [United States](#) Department of State. Washington D.C.: [United States](#) Department of State Publications.

Political Handbook of the World. n.d. Arthur S. Banks, Thomas C. Muller, ed. Binghamton, New York: CSA Publications.

Political Reference Almanac Online. URL: <http://www.polisci.com/almanac/nations.htm>

Reuters News. URL: <http://www.reuters.com/>

Rulers. URL: <http://rulers.org/>

The Guardian Online. URL: <http://www.guardian.co.uk/> (Various editions and dates as cited in particular reviews)

The Statesman's Year-Book 2006. Barry Turner, ed. London: St. Martin's Press.

United Nations Development Programme. URL: <http://hdr.undp.org>

United Nations Refugee Agency. URL: <http://www.unhcr.org>

[United States](#) Central Intelligence Agency, World Factbook. Washington, D.C.: Printing and Photography Group. URL: <http://www.cia.gov/cia/publications/factbook/index.html>

[United States](#) Department of State, World Military Expenditures and Arms Transfers (WMEAT) URL : [http://www.state.gov/www/global/arms/bureau\\_ac/reports\\_ac.html](http://www.state.gov/www/global/arms/bureau_ac/reports_ac.html)

United States Department of State, Country Reports on [Human Rights](#) Practices. URL: <http://www.state.gov/g/drl/rls/hrrpt/2002/18245.htm>

[United States](#) Department of State, Background Notes. URL : [http://www.state.gov/www/background\\_notes/index.html](http://www.state.gov/www/background_notes/index.html)

Virtual Library: International Relations Resources. URL: <http://www.etown.edu/vl/countgen.html>

World Bank: Governance Indicators. URL: <http://info.worldbank.org/governance>

-- See also list of News Wires services below, which are also used for research purposes. --

#### Note on Edition Dates:

The earlier edition dates are noted above because they were used to formulate the original Country Reviews and serve as the baseline for some of the information covered. Later editions have been used in some cases, and are cited as such, while other more recent online resources (cited above) contain recent and ever-updated data sets used for research.

#### **Sources: [Economic Overview](#)**

BP Statistical Review of World Energy. URL: <http://www.bp.com/genericsection.do?categoryId=92&contentId=7005893>

BP Statistical Review of World Energy, June 1998. 1998 to present. Page 1.C. London: The British Petroleum Company.

International Monetary Fund, Direction of Trade Statistics Yearbook. Washington, D.C.: International Monetary Fund Publication Services.

International Monetary Fund, International Financial Statistics. 1998 to present. Washington, D.C.: International Monetary Fund Publication Services.

International Monetary Fund, International Financial Statistics Yearbook. 1999 to present. Washington, D.C.: International Monetary Fund Publication Services.

International Monetary Fund, World Economic Outlook, May 1999. 1999 to present. Washington, D.C.: International Monetary Fund Publication Services.

International Labour Office, World Employment Report, 1998-99. 1998 to present. Geneva: International Labour Office.

United Nations Statistical Division Online. URL: <http://unstats.un.org/unsd/default.htm>

United Nations Statistics Division, Monthly Bulletin of Statistics (MBS On Line), November 1999 Edition. 1999 to present. New York: United Nations.

United Nations, Statistical Yearbook, 43rd Issue. 1999. 1999 to present New York: United Nations.

United Nations, Food & Agricultural Organization, FAOSTAT Database. URL : <http://apps.fao.org/>  
United Nations, Comtrade Data Base, <http://comtrade.un.org/>

United States Department of Energy, Country Analysis Briefs.  
URL:<http://www.eia.doe.gov/emeu/cabs/contents.html>

United States Department of Labor, Bureau of Labor Statistics Database

United States Geological Service, Mineral Information

United States Department of State, Country Commercial Guides. Washington, D.C. [United States of America](http://www.state.gov/www/about_state/business/com_guides/index.html). URL:[http://www.state.gov/www/about\\_state/business/com\\_guides/index.html](http://www.state.gov/www/about_state/business/com_guides/index.html)

The World Bank, Global Development Finance, Country Tables. 1999 to present. Washington, D.C.: The World Bank.

The World Bank Group, World Development Indicators. 1999 to present. Washington, D.C.: The World Bank.

Yearbook of Tourism Statistics, World Tourism Organization. 1998 to present. Madrid: The World Tourism Organization.

### Note on Edition Dates:

The earlier edition dates are noted above because they were used to formulate the original country reviews and serve as the baseline for some of the information covered. Later editions have been used in some cases, and are cited as such, while other more recent online resources (cited above) contain recent and ever-updated data sets used for research.

### Methodology Notes for Economic Data:

Estimates by CountryWatch.com of GDP in dollars in most countries are made by converting local currency GDP data from the International Monetary Fund World Economic Outlook to US dollars by market exchange rates estimated from the International Monetary Fund International Financial Statistics and projected out by the CountryWatch Macroeconomic Forecast. Real GDP was estimated by deflating current dollar values by the US GDP Implicit Price Deflator.

Exceptions to this method were used for:

- Bosnia-Herzegovina
- Nauru
- Cuba
- Palau
- Holy See
- San Marino
- Korea, North
- [Serbia](#) & Montenegro
- Liberia
- Somalia
- Liechtenstein
- Tonga
- Monaco
- Tuvalu

In these cases, other data and/or estimates by CountryWatch.com were utilized.

### **Investment Overview**

C o r r u p t i o n   a n d   T r a n s p a r e n c y   I n d e x .   U R L :  
<http://www.transparency.org/documents/cpi/2001/cpi2001.html#cpi>  
<<http://www.transparency.org/documents/>

Deloitte Tax Guides. URL: <http://www.deloittetaxguides.com>

Trade Policy Reviews by the World Trade Organization . URL: [http://www.wto.org/english/tratop\\_e/tpr\\_e/tp\\_rep\\_e.htm#bycountry](http://www.wto.org/english/tratop_e/tpr_e/tp_rep_e.htm#bycountry)

United States Department of Energy, Country Analysis Briefs. URL: <http://www.eia.doe.gov/emeu/cabs/contents.html>

United States Department of State, Background Notes. URL: [http://www.state.gov/www/background\\_notes/index.html](http://www.state.gov/www/background_notes/index.html)

United States Department of State, Country Commercial Guides. 1996-2006. Washington, D.C.  
U n i t e d S t a t e s o f A m e r i c a . U R L : [http://www.state.gov/www/about\\_state/business/com\\_guides/index.html](http://www.state.gov/www/about_state/business/com_guides/index.html)

World Bank: Doing Business. URL: <http://www.doingbusiness.org>

World Bank: Governance Indicators. URL: <http://info.worldbank.org/governance>

## **Social Overview**

Borden, G.A., Conaway, W.A., Morrison, T. 1994. *Kiss, Bow, or Shake Hands: How to do Business in Sixty Countries*. Holbrook, Massachusetts, 1994.

Center for Disease Control. URL: <http://www.cdc.gov>

Eldis Country Profiles. URL: <http://www.eldis.org/country/index.htm>

Ethnologue. URL: <http://www.ethnologue.com/>

Government of Australia Department of Foreign Affairs and Trade. URL: <http://www.dfat.gov.au/geo>

Government of Canada Foreign Affairs and International Trade. URL: [http://www.voyage.gc.ca/consular\\_home-e.htm](http://www.voyage.gc.ca/consular_home-e.htm)

Library of Congress Country Studies. URL: <http://lcweb2.loc.gov/frd/cs/cshome.html>

Lonely Planet. URL: <http://www.lonelyplanet.com/worldguide/>

Steve Kropla's Online Help For World Travelers. URL: <http://www.kropla.com/>

[United Kingdom](http://www.fco.gov.uk/) Ministry of Foreign and Commonwealth Office. URL: <http://www.fco.gov.uk/>

United Nations Human Development Report. URL: <http://www.undp.org/hdro>

UNICEF Statistical Database Online. URL: <http://www.unicef.org/statis/atoz.html>

[United States](http://www.cia.gov/cia/publications/factbook/index.html) Central Intelligence Agency, World Factbook. 2001. Washington, D.C.: Printing and Photography Group. URL: <http://www.cia.gov/cia/publications/factbook/index.html>

United States Department of State, Background Notes. URL: [http://www.state.gov/www/background\\_notes/index.html](http://www.state.gov/www/background_notes/index.html)

United States Department of State, Commercial and Business Affairs: Travel Tips. URL: [http://www.state.gov/www/about\\_state/business/cba\\_travel.html](http://www.state.gov/www/about_state/business/cba_travel.html)

United States Department of State, Bureau of Consular Affairs. URL: <http://travel.state.gov/>

World Health Organization. URL: <http://www.who.int/home-page/>

World News Connection, National Technical Information Service. Springfield, Virginia, USA.

Internet News Service, Xinhua News Agency (U.S.) Inc. Woodside, New York. URL: <http://www.xinhuanet.com/english/>

#### Note on Edition Dates:

The earlier edition dates are noted above because they were used to formulate the original country reviews and serve as the baseline for some of the information covered. Later editions have been used in some cases, and are cited as such, while other more recent online resources (cited above) contain recent and ever-updated data sets used for research.

#### Methodology Notes for the HDI:

Since 1990, the United Nations Development Programme, in concert with organizations across the globe, has produced the [Human Development Index](#) (or HDI). According to the UNDP, the index measures average achievement in basic human development in one simple composite index, and produces from this index a ranking of countries. The HDI is a composite of three basic components of human development: longevity, knowledge and standard of living. Longevity is measured by life expectancy. Knowledge is measured by combination of adult literacy and mean

years of schooling. Standard of living is measured by purchasing power, based on real GDP per capita (in constant US\$) adjusted for differences in international living costs (or, purchasing power parity, PPP). While the index uses these social indicators to measure national performance with regard to human welfare and development, not all countries provide the same level of information for each component needed to compute the index; therefore, as in any composite indicator, the final index is predicated on projections, predictions and weighting schemes. The index is a static measure, and thus, an incomplete measure of human welfare. In fact, the UNDP says itself the concept of human development focuses on the ends rather than the means of development and progress, examining in this manner, the average condition of all people in a given country.

Specifically, the index is calculated by determining the maximum and minimum for each of the three components (as listed above) and then measuring where each country stands in relation to these scales-expressed as a value between 0 and 1. For example, the minimum adult literary rate is zero percent, the maximum is 100 percent, and the reading skills component of knowledge in the HDI for a country where the literacy rate is 75 percent would be 0.75. The scores of all indicators are then averaged into the overall index.

For a more extensive examination of human development, as well as the ranking tables for each participating country, please visit: <http://www.undp.org>

#### Note on [History](#) sections

In some CountryWatch Country Reviews, open source content from the State Department Background Notes and Country Guides have been used.

### **Environmental Overview**

Environmental Profiles: A Global Guide to Projects and People. 1993. Linda Sobel Katz, Sarah Orrick, and Robert Honig. New York: Garland Publishing.

The Environment Encyclopedia and Directory, 2nd Edition. 1998. London: Europa.

Environmental Protection Agency Global Warming Site. URL: <http://www.epa.gov/globalwarming>

Food and Agriculture Organization of United Nations: Forestry. URL: <http://www.fao.org/forestry/site/sofo/en/>

Global Warming Information Page. URL: <http://globalwarming.org>

Introduction to Global [Environmental Issues](#), 2nd Edition. 1997. Kevin Pickering and Lewis Owen.

London: Routledge.

Trends: Compendium of Data on Global Change. URL: [http://cdiac.esd.ornl.gov/trends/emis/em\\_cont.htm](http://cdiac.esd.ornl.gov/trends/emis/em_cont.htm)

United Nations Environmental Program. URL: [http://www.unep.org/GEO/GEO\\_Products/Assessment\\_Reports/](http://www.unep.org/GEO/GEO_Products/Assessment_Reports/)

United Nations Global Environmental Outlook. URL: <http://www.unep.org/geo/geo4/media/>

United States Department of Energy, Country Analysis Briefs. URL: <http://www.eia.doe.gov/emeu/cabs/contents.html>

World Climate Data Online. URL: <http://www.worldclimate.com>

World Directory of Country Environmental Studies. 1996. The World Resource Institute.

World Factbook. US Central Intelligence Agency. Washington, D.C.: Printing and Photography Group.

1998-1999 World Resources Guide to the Global Environment by the World Resources Institute. May, 1998.

1998/1999 Yearbook of International Cooperation on Environment and Development. 1998. London: Earthscan Publications.

#### Note on Edition Dates:

The earlier edition dates are noted above because they were used to formulate the original country reviews and serve as the baseline for some of the information covered. Later editions have been used in some cases, and are cited as such, while other more recent online resources (cited above) contain recent and ever-updated data sets used for research.

#### **Other Sources:**

General information has also been used in the compilation of this review, with the courtesy of governmental agencies from this country.

#### **News Services:**



CANA Daily Bulletin. Caribbean Media Agency Ltd., St. Michael, [Barbados](#).

Central and Eastern Africa Report, United Nations Office for the Coordination of Humanitarian Affairs - Integrated Regional Information Network for Central and Eastern Africa.

Daily News, Panafrican News Agency. Dakar, [Senegal](#).

PACNEWS, Pacific Islands Broadcasting Association. Suva, [Fiji](#).

Radio Free Europe/Radio Liberty. Washington D.C. USA.

Reuters News. Thomson Reuters. New York, New York. USA.

Southern Africa Report, United Nations Office for the Coordination of Humanitarian Affairs - Integrated Regional Information Network for Southern Africa.

Voice of America, English Service. Washington D.C.

West Africa Report, United Nations Office for the Coordination of Humanitarian Affairs - Integrated Regional Information Network for West Africa. 1998-1999

Note: Some or all these news services have been used to research various sections of this Country Review.

## **USING COUNTRYWATCH.COM AS AN ELECTRONIC SOURCE:**

### *MLA STYLE OF CITATION*

#### **Commentary**

For items in a "Works Cited" list, CountryWatch.com suggests that users follow recommended patterns for indentation given in the *MLA Handbook*, 4th edition.

#### **Individual Works**

**Basic form, using an Internet protocol:**

Author/editor. *Title of Print Version of Work*. Edition statement (if given). Publication information (Place of publication: publisher, date), if given. *Title of Electronic Work*. Medium. Available Protocol (if applicable):Site/Path/File. Access date.

**Examples:**

Youngblood-Coleman, Denise. *Country Review: France*. 2003. Houston, Texas: CountryWatch Publications, 2003. *Country Review:France*. Online. Available URL : [http://www.countrywatch.com/cw\\_country.asp?vCOUNTRY=61](http://www.countrywatch.com/cw_country.asp?vCOUNTRY=61) October, 12, 2003.

Note:

This is the citation format used when the print version is not used in the reference.

**Parts of Works**

**Basic form, using an Internet protocol:**

Author/editor. "Part title." *Title of Print Version of Work*. Edition statement (if given). Publication information (Place of publication: publisher, date), if given. *Title of Electronic Work*. Medium. AvailableProtocol (if applicable): Site/Path/File. Access date.

**Examples:**

Youngblood-Coleman, Denise. "People." *CountryWatch.com: France*. 2003. Houston, Texas: CountryWatch Publications, 2003. *CountryWatch.com: France*. Online. Available URL : [http://www.countrywatch.com/cw\\_topic.asp?vCOUNTRY=61&SECTION=SOCIAL&TOPIC=CLPEO&TYPE=TEXT](http://www.countrywatch.com/cw_topic.asp?vCOUNTRY=61&SECTION=SOCIAL&TOPIC=CLPEO&TYPE=TEXT). October 12, 2003.

Note:

This is the citation format used when the print version is not used in the reference.

***For further source citation information, please email:*** editor@countrywatch.com or education@countrywatch.com.

# CountryWatch

CountryWatch is an information provider for public and private sector organizations that operate globally. The management of CountryWatch has extensive international experience and has utilized this experience to provide a concise and useful set of political, economic, and business information for its clients in the form of Country Reviews, the Country Wire, CountryWatch Data, Elections Central, CountryWatch Videos and CountryWatch Forecast.

This Country Review is designed to provide accurate and authoritative information on the subject matter covered. It is sold with the understanding that the publication is not intended to provide legal, accounting, investment, or other professional advice.

CountryWatch believes that the information and opinions contained here in are reliable, but does not make any warranties, express or implied, and assumes no liability for reliance on or use of the information or opinions contained herein.

The offices of CountryWatch are located at:

CountryWatch, Inc.  
5005 Riverway Suite 220  
Houston, Texas 77056 U.S.A.  
Tel: 800-879-3885  
Fax: 713-355-3770  
Web address: <http://www.countrywatch.com>  
Email: [support@countrywatch.com](mailto:support@countrywatch.com)

ISBN: 1- 60523- 644-6

Cameroon Country Review

2016

ISSN: 1- 60523- 893-5

Printed in the United States of America